

**Chapter 22****1 Other NEPA Requirements****2 22.1 Introduction**

3 In addition to the factors described in Chapters 5 through 21, the National  
4 Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires consideration of the relationship of  
5 short-term uses and long-term productivity, consideration of irreversible and  
6 irretrievable commitments of resources, and growth-inducing impacts as  
7 compared to the No Action Alternative (40 Code of Federal Regulations  
8 [CFR] 1508.8). These considerations are described in the following sections of  
9 this chapter.

**10 22.2 Relationship between Short-term Uses and**  
**11 Long-term Productivity**

12 NEPA requires that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) prepared by  
13 Federal agencies disclose "...the relationship between short-term uses of man's  
14 environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity..."  
15 (40 CFR 1502.16). As discussed in Chapter 1, Introduction, this EIS evaluates  
16 long-term potential direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts on the environment  
17 that could result from implementation of alternatives for the continued long-term  
18 operation of the Central Valley Project (CVP) and State Water Project (SWP) and  
19 implementation of ecosystem restoration. This EIS does not evaluate short-term  
20 impacts related to implementing project-specific actions, such as impacts during  
21 construction and/or start-up periods for actions that are not fully defined at this  
22 time and that may be implemented by Reclamation or other agencies as part of the  
23 alternatives. It is recognized that numerous projects would be planned, designed,  
24 and constructed under the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of  
25 Comparison, including tidal wetlands and floodplain restoration, as described in  
26 Chapter 3, Description of Alternatives. It also recognized that facilities to  
27 implement fish passage at CVP reservoirs would be implemented under the No  
28 Action Alternative and Alternative 5; and facilities to implement a trap and haul  
29 program for steelhead from the San Joaquin River under Alternative 4.  
30 Project-specific construction impacts would be addressed in project-specific  
31 environmental documents prepared at the time the projects are proposed for  
32 approval. At this time, however, the need for, and the nature, magnitude, and  
33 extent of specific impacts are not known.

34 Potential long-term effects (beneficial and adverse) of implementation of  
35 Alternatives 1 through 5 as compared to the No Action Alternative and to the  
36 Second Basis of Comparison with respect to each environmental resource are  
37 summarized in Tables 22.1 and 22.2 (located at the end of this chapter).

1 There would be no long-term effects related to geology and soils resources,  
2 agricultural resources, land use, cultural resources, and Indian Trust Assets.  
3 A complete listing of the effects of implementation of Alternatives 1 through 5 as  
4 compared to the No Action Alternative and to the Second Basis of Comparison  
5 are included Chapter 3, Description of Alternatives.

### 6 **22.3 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of** 7 **Resources**

8 NEPA requires that an EIS prepared by Federal agencies disclose "...any  
9 irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources which would involved in  
10 the proposed action should it be implemented..." (40 CFR 1502.16). An  
11 irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources includes use of natural or  
12 depletable resources, including consumption of construction materials and  
13 nonrenewable energy sources, and permanent conversion of land uses or habitat.

14 As described in Chapter 3, Description of Alternatives, there are several ongoing  
15 projects that are assumed to be implemented by 2030, such as Grasslands Bypass  
16 Project which is currently under construction. It is assumed that these projects  
17 would be included in the No Action Alternative, all other alternatives, and Second  
18 Basis of Comparison. The 2030 conditions assume the projected long-term  
19 conditions for each ongoing project as described in their respective environmental  
20 documents. This analysis does not address the construction activities of each  
21 ongoing project because those impacts were addressed in separate environmental  
22 documents for each project.

23 The alternatives include several future actions that would require construction,  
24 such as implementation of tidal wetlands and floodplains, fish passage facilities,  
25 or temperature control devices at CVP dams. Specific details for location and  
26 construction of these future projects are not identified at this time and are not  
27 addressed in this EIS. Future environmental documents would be prepared to  
28 analyze potential environmental consequences related to specific construction and  
29 operations. This EIS analyzes implementation of the alternatives with the  
30 assumption that these projects would be implemented by 2030; however, this EIS  
31 does not address irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources  
32 associated with consumption of construction materials and permanent conversion  
33 of land uses or habitat.

34 Changes in nonrenewable energy resources would occur through implementation  
35 of the No Action Alternative and Alternatives 1 through 5. Under the  
36 alternatives, energy would be generated by CVP and SWP operations and used to  
37 convey water in CVP and SWP facilities. As discussed in Chapter 8, Energy,  
38 changes in CVP and SWP energy generation and use would result in the ability to  
39 provide additional energy for use by others or the need to purchase additional  
40 energy from others to operate the CVP and SWP facilities. Under both long-term  
41 average conditions and dry/critical dry water years, Alternative 5 would result in  
42 the least demand for electrical generation by others which would generally be

1 produced using fossil fuels. The No Action Alternative and Alternative 2 would  
2 require more electrical generation by non-CVP and SWP facilities than  
3 Alternative 5; and less electrical generation than under Alternative 3.  
4 Alternatives 1 and 4 would require the most electrical generation by others.

## 5 **22.4 Growth-Inducing Impacts**

6 NEPA requires that an EIS prepared by Federal agencies evaluate indirect  
7 growth-inducing effects (40 CFR 1508.8). A project could result in growth-  
8 inducing impacts through several measures, including the removal of obstacles to  
9 population growth, or actions that encourage and facilitate other activities beyond  
10 those proposed by the project. The availability of adequate water supplies,  
11 employment opportunities, and improved cultural amenities are examples of  
12 actions that could be growth-inducing impacts. Growth inducement may or may  
13 not be detrimental, beneficial, or significant. However, if the induced growth  
14 impacted the environment, or the ability of agencies to provide public services to  
15 an extent not envisioned due to the project actions, the impacts would be  
16 considered to be adverse.

17 As described in Chapter 13, Land Use, and Chapter 19, Socioeconomics, land use  
18 and growth projections are not anticipated to change under Alternatives 1 through  
19 5 as compared to the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison.  
20 Municipal and industrial water users that use CVP and SWP water have prepared  
21 Urban Water Management Plans (UWMPs) that project water demand and future  
22 water supplies to meet the demands by 2030, including water conservation  
23 measures. Projects that had undergone environmental review, were under design,  
24 or under construction were considered to exist in 2030 water supply assumptions  
25 in the No Action Alternative, Alternatives 1 through 5, and the Second Basis of  
26 Comparison. Future projects described in the UWMPs that are under evaluation  
27 are considered as options to increase fixed-yield supplies, including additional  
28 groundwater pumping, water transfers, recycling water treatment, and  
29 desalination water treatment. Existing and future water supplies considered for  
30 municipalities by 2030 are presented in Appendix 5B, Future Municipal Water  
31 Supplies for CVP and SWP Water Users. For smaller water users that are not  
32 addressed in a UWMP, information was obtained from water master plans and  
33 integrated regional water management plans. The analysis presented in  
34 Chapter 19, indicated that use of the existing and planned future projects would be  
35 adequate to meet the water demands in 2030 with or without the CVP and SWP  
36 water supply availability under the alternatives considered in this EIS.

37 Alternatives 1, 3, and 4 would result in higher CVP and SWP water deliveries  
38 than the No Action Alternative and Alternatives 2 and 5. However, the additional  
39 water supplies under Alternatives 1, 3, and 4 would result in less groundwater  
40 pumping and less water transfers which could result in less potential for  
41 groundwater overdraft and soil subsidence, and less potential impacts in the  
42 service area of the seller for the transfer water. None of the alternatives  
43 considered in this EIS would increase the total water supplies to meet 2030 water

## Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

- 1 demands; and therefore, none of the alternatives considered in this EIS are
- 2 considered to be growth inducing.

1 **Table 22.1 Comparison of Alternatives 1 through 5 to the No Action Alternative**

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
Alternative 1: Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies	<b>Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies</b>
	<p><b>Trinity Lake</b>                      In wet years and dry years, storage would be similar in all months.                      In above normal years, storage would be similar in January through October; and increased in November and December (up to 6.0 percent).                      In below normal years, storage would be similar in January through October; and increased in November and December (up to 5.2 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 11.5 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam</b>                      Over long-term conditions, flows would be similar in March through November; and increased in December through February (up to 10.5 percent).                      In wet years, flows would be similar in April through November; and increased in December through March (up to 12.6 percent).                      In dry years, flows would be similar all months.</p> <p><b>Shasta Lake</b>                      In wet years, storage would be similar in December through August and October; and increased in September and November (up to 8.9 percent).                      In above normal years, storage would be similar in January through September; and increased in October through December (up to 8.1 percent).                      In below normal years, storage would be similar in March through September; and increased in October through February (up to 11.7 percent).                      In dry years, storage would be similar in February through October; and increased in November through January (up to 6.5 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be increased under all months (up to 16.8 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Keswick</b>                      Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in October, February through May, July, and August; reduced flows in September and November (up to 27.4 percent); and increased flows in December, January, and June (up to 8.4 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in January through July; reduced flows in September through November (up to 43.7 percent); and increased flows in December and August (up to 17.0 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through October, December through March, and May; reduced flows in November (25.0 percent); and increased flows in April and June (up to 7.8 percent).</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Freeport</b>                      Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in October, December through May, and August; reduced flows in September, November, and July (up to 30.2 percent); and increased flows in June (12.8 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in January through June and October; reduced flows in July through September and November (up to 47.4 percent); and increased flows in December (6.6 percent).</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p>In dry years, similar flows would occur in August through October and December through April; reduced flows in November and July (up to 13.6 percent); and increased flows in May and June (up to 13.5 percent).</p> <p><b>Lake Oroville</b></p> <p>In wet years, storage would be similar in January through August; and reduced in September through December (up to 21.8 percent).</p> <p>In above normal years, storage would be similar in February through August; and reduced in September through January (up to 15.2 percent).</p> <p>In below normal years, storage would be similar in May through July; and reduced in August through April (up to 21.5 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, storage would be similar in June; and reduced in all other months (up to 14.2 percent).</p> <p>In critical dry years, storage would be similar under all months.</p> <p>In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex</b></p> <p>Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in November and April; reduced flows in July through September (up to 43.2 percent); and increased flows in October, December through March, May, and June (up to 37.4 percent).</p> <p>In wet years, similar flows would occur in October, November, and March through May; reduced flows in July through September (up to 64.9 percent); and increased flows in December through February and June (up to 35.1 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, similar flows would occur in December through April; reduced flows in July (34.4 percent); and increased flows in August through October, May, and June (up to 38.1 percent).</p> <p><b>Folsom Lake</b></p> <p>In wet years, storage would be similar in December through August; and increased in September through December (up to 12.1 percent).</p> <p>In above normal years, storage would be similar in January through July and September through October; increased in November and December (up to 8.9 percent); and reduced in August (5.4 percent).</p> <p>In below normal years, storage would be similar in February through May; reduced in June through September (up to 14.6 percent); and increased in October through January (up to 13.5 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, storage would be similar in all months.</p> <p>In critical dry years, storage would be similar in October through June; and increased in July through September (up to 12.1 percent).</p> <p>In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>American River downstream of Nimbus Dam</b></p> <p>Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in November through May and July; reduced flows in September and October (up to 30.9 percent); and increased flows in June (5.4 percent).</p> <p>In wet years, similar flows would occur in October, November, and January through July; reduced flows in September (47.7 percent); and increased flows in August (12.0 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, similar flows would occur in November through January, March through June, August, and September; reduced flows in October (14.1 percent); and increased flows in February and July (up to 7.9 percent).</p>

	<p><b>Clear Creek downstream of Whiskeytown Dam</b>          Flows identical June through April; and reduced in May (40.7 percent).</p> <p><b>New Melones Reservoir</b>          In wet years, storage would be similar in all months.          In above normal years, storage would be similar in December through September; and increased in October and November (up to 6.0 percent).          In below normal years, storage would be similar in November through September; and increased in October (5.4 percent).          In dry years, storage would be similar in all months.          In critical dry years, storage would be similar in July through September; and increased in October through June (up to 7.5 percent).          In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam</b>          Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in July through September; reduced flows in October, March, and April (up to 59.8 percent); and increased flows in November through February and June (up to 51.1 percent).          In wet years, similar flows would occur in February and April; reduced flows in October, March, May, July, and August (up to 53.9 percent); and increased flows in September, November through January, and June (up to 103.2 percent).          In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through September; reduced flows in October and April (up to 60.7 percent); and increased flows in November through March, May, and June (up to 55.5 percent).</p> <p><b>San Joaquin River at Vernalis</b>          Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in July through September and November through May; reduced flows in October (16.1 percent); and increased flows in June (8.4 percent).          In wet years, similar flows would occur in July through September and November through May; reduced flows in October (14.4 percent); and increased flows in June (10.4 percent).          In dry years, similar flows would occur in November through March and May through September; and reduced flows in October and April (up to 15.3 percent).</p> <p><b>San Luis Reservoir</b>          In wet years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 108.8 percent). Water storage elevations would be increased in all months (up to 12.0 percent).          In above normal years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 151.4 percent). Water storage elevations would be increased in all months (up to 15.0 percent).          In below normal years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 203.1 percent). Water storage elevations would be increased in all months (up to 19.0 percent).          In dry years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 70.3 percent). Water storage elevations would be increased in all months (up to 11.6 percent).          In critical dry years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 57.1 percent). Water storage elevations would be increased in all months (up to 10.8 percent).</p>
--	---

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><b>Yolo Bypass</b></p> <p>In wet years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in January through September; reduced in October (20 percent); and increased in November and December (up to 17.4 percent).</p> <p>In above normal years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in April through December; and increased in January through March (up to 16.2 percent).</p> <p>In below normal years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in April through November; and increased in December through March (up to 33.9 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in January through November; and increased in December (6.2 percent).</p> <p>In critical dry years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in all months.</p> <p><b>Delta Outflow</b></p> <p>In wet years, average monthly Delta outflow would increase in December, February, March, and June (up to 1,492 cfs); and decrease in July through November, January, April, and May (up to 13,683 cfs).</p> <p>In dry years, average monthly Delta outflow would be similar in September; decrease in July, August, and October through May (up to 3,114 cfs); and increase in June (385 cfs).</p> <p><b>Reverse Flows in Old and Middle Rivers</b></p> <p>In wet years, average monthly OMR flows, would be more positive in June through August and March (up to 923 cfs); and more negative in April through June and September through February (up to 10,005 cfs).</p> <p>In dry years, average monthly OMR flows would be positive in July (up to 2,073 cfs), and more negative in August through June (up to 3,489 cfs).</p> <p><b>CVP and SWP Exports and Deliveries</b></p> <p>Long-term average annual exports would be 1,051 TAF (22 percent) more under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP North of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be increased by 19 percent over the long-term conditions; 45 percent in dry years; and 59 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP North of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be similar in total; however, deliveries to the American River CVP contractors would be increased by 7 percent over the long-term conditions; 9 percent in dry years; and 8 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP South of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be increased by 31 percent over the long-term conditions; 49 percent in dry years; and 60 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP South of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be increased by 11 percent over the long-term conditions; 10 percent in dry years; and 7 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to the Eastside contractors would be similar under long-term conditions and in dry and critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be increased by 22 percent over the long-term conditions; 22 percent in dry years; and 25 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be increased by 22 percent over the long-term conditions; 24 percent in dry years; and 28 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be reduced by 9 percent over the long-term conditions; 6 percent in dry years; and 9 percent in critical dry years.</p>



Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be increased by 504 percent over the long-term conditions; 2,265 percent in dry years; and 1,219 percent in critical dry years.
Alternative 3: Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies	<p><b>Trinity Lake</b>                      In wet, above normal years, below normal, and dry years, storage would be similar in all months.                      In critical dry years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 11.9 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam</b>                      Over long-term conditions, flows would be similar in March through November; and increased in December through February (up to 11.8 percent).                      In wet years, flows would be similar in April through October; reduced in November (7.0 percent); and increased in December through March (up to 15.1 percent).                      In dry years, flows would be similar in all months.</p> <p><b>Shasta Lake</b>                      In wet years, storage would be similar in December through August; and increased in September and November (up to 8.7 percent).                      In above normal years, storage would be similar in January through October; and increased in November and December (up to 7.1 percent).                      In below normal years, storage would be similar in March through September; and increased in October through February (up to 11.9 percent).                      In dry years, storage would be similar in March through October; and increased in November through January (up to 7.4 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would increase in all months (up to 12.2 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Keswick</b>                      Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in October, February through May, July, and August; reduced flows in September and November (up to 20.1 percent); and increased flows in December, January, and June (up to 8.9 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in February through August; reduced flows in September through November (up to 42.1 percent); and increased flows in December and January (up to 16.9 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through September and December through May; reduced flows in November (24.6 percent); and increased flows in January and June (up to 7.3 percent).</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Freeport</b>                      Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in October, December through May, July, and August; reduced flows in September and November (up to 30.1 percent); and increased flows in June (12.1 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in January through May, July, and October; reduced flows in August, September, and November (up to 48.1 percent); and increased flows in December and June (up to 6.6 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through October and December through April; reduced flows in November (14.2 percent); and increased flows in May and June (up to 15.7 percent).</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><b>Lake Oroville</b>            In wet years, storage would be similar in January through August; and increased in September through December (up to 18.5 percent).            In above normal years, storage would be similar in February through August; and increased in September through January (up to 18.5 percent).            In below normal years, storage would be similar in June through September; and increased in October through May (up to 22.5 percent).            In dry years, storage would be similar in May through September; and increased in October through April (up to 12.3 percent).            In critical dry years, storage would be similar under all months.            In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex</b>            Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in October, November, March, April, and July; reduced flows in August and September (up to 49.4 percent); and increased flows in December through February, May, and June (up to 33.9 percent).            In wet years, similar flows would occur in October, November, February through May, and July; reduced flows in August and September (up to 70.0 percent) and increased flows in December, January, and June (up to 28.1 percent).            In dry years, similar flows would occur in September and January through April; reduced flows in October through December and July (up to 14.5 percent); and increased flows in May, June, and August (36.9 percent).</p> <p><b>Folsom Lake</b>            In wet years, storage would be similar in December through August; and increased in September through December (up to 12.1 percent).            In above normal years, storage would be similar in January through June, September, and October; and increased in November and December (up to 6.3 percent); and reduced in July and August (up to 6.7 percent).            In below normal years, storage would be similar in February through July; reduced in August and September (up to 10.0 percent); and increased in October through January (up to 15.0 percent).            In dry years, storage would be similar in all months.            In critical dry years, storage would be similar in October through July; and increased in August and September (up to 11.6 percent).            In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>American River downstream of Nimbus Dam</b>            Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in November, January through May, July, and August; reduced flows in September and October (up to 28.7 percent); and increased flows in June (5.8 percent).            In wet years, similar flows would occur in October, November, and January through July; reduced flows in September (45.9 percent); and increased flows in August and December (up to 8.5 percent).            In dry years, similar flows would occur in November through January and March through September; reduced flows in October (11.2 percent); and increased flows in February (6.1 percent).</p> <p><b>Clear Creek downstream of Whiskeytown Dam</b>            Flows identical June through April; and reduced in May (28.9 percent).</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><b>New Melones Reservoir</b>                      In wet years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 13.3 percent).                      In above normal years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 23.3 percent).                      In below normal years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 19.8 percent).                      In dry years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 25.3 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 37.8 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam</b>                      Over long-term conditions, reduced flows would occur in October and March through June (up to 58.3 percent); and increased flows in November through February and July through September (up to 36.81 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in April; reduced flows in October, March, and May (up to 52.9 percent); and increased flows in June through September and November through February (up to 67.8 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in March and July through September; reduced flows in October and April through June (up to 59.6 percent); and increased flows in November through February (up to 37.0 percent).</p> <p><b>San Joaquin River at Vernalis</b>                      Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in November through September; and reduced flows in October (15.7 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in November through August; reduced flows in October (14.1 percent); and increased flows in September (5.7 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in November through March and July through September; and reduced flows in October and April through June (up to 15.2 percent).</p> <p><b>San Luis Reservoir</b>                      In wet years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 96.3 percent). Water storage elevations would be increased in all months (up to 13.0 percent).                      In above normal years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 111.4 percent). Water storage elevations would be similar in October through March; and increased in April through September (up to 11.3 percent).                      In below normal years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 106.9 percent). Water storage elevations would be similar in September; and increased in October through August (up to 10.7 percent).                      In dry years, storage would be similar in September; and increased in October through August (up to 52.1 percent). Water storage elevations would be similar December through May and July through October; and increased in November and June (up to 6.8 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be similar in February through May; and increased in June through January (up to 29.2 percent). Water storage elevations would be similar in all months.</p> <p><b>Yolo Bypass</b>                      In wet years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in January through September; reduced in October (24.5 percent); and increased in November and December (up to 15.1 percent).</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p>In above normal years, storage would be similar in April through January; and increased in February and March (up to 11.7 percent).</p> <p>In below normal years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in April through November; and increased in December through March (up to 32.0 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in January through November; and increased in December (6.0 percent).</p> <p>In critical dry years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in all months.</p> <p><b>Delta Outflow</b></p> <p>In wet years, average monthly Delta outflow would increase in December through March (up to 3,307 cfs); and decrease in April through November (up to 13,678 cfs).</p> <p>In dry years, average monthly Delta outflow would increase January, February, June, and July (up to 277 cfs); and decrease in August through December and March through May (up to 2,902 cfs).</p> <p><b>Reverse Flows in Old and Middle Rivers</b></p> <p>In wet years, average monthly OMR flows would be more positive in July and August (up to 800 cfs); and more negative in September through June (up to 4,477 cfs).</p> <p>In dry years, average monthly OMR flows would be more positive in July and January (up to 728 cfs), and more negative in August through December and February through June (up to 1,847 cfs).</p> <p><b>CVP and SWP Exports and Deliveries</b></p> <p>Long-term average annual exports would be 726 TAF (15 percent) more under Alternative 3 as compared to the No Action Alternative.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP North of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be increased by 13 percent over the long-term conditions; 30 percent in dry and critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP North of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be similar in total; however, deliveries to the American River CVP contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and critical dry years; and increased deliveries by 7 percent in dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP South of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be increased by 28 percent over the long-term conditions; 34 percent in dry years; and 28 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP South of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be similar in critical dry years; and increased by 9 percent over the long-term conditions and 8 percent in dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to the Eastside contractors would be similar under long-term conditions and dry years; and increased by 15 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be increased by 17 percent over the long-term conditions and in dry years; and 13 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be increased by 17 percent over the long-term conditions and in dry years; and 14 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry and critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be increased by 128 percent over the long-term conditions; 384 percent in dry years; and 214 percent in critical dry years.</p>

<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative</b>
Alternative 4: Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies	Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative.
Alternative 5: Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies	<p><b>Trinity Lake</b> Similar storage and surface water elevations in all months and all water year types.</p> <p><b>Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam</b> Similar flows in all months for long-term conditions and wet and dry years.</p> <p><b>Shasta Lake</b> Similar storage and surface water elevations in all months and all water year types.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Keswick</b> Similar flows in all months for long-term conditions and wet and dry years.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Freeport</b> Similar flows in all months for long-term conditions and wet and dry years.</p> <p><b>Lake Oroville</b> Similar storage and surface water elevations in all months and all water year types.</p> <p><b>Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex</b> Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in June through April; and reduced flows in May (6.6 percent). In wet years, similar flows would occur in all months. In dry years, similar flows would occur in September through April and June; reduced flows in May (27.1 percent); and increased flows in July and August (up to 8.9 percent).</p> <p><b>Folsom Lake</b> Similar storage and surface water elevations in all months and all water year types.</p> <p><b>American River downstream of Nimbus Dam</b> Similar flows in all months for long-term conditions and wet and dry years.</p> <p><b>Clear Creek downstream of Whiskeytown Dam</b> Flows would be identical in all months.</p> <p><b>New Melones Reservoir</b> In wet years, storage would be similar in all months. In above normal years, storage would be similar in October through June; and reduced in July through September (up to 5.7 percent). In below normal years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 9.2 percent).</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p>In dry years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 10.2 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 18.9 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam</b>                      Over long-term conditions, flows would be similar in September through February and June; reduced flows would occur in March, July, and August (up to 8.0 percent); and increased flows in April and May (up to 22.4 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in October, November, January, February, and April through June; reduced flows in December, March, and July through September (up to 18.0 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in June through March; and increased flows in April and May (up to 47.3 percent).</p> <p><b>San Joaquin River at Vernalis</b>                      Over long-term conditions and wet years, similar flows would occur in all months.                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in June through March; and increased flows in April and May (up to 15.7 percent).San Luis Reservoir</p> <p><b>San Luis Reservoir</b>                      In wet years, storage would be similar in January through May; and increased in June through December (up to 10.0 percent).                      In above normal years, storage would be similar in all months.                      In below normal years, storage would be similar in November, February through April, August, and September; reduced in June and July (up to 9.2 percent); and increased in October, December, January, and May (up to 8.3 percent).                      In dry years, storage would be similar in October through March; and reduced in April through September (up to 17.3 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be similar in February and March; and reduced in April through January (up to 18.2 percent).                      Surface water elevations would be similar in all months, in all water years.</p> <p><b>Yolo Bypass</b>                      Similar flows into the Yolo Bypass in all months and all water year types.</p> <p><b>Delta Outflow</b>                      In wet years, average monthly Delta outflow would be similar.                      In dry years, average monthly Delta outflow would be similar in July through April; and increased in May and June (up to 1,377 cfs).</p> <p><b>Reverse Flows in Old and Middle Rivers</b>                      In wet years, OMR flows would be more positive or no change in September, October, January, and April through June (up to 171 cfs); and more negative in November, December, March, and August (up to 124 cfs).                      In dry years, OMR flows would be more positive or no change in October through March (up to 1,359 cfs); and more negative in June through September (up to 568 cfs).</p> <p><b>CVP and SWP Exports and Deliveries</b>                      Long-term average annual exports would be 45 TAF (1 percent) less under Alternative 5 as compared to the No Action Alternative.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p>Deliveries to CVP North of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry and critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP North of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry and critical dry years in total and for the American River CVP contractors.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP South of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry and critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to CVP South of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry and critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries to the Eastside contractors would be similar under long-term conditions and dry years; and reduced by 7.7 percent in critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry and critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry and critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry and critical dry years.</p> <p>Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be reduced by 8 percent over the long-term conditions and 41 percent in critical dry years; and increased by 12 percent in dry years.</p>
	<b>Surface Water Quality</b>
Alternative 1: Surface Water Quality	<p>Salinity increases near Emmaton in June (5 to 41 percent depending upon water year type); decreases in July through March (5 to 79 percent); and is similar in April and May.</p> <p>Salinity increases near CVP and SWP, Contra Costa Water District, and Antioch (5 to over 47 percent) in February through August; and is similar or decreases (5 to over 39 percent) in September through January.</p> <p>Salinity decreases near Port Chicago in September through May (5 to 33 percent); and is similar in June through August.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 6 percent decrease near Rock Slough, San Joaquin River at Antioch, and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p> <p>Similar selenium concentrations in whole body fish, bird eggs, and fish fillets.</p>
Alternative 3: Surface Water Quality	<p>Salinity decreases near Emmaton in September through January (5 to 68 percent); and is similar in February through August.</p> <p>Salinity increases CVP and SWP, Contra Costa Water District, and Antioch intakes (5 to over 50 percent) in February through June; and is similar or decreases (5 to over 30 percent) in July through January.</p> <p>Salinity decreases near Port Chicago in September through June (5 to 34 percent); and is similar in July and August.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 6 percent decrease near San Joaquin River at Antioch and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p> <p>Similar selenium concentrations in whole body fish, bird eggs, and fish fillets.</p>
Alternative 4: Surface Water Quality	Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative.

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative</b>
Alternative 5: Surface Water Quality	<p>Salinity near Emmaton is similar in all months.</p> <p>Salinity decreases near the CVP and SWP, Contra Costa Water District, and Antioch intakes (5 to over 29 percent) in April through June; and is similar in July through February.</p> <p>Salinity near Port Chicago is similar in all months.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass throughout the Delta.</p> <p>Similar selenium concentrations in whole body fish, bird eggs, and fish fillets.</p>
	<b>Groundwater Resources</b>
Alternative 1: Groundwater Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b> Groundwater conditions would be similar.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Region</b> Groundwater pumping and levels in the Sacramento Valley would be similar.</p> <p>Groundwater pumping in the San Joaquin Valley would decrease by approximately 8 percent. July groundwater levels in all water year types would be higher by approximately 2 to 10 feet in the in most of the central and southern San Joaquin Valley; 10 to 50 feet in the Delta-Mendota, Tulare Lake, and Kern County subbasins; and 100 to over 500 feet in the Westside subbasin. The higher groundwater levels would reduce the potential for land subsidence.</p> <p>Groundwater quality in the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin could decline.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California Regions</b> Increases in CVP and SWP water supplies, could decrease groundwater pumping and decrease the potential for land subsidence.</p>
Alternative 3: Groundwater Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b> Groundwater conditions would be similar.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Region</b> Groundwater pumping and levels in the Sacramento Valley would be similar.</p> <p>Groundwater pumping in the San Joaquin Valley would decrease by approximately 6 percent. July groundwater levels in all water year types would be higher by approximately 2 to 10 feet in the in most of the central and southern San Joaquin Valley; 10 to 50 feet in the Delta-Mendota, Tulare Lake, and Kern County subbasins; and 100 to over 500 feet in the Westside subbasin. The higher groundwater levels would reduce the potential for land subsidence.</p> <p>Groundwater quality in the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin could decline.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California Regions</b> Increases in CVP and SWP water supplies, could decrease groundwater pumping and decrease the potential for land subsidence.</p>
Alternative 4: Groundwater Resources	Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative.



Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
Alternative 5: Groundwater Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b> Groundwater conditions would be similar.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Regions</b> Groundwater pumping and levels in the Sacramento Valley would be similar. Groundwater pumping, levels, and quality in the San Joaquin Valley would be similar. July groundwater levels in all water year types would decline approximately 2 to 10 feet in the in most of the central and southern San Joaquin Valley; and 25 to 50 feet in the Westside subbasin.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California Regions</b> Because the CVP and SWP water deliveries would be similar; groundwater pumping would be similar the potential for land subsidence would be similar.</p>
	<b>Energy Resources</b>
Alternative 1: Energy Resources	<p>CVP annual net generation would be similar. SWP annual net generation would be increased by 41 percent over the long-term condition; and by 58 percent in dry and critical dry years. Total energy use by CVP and SWP water users, including energy for alternate water supplies, is assumed to decrease.</p>
Alternative 3: Energy Resources	<p>CVP annual net generation would be similar. SWP annual net generation would be increased by 27 percent over the long-term condition; and by 16 percent in dry and critical dry years. Total energy use by CVP and SWP water users, including energy for alternate water supplies, is assumed to decrease.</p>
Alternative 4: Energy Resources	<p>Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative.</p>
Alternative 5: Energy Resources	<p>CVP and SWP annual net generation would be similar. Total energy use by CVP and SWP water users, including energy for alternate water supplies, is assumed to be similar.</p>
	<b>Fish and Aquatic Resources</b>
Alternative 1: Fish and Aquatic Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b> <u>Coho Salmon</u> Overall, the temperature model outputs for each of the Coho Salmon life stages suggest that the temperature of water released at Lewiston Dam generally would be similar under both scenarios, although the exceedance of water temperature thresholds would be slightly less frequent (1 percent). The higher water temperatures in November of critical dry years (and lower temperatures in December) would likely have little effect on Coho Salmon as water temperatures in the Trinity River are typically low during this time period. Given the similarity of the results and the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model (average monthly outputs), likely to result in similar effects.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><u>Spring-run Chinook Salmon</u>            Although the water temperatures could adversely affect spring-run Chinook Salmon in the Trinity River, these effects would not occur in every year and are not anticipated to be substantial based on the relatively small differences water temperatures as compared to the No Action Alternative. Overall, is likely to result in similar effects.</p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u>            Water temperature changes, not likely have adverse effects because changes would not occur in every year and are not anticipated to be substantial based on the relatively small differences in flows and water temperatures (as well as egg mortality). Overall, likely to have similar effects.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u>            Water temperature changes would not likely have adverse effects because these changes would not occur in every year and are not anticipated to be substantial based on the relatively small differences in flows and water temperatures. Overall, likely to have similar effects.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon</u>            Overall, given the similarities between average monthly water temperatures at Lewiston Dam, it is likely that temperature conditions for Green Sturgeon in the Trinity River or lower Klamath River and estuary would be similar.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u>            Overall, the comparison of storage and the analysis of nesting suggest that effects would be similar.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u>            On average, the temperature of water released at Lewiston Dam generally would be similar. Given the similarities in temperature, it is likely that the effects on Pacific Lamprey would be similar. This conclusion likely applies to other species of lamprey that inhabit the Trinity and lower Klamath rivers (e.g., River Lamprey).</p> <p><u>Eulachon</u>            Given that the highest increases in flow under would be less than 10 percent in the Trinity River with a smaller relative change in the lower Klamath River and Klamath River estuary, and that water temperatures in the Klamath River are unlikely to be affected by changes upstream at Lewiston Dam, is the changes are likely to have a similar effect to influence Eulachon in the Klamath River.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River System</b></p> <p><u>Winter-run Chinook Salmon</u>            Effects on winter-run Chinook Salmon would be similar, with a small likelihood that winter-run Chinook Salmon escapement would be lower. This potential distinction may become more adverse due to the lack of fish passage.</p> <p><u>Spring-run Chinook Salmon</u>            The model results suggest that overall, effects on spring-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly more adverse with a small likelihood that spring-run Chinook Salmon production would be higher. This potential distinction may be partially offset and become more adverse by the lack of the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u>                      The model results suggest that overall, effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly less adverse with a small likelihood that fall-run Chinook Salmon production would be higher. This potential distinction may become more adverse by the lack of without fish passage.</p> <p><u>Late Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u>                      The output from SALMOD indicated that late fall-run Chinook Salmon production would be similar, although production could be slightly lower in some water year types and about 4 percent higher in critical dry years. The analyses attempting to assess the effects on routing, entrainment, and salvage of juvenile salmonids in the Delta suggest that salvage (as an indicator of potential losses of juvenile salmon at the export facilities) of Sacramento River-origin Chinook Salmon is predicted to be higher in every month.                      Although survival in the Delta may be lower, given the similarity in the SALMOD outputs, it is likely that the effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon would be similar.                      Effects may become more adverse due to the lack of without fish passage.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u>                      The model results suggest that overall, effects on steelhead could be slightly less adverse, particularly in the Feather River. This potential distinction may become more adverse due to the lack of fish passage.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon</u>                      The temperature model outputs for the Sacramento and Feather rivers suggest that thermal conditions and effects on Green Sturgeon in the Sacramento and Feather rivers generally would be slightly less adverse. This conclusion is supported by the water temperature threshold exceedance analysis that indicated that the water temperature thresholds for Green Sturgeon spawning, incubation, and rearing would be exceeded less frequently under Alternative 1 in the Sacramento River. The water temperature threshold for Green Sturgeon spawning, incubation, and rearing would also be exceeded less frequently during some months in the Feather River, but would be exceeded more frequently in September. Given the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model (average monthly outputs), the reduced frequency of exceedance of temperature thresholds could benefit Green Sturgeon in the Sacramento and Feather rivers.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon</u>                      Overall, the temperature model outputs suggest that thermal conditions and effects on White Sturgeon in the Sacramento River generally would be slightly less adverse. This conclusion is supported by the water temperature threshold exceedance analysis that indicated that the water temperature thresholds for White Sturgeon spawning, incubation, and rearing would be exceeded less frequently in the Sacramento River. Given the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model (average monthly outputs), the reduced frequency of exceedance of temperature thresholds could benefit White Sturgeon in the Sacramento River.</p> <p><u>Delta Smelt</u>                      Overall, Alt likely would result in increased adverse effects on Delta Smelt primarily due to the potential for increased percentage entrainment during larval and juvenile life stages, and less favorable location of Fall X2 in wetter years, and on average.</p> <p><u>Longfin Smelt</u>                      Overall, based on the increase in frequency and magnitude of negative OMR flows and the lower Longfin Smelt abundance index values, especially in dry and critical dry years, potential adverse effects on the Longfin Smelt population likely would be greater.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><u>Sacramento Splittail</u> Slight increase in spawning habitat for Sacramento Splittail as a result of the increased area of potential habitat (inundation) and the potential for a slight increase in the frequency of inundation.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u> The analysis of black bass nest survival based on changes in water surface elevation during the spawning period indicated that the likelihood of high (greater than 40 percent) nest survival in most of the reservoirs would be similar to or slightly lower. This suggests that conditions in the reservoirs would be less likely to support self-sustaining populations of black bass.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u> Based on the somewhat increased flows and reduced temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it likely that conditions for and effects on Pacific Lamprey in the Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers would not differ in a biologically meaningful manner. This conclusion likely applies to other species of lamprey that inhabit these rivers (e.g., River Lamprey).</p> <p><u>Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead</u> In general, Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Based on the slightly increased flows and decreased temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that conditions for and effects on Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead in the Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers would not differ in a biologically meaningful manner.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River</b></p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u> Given the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model (average monthly outputs), the differences in the frequency of exceedance of suitable temperatures for spawning and rearing could affect the potential for adverse effects on the fall-run Chinook Salmon populations in the Stanislaus River. However, the direction and magnitude of this effect is uncertain. This potential distinction may become more adverse due to the lack of fish passage.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u> Given the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model (average monthly outputs), the differences in the magnitude and frequency of exceedance of suitable temperatures for the various life stages could affect the potential for adverse effects on the steelhead populations in the Stanislaus River. However, the direction and magnitude of this effect is uncertain. This potential distinction may become more adverse due to lack of fish passage.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon</u> While flows in the San Joaquin River upstream of the Stanislaus River are expected be similar, flow contributions from the Stanislaus River could influence water temperatures in the San Joaquin River where White Sturgeon eggs or larvae may occur during the spring and early summer. The magnitude of influence on water temperature would depend on the proportional flow contribution of the Stanislaus River and the temperatures in both the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers. The potential for an effect on White Sturgeon eggs and larvae would be influenced by the proportion of the population occurring in the San Joaquin River. In consideration of this uncertainty, it is not possible to distinguish potential effects on White Sturgeon between alternatives.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u> Overall, predicted nest survival is generally above 40 percent in all months evaluated, although survival would vary among months. Given the relatively high survival in general and the uncertainty caused by the inconsistency in changes in survival, it is likely that effects would be similar under both alternatives.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><u>Other Species</u></p> <p>In general, lamprey species can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids, up to around 72 °F. Because lamprey life history ammocoetes remain in the river for several years, any substantial flow reductions or temperature increases could adversely affect these larval lamprey. Given the similar flows and temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that the potential to affect lamprey species in the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers would be similar.</p> <p>In general, Striped Bass and Hardhead also can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Given the similar flows and temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that the potential to affect Striped Bass and Hardhead in the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers would be similar.</p> <p><b>Pacific Ocean</b></p> <p><u>Killer Whale</u></p> <p>Given conclusions from NMFS (2009c), and the fact that at least 75 percent of fall-run Chinook Salmon available for Southern Residents are produced by Central Valley hatcheries, it is likely that Central Valley fall-run Chinook Salmon as a prey base for killer whales would not be appreciably affected.</p>
<p>Alternative 2: Fish and Aquatic Resources</p>	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b></p> <p><u>Coho Salmon, spring-run and fall-run Chinook Salmon, steelhead, Green Sturgeon, Reservoir Fishes, Pacific Lamprey, River Lamprey, and Eulachon</u></p> <p>Similar effects.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River System</b></p> <p><u>Winter-run, spring-run, fall-run, and late fall-run Chinook Salmon, and steelhead</u></p> <p>The effects may become more adverse due to the lack of fish passage.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon, White Sturgeon, Delta Smelt, Longfin Smelt, Sacramento Splittail, Reservoir Fishes, Pacific Lamprey, River Lamprey, Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead</u></p> <p>Similar effects</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River</b></p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon and Steelhead</u></p> <p>The effects may become more adverse due to the lack of fish passage.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon, Reservoir Fishes, and Other Species</u></p> <p>Similar effects.</p> <p><b>Pacific Ocean</b></p> <p><u>Killer Whale</u></p> <p>Similar effects.</p>
<p>Alternative 3: Fish and Aquatic Resources</p>	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b></p> <p><u>Coho Salmon and Spring-run Chinook Salmon</u></p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p>Although the water temperature and flow changes could have slight beneficial effects, these effects would not occur in every year and are not anticipated to be substantial based on the relatively small differences in flows and water temperatures. Overall, likely to result in similar effects on the spring-run Chinook Salmon population in the Trinity River.</p> <p><u>Fall-run-run Chinook Salmon</u></p> <p>Although the water temperature and flow changes suggest a lower potential for adverse effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon in the Trinity River, these effects would not occur in every year and are not anticipated to be substantial based on the relatively small differences in flows and water temperatures (as well as egg mortality). Overall, likely to have similar effects.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u></p> <p>Although water temperatures suggest a slightly lower potential for adverse effects on steelhead in the Trinity River, the relatively small differences in flows and water temperatures under would likely result in similar effects on the steelhead population.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon</u></p> <p>Given the similarities between average monthly water temperatures at Lewiston Dam, it is likely that temperature conditions for Green Sturgeon in the Trinity River or lower Klamath River and estuary would be similar.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u></p> <p>Overall, while reservoir storage and nest survival would be slightly higher, it is uncertain whether these differences would be biologically meaningful. Thus, it is likely that effects on black bass would be similar.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u></p> <p>Overall, it is likely that effects on Pacific Lamprey would be similar. This conclusion likely also applies to other species of lamprey that inhabit the Trinity and lower Klamath rivers (e.g., River Lamprey).</p> <p><u>Eulachon</u></p> <p>Given that the highest increases in flow would be less than 10 percent in the Trinity River, with a smaller relative increase in the lower Klamath River and Klamath River estuary, and that water temperatures in the Klamath River would unlikely to be affected by changes upstream at Lewiston Dam, it is likely that effects would have a similar potential to influence Eulachon in the Klamath River.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River System</b></p> <p><u>Winter-run Chinook Salmon</u></p> <p>Potentially more adverse due to lack of fish passage, The predator control measures could reduce winter-run Chinook Salmon mortality.</p> <p><u>Spring-run Chinook Salmon</u></p> <p>The model results suggest that overall, effects on spring-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly less adverse with a small likelihood that spring-run Chinook Salmon production would be higher. This potential distinction may be partially offset and become more adverse by the lack of the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p> <p>The ocean harvest restriction component and predator control measures could reduce spring-run Chinook Salmon mortality.</p> <p>Overall, given the small differences between Alternative 3 and the No Action Alternative conditions and the uncertainty regarding the non-operational components, distinguishing a clear difference is not possible. This potential distinction may be partially offset and become more adverse by the lack of the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><u>Fall-run-run Chinook Salmon</u></p> <p>The model results suggest that overall, effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly less adverse with a small likelihood that fall-run Chinook Salmon production would be higher. This potential distinction may be partially offset and become more adverse by the lack of the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p> <p>The ocean harvest restriction component and predator control measures could reduce fall-run Chinook Salmon mortality.</p> <p>Overall, given the small differences between Alternative 3 and the No Action Alternative conditions and the uncertainty regarding the non-operational components, distinguishing a clear difference is not possible. This potential distinction may be partially offset and become more adverse by the lack of the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p> <p><u>Late Fall-run-run Chinook Salmon</u></p> <p>It is likely that the effects on late fall-run Chinook Salmon would be similar. This potential distinction may be partially offset and become more adverse by the lack of the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p> <p>The ocean harvest restriction component and predator control measures could reduce late fall-run Chinook Salmon mortality.</p> <p>Overall, given the small differences between Alternative 3 and the No Action Alternative conditions and the uncertainty regarding the non-operational components, distinguishing a clear difference is not possible. This potential distinction may be partially offset and become more adverse by the lack of the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u></p> <p>The model results suggest that overall, effects on steelhead could be slightly less adverse, particularly in the Feather River. This potential distinction may be partially offset and become more adverse by the lack of the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p> <p>The ocean harvest restriction component and predator control measures could reduce steelhead mortality.</p> <p>Overall, given the small differences between Alternative 3 and the No Action Alternative conditions and the uncertainty regarding the non-operational components, distinguishing a clear difference is not possible.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon</u></p> <p>Given the general similarity in results and inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model (average monthly outputs), the effects likely would be similar.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon</u></p> <p>Given the general similarity in results and the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model, the effects likely would be similar.</p> <p><u>Delta Smelt</u></p> <p>Overall, likely would result in adverse effects, primarily due to increased percentage entrainment during larval and juvenile life stages, and less favorable location of Fall X2 in wetter years, and on average.</p> <p><u>Longfin Smelt</u></p> <p>Overall, based on the increase in frequency and magnitude of negative OMR flows and the lower Longfin Smelt abundance index values, potential adverse effects likely would be greater.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><u>Sacramento Splittail</u>  Flows entering the Yolo Bypass generally would be somewhat higher, especially during below normal years in December through March. These increases would occur during periods of relatively low flow in the bypass, and could slightly increase the frequency of potential inundation. This could provide somewhat greater value to Sacramento Splittail because of the increased area of potential habitat (inundation) and the potential for a slight increase in the frequency of inundation.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u>  The analysis of black bass nest survival based on changes in water surface elevation during the spawning period indicated that the likelihood of high (greater than 40 percent) nest survival in most of the reservoirs would be similar to or slightly lower. This suggests that conditions in the reservoirs could be less likely to support self-sustaining populations of black bass. However, it is uncertain whether this effect would be biologically meaningful. Thus, it is likely that effects on black bass would be similar.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u>  Pacific Lamprey would be subjected to the same temperature conditions described above for salmonids. Based on the somewhat increased flows and slightly decreased temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that Alternative 3 would have a slightly lower potential to adversely affect Pacific Lamprey in the Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers. This conclusion likely applies to other species of lamprey that inhabit these rivers (e.g., River Lamprey).</p> <p><u>Other Species</u>  Changes in average monthly water temperature would be small. In general, Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Given the somewhat increased flows and decreased water temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely to have a lower potential to adversely affect Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead in the Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers.  Predation controls related to Striped Bass would result in adverse effects.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River</b></p> <p><u>Fall-run-run Chinook Salmon</u>  Overall, likely would have slightly beneficial effects on the fall-run Chinook Salmon population in the San Joaquin River watershed.  Beneficial effects to juvenile fall-run Chinook Salmon as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta and ocean harvest restrictions. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions under would benefit fall-run Chinook Salmon.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u>  Given the frequency of exceedance under both Alternative 3 and the No Action Alternative, water temperature conditions for steelhead in the Stanislaus River would be generally stressful in the fall, late spring, and summer months. The differences in temperature exceedance (both positive and negative) would be relatively small, with no clear benefit. However, because Alternative 3 generally would exceed thresholds less frequently during the warmest months, slightly improved conditions. This potential distinction may become more adverse due to the lack of fish passage.  Additional beneficial effects to juvenile steelhead as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions would benefit steelhead.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon</u>  While flows in the San Joaquin River upstream of the Stanislaus River are expected be similar, flow contributions from the Stanislaus River could influence water temperatures in the San Joaquin River where White Sturgeon eggs or larvae may occur during the spring and early summer. The magnitude of</p>



Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p>influence on water temperature would depend on the proportional flow contribution of the Stanislaus River and the temperatures in both the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers. The potential for an effect on White Sturgeon eggs and larvae would be influenced by the proportion of the population occurring in the San Joaquin River. In consideration of this uncertainty, it is not possible to distinguish potential effects on White Sturgeon.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u></p> <p>While the analyses suggest that the effects could be more adverse, it is uncertain whether these differences would be biological meaningful. Therefore, it is likely that the effects on black basses in New Melones Reservoir would be similar.</p> <p><u>Other Species</u></p> <p>In general, Striped Bass and Hardhead also can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Given the slightly lower flows and temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that the potential effects to affect Striped Bass and Hardhead in the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers would be somewhat more adverse.</p> <p>Predation controls related to Striped Bass would result in adverse effects.</p> <p><b>Pacific Ocean</b></p> <p><u>Killer Whale</u></p> <p>It is unlikely that the Chinook Salmon prey base of killer whales, supported heavily by hatchery production of fall-run Chinook Salmon, would be appreciably affected.</p> <p>Beneficial effects due to benefits to fall-run Chinook Salmon as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta and ocean harvest restrictions. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions would benefit the fall-run Chinook Salmon population.</p>
<p>Alternative 4: Fish and Aquatic Resources</p>	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b></p> <p><u>Coho Salmon, spring-run and fall-run Chinook Salmon, steelhead, Green Sturgeon, Reservoir Fishes, Pacific Lamprey, River Lamprey, and Eulachon</u></p> <p>The effects are identical as described under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River System</b></p> <p><u>Winter-run, spring-run, fall-run, and late fall-run Chinook Salmon, and steelhead</u></p> <p>The effects in the Sacramento River system would be similar as described under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative.</p> <p>Beneficial effects to Chinook Salmon as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta and ocean harvest restrictions. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions would benefit the Chinook Salmon population.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon, White Sturgeon, Delta Smelt, Longfin Smelt, Sacramento Splittail, Reservoir Fishes, Pacific Lamprey, River Lamprey, American Shad, and Hardhead</u></p> <p>The effects in the Sacramento River system would be similar as described under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative.</p> <p><u>Striped Bass</u></p> <p>The effects in the Sacramento River system would be similar as described under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative.</p> <p>Predation controls related to Striped Bass would result in adverse effects.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><b>Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River</b></p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon and Steelhead</u>                      The effects in the Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River system would be similar as described under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative.                      Beneficial effects to Chinook Salmon as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta and ocean harvest restrictions. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions would benefit the Chinook Salmon population.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon, Reservoir Fishes, and Other Species</u>                      The effects in the Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River system would be similar as described under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative.</p> <p><u>Striped Bass</u>                      The effects in the Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River system would be similar as described under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative.                      Predation controls related to Striped Bass would result in adverse effects.</p> <p><b>Pacific Ocean</b></p> <p><u>Killer Whale</u>                      It is unlikely that the Chinook Salmon prey base of killer whales, supported heavily by hatchery production of fall-run Chinook Salmon, would be appreciably affected.                      Beneficial effects due to benefits to fall-run Chinook Salmon as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta and ocean harvest restrictions. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions would benefit the fall-run Chinook Salmon population.</p>
Alternative 5: Fish and Aquatic Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b></p> <p><u>Coho Salmon, Spring-run Chinook Salmon, Fall-run Chinook Salmon, Steelhead, and Green Sturgeon</u>                      Effects would be similar.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u>                      Effects would be similar.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u>                      Effects would be similar.</p> <p><u>Eulachon</u>                      Effects would be similar.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River System</b></p> <p><u>Winter-run Chinook Salmon, Spring-run Chinook Salmon, Fall-run Chinook Salmon, Late Fall-run Chinook Salmon, Steelhead, Green Sturgeon, and White Sturgeon</u>                      Effects would be similar.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><u>Delta Smelt, Longfin Smelt, and Sacramento Splittail</u> Effects would be similar.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u> Effects would be similar.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey and Other Species</u> Effects would be similar.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River</b></p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon and Steelhead</u> The analysis of temperatures indicates somewhat higher temperatures and a higher likelihood of exceedance of suitable temperatures for spawning, and lower likelihood of exceeding suitable temperature for rearing of fall-run Chinook Salmon. The effect of higher temperatures is reflected in the slightly higher overall mortality of fall-run Chinook Salmon eggs predicted by Reclamation’s salmon mortality model for fall-run Chinook Salmon in the Stanislaus River. The frequency of exceedance of temperature thresholds for steelhead smoltification and rearing would be more stressful. However, with higher flows in April and May and lower temperatures in April and May could benefit steelhead spawning. Fish passage would reduce the temperatures effects.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon</u> While flows in the San Joaquin River upstream of the Stanislaus River are expected be similar, flow contributions from the Stanislaus River could influence water temperatures in the San Joaquin River where White Sturgeon eggs or larvae may occur during the spring and early summer. The magnitude of influence on water temperature would depend on the proportional flow contribution of the Stanislaus River and the temperatures in both the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers. The potential for an effect on White Sturgeon eggs and larvae would be influenced by the proportion of the population occurring in the San Joaquin River. In consideration of this uncertainty, it is not possible to distinguish potential effects on White Sturgeon.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u> While the analyses suggest that the effects could be more adverse, it is uncertain whether these differences would be biological meaningful. Therefore, it is likely that the effects on black basses in New Melones Reservoir would be similar.</p> <p><u>Other Species</u> Given the similar or higher flows and similar or higher temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that the potential to affect lamprey species in the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers would be greater.</p> <p>Striped Bass and Hardhead also can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Given the similar or higher flows and temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that the potential effects to affect Striped Bass and Hardhead in the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers would be somewhat more adverse.</p> <p><b>Pacific Ocean</b></p> <p><u>Killer Whale</u> It is unlikely that the Chinook Salmon prey base of killer whales, supported heavily by hatchery production of fall-run Chinook Salmon, would be appreciably affected.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<b>Terrestrial Biological Resources</b>
Alternative 1: Terrestrial Resources	<p>Similar or increased flows along Trinity, Sacramento, American, and Feather rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Reduced flows along the Stanislaus River in the spring; therefore, could be reduced terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Reduced floodplain habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Increased salt water habitat in the western Delta in the fall months of wet and above normal water years could adversely affect species that have acclimated to freshwater conditions.</p>
Alternative 3: Terrestrial Resources	<p>Similar or increased flows along Trinity, Sacramento, American, and Feather rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Reduced flows along the Stanislaus River in the spring; therefore, could be reduced terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Reduced floodplain habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar or improved terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Increased salt water habitat in the western Delta in the fall months of wet and above normal water years could adversely affect species that have acclimated to freshwater conditions.</p>
Alternative 4: Terrestrial Resources	<p>Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative; except for increased terrestrial vegetation along the riparian corridors related to recruitment of riparian vegetation.</p>
Alternative 5: Terrestrial Resources	<p>Similar flows along Trinity, Sacramento, American, and Feather rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Increased flows along the Stanislaus River in the spring; therefore, could be improved terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Similar floodplain habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Similar freshwater and salt water habitats.</p>
	<b>Visual Resources</b>
Alternative 1: Visual Resources	<p>Visual resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir in all water year types; and at San Luis Reservoir in above normal, below normal, and dry years. Visual resources would be increased by 6 percent in wet and critical dry years at San Luis Reservoir, by 11 to 21 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 21 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p>
Alternative 3: Visual Resources	<p>Visual resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir in all water year types; and at San Luis Reservoir in above normal, below normal, and dry years. Visual resources would be increased by 8 percent in wet years and 6 percent in above normal years at San Luis Reservoir, by 9 to 17 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 17 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p>
Alternative 4: Visual Resources	<p>Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative.</p>

<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative</b>
Alternative 5: Visual Resources	Visual resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, San Luis Reservoir, and other reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water in the San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California regions.
	<b>Recreation Resources</b>
Alternative 1: Recreation Resources	<p>Recreational resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir in all water year types; and at San Luis Reservoir in above normal, below normal, and dry years. Recreational resources would be increased by 6 percent in wet and critical dry years at San Luis Reservoir, by 11 to 21 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 21 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Recreational resources similar on Trinity River; improved on the Sacramento River downstream of Keswick Dam; and both improved and reduced on the Sacramento River near Freeport, Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex, American River downstream of Nimbus Dam, and the Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam depending upon the month.</p>
Alternative 3: Recreation Resources	<p>Recreational resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir in all water year types; and at San Luis Reservoir in above normal, below normal, and dry years. Recreational resources would be increased by 8 percent in wet years and 6 percent in above normal years at San Luis Reservoir, by 9 to 17 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 17 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Recreational resources similar on Trinity River, Sacramento River downstream of Keswick Dam, and American River downstream of Nimbus Dam; and both improved and reduced on the Sacramento River near Freeport, Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex, and the Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam depending upon the month.</p> <p>Recreational opportunities related to Striped Bass fishing would be reduced.</p>
Alternative 4: Recreation Resources	<p>Reservoir and flow-related recreational opportunities would be as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative.</p> <p>Recreational opportunities related to Striped Bass fishing would be reduced.</p>
Alternative 5: Recreation Resources	<p>Recreational resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, San Luis Reservoir, and other reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water in the San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Recreational resources similar or improved on Trinity, Sacramento and American rivers; and both improved and reduced on the Feather and Stanislaus rivers.</p>
	<b>Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions</b>
Alternative 1: Air Quality	Decrease potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants by 8 percent in the Central Valley, 11 to 21 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 21 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.
Alternative 3: Air Quality	Decrease potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants by 6 percent in the Central Valley, 9 to 17 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 17 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
Alternative 4: Air Quality	Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative.
Alternative 5: Air Quality	Similar potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants in the Central Valley, San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California regions.
<b>Public Health</b>	
Alternative 1: Public Health	<p>Similar water supply availability for wildland firefighting at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir; and a 7 percent increase at San Luis Reservoir.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 6 percent decrease near Rock Slough, San Joaquin River at Antioch, and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p>
Alternative 3: Public Health	<p>Similar water supply availability for wildland firefighting at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, New Melones Reservoir, and San Luis Reservoir.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 6 percent decrease near San Joaquin River at Antioch and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p>
Alternative 4: Public Health	Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative.
Alternative 5: Public Health	<p>Similar water supply availability for wildland firefighting at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, New Melones Reservoir, and San Luis Reservoir.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass throughout the Delta.</p>
<b>Socioeconomics</b>	
Alternative 1: Socioeconomics	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b> Similar conditions.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Region</b> Agricultural and M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar (within 5 percent of existing values). M&amp;I water supply costs would decrease by 10 percent in the Sacramento Valley and increase by 14 percent in the San Joaquin Valley. Recreational economic factors would increase related to use of San Luis Reservoir.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Region</b> M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar. M&amp;I water supply costs would decrease by 30 percent. Recreational economic factors would increase related to use of reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><b>Central Coast Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would increase by 6 percent.                      Recreational economic factors would increase related to use of reservoirs that store SWP water.</p> <p><b>Southern California Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would decrease by 14 percent.                      Recreational economic factors would increase related to use of reservoirs that store SWP water.</p>
<p>Alternative 3:                      Socioeconomics</p>	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b>                      Similar conditions.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Region</b>                      Agricultural and M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would increase by 6 percent in the Sacramento Valley and by 21 percent in the San Joaquin Valley.                      Recreational economic factors related to Striped Bass would be reduced.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would decrease by 21 percent.                      Recreational economic factors would increase related to use of reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water.</p> <p><b>Central Coast Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would be similar.                      Recreational economic factors would increase related to use of reservoirs that store SWP water.</p> <p><b>Southern California Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would decrease by 14 percent.                      Recreational economic factors would be similar.</p>
<p>Alternative 4:                      Socioeconomics</p>	<p>Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative for non-recreational economic factors.                      Reduced recreational economic factors related to Striped Bass fishing.</p>
<p>Alternative 5:                      Socioeconomics</p>	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b>                      Similar conditions.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative
	<p><b>Central Valley Region</b>                      Agricultural and M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would be similar in the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys.                      Recreational economic factors would be similar.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would be similar.                      Recreational economic factors would be similar.</p> <p><b>Central Coast Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would be similar.                      Recreational economic factors would be similar.</p> <p><b>Southern California Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would be similar.                      Recreational economic factors would be similar.</p>
	<b>Environmental Justice</b>
Alternative 1: Environmental Justice	<p>Decrease potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants by 8 percent in the Central Valley, 11 to 21 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 21 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 6 percent decrease near Rock Slough, San Joaquin River at Antioch, and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p>
Alternative 3: Environmental Justice	<p>Decrease potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants by 6 percent in the Central Valley, 9 to 17 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 17 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 6 percent decrease near San Joaquin River at Antioch and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p>
Alternative 4: Environmental Justice	Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative.



<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the No Action Alternative</b>
Alternative 5: Environmental Justice	Similar potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants in the Central Valley, San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California regions. Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass throughout the Delta.

1 **Table 22.2 Comparison of Alternatives 1 through 5 to the Second Basis of Comparison**

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
No Action Alternative: Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies	<b>Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies</b>
	<p><b>Trinity Lake</b>                      In wet years, below normal, and dry years, storage would be similar in all months.                      In above normal years, storage would be similar in January through October; and less in November and December (up to 5.7 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be less in all months (up to 10.3 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam</b>                      Over long-term conditions (over the 82-year analysis period), flows would be similar in March through November; and reduced in December through February (up to 9.5 percent).                      In wet years, flows would be similar in April through November; and reduced in December through March (up to 11.2 percent).                      In dry years, flows would be similar all months.</p> <p><b>Shasta Lake</b>                      In wet years, storage would be similar in October and December through August; and reduced in September and November (up to 8.2 percent).                      In above normal years, storage would be similar in January through September; and reduced in October through December (up to 7.5 percent).                      In below normal years, storage would be similar in March through September; and reduced in October through February (up to 10.5 percent).                      In dry years, storage would be similar in January through October; and reduced in November and December (up to 6.1 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be reduced under all months (up to 14.4 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Keswick</b>                      Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in October, February through May, July, and August; increased flows in September and November (up to 37.7 percent); and reduced flows in December, January, and June (up to 7.8 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in January through July; increased flows in September through November (up to 77.7 percent); and reduced flows in December and August (up to 14.6 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through October, December through March, and May; increased flows in November (33.4 percent); and reduced flows in April and June (up to 7.3 percent).</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Freeport</b>                      Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in October, December through May, and August; increased flows in September, November, and July (up to 43.3 percent); and reduced flows in June (11.4 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in January through June and October; increased flows in July through September and November (up to 90.3 percent); and reduced flows in December (10.7 percent).</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p>In dry years, similar flows would occur in August through October and December through April; increased flows in November and July (up to 15.8 percent); and reduced flows in May and June (up to 11.9 percent).</p> <p><b>Lake Oroville</b></p> <p>In wet years, storage would be similar in January through August; and reduced in September through December (up to 17.9 percent).</p> <p>In above normal years, storage would be similar in February through August; and reduced in September through January (up to 13.2 percent).</p> <p>In below normal years, storage would be similar in May through July; and reduced in August through April (up to 17.7 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, storage would be similar in June; and reduced in all other months (up to 12.5 percent).</p> <p>In critical dry years, storage would be similar under all months.</p> <p>In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex</b></p> <p>Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in November and April; increased flows in July through September (up to 76.1 percent); and reduced flows in October, December through March, May, and June (up to 27.2 percent).</p> <p>In wet years, similar flows would occur in October through November and March through May; increased flows in July through September (up to 184 percent) and reduced flows in December through February (up to 26.0 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, similar flows would occur in November through March; increased flows in April and July (up to 52.4 percent); and reduced flows in August through October and May and June (up to 27.6 percent).</p> <p><b>Folsom Lake</b></p> <p>In wet years, storage would be similar in December through August; and reduced in September through November (up to 10.8 percent).</p> <p>In above normal years, storage would be similar in January through June, September, and October; reduced in November and December (up to 8.2 percent); and increased in July and August (up to 5.7 percent).</p> <p>In below normal years, storage would be similar in February through May; reduced in October through January (up to 11.9 percent); and increased in July through September (up to 17.1 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, storage would be similar in all months.</p> <p>In critical dry years, storage would be similar in October through June; and reduced in July through September (up to 10.8 percent).</p> <p>In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>American River downstream of Nimbus Dam</b></p> <p>Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in November through May and July; increased flows in September and October (up to 44.7 percent); and reduced flows in June and August (up to 6.1 percent).</p> <p>In wet years, similar flows would occur in October through November and January through July; increased flows in September (91.1 percent) and reduced flows in December and August (up to 10.7 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, similar flows would occur in all months except October, February and July; increased flows in October (16.5 percent); and reduced flows in February and July (up to 7.3 percent).</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>Clear Creek downstream of Whiskeytown Dam</b>            Flows identical June through April; and increased in May (40.7 percent).</p> <p><b>New Melones Reservoir</b>            In wet, below normal, and dry years, storage would be similar in all months.            In above normal years, storage would be similar in all months except October when storage would be reduced by 5.7 percent.            In critical dry years, storage would be similar in February, March, and July through September; and reduced in October through January and April through June (up to 6.9 percent).            In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam</b>            Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in May and July through September; increased flows in October, March, and April (up to 148.7 percent); and reduced flows in November through February and June (up to 33.8 percent).            In wet years, similar flows would occur in February and April; increased flows in October, March, May, July, and August (up to 117.1 percent); and reduced flows in September, November through January, and June (up to 50.8 percent).            In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through September; increased flows in October and April (up to 154.3 percent); and reduced flows in November through March, May, and June (up to 35.7 percent).</p> <p><b>San Joaquin River at Vernalis</b>            Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in July through September and November through May; increased flows in October (19 percent); and reduced flows in June (8 percent).            In wet years, similar flows would occur in July through September and November through May; increased flows in October (16.8 percent); and reduced flows in June (9.4 percent).            In dry years, similar flows would occur in November through March and May through September; and increased flows in October and April (up to 18.3 percent).</p> <p><b>San Luis Reservoir</b>            In wet years, storage would be similar in June and September; increased in March, July, and August (up to 9.6 percent); and reduced in October through February, April, and May (up to 57.2 percent). Surface water elevations would be less in all months (up to 10.7 percent).            In above normal years, storage would be similar in July and September; increased in August (9.5 percent); and reduced in October through June (up to 71.2 percent). Surface water elevations would be less in all months (up to 13.0 percent).            In below normal years, storage would be similar in July and September; increased in August (20.4 percent); and reduced in October through June (up to 67.1 percent). Surface water elevations would be less in all months (up to 16.0 percent).            In dry years, storage would be similar in September; increased in July (34.2 percent); and reduced in October through June and August (up to 44.0 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar in September through January; and less in February through August (up to 10.4 percent).            In critical dry years, storage would be similar in September; increased in July (60.2 percent); and reduced in August and October through June (up to 51.1 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar in October through January; and reduced in February through September (up to 9.7 percent).</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>Yolo Bypass</b>                      In wet years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in January through September; increased in October (25 percent); and reduced in November and December (up to 14.8 percent).                      In above normal years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in April through December; and reduced in January through March (up to 13.9 percent).                      In below normal years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in April through November; and reduced in December through March (up to 25.3 percent).                      In dry years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in January through November; and reduced in December (5.9 percent).                      In critical dry years, flows into Yolo Bypass would be similar in all months.</p> <p><b>Delta Outflow</b>                      In wet years, average monthly Delta outflow in July through November, January, April, and May (up to 13,683 cfs); and decrease in December, February, March, and June (up to 1,590 cfs).                      In dry years, average monthly Delta outflow would be similar or increase in all months (up to 3,114 cfs).</p> <p><b>Reverse Flows in Old and Middle Rivers</b>                      In wet years, average monthly OMR flows would be more positive in September through February, April, and May (up to 10,005 cfs); and more negative in March and June through August (up to 923 cfs).                      In dry years, average monthly OMR flows would be more positive in August through June (up to 3,489 cfs), and more negative in June (2,073 cfs).</p> <p><b>CVP and SWP Exports and Deliveries</b>                      Long-term average annual exports would be 1,051 TAF (18 percent) less under the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.                      Deliveries to CVP North of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be reduced by 16 percent over the long-term conditions; 31 percent in dry years; and 37 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries to CVP North of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be similar in total; however, deliveries to the American River CVP contractors would be reduced by 6 percent over the long-term conditions; 8 percent in dry years; and 7 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries to CVP South of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be reduced by 24 percent over the long-term conditions; 33 percent in dry years; and 37 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries to CVP South of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be reduced by 10 percent over the long-term conditions; 9 percent in dry years; and 7 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries to the Eastside contractors would be similar under the long-term conditions and dry and critical dry years.                      Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be reduced by 18 percent over the long-term conditions; 18 percent in dry years; and 20 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be reduced by 18 percent over the long-term conditions; 19 percent in dry years; and 22 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be increased by 9 percent over the long-term conditions; 7 percent in dry years; and 9 percent in critical dry years.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be reduced by 83 percent over the long-term conditions; 96 percent in dry years; and 92 percent in critical dry years.
Alternative 2: Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies	Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.
Alternative 3: Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies	<p><b>Trinity Lake</b> Similar storage and surface water elevations in all months and all water year types.</p> <p><b>Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam</b> Similar flows in all months for long-term conditions and wet and dry years.</p> <p><b>Shasta Lake</b> Similar storage and surface water elevations in all months and all water year types.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Keswick</b> Similar flows in all months for long-term conditions and wet and dry years.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Freepoint</b> Similar flows in all months for long-term conditions and wet years. In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through May; and increased flows in June (11 percent).</p> <p><b>Lake Oroville</b> Similar storage and surface water elevations in all months and all water year types.</p> <p><b>Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex</b> Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in November and January through June; reduced flows in October, December, and September (up to 12.5 percent); and increased flows in July and August (up to 17.0 percent). In wet years, similar flows would occur in November and January through May; reduced flows in October, December, and September (up to 14.6 percent); and increased flows in June through August (up to 10.9 percent). In dry years, similar flows would occur in November and January through June; reduced flows in August through October (up to 21.2 percent); and increased flows in July (37.1 percent).</p> <p><b>Folsom Lake</b> Similar storage and surface water elevations in all months and all water year types.</p> <p><b>American River downstream of Nimbus Dam</b> Similar flows in all months for long-term conditions and wet and dry years.</p> <p><b>Clear Creek downstream of Whiskeytown Dam</b> Flows would be identical in all months.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>New Melones Reservoir</b>                      In wet years, storage would be similar in March through May; and increased in June through February (up to 8.4 percent).                      In above normal years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 16.3 percent).                      In below normal years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 14.7 percent).                      In dry years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 19.6 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be increased in all months (up to 32.1 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam</b>                      Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in October, December, January, and March; reduced flows would occur in November, May, and June (up to 52.3 percent); and increased flows in February, April, July, and August through September (up to 26.8 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in October, November, January, and April; reduced flows in May and June (up to 44.8 percent); and increased flows in December, February, March, and July through September (up to 68.6 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through October; reduced flows in November through March and May through June (up to 36.0 percent); and increased flows in April (40.2 percent).</p> <p><b>San Joaquin River at Vernalis</b>                      Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in July through May; and reduced flows in June (11.8 percent).                      In wet years, similar flows would occur in September through January, March through May, and July; reduced flows in June (8.3 percent); and increased flows in August and February (6.2 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through March; reduced flows in May and June (up to 12.3 percent); and increased flows in April (6.6 percent).</p> <p><b>San Luis Reservoir</b>                      In wet years, storage would be similar in July through November and March through May; and reduced in December through February and June (up to 15.7 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar in all months.                      In above normal years, storage would be similar in November; increased in August and September (up to 12.1 percent); and reduced in October and December through July (up to 21.7 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar in March through December; and reduced in January and February (up to 6.0 percent).                      In below normal years, storage would be similar in August and September; and reduced in October through July (up to 40.1 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar in all months.                      In dry years, storage would be reduced in January through September (up to 19.2 percent); and increased in October through December (up to 13.2 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar in all months.                      In critical dry years, storage would be reduced in October through August (up to 28.5 percent); and increased in September (7.6 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar September through January; and reduced in February through August (up to 7.4 percent).</p> <p><b>Yolo Bypass</b>                      In wet years, flows into the Yolo Bypass would be similar in November through September; and reduced in October (5.6 percent).</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p>In above normal, below normal, dry, and critical dry years, flows into the Yolo Bypass would be similar in all months.</p> <p><b>Delta Outflow</b>                      In wet years, average monthly Delta outflow would increase in November through February and July through September (up to 2,546 cfs); and decrease in October and March through June (up to 1,127 cfs).                      In dry years, average monthly Delta outflow would increase in November through April, July and August (up to 3,391 cfs); and decrease October, May, and June (up to 373 cfs).</p> <p><b>Reverse Flows in Old and Middle Rivers</b>                      In wet years, flows would be more positive in September through February, April, and May (up to 5,528 cfs); and more negative in March and June through August (up to 1,453 cfs).                      In dry years, flows would be more positive in August through May (up to 3,249 cfs); and more negative flows in June and July (up to 1,345 cfs).</p> <p><b>CVP and SWP Exports and Deliveries</b>                      Long-term average annual exports would be 326 TAF (6 percent) less under Alternative 3 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.                      Deliveries to CVP North of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions; and reduced by 11 percent in dry years and 19 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries to CVP North of Delta M&amp;I contractors (including American River CVP contractors) would be similar in long-term conditions and dry and critical dry years.                      Deliveries to CVP South of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions; and reduced by 10 percent in dry years and 20 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries to CVP South of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be similar in long-term conditions and dry and critical dry years.                      Deliveries to the Eastside contractors would be similar under long-term conditions and dry years; and increased by 11 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry years; and reduced by 10 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry years; and reduced by 11 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be similar over the long-term conditions and in dry and critical dry years.                      Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be reduced by 62 percent over the long-term conditions; 80 percent in dry years; and 76 percent in critical dry years.</p>
Alternative 5: Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies	<p><b>Trinity Lake</b>                      In wet, below normal, and dry years, storage would be similar.                      In above normal years, storage would be similar in January through October; and reduced in November and December (up to 5.3 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 10.0 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations would be similar.</p>



Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam</b>                      Over long-term conditions, flows would be similar in March through November and January; and reduced in December and February (up to 9.6 percent).                      In wet years, flows would be similar in January and April through November; and reduced in December, February, and March (up to 13.9 percent).                      In dry years, flows would be similar in all months.</p> <p><b>Shasta Lake</b>                      In wet years, storage would be similar in October and December through August; and reduced in November and September (up to 8.1 percent).                      In above normal years, storage would be similar in February through September; and reduced in October through December (up to 7.5 percent).                      In below normal years, storage would be similar in March through September; and reduced in October through February (up to 9.9 percent).                      In dry years, storage would be similar in January through October; and reduced in November through December (up to 5.9 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 16.8 percent).                      In all months, in all water year types, surface water elevations are similar.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Keswick</b>                      Over long-term conditions, flows would be similar in July, August, October, and February through April; reduced in December, January, May and June (up to 8.2 percent); and increased in September and November (up to 38.5 percent).                      In wet years, flows would be similar in January through July; reduced in December and August (up to 15.0 percent); and increased in September through November (up to 77.3 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through October and December through March; reduced in April through June (up to 10.1 percent); and increased flows in November (32.1 percent).</p> <p><b>Sacramento River at Freeport</b>                      Over long-term conditions, flows would be similar in October and December through April; reduced in May and June (up to 11.5 percent); and increased in July through September and November (43.4 percent).                      In wet years, flows would be similar in October and January through June; reduced in December (6.2 percent); and increased in July through September and November (up to 89.0 percent).                      In dry years, similar flows would occur in August through October and December through April; reduced in May and June (up to 13.6 percent); and increased flows in July and November (up to 19.3 percent).</p> <p><b>Lake Oroville</b>                      In wet years, storage would be similar in January through August; and reduced in September through December (up to 18.1 percent).                      In above normal years, storage would be similar in March through August; and reduced in September through February (up to 14.0 percent).                      In below normal years, storage would be similar in May through July; and reduced in August through April (up to 17.1 percent).                      In dry years, storage would be similar in May and June; and reduced in July through April (up to 11.4 percent).                      In critical dry years, storage would be similar in all months.                      Surface water elevations would be similar in all months, in all years.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex</b>            Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in November and April; reduced flows in October, December through March, May, and June (up to 27.7 percent); and increased flows in July through September (up to 76.2 percent).            In wet years, similar flows would occur in October, November, March through May; reduced flows in December through February and June (up to 25.6 percent); and increased flows in July through September (up to 181.9 percent).            In dry years, similar flows would occur in November through April; reduced flows in October, May, June, August, and September (up to 45.4 percent); and increased flows in July (60.4 percent).</p> <p><b>Folsom Lake</b>            In wet years, storage would be similar in December through July; and reduced in August through November (up to 7.4 percent).            In above normal years, storage would be similar in January through June, August, and October; reduced in September, November, and December (up to 8.3 percent); and increased in July (5.4 percent).            In below normal years, storage would be similar in February through May; reduced in August through January (up to 13.2 percent); and increased in June and July (up to 10.2 percent).            In dry years, storage would be similar in all months.            In critical dry years, storage would be similar in August and June; and reduced in July (8.0 percent).            Surface water elevations would be similar in all months, in all years.</p> <p><b>American River downstream of Nimbus Dam</b>            Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in November through July; reduced flows in August (5.8 percent); and increased in September and October (42.4 percent).            In wet years, similar flows would occur in October, November, and January through July; reduced flows in December and August (up to 13.7 percent); and increased flows in September (88.2 percent).            In dry years, similar flows would occur in November through September; and increased flows in October (16.7 percent).</p> <p><b>Clear Creek downstream of Whiskeytown Dam</b>            Flows identical June through April; and increased in May (40.7 percent).</p> <p><b>New Melones Reservoir</b>            In wet years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 9.3 percent).            In above normal years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 9.9 percent).            In below normal years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 13.1 percent).            In dry years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 14.3 percent).            In critical dry years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 23.2 percent).            Surface water elevations would be similar in all months, in all water year types.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam</b></p> <p>Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in August; reduced flows would occur in November through February, June, July, August, and September (up to 35.8 percent); and increased flows in October and March through May (up to 144.8 percent).</p> <p>In wet years, similar flows would occur in February and April; reduced flows in November through January and June through September (up to 52.8 percent); and increased flows in October and March (up to 113.1 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, similar flows would occur in July through September; reduced flows in November through March and June (up to 35.7 percent); and increased flows in October, April, and May (150.1 percent).</p> <p><b>San Joaquin River at Vernalis</b></p> <p>Over long-term conditions, similar flows would occur in November through March, May, and July through September; reduced flows in June (8.2 percent); increased flows in October and April (18.7 percent).</p> <p>In wet years, similar flows would occur in November through May and July through September; reduced flows in June (9.8 percent); and increased flows in October (16.2 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, similar flows would occur in November through March and June through September; and increased flows in October, April, and May (up to 24.5 percent).</p> <p><b>San Luis Reservoir</b></p> <p>In wet years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 48.9 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar in September and March; and reduced in October through February and April through August (up to 9.9 percent).</p> <p>In above normal years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 59.3 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar in September; and reduced in October through August (up to 12.9 percent).</p> <p>In below normal years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 70.0 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar in September; and reduced in October through August (up to 16.7 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, storage would be reduced in all months (up to 51.4 percent). Surface water elevations would be similar in October through December; and reduced in January through September (up to 13.9 percent).</p> <p>In critical dry years, storage would be reduced in all months (46.3 percent). Surface water elevations would be reduced in all months (up to 13.5 percent).</p> <p><b>Yolo Bypass</b></p> <p>In wet years, flows would be similar in February through September; reduced flows in November through January (up to 15.0 percent); and increased in October (15.8 percent).</p> <p>In above normal years, flows would be similar in April through December; and reduced flows in January through March (up to 14.8 percent).</p> <p>In below normal years, flows would be similar in April through November; and reduced flows in December through March (up to 24.0 percent).</p> <p>In dry years, flows would be similar in January through November; and reduced flows in December (up to 7.4 percent).</p> <p>In critical dry years, flows would be similar in all months.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>Delta Outflow</b>                      In wet years, average monthly Delta outflow would be increased in July through November, January, and April and May (up to 13,666 cfs); and reduced in December, February, March, and June (up to 1,713 cfs).                      In dry years, average monthly Delta outflow would be increased in July through May (up to 3,384 cfs); and reduced in June (526 cfs).</p> <p><b>Reverse Flows in Old and Middle Rivers</b>                      In wet years, OMR flows would be more positive in September through February, April and May (up to 10,017 cfs); and more negative in March and June through August (up to 964 cfs).                      In dry years, OMR flows would be more positive in September through June (up to 4,724 cfs); and more negative in July and August (up to 2,620 cfs).</p> <p><b>CVP and SWP Exports and Deliveries</b>                      Long-term average annual exports would be 1,096 TAF (19 percent) less under Alternative 5 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.                      Deliveries to CVP North of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be reduced by 16 percent over the long-term conditions, 31 percent in dry years, and 36 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries to CVP North of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be similar in long-term conditions and dry and critical dry years; however American River Contractors would be reduced by 7 percent over the long-term conditions; 8 percent in dry years; and 8 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries to CVP South of Delta agricultural water service contractors would be reduced by 25 percent over the long-term conditions, 35 percent in dry years and 38 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries to CVP South of Delta M&amp;I contractors would be reduced by 10 percent in long-term conditions, 9 percent in dry years, and 8 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries to the Eastside contractors would be similar under long-term conditions and dry years; and reduced by 11 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be reduced by 19 percent over the long-term conditions, 18 percent in dry years, and 21 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries without Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be reduced by 19 percent over the long-term conditions, 20 percent in dry years, and 23 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP North of Delta water contractors would be increased by 13 percent over the long-term conditions, 11 percent in dry years, and 15 percent in critical dry years.                      Deliveries of Article 21 water to SWP South of Delta water contractors would be reduced by 85 percent over the long-term conditions, 95 percent in dry years, and 95 percent in critical dry years.</p>
	<b>Surface Water Quality</b>
No Action Alternative: Surface Water Quality	<p>Salinity increases near Emmatton in July through March (5 to 125 percent depending upon water year type); decreases in June (5 to 29 percent); and is similar in April and May.</p> <p>Salinity increases near the CVP and SWP, Contra Costa Water District, and Antioch intakes (5 to over 65 percent) in September through January; and is similar or decreases (5 to over 30 percent) in spring and summer months.</p> <p>Salinity increases near Port Chicago in January through March (5 to 50 percent); and is similar in June through August.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 7 percent increase near Rock Slough, San Joaquin River at Antioch, and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p> <p>Similar selenium concentrations in whole body fish, bird eggs, and fish fillets.</p>
Alternative 2: Surface Water Quality	Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.
Alternative 3: Surface Water Quality	<p>Salinity increases near Emmaton in January through March and July through September (5 to 32 percent); decreases in June (5 to 26 percent); and is similar in October through December, April, and May.</p> <p>Salinity decreases near Jones and Banks Pumping Plants in January through May (5 to 18 percent); and is similar in remaining months.</p> <p>Salinity increases near the Contra Costa Water District and Antioch intakes (5 to 30 percent) in January and February; and is similar or decreases (5 to over 10 percent) in remaining months.</p> <p>Salinity increases near Port Chicago in January through March (5 to 34 percent); and is similar in April through December.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass throughout the Delta.</p> <p>Similar selenium concentrations in whole body fish, bird eggs, and fish fillets.</p>
Alternative 5: Surface Water Quality	<p>Salinity increases near Emmaton in July through May (5 to 124 percent depending upon water year type); and decreases in June (5 to 29 percent).</p> <p>Salinity increases near the CVP and SWP, Contra Costa Water District, and Antioch intakes (5 to over 60 percent) in September through January or February; and decreases (5 to over 30 percent) in remaining months.</p> <p>Salinity increases near Port Chicago in September through May (5 to 50 percent); and is similar in June through August.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 7 percent increase near Rock Slough, San Joaquin River at Antioch, and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p> <p>Similar selenium concentrations in whole body fish, bird eggs, and fish fillets.</p>
<b>Groundwater Resources</b>	
No Action Alternative: Groundwater Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b></p> <p>Groundwater conditions would be similar.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Regions</b></p> <p>Groundwater pumping and levels in the Sacramento Valley would be similar.</p> <p>Groundwater pumping in the San Joaquin Valley would increase by approximately 8 percent. July groundwater levels in all water year types would decline approximately 2 to 10 feet in the in most of the central and southern San Joaquin Valley; 10 to 50 feet in the Delta-Mendota, Tulare Lake, and Kern County subbasins; and 100 to over 200 feet in the Westside subbasin. The reduction in groundwater levels could cause additional land subsidence.</p> <p>Groundwater quality in the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin could decline.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California Regions</b>                      Reductions in CVP and SWP water supplies, could increase groundwater pumping and increase the potential for land subsidence.</p>
Alternative 2: Groundwater Resources	Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.
Alternative 3: Groundwater Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b>                      Groundwater conditions would be similar.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Regions</b>                      Groundwater pumping and levels in the Sacramento Valley would be similar.                      Groundwater pumping, levels, and quality in the San Joaquin Valley would be similar. July groundwater levels in all water year types would decline approximately 2 to 10 feet in the in most of the central and southern San Joaquin Valley; 10 to 50 feet in the Delta-Mendota, Tulare Lake, and Kern County subbasins; and up to 100 feet in the Westside subbasin.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California Regions</b>                      Reductions in CVP and SWP water supplies, could increase groundwater pumping and increase the potential for land subsidence.</p>
Alternative 5: Groundwater Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b>                      Groundwater conditions would be similar.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Regions</b>                      Groundwater pumping and levels in the Sacramento Valley would be similar.                      Groundwater pumping in the San Joaquin Valley would increase by approximately 8 percent. July groundwater levels in all water year types would decline approximately 2 to 10 feet in the in most of the central and southern San Joaquin Valley; 10 to 100 feet in the Delta-Mendota and Tulare Lake subbasins; up to 200 feet in the Kern County subbasins; and up to 500 feet in the Westside subbasin. The reduction in groundwater levels could cause additional land subsidence.                      Groundwater quality in the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin could decline.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California Regions</b>                      Reductions in CVP and SWP water supplies, could increase groundwater pumping and increase the potential for land subsidence.</p>
	<b>Energy Resources</b>
No Action Alternative: Energy Resources	<p>CVP annual net generation would be similar.                      SWP annual net generation would be reduced by 29 percent over the long-term condition; and by 37 percent in dry and critical dry years.                      Total energy use by CVP and SWP water users, including energy for alternate water supplies, is assumed to increase.</p>
Alternative 2: Energy Resources	Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
Alternative 3: Energy Resources	<p>CVP annual net generation would be similar.                      SWP annual net generation would be reduced by 10 percent over the long-term condition; and by 58 percent in dry and critical dry years.                      Total energy use by CVP and SWP water users, including energy for alternate water supplies, is assumed to increase.</p>
Alternative 5: Energy Resources	<p>CVP annual net generation would be similar.                      SWP annual net generation would be reduced by 30 percent over the long-term condition; and by 39 percent in dry and critical dry years.                      Total energy use by CVP and SWP water users, including energy for alternate water supplies, is assumed to increase.</p>
	<b>Fish and Aquatic Resources</b>
No Action Alternative: Fish and Aquatic Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b></p> <p><u>Coho Salmon</u>                      Overall, the temperature model outputs for each of the Coho Salmon life stages suggest that the temperature of water released at Lewiston Dam generally would be similar, although the exceedance of water temperature thresholds would be slightly more frequent (1 percent). Given the similarity of the results and the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model (average monthly outputs), there would be similar effects on the Coho Salmon population in the Trinity River.</p> <p><u>Spring-run Chinook Salmon</u>                      Overall, water temperature could have adverse effects on spring-run Chinook Salmon in the Trinity River; however, these effects would not occur in every year and are not anticipated to be substantial based on the relatively small differences in flows and water temperatures. Thus, given these relatively minor changes in temperature and temperature threshold exceedance, and the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model (average monthly outputs), likely to have similar effects on the spring-run Chinook Salmon population in the Trinity River.</p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u>                      Although the combined analysis based on water temperature suggests that operations could be slightly more adverse, these effects would not occur in every year and are not anticipated to be substantial based on the relatively small differences in water temperatures (as well as egg mortality). Overall, given these small differences and the inherent uncertainty in the temperature model, likely to have similar effects on the fall-run Chinook Salmon population in the Trinity River.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u>                      Although the water temperature and flow changes could have adverse effects on steelhead in the Trinity River, these effects would not occur in every year and are not anticipated to be substantial based on the relatively small differences in flows and water temperatures under the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison. Overall, the likely to result in similar effects on the steelhead population in the Trinity River.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon</u>                      Overall, given the similarities between average monthly water temperatures at Lewiston Dam, it is likely that temperature conditions for Green Sturgeon in the Trinity River or lower Klamath River and estuary would be similar.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u>                      Overall, the comparison of storage and the analysis of nesting suggest that effects would be similar.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u> Given the somewhat reduced flows and similar temperatures, it is likely that the effects would be similar. This conclusion likely applies to other species of lamprey that inhabit the Trinity and lower Klamath rivers (e.g., River Lamprey).</p> <p><u>Eulachon</u> Given that the highest reductions in flow would be less than 10 percent in the Trinity River, which would represent even a smaller proportion in the lower Klamath River and Klamath River estuary, and that water temperatures in the Klamath River are unlikely to be affected by changes upstream at Lewiston Dam, it is likely the conditions would be similar for Eulachon in the Klamath River.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River System</b></p> <p><u>Winter-run Chinook Salmon</u> The model results suggest that effects on winter-run Chinook Salmon would be similar, with a small likelihood that winter-run Chinook Salmon escapement would be higher. This potential distinction between the two scenarios, however, may be increased by the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p> <p><u>Spring-run Chinook Salmon</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on spring-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly more adverse with a small likelihood that spring-run Chinook Salmon production would be lower under the No Action Alternative. This potential distinction may be offset by the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly more adverse with a small likelihood that fall-run Chinook Salmon production would be lower. This potential distinction may be offset by the benefits of implementation of fish passage on the Sacramento and American rivers.</p> <p><u>Late Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on late fall-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly more adverse with a small likelihood that late fall-run Chinook Salmon production would be lower. This potential distinction may be offset by the benefits of implementation of fish passage.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on steelhead could be slightly more adverse, particularly in the Feather River. This potential distinction may be offset by the benefits of implementation of fish passage on the Sacramento and American rivers.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon</u> Overall, the increased frequency of exceedance of temperature thresholds could increase the potential for adverse effects on Green Sturgeon in the Sacramento and Feather rivers.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon</u> Overall, the increased frequency of exceedance of temperature thresholds could increase the potential for adverse effects on White Sturgeon in the Sacramento River.</p> <p><u>Delta Smelt</u> Overall, likely would result in better conditions for Delta Smelt, primarily due to lower percentage entrainment for larval and juvenile life stages, and more favorable location of Fall X2 in wetter years, and on average.</p>



Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><u>Longfin Smelt</u> Overall, based on the decrease in frequency and magnitude of negative OMR flows and the higher Longfin Smelt abundance index values, especially in dry and critical dry years, potential adverse effects on the Longfin Smelt population likely would be less.</p> <p><u>Sacramento Splittail</u> Overall, the slight adverse effects related to spawning habitat for Sacramento Splittail because of the decreased area of potential habitat (inundation) and the potential for a slight decrease in the frequency of inundation.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u> The analysis of black bass nest survival based on changes in water surface elevation during the spawning period indicated that the likelihood of high (greater than 40 percent) nest survival in most of the reservoirs would be similar or slightly higher. Overall, the results of the nest survival analysis suggest that conditions in the reservoirs would be more likely to support self-sustaining populations of black bass.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u> Based on the somewhat reduced flows and increased temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is unlikely that conditions for and effects on Pacific Lamprey in the Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers would differ in a biologically meaningful manner. This conclusion likely applies to other species of lamprey that inhabit these rivers (e.g., River Lamprey).</p> <p><u>Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead</u> In general, Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Based on the slightly decreased flows and increased temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is unlikely that conditions for and effects on Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead in the Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers would differ in a biologically meaningful manner.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River</b></p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u> Given the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model, the differences in the frequency of exceedance of suitable temperatures for spawning and rearing could affect the potential for adverse effects on the fall-run Chinook Salmon populations in the Stanislaus River. However, the direction and magnitude of this effect is uncertain and it likely that the effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon in the Stanislaus River would be similar. Implementation of a fish passage project, likely would provide some benefit to fall-run Chinook Salmon if volitional passage were provided and additional habitat could be accessed.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u> Given the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model, the differences in the magnitude and frequency of exceedance of suitable temperatures for the various life stages could affect the potential for adverse effects on the steelhead populations in the Stanislaus River. However, the direction and magnitude of this effect is uncertain. Implementation of a fish passage project, likely would provide some benefit to steelhead.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u> Overall, the potential for adverse effects on reservoir fishes could slightly higher because of the overall relative reductions in reservoir storage and the slightly improved nest survival in some months.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><u>Other Species</u> In general, Striped Bass and Hardhead also can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Given the similar flows and temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that the potential to affect Striped Bass and Hardhead in the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers would be similar.</p> <p><b>Pacific Ocean</b> <u>Killer Whale</u> Given conclusions from NMFS (2009c), and the fact that at least 75 percent of fall-run Chinook Salmon available for Southern Residents are produced by Central Valley hatcheries, it is likely that Central Valley fall-run Chinook Salmon as a prey base for killer whales would not be appreciably affected.</p>
Alternative 2: Fish and Aquatic Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b> <u>The effects are identical as described under the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.</u></p> <p><b>Sacramento River System</b> <u>Winter-run Chinook Salmon</u> The model results suggest that effects on winter-run Chinook Salmon would be similar, with a small likelihood that winter-run Chinook Salmon escapement would be higher.</p> <p><u>Spring-run Chinook Salmon</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on spring-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly more adverse with a small likelihood that spring-run Chinook Salmon production would be lower under the No Action Alternative.</p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly more adverse with a small likelihood that fall-run Chinook Salmon production would be lower.</p> <p><u>Late Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on late fall-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly more adverse with a small likelihood that late fall-run Chinook Salmon production would be lower.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on steelhead could be slightly more adverse, particularly in the Feather River.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon, White Sturgeon, Delta Smelt, Longfin Smelt, Sacramento Splittail, Reservoir Fishes, Pacific Lamprey, Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead</u> The effects are identical as described under the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River</b> <u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u> Given the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model, the differences in the frequency of exceedance of suitable temperatures for spawning and rearing could affect the potential for adverse effects on the fall-run Chinook Salmon populations in the Stanislaus River. However, the direction and magnitude of this effect is uncertain and it likely that the effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon in the Stanislaus River would be similar.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><u>Steelhead</u>                      Given the inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model, the differences in the magnitude and frequency of exceedance of suitable temperatures for the various life stages could affect the potential for adverse effects on the steelhead populations in the Stanislaus River. However, the direction and magnitude of this effect is uncertain.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes and Other Species</u>                      The effects are identical as described under the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.</p> <p><b>Pacific Ocean</b></p> <p><u>Killer Whale</u>                      The effects are identical as described under the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.</p>
<p>Alternative 3: Fish and Aquatic Resources</p>	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b></p> <p><u>Coho Salmon and Spring-run Chinook Salmon</u>                      Although the water temperature and flow changes could have slight beneficial effects, these effects would not occur in every year and are not anticipated to be substantial based on the relatively small differences in flows and water temperatures. Overall, likely to result in similar effects on the spring-run Chinook Salmon population in the Trinity River.</p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u>                      Although the water temperature and flow changes suggest a lower potential for adverse effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon in the Trinity River, these effects would not occur in every year and are not anticipated to be substantial based on the relatively small differences in flows and water temperatures (as well as egg mortality). Overall, likely to have similar effects.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u>                      Water temperatures suggest similar effects on the steelhead population.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon</u>                      Water temperatures suggest similar effects on Green Sturgeon in the Trinity River or lower Klamath River and estuary.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u>                      Overall, reservoir storage and nest survival suggest similar effects on black bass.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u>                      Overall, it is likely that effects on Pacific Lamprey would be similar. This conclusion likely also applies to other species of lamprey that inhabit the Trinity and lower Klamath rivers (e.g., River Lamprey).</p> <p><u>Eulachon</u>                      It is likely that effects would have a similar potential to influence Eulachon in the Klamath River.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>Sacramento River System</b></p> <p><u>Winter-run Chinook Salmon</u> Potentially slightly more beneficial due to lack of fish passage, if fish passage is successful in providing access to higher quality habitat, The predator control measures could reduce winter-run Chinook Salmon mortality.</p> <p><u>Spring-run Chinook Salmon</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on spring-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly more adverse with a small likelihood that spring-run Chinook Salmon production would be lower. The ocean harvest restriction component and predator control measures could reduce spring-run Chinook Salmon mortality.</p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon could be slightly less adverse with a small likelihood that fall-run Chinook Salmon production would be higher. However, the potential for salvage loss also would be higher. The ocean harvest restriction component and predator control measures could reduce fall-run Chinook Salmon mortality. Overall, effects on fall-run Chinook Salmon would be slightly less adverse.</p> <p><u>Late Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u> Overall, it is likely that the effects on late fall-run Chinook Salmon would be similar. The ocean harvest restriction component and predator control measures could reduce late fall-run Chinook Salmon mortality.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u> The model results suggest that overall, effects on steelhead could be slightly more adverse, particularly in the Feather and American rivers. The ocean harvest restriction component and predator control measures could reduce steelhead mortality.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon</u> Given the general similarity in results and inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model, the slightly reduced frequency of exceedance of temperature thresholds could result in beneficial effects on sturgeon.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon</u> Given the general similarity in results and inherent uncertainty associated with the resolution of the temperature model, the slightly reduced frequency of exceedance of temperature thresholds could result in beneficial effects on sturgeon.</p> <p><u>Delta Smelt</u> Overall, effects would be similar based on reduced entrainment and more favorable location of Fall X2.</p> <p><u>Longfin Smelt</u> Overall, based on the decrease in frequency and magnitude of negative OMR flows and the higher Longfin Smelt abundance index values, potential beneficial effects likely would be greater.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><u>Sacramento Splittail</u>                      Flows entering the Yolo Bypass generally would be somewhat lower. This could provide somewhat lower value to Sacramento Splittail because of the decreased area of potential spawning habitat.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u>                      The analysis of black bass nest survival based on changes in water surface elevation during the spawning period indicated that the likelihood of high (greater than 40 percent) nest survival in most of the reservoirs would be similar. Thus, it is likely that effects on black bass would be similar.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u>                      Pacific Lamprey would be subjected to the same temperature conditions described above for salmonids. Based on the somewhat increased flows and slightly decreased temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that Alternative 3 would have a slightly lower potential to adversely affect Pacific Lamprey in the Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers. This conclusion likely applies to other species of lamprey that inhabit these rivers (e.g., River Lamprey).</p> <p><u>Other Species</u>                      Changes in average monthly water temperature would be small. In general, Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Given the somewhat increased flows and decreased water temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that Alternative 3 would have a lower potential to adversely affect Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead in the Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers. Predation controls related to Striped Bass would result in adverse effects.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River</b></p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u>                      Overall, likely would have similar effects on the fall-run Chinook Salmon population in the San Joaquin River watershed. Beneficial effects to juvenile fall-run Chinook Salmon as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta and ocean harvest restrictions. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions under fall-run Chinook Salmon would benefit the fall-run Chinook Salmon population.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u>                      Given the frequency of exceedance under both Alternative 3 and the Second Basis of Comparison, water temperature conditions for steelhead in the Stanislaus River would be generally similar. Additional beneficial effects to juvenile steelhead as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions would benefit steelhead.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon</u>                      While flows in the San Joaquin River upstream of the Stanislaus River are expected be similar, flow contributions from the Stanislaus River could influence water temperatures in the San Joaquin River where White Sturgeon eggs or larvae may occur during the spring and early summer. The magnitude of influence on water temperature would depend on the proportional flow contribution of the Stanislaus River and the temperatures in both the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers. The potential for an effect on White Sturgeon eggs and larvae would be influenced by the proportion of the population occurring in the San Joaquin River. In consideration of this uncertainty, it is not possible to distinguish potential effects on White Sturgeon.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u> While the analyses suggest that the effects could be more favorable, it is uncertain whether these differences would be biological meaningful. Therefore, it is likely that the effects on black basses in New Melones Reservoir would be similar.</p> <p><u>Other Species</u> In general, Striped Bass and Hardhead also can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Given the slightly lower flows and temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that the potential effects to affect Striped Bass and Hardhead in the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers would be similar. Predation controls related to Striped Bass would result in adverse effects.</p> <p><b>Pacific Ocean</b> <u>Killer Whale</u> It is unlikely that the Chinook Salmon prey base of killer whales, supported heavily by hatchery production of fall-run Chinook Salmon, would be appreciably affected.</p>
Alternative 4: Fish and Aquatic Resources	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b> <u>Coho Salmon, spring-run and fall-run Chinook Salmon, steelhead, Green Sturgeon, Reservoir Fishes, Pacific Lamprey, River Lamprey, and Eulachon</u> The effects would be identical.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River System</b> <u>Winter-run, spring-run, fall-run, and late fall-run Chinook Salmon, and steelhead</u> The effects in the Sacramento River system would be similar. Beneficial effects to Chinook Salmon as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta and ocean harvest restrictions. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions would benefit the Chinook Salmon population. <u>Green Sturgeon, White Sturgeon, Delta Smelt, Longfin Smelt, Sacramento Splittail, Reservoir Fishes, Pacific Lamprey, River Lamprey, American Shad, and Hardhead</u> The effects in the Sacramento River system would be identical.</p> <p><u>Striped Bass</u> The effects in the Sacramento River system would be similar. Predation controls related to Striped Bass would result in adverse effects.</p> <p><b>Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River</b> <u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon and Steelhead</u> The effects in the Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River system would be similar. Beneficial effects to Chinook Salmon as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta and ocean harvest restrictions. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions would benefit the Chinook Salmon population. <u>White Sturgeon, Reservoir Fishes, and Other Species</u> The effects in the Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River system would be identical.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><u>Striped Bass</u> The effects in the Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River system would be similar. Predation controls related to Striped Bass would result in adverse effects.</p> <p><b>Pacific Ocean</b> <u>Killer Whale</u> It is unlikely that the Chinook Salmon prey base of killer whales, supported heavily by hatchery production of fall-run Chinook Salmon, would be appreciably affected. Beneficial effects due to benefits to fall-run Chinook Salmon as a result of trap and haul passage across through the Delta and ocean harvest restrictions. It remains uncertain, however, if predator management actions would benefit the fall-run Chinook Salmon population.</p>
<p>Alternative 5: Fish and Aquatic Resources</p>	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b> <u>Coho Salmon, Spring-run Chinook Salmon, Fall-run Chinook Salmon, Steelhead, and Green Sturgeon</u> Monthly water temperature generally would be similar (less than 0.5°F differences), with the exception of drier years when temperatures could be as much as 2.2°F cooler in November and 1.5°F in December. Average monthly water temperatures could be slightly (up to 0.6°F) higher during July and August and lower (up to 0.7°F) in September. Lower September temperatures may result in slightly better conditions for spring-run Chinook Salmon spawning. Similarly, temperature conditions could be slightly better for fall-run Chinook Salmon spawning because of the reduced temperatures in November during critical dry years. Water temperature thresholds for Coho Salmon, fall-run Chinook Salmon, and steelhead would be exceeded slightly more frequently (less than 1 percent), whereas thresholds for spring-run Chinook Salmon would be exceeded less frequently (up to 4 percent) in August in September. These temperature results are reflected in the egg mortality results for fall-run Chinook Salmon, which indicate slightly higher mortality under Alternative 5 compared to the Second Basis of Comparison, with differences less than 0.3 percent in most year types and 1.9 percent in critical dry years. The minor changes in water temperatures and mortality suggest that conditions for Coho Salmon, fall-run Chinook Salmon, steelhead, and Green Sturgeon in the Trinity River would be similar. However, the reduced threshold exceedances for spring-run Chinook Salmon, although small, could be biologically meaningful under some conditions.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u> Overall, the comparison of storage and the analysis of nesting suggest that effects would be similar.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u> It is likely that the effects would be similar. This conclusion likely applies to other species of lamprey that inhabit the Trinity and lower Klamath rivers (e.g., River Lamprey).</p> <p><u>Eulachon</u> It is likely the conditions would be similar for Eulachon in the Klamath River.</p> <p><b>Sacramento River System</b> <u>Winter-run Chinook Salmon</u> The analysis of temperatures indicates somewhat higher temperatures and greater likelihood of exceedance of thresholds. This is reflected in the slightly lower survival of winter-run Chinook Salmon eggs predicted by Reclamation's salmon mortality model. Flow changes would have small effects on the</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p>availability of spawning and rearing habitat for winter-run Chinook Salmon as indicated by the decrease in flow (habitat)-related mortality predicted by SALMOD. Through Delta survival of juvenile winter-run Chinook Salmon would be similar as indicated by the DPM results; and the OBAN results suggest that Delta survival could be higher. Entrainment may also be reduced as indicated by the OMR flow analysis. Median adult escapement to the Sacramento River would be reduced slightly as indicated by the IOS model results which incorporate temperature, flow, and mortality effects on each life stage over the entire life cycle of winter-run Chinook Salmon. However, the OBAN model results indicate an increase in escapement over a more limited time period (1971 to 2002). Considering all the above analyses for the winter-run Chinook Salmon population, the changes in overall effects are highly uncertain. However, the upstream fish passage could benefit the winter-run Chinook Salmon population in the Sacramento River.</p> <p><u>Spring-run Chinook Salmon</u></p> <p>The analysis of temperatures indicates somewhat higher temperatures and greater likelihood of exceedance of thresholds in the Sacramento and Feather rivers. There would be little change in flows or temperatures in Clear Creek. The effect of increased temperatures is reflected in the slightly lower overall survival of spring-run Chinook Salmon eggs predicted by Reclamation's salmon mortality model for spring-run in the Sacramento River. In drier years, the likelihood of adverse temperature effects would be increased. Flow changes would likely have small effects on the availability of spawning and rearing habitat for spring-run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River as indicated by the decrease in flow (habitat)-related mortality predicted by SALMOD. Through Delta survival of juvenile spring-run Chinook Salmon would be similar as indicated by the DPM results, and entrainment could be reduced as indicated by the salvage analysis. Overall, similar or somewhat greater adverse effects on the spring-run Chinook Salmon population in the Sacramento River watershed, particularly in drier water year types. However, given that most of the spring-run Chinook Salmon are on the tributaries where the effects of changes are minimal and with the fish passage actions, it is likely that the effects would be similar or beneficial.</p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u></p> <p>The analysis of temperatures indicates somewhat higher temperatures and greater likelihood of exceedance of thresholds in the Sacramento and Feather rivers. There would be little change in flows or temperatures in Clear Creek, but these differences might not be biologically meaningful because the temperature outputs represent conditions at Igo, a location upstream of most fall-run Chinook Salmon spawning and rearing. The effect of increased temperatures is reflected in the slightly lower overall survival of fall-run Chinook Salmon eggs predicted by Reclamation's salmon mortality model for fall-run in the Feather and American rivers. In drier years, the likelihood of adverse temperature effects would be increased.</p> <p>Flow changes would likely have small effects on the availability of spawning and rearing habitat for fall-run Chinook Salmon in the Sacramento River as indicated by the slight decrease in spawning WUA in the Sacramento and Feather Rivers and slight increases in spawning WUA for fall-run Chinook Salmon in the American River. Fry and juvenile rearing WUA would be increased slightly in the Sacramento River and this is reflected in a decrease in flow (habitat)-related mortality predicted by SALMOD.</p> <p>Through-Delta survival of juvenile fall-run Chinook Salmon would be similar as indicated by the DPM results, and entrainment could be reduced as indicated by the OMR flow analysis. Overall, effects likely to be similar or slightly greater adverse effects on the fall-run Chinook Salmon population in the Sacramento River watershed, particularly in drier water year types. Fish passage actions could result in beneficial effects.</p> <p><u>Late Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u></p> <p>The analysis of temperatures indicates somewhat higher temperatures and greater likelihood of exceedance of thresholds. This is reflected in the slightly lower survival of late fall-run Chinook Salmon eggs predicted by Reclamation's salmon mortality model. Flow changes would have small effects on the availability of spawning habitat for late fall-run Chinook Salmon as indicated by the WUA analysis. Fry rearing habitat would be slightly increased, but juvenile rearing WUA would decrease during some months. These effects are reflected in the decrease in flow (habitat)-related and the increase in temperature-related egg and fry mortality predicted by SALMOD. Juvenile rearing mortality is also predicted to increase. Through Delta survival of juvenile late fall-run Chinook Salmon would be increased as indicated by the DPM results, and entrainment may be reduced as indicated by the OMR flow analysis.</p>



Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p>Overall, likely to have lesser adverse effects on the late fall-run Chinook Salmon population in the Sacramento River. Fish passage actions would increase the beneficial effects.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u></p> <p>The analysis of temperatures indicates somewhat higher temperatures and greater likelihood of exceedance of thresholds in the Sacramento and Feather rivers. In drier years, the likelihood of adverse temperature effects would be increased. There would be little change in flows or temperatures in Clear Creek.</p> <p>Overall, likely to have somewhat greater adverse effects on the steelhead population in the Sacramento River watershed, particularly in drier water year types because of the temperature effects. Fish passage could provide additional benefit for steelhead.</p> <p><u>Green Sturgeon</u></p> <p>Overall, the increased frequency of exceedance of temperature thresholds could increase the potential for adverse effects on Green Sturgeon in the Sacramento and Feather rivers.</p> <p><u>White Sturgeon</u></p> <p>Overall, the increased frequency of exceedance of temperature thresholds could increase the potential for adverse effects on White Sturgeon in the Sacramento River.</p> <p><u>Delta Smelt</u></p> <p>Overall, likely would result in better conditions for Delta Smelt, primarily due to lower percentage entrainment for larval and juvenile life stages, and more favorable location of Fall X2 in wetter years, and on average.</p> <p><u>Longfin Smelt</u></p> <p>Overall, based on the decrease in frequency and magnitude of negative OMR flows and the higher Longfin Smelt abundance index values, especially in dry and critical dry years, potential adverse effects on the Longfin Smelt population likely would be less.</p> <p><u>Sacramento Splittail</u></p> <p>Overall, the slight adverse effects related to spawning habitat for Sacramento Splittail because of the decreased area of potential habitat (inundation) and the potential for a slight decrease in the frequency of inundation.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u></p> <p>The analysis of black bass nest survival based on changes in water surface elevation during the spawning period indicated that the likelihood of high (greater than 40 percent) nest survival in most of the reservoirs would be similar or slightly higher. Overall, the results of the nest survival analysis suggest that conditions in the reservoirs would be more likely to support self-sustaining populations of black bass.</p> <p><u>Pacific Lamprey</u></p> <p>Based on the somewhat reduced flows and increased temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that conditions for and effects on Pacific Lamprey in the Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers be more adverse. This conclusion likely applies to other species of lamprey that inhabit these rivers (e.g., River Lamprey).</p> <p><u>Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead</u></p> <p>In general, Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Based on the slightly decreased flows and increased temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is unlikely that conditions for and effects on Striped Bass, American Shad, and Hardhead in the Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers would differ in a biologically meaningful manner.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>Stanislaus River/Lower San Joaquin River</b></p> <p><u>Fall-run Chinook Salmon</u>                      The analysis of temperatures indicates lower temperatures and a lesser likelihood of exceedance of suitable temperatures for spawning and rearing of fall-run Chinook Salmon in the Stanislaus River below Goodwin Dam and in the San Joaquin River at Vernalis. The effect of lower temperatures is reflected in the slightly lower overall mortality of fall-run Chinook Salmon eggs predicted by Reclamation’s salmon survival model for fall-run in the Stanislaus River. As described above, the instream flow patterns are anticipated to benefit fall-run Chinook Salmon in the Stanislaus River and downstream in the lower San Joaquin River below Vernalis.                      Overall, would have less adverse effect on the fall-run Chinook Salmon population in the San Joaquin River watershed.</p> <p><u>Steelhead</u>                      Given the frequency of exceedance and the generally stressful temperature conditions in the river, the substantial lower temperatures in October and April suggest that there would be less potential to adversely affect steelhead.</p> <p><u>Reservoir Fishes</u>                      Overall, the potential for adverse effects on reservoir fishes could slightly higher because of the overall relative reductions in reservoir storage and the slightly reduced nest survival in some months.</p> <p><u>Other Species</u>                      In general, Striped Bass and Hardhead also can tolerate higher temperatures than salmonids. Given the similar flows and temperatures during their spawning and incubation period, it is likely that the potential to affect Striped Bass and Hardhead in the Stanislaus and San Joaquin rivers would be similar.</p> <p><b>Pacific Ocean</b></p> <p><u>Killer Whale</u>                      Given conclusions from NMFS (2009c), and the fact that at least 75 percent of fall-run Chinook Salmon available for Southern Residents are produced by Central Valley hatcheries, it is likely that Central Valley fall-run Chinook Salmon as a prey base for killer whales would not be appreciably affected.</p>
	<p><b>Terrestrial Biological Resources</b></p>
<p>No Action Alternative:                      Terrestrial Resources</p>	<p>Similar or increased flows along Trinity, Sacramento, American, and Stanislaus rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Reduced flows along the Feather River in the spring; therefore, could be reduced terrestrial habitat conditions.                      Improved floodplain habitat along lower Clear Creek.                      Similar terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.                      Increased freshwater habitat in the western Delta.</p>
<p>Alternative 2:                      Terrestrial Resources</p>	<p>Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
Alternative 3: Terrestrial Resources	<p>Similar or increased flows along Trinity, Sacramento, American, and Feather rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Reduced flows along the Stanislaus River in the spring; therefore, could be reduced terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Similar habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Similar freshwater and salt water habitats.</p>
Alternative 4: Terrestrial Resources	<p>Similar effects except for increased terrestrial vegetation along the riparian corridors related to recruitment of riparian vegetation.</p>
Alternative 5: Terrestrial Resources	<p>Similar or increased flows along Trinity, American, and Stanislaus rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Reduced flows along the Sacramento and Feather rivers in the spring; therefore, could be reduced terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Improved floodplain habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar or decreased terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to similar or lower water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Increased freshwater habitat in the western Delta.</p>
<b>Visual Resources</b>	
No Action Alternative: Visual Resources	<p>Visual resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir in all water year types; and at San Luis Reservoir in above normal, below normal, and dry years. Visual resources would be reduced by 6 percent in wet and critical dry years at San Luis Reservoir, by 10 to 18 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 18 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p>
Alternative 2: Visual Resources	<p>Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.</p>
Alternative 3: Visual Resources	<p>Visual resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, San Luis Reservoir, and other reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water in the San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California regions.</p>
Alternative 5: Visual Resources	<p>Visual resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir in all water year types; and at San Luis Reservoir in above normal, below normal, and dry years. Visual resources would be reduced by 6 percent in dry years and 9 percent in critical dry years at San Luis Reservoir, by 10 to 18 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 18 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p>
<b>Recreation Resources</b>	
No Action Alternative: Recreation Resources	<p>Recreational resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir in all water year types; and at San Luis Reservoir in above normal, below normal, and dry years. Recreational resources would be reduced by 6 percent in wet and critical dry years at San Luis Reservoir, by 10 to 18 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 18 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Recreational resources similar on Trinity River; reduced on the Sacramento River downstream of Keswick Dam; and both improved and reduced on the Sacramento River near Freeport, Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex, American River downstream of Nimbus Dam, and the Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam depending upon the month.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison</b>
Alternative 2: Recreation Resources	Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.
Alternative 3: Recreation Resources	<p>Recreational resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, San Luis Reservoir, and other reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water in the San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Recreational resources similar on Trinity River, Sacramento, Feather, and American rivers; and both improved and reduced on the Stanislaus River depending upon the month.</p> <p>Recreational opportunities related to Striped Bass fishing would be reduced.</p>
Alternative 4: Recreation Resources	<p>Reservoir and flow-related recreational opportunities would be similar.</p> <p>Recreational opportunities related to Striped Bass fishing would be reduced.</p>
Alternative 5: Recreation Resources	<p>Recreational resources would be similar at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir in all water year types; and at San Luis Reservoir in above normal, below normal, and dry years. Recreational resources would be reduced by 6 percent in dry years and 9 percent in critical dry years at San Luis Reservoir, by 10 to 18 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 18 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Recreational resources similar or improved on Trinity River, Sacramento River downstream of Keswick Dam, and American River downstream of Nimbus Dam; and both improved and reduced on the Sacramento River near Freeport, Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex, and the Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam depending upon the month.</p>
<b>Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions</b>	
No Action Alternative: Air Quality	Increase potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants by 8 percent in the Central Valley, 10 to 18 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 18 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.
Alternative 1: Air Quality	No effects on air quality.
Alternative 3: Air Quality	Similar potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants in the Central Valley, San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California regions.
Alternative 5: Air Quality	Increase potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants by 8 percent in the Central Valley, 10 to 18 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 18 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<b>Public Health</b>
No Action Alternative: Public Health	<p>Similar water supply availability for wildland firefighting at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir; and a 6 percent decrease at San Luis Reservoir.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 7 percent increase near Rock Slough, San Joaquin River at Antioch, and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p>
Alternative 2: Public Health	Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.
Alternative 3: Public Health	<p>Similar water supply availability for wildland firefighting at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, New Melones Reservoir, and San Luis Reservoir.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass throughout the Delta.</p>
Alternative 5: Public Health	<p>Similar water supply availability for wildland firefighting at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir; and a 9 percent decrease at San Luis Reservoir.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 7 percent increase near Rock Slough, San Joaquin River at Antioch, and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p>
	<b>Socioeconomics</b>
No Action Alternative: Socioeconomics	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b> Similar conditions.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Region</b> Agricultural and M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar. M&amp;I water supply costs would increase by 11 percent in the Sacramento Valley and decrease by 12 percent in the San Joaquin Valley. Recreational economic factors would decrease related to use of San Luis Reservoir.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Region</b> M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar. M&amp;I water supply costs would increase by 44 percent. Recreational economic factors would decrease related to use of reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water.</p> <p><b>Central Coast Region</b> M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar. M&amp;I water supply costs would decrease by 6 percent. Recreational economic factors would decrease related to use of reservoirs that store SWP water.</p>

Chapter 22: Other NEPA Requirements

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p><b>Southern California Region</b>  M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.  M&amp;I water supply costs would increase by 17 percent.  Recreational economic factors would decrease related to use of reservoirs that store SWP water.</p>
Alternative 2: Socioeconomics	Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.
Alternative 3: Socioeconomics	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b>  Similar conditions.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Region</b>  Agricultural and M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.  M&amp;I water supply costs would be similar in the Sacramento Valley and by 6 percent in the San Joaquin Valley.  Recreational economic factors related to Striped Bass would be reduced.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Region</b>  M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.  M&amp;I water supply costs would increase by 13 percent.  Recreational economic factors would be similar.</p> <p><b>Central Coast Region</b>  M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.  M&amp;I water supply costs would be similar.  Recreational economic factors would be similar.</p> <p><b>Southern California Region</b>  M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.  M&amp;I water supply costs would increase by 14 percent.  Recreational economic factors would be similar.</p>
Alternative 4: Socioeconomics	No effects on non-recreational socioeconomic factors. Reduced recreational economic factors related to Striped Bass fishing.
Alternative 5: Socioeconomics	<p><b>Trinity River Region</b>  Similar conditions.</p> <p><b>Central Valley Region</b>  Agricultural and M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.</p>

Alternative	Substantial Beneficial and Adverse Impacts as Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison
	<p>M&amp;I water supply costs would increase by 11 percent in the Sacramento Valley and decrease by 14 percent in the San Joaquin Valley.                      Recreational economic factors would decrease related to use of San Luis Reservoir.</p> <p><b>San Francisco Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would increase by 46 percent.                      Recreational economic factors would decrease related to use of reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water.</p> <p><b>Central Coast Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would decrease by 6 percent.                      Recreational economic factors would decrease related to use of reservoirs that store SWP water.</p> <p><b>Southern California Region</b>                      M&amp;I water-related employment would be similar.                      M&amp;I water supply costs would increase by 20 percent.                      Recreational economic factors would decrease related to use of reservoirs that store SWP water.</p>
	<b>Environmental Justice</b>
No Action Alternative: Environmental Justice	<p>Increase potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants by 8 percent in the Central Valley, 10 to 18 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 18 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 7 percent increase near Rock Slough, San Joaquin River at Antioch, and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p>
Alternative 2: Environmental Justice	Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.
Alternative 3: Environmental Justice	<p>Similar potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants in the Central Valley, San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass throughout the Delta.</p>
Alternative 5: Environmental Justice	<p>Increase potential for emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors, and/or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations of air contaminants by 8 percent in the Central Valley, 10 to 18 percent in the San Francisco Bay Area Region, and by 18 percent in the Central Coast and Southern California regions.</p> <p>Similar mercury concentrations in Largemouth Bass in the most of the Delta; and a 7 percent increase near Rock Slough, San Joaquin River at Antioch, and Montezuma Slough over the long-term conditions.</p>

This page left blank intentionally.