

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Stone Corral Irrigation District Warren Act Approval

FONSI-15-031



Mission Statements

The mission of the Department of the Interior is to protect and manage the Nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provide scientific and other information about those resources; and honor its trust responsibilities or special commitments to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated island communities.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION South-Central California Area Office, Fresno, California

FONSI-15-031

Stone Corral Irrigation District Warren Act Approval

| | ed by: Jennifer L. Lewis | |
|--------|--------------------------|--|
| Natura | Resources Specialist | |

Concurred by: Lisa Carlson **Biology Technician**

Supervisory Natural Resources Specialist

Approved by: Michael Jackson, P.E. Area Manager

FONSI-15-031

Introduction

In accordance with section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, the South-Central California Area Office of the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), has determined that an environmental impact statement is not required for the issuance of temporary Warren Act approval to Stone Corral Irrigation District for introduction and conveyance of non-Central Valley Project (non-CVP) water within the Friant-Kern Canal. This draft Findings of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is supported by Reclamation's Environmental Assessment (EA)-15-031, *Stone Corral Irrigation District Warren Act Approval*, and is hereby incorporated by reference.

Reclamation provided the public with an opportunity to comment on the Draft FONSI and Draft EA between July 14, 2015 and July 21, 2015. One comment letter was received. The comment letter and Reclamation's response to comments is included in Appendix A of EA-15-031.

Background

The State of California is currently experiencing unprecedented water management challenges due to severe drought in recent years. On January 17, 2014, the Governor proclaimed a Drought State of Emergency (State of California 2014). On December 22, 2014, provisions within this proclamation were extended until May 31, 2016. On April 1, 2015, following the lowest snowpack ever recorded in California and the ongoing drought, the Governor proclaimed a second Drought State of Emergency and directed the State Water Resources Control Board to implement mandatory water reductions in cities and towns across California to reduce water usage by 25 percent (State of California 2015a). On April 23, 2015 and May 1, 2015, the State Water Resources Control Board issued curtailment notices to junior water rights holders in the San Joaquin River watershed and the Delta, respectively. The curtailment notices require junior water rights holders to stop diverting water from the watershed in order to allow it to flow to more senior water-right holders, as required by state law (State of California 2015a). On June 12, 2015, the State Water Resources Control Board issued curtailment notices to senior water rights holders with a priority date of 1903 or later in the San Joaquin and Sacramento watersheds and the Delta (State of California 2015b).

California's drought, as well as environmental and regulatory restrictions, has also reduced water supplies to many Central Valley Project (CVP) water service contractors, resulting in unprecedented zero percent water supply allocations. In order to continue meeting their customers' needs, affected contractors are

pursuing a range of additional water supplies, such as transfers, pumped groundwater and other surface water sources. Stone Corral Irrigation District has purchased 1,000 acre-feet (AF) Kaweah River water (hereafter referred to as non-CVP water) from three participating Kaweah River Companies with pre-1914 water rights; Modoc Ditch Company, Jennings Ditch Company, and Lakeside Ditch Company, which they would like to deliver for agricultural use by way of the federal Friant-Kern Canal. The district has requested a Warren Act approval for conveyance of this non-CVP water in federal facilities.

The district has proposed introducing the Kaweah River water into the Friant-Kern Canal using Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District's turnout at Friant-Kern Canal milepost (MP) 69.13. Stone Corral Irrigation District is located upstream of the introduction point; therefore, an operational exchange agreement with Terra Bella Irrigation District would also be needed in order for Stone Corral Water District to receive this water.

Proposed Action

Reclamation proposes to issue a five year Warren Act approval (effective through February 29, 2020) to Stone Corral Irrigation District under Article 18 of their Repayment Contract. Under the Proposed Action, up to 1,000 AF of non-CVP surface water would be released from Terminus Dam and pumped from the Upper Wutchumna Ditch on the Kaweah River for annual introduction into the Friant-Kern Canal. The proposed point of introduction for the non-CVP surface water would be Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District's existing turnout (Wutchumna Ditch Siphon) at MP 69.13 on the Friant-Kern Canal. As Stone Corral Irrigation District is located upstream of the introduction point, an operational exchange with Terra Bella Irrigation District (located downstream of the introduction point) is also proposed.

Under the operational exchange, Stone Corral Irrigation District would take delivery of Terra Bella Irrigation District's CVP water from Millerton Lake at MP 57.90, 59.33, 60.90 and 62.68, in lieu of the non-CVP surface water. In exchange, Terra Bella Irrigation District would take Stone Corral Irrigation District's purchased non-CVP surface water from their existing turnouts located downstream of the point of introduction.

Environmental Commitments

Stone Corral Irrigation District shall implement the environmental protection measures listed in Table 2-1 of EA-15-031 to avoid and/or reduce environmental consequences associated with the Proposed Action. Environmental consequences for resource areas assume the measures specified would be fully implemented.

FONSI-15-031

Findings

Reclamation's finding that implementation of the Proposed Action will result in no significant impact to the quality of the human environment is supported by the following findings:

Resources Eliminated from Detailed Analysis

As described in Table 3-1 of EA-15-031, Reclamation analyzed the affected environment and determined that the Proposed Action does not have the potential to cause direct, indirect, or cumulative adverse effects to the following resources: land use, cultural resources, Indian Sacred Sites, Indian Trust Assets, socioeconomic resources, environmental justice, air quality or global climate.

Water Resources

The Proposed Action would allow non-CVP Kaweah River water purchased from the Modoc Ditch Company, Jennings Ditch Company, and Lakeside Ditch Company to be conveyed in CVP facilities when excess capacity is available. This would allow the water to be delivered to the contractor's service areas for agricultural use. There would be no modification of the Friant-Kern Canal, and the capacity of the facility would remain the same.

The Kaweah River water is already allocated for use by Modoc Ditch Company, Jennings Ditch Company, and Lakeside Ditch Company, but they are unable to use the water for irrigation purposes because of high evapotranspiration losses through their conveyance facilities. Instead, pursuant to their water rights, the Companies have sold this water to Stone Corral Irrigation District for beneficial use. The Proposed Action does not represent a new diversion of the non-CVP water, or a new water right, but an alternate use for an existing supply.

The total quantity of water that would be conveyed in the Friant-Kern Canal under the Proposed Action would be limited to 1,000 AF/year through February 29, 2020. The quantity of water pumped into the Friant-Kern Canal by Stone Corral Irrigation District would be delivered (less conveyance losses) and used for irrigation purposes. Some of the irrigation water would be lost to evapotranspiration, and some would also percolate back into the aquifer.

Non-CVP water introduced into the Friant-Kern Canal must meet Reclamation's then current water quality requirements prior to approval for conveyance (see Appendix C in EA-15-031 for Reclamation's existing water quality requirements and monitoring plan). If testing under the monitoring program shows that the water does not meet the standards, Stone Corral Irrigation District would not be allowed to introduce the non-CVP water into the Friant-Kern Canal until water quality concerns are addressed. The water quality monitoring program is anticipated to adequately protect the quality of water in the canal and limit degradation of other users' supplies.

An operational exchange would be necessary to deliver water to the districts whose turnouts are located upstream of the introduction point (MP 69.13). This, as well as all other introductions of the non-CVP water, would be scheduled in advance with Reclamation and the Friant Water Authority; therefore, there would be no impact to operation of the canal or to other water resources.

Biological Resources

As the Proposed Action would not involve any construction, changes in water diversions from natural waterways, or any land use, there would be no impacts to federally listed or candidate species or critical habitat. As a result, Reclamation has determined there would be No Effect to proposed or listed species or critical habitat under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. §1531 et seq.), and there would be no take of birds protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. §703 et seq.).

Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative impacts result from incremental impacts of the Proposed Action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time. Significance exists if it is reasonable to anticipate a cumulatively significant impact on the environment.

Water Resources

Reclamation has reviewed existing or foreseeable projects in the same geographic area that could affect or could be affected by the Proposed Action as Reclamation and CVP contractors have been working on various drought-related projects, including this one, in order to manage limited water supplies due to current hydrologic conditions and regulatory requirements. This and similar projects would have a cumulative beneficial effect on water supply during this critically dry year.

As in the past, hydrological conditions and other factors are likely to result in fluctuating water supplies which drive requests for water service actions. Water districts provide water to their customers based on available water supplies and timing, while attempting to minimize costs. Farmers irrigate and grow crops based on these conditions and factors, and a myriad of water service actions are approved and executed each year to facilitate water needs. It is likely that over the course of the Proposed Action, districts will request various water service actions, such as transfers, exchanges, and Warren Act contracts or approvals (conveyance of non-CVP water in CVP facilities). Each water service transaction involving Reclamation undergoes environmental review prior to approval.

The Friant-Kern Canal is used to convey water for a variety of users from a variety of sources. The quality of water being introduced is tested regularly in order to limit the potential for degradation of mixed water supplies. Reclamation's water quality monitoring program is anticipated to adequately

FONSI-15-031

protect the quality of water in the Friant-Kern Canal from the cumulative effects of this and other water conveyance actions.

Although capacity in the Friant-Kern Canal is limited, Reclamation actively operates it in order to balance competing demands. Non-CVP water, such as the water which would be conveyed under the Proposed Action, has a lower priority than CVP water for conveyance in the Friant-Kern Canal and is required to be coordinated with Reclamation and the Friant Water Authority prior to introduction; therefore, the Proposed Action would not cause conflicts or other cumulative impacts to Friant-Kern Canal operations.

Biological Resources

As the Proposed Action is not expected to result in any direct or indirect impacts to biological resources, there would be no cumulative impacts.



Final Environmental Assessment

Stone Corral Irrigation District Warren Act Approval

EA-15-031



Mission Statements

The mission of the Department of the Interior is to protect and manage the Nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provide scientific and other information about those resources; and honor its trust responsibilities or special commitments to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated island communities.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

Contents

| | F | Page |
|----------------------------------|---|------|
| Section 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background | |
| 1.1 | Need for the Proposed Action | |
| Section 2 | Alternatives Including the Proposed Action | |
| 2.1 | No Action Alternative | |
| 2.2 | Proposed Action | |
| 2.2 | <u>.</u> | |
| Section 3 | Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences | |
| 3.1 | Resources Eliminated from Further Analysis | |
| 3.2 | Water Resources | |
| | .1 Affected Environment | |
| | 2.2 Environmental Consequences | |
| 3.3 | Biological Resources | |
| | .1 Affected Environment | |
| | .2 Environmental Consequences | |
| Section 4 | Consultation and Coordination | |
| 4.1 | Public Review Period | |
| Section 5 | Preparers and Reviewers | |
| Section 6 | References | |
| occuon o | References | . 41 |
| List o | of Tables and Figures | |
| Figure 1-1 | Project Location | 3 |
| Table 3-1 Table 3-2 | Environmental Protection Measures and Commitments | 7 |
| Appe | endices | |
| Appendix Appendix Appendix | B Cultural Resources Determination | an |

Final EA-15-031

Section 1 Introduction

The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) provided the public with an opportunity to comment on the Draft Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) and Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) between July 14 to July 21, 2015. One comment letter from Arvin-Edison Water Storage District was received. The comment letter and Reclamation's response to comments are included in Appendix A. Changes from the draft EA which are not editorial and minor in nature are indicated by a line in the left margin.

1.1 Background

The State of California is currently experiencing unprecedented water management challenges due to severe drought in recent years. On January 17, 2014, the Governor proclaimed a Drought State of Emergency (State of California 2014). On December 22, 2014, provisions within this proclamation were extended until May 31, 2016. On April 1, 2015, following the lowest snowpack ever recorded in California and the ongoing drought, the Governor proclaimed a second Drought State of Emergency and directed the State Water Resources Control Board to implement mandatory water reductions in cities and towns across California to reduce water usage by 25 percent (State of California 2015a). On April 23, 2015 and May 1, 2015, the State Water Resources Control Board issued curtailment notices to junior water rights holders in the San Joaquin River watershed and the Delta, respectively. The curtailment notices require junior water rights holders to stop diverting water from the watershed in order to allow it to flow to more senior water-right holders, as required by state law (State of California 2015a). On June 12, 2015, the State Water Resources Control Board issued curtailment notices to senior water rights holders with a priority date of 1903 or later in the San Joaquin and Sacramento watersheds and the Delta (State of California 2015b).

California's drought, as well as environmental and regulatory restrictions, has also reduced water supplies to many Central Valley Project (CVP) water service contractors. The Friant Division provides CVP water from Millerton Lake to over one million acres of irrigable farm land on the east side of the southern San Joaquin Valley. Currently, there are 32 Friant Division CVP contractors located in Merced, Madera, Fresno, Tulare, Kings, and Kern Counties. Water conveyed to these contractors is categorized as either Class 1 or Class 2 water as defined in their water contract with Reclamation¹. Due to current hydrologic and regulatory

¹ Class 1 water is considered as the first 800,000 acre-feet supply of CVP water stored in Millerton Lake, which would be available for delivery from the Friant-Kern Canal and/or Madera Canals as a dependable water supply during each Contract Year. Class 2 water is considered as the next

conditions described above, Reclamation declared an unprecedented 0 percent allocation for Class 1 and Class 2 water supplies for Friant Division CVP contractors for the 2014 and 2015 Contract Years (a Contract Year is from March 1 through the last day of February of the following year).

Stone Corral Irrigation District (see Figure 1-1), a Friant Division CVP contractor, has purchased 1,000 acre-feet (AF) of Kaweah River water (hereafter referred to as non-CVP water) from three participating Kaweah River Companies with pre-1914 water rights; Modoc Ditch Company, Jennings Ditch Company, and Lakeside Ditch Company in order to meet some of its customers' needs. Stone Corral Irrigation District has requested a Warren Act approval for conveyance of this non-CVP water in the Friant-Kern Canal.

The proposed point of introduction for Stone Corral Irrigation District's purchased non-CVP water would be Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District's turnout at Friant-Kern Canal milepost (MP) 69.13. Stone Corral Irrigation District is located upstream of the introduction point; therefore, an operational exchange agreement with Terra Bella Irrigation District would also be needed in order for Stone Corral Water District to receive this water.

1.1 Need for the Proposed Action

Stone Corral Irrigation District does not have adequate water supplies to meet the needs of their customers due to conditions described above. The purpose of the Proposed Action is to provide a conveyance mechanism to deliver non-CVP water supplies to support existing crops within the district.

approximate 1,400,000 acre-feet supply of non-storable CVP water which becomes available in addition to the Class 1 supply and, due to the uncertainty of its availability, is considered to be undependable in character and is furnished only if and when it can be made available as determined by Reclamation per Contract Year.



Figure 1-1 Project Location

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Section 2 Alternatives Including the Proposed Action

This EA considers two possible actions: the No Action Alternative and the Proposed Action. The No Action Alternative reflects future conditions without the Proposed Action and serves as a basis of comparison for determining potential effects to the human environment.

2.1 No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, Stone Corral Irrigation District's purchased non-CVP Kaweah River water would not be introduced into the Friant-Kern Canal for an operational exchange with Terra Bella Irrigation District. Stone Corral would have to find an alternate water supply, or use another conveyance method to deliver this non-CVP water to their customers' crops. If no other water source or conveyance mechanism were found, fallowing of cropland could be necessary and/or existing permanent crops could be lost.

2.2 Proposed Action

Reclamation proposes to issue a five year Warren Act approval (effective through February 29, 2020) to Stone Corral Irrigation District under Article 18 of their Repayment Contract. Under the Proposed Action, up to 1,000 AF of non-CVP surface water would be released from Lake Kaweah Terminus Dam and pumped from the Upper Wutchumna Ditch on the Kaweah River for annual introduction into the Friant Kern Canal (Figure 1-1). The proposed point of introduction for the non-CVP surface water would be Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District's existing turnout (Wutchumna Ditch Siphon) at MP 69.13 on the Friant-Kern Canal. As Stone Corral Irrigation District is located upstream of the introduction point, an operational exchange with Terra Bella Irrigation District (located downstream of the introduction point) is also proposed.

Under the operational exchange, Stone Corral Irrigation District would take delivery of Terra Bella Irrigation District's CVP water from Millerton Lake at MP 57.90, 59.33, 60.90 and 62.68, in lieu of the non-CVP surface water. In exchange, Terra Bella Irrigation District would take Stone Corral Irrigation District's purchased non-CVP surface water from their existing turnouts located downstream of the point of introduction.

2.2.1 Environmental Commitments

Stone Corral Irrigation District shall implement the following environmental protection measures to avoid and/or reduce environmental consequences associated with the Proposed Action (Table 2-1). Environmental consequences for resource areas assume the measures specified would be fully implemented. Copies of all environmental compliance reports shall be submitted to Reclamation.

Table 2-1 Environmental Protection Measures and Commitments

| Resource | Protection Measure |
|-------------------|--|
| Water Resources | Non-CVP water introduced into the Friant-Kern Canal must meet Reclamation's then current water quality requirements prior to approval for conveyance (see Appendix C for Reclamation's existing water quality requirements and monitoring plan). |
| Water Resources | The Proposed Action would not affect Friant Division CVP operations; all introductions would be previously scheduled with Reclamation and the Friant Water Authority. |
| Water Resources | The water would only be used for beneficial purposes and in accordance with Federal Reclamation law and guidelines, as applicable. |
| Various Resources | The water would not be used to place untilled or new lands into production, or to convert undeveloped land to other uses. |
| Various Resources | No new construction or modification of existing facilities may occur in order to complete the Proposed Action. |
| Various Resources | The Proposed Action cannot alter the flow regime of natural waterways or natural watercourses such as rivers, streams, creeks, ponds, pools, wetlands, etc., so as to have a detrimental effect on fish or wildlife or their habitats. |
| Various Resources | The Proposed Action would not increase or decrease water supplies that would result in land development. |
| Various Resources | Use of the water shall comply with all federal, state, local, and tribal law, and requirements imposed for protection of the environment and Indian Trust Assets. |

Section 3 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

This section identifies the potentially affected environment and the environmental consequences involved with the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative, in addition to environmental trends and conditions that currently exist.

3.1 Resources Eliminated from Further Analysis

Reclamation analyzed the affected environment and determined that the Proposed Action did not have the potential to cause direct, indirect, or cumulative adverse effects to the resources listed in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1 Resources Eliminated from Further Analysis

| Resource | Reason Eliminated |
|-----------------------|---|
| Air Quality | No new facilities would be needed as a result of the Proposed Action that would cause emissions from construction activities. The pumps that would be used to convey the water under the Proposed Action are electric. These pumps would not emit pollutants at the pump; the source of the pollutants originates at the power plant. Power plants are permitted based on their maximum operating potential. The additional electricity would not result in the power plant exceeding operating capacity, and, thus, the applicable emissions permit. |
| Cultural Resources | The Proposed Action would facilitate the flow of water through existing facilities to existing users. As no construction or modification of facilities would be needed in order to complete the Proposed Action, Reclamation has determined that these activities have no potential to cause effects to historic properties pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800.3(a)(1). See Appendix B for Reclamation's determination. |
| Environmental Justice | The Proposed Action would not cause dislocation, changes in employment, or increase flood, drought, or disease nor would it disproportionately impact economically disadvantaged or minority populations. |
| Global Climate | The Proposed Action would not involve physical changes to the environment or construction activities that could impact global climate change. Generating power plants that produce electricity to operate electric pumps produce carbon dioxide that could potentially contribute to GHG emissions; however, the Proposed Action is water that would be delivered from existing facilities under either alternative and is therefore part of the existing conditions. |
| Indian Sacred Sites | The Proposed Action would not limit access to or ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites. |
| Indian Trust Assets | The Proposed Action would not impact Indian Trust Assets as there are none in the Proposed Action area. The nearest Indian Trust Asset is a Public Domain Allotment approximately 5.11 miles from the Proposed Action area. |

| Resource | Reason Eliminated |
|----------------|---|
| Land Use | The Proposed Action would not change historic land and water management practices. Exchanged water would move through existing facilities for delivery to the Districts for existing agricultural and municipal purposes. The water would not be used to place untilled or new lands into production, or to convert undeveloped land to other |
| | uses. |
| Socioeconomics | The Proposed Action would have beneficial impacts on socioeconomic resources as the exchanged water would be used for existing purposes and would help sustain existing crops and maintain farming within the districts. |

3.2 Water Resources

3.2.1 Affected Environment

Friant-Kern Canal

The Friant-Kern Canal carries water over 151.8 miles in a southerly direction from Millerton Lake to the Kern River, four miles west of Bakersfield. The water is used for supplemental and new irrigation supplies in Fresno, Tulare, Kings and Kern Counties. The canal has an initial capacity of 5,000 cubic feet per second that gradually decreases to 2,000 cubic feet per second at its terminus near the Kern River.

Participating Kaweah River Companies

The non-CVP water is being made available by the Modoc Ditch Company, Jennings Ditch Company, and Lakeside Ditch Company. This water is stored in Lake Kaweah and would be released to the Kaweah River from Terminus Dam and then diverted into the Friant-Kern Canal at MP 69.13 at the Wutchumna Ditch Siphon.

For both Modoc Ditch Company and Jennings Ditch Company, their authorized place of use is in Tulare County for irrigation. For the Lakeside Ditch Company, the place of use is Kings County for irrigation.

Because of current drought conditions, this water is unable to meet beneficial use within the participating Kaweah River Companies due to conveyance losses. Therefore, this non-CVP water would not have been delivered to Modoc Ditch Company, Jennings Ditch Company, or Lakeside Ditch Company.

Stone Corral Irrigation District

Stone Corral Irrigation District is located in Tulare County, approximately 30 miles southeast of Fresno and 10 miles north-northeast of Visalia. Stone Corral Irrigation District is comprised of 6,495 acres, of which 5,904 acres are irrigated. Stone Corral Irrigation District entered into a long-term renewable contract with Reclamation for 7,700 AF/year of Class 1 Friant Division CVP water in 1950. In 1991, the contract was amended to 10,000 AF/year of Class 1 water. Stone Corral Irrigation District receives a small amount of water through exchange

arrangements with CV Contractors. This amount is 950 AF/year of CVP water. Stone Corral Irrigation District does not have any groundwater extraction facilities.

The Friant-Kern Canal runs approximately along the north and east boundaries of the District. Stone Corral Irrigation District obtains the CVP water from the Friant-Kern Canal at MP 57.90, 59.33, 60.90 and 62.68. The District's conveyance system is 27 miles of pipeline. Stone Corral Irrigation District serves only agricultural water. The main crops are citrus, cotton, deciduous and subtropical fruit.

Terra Bella Irrigation District

Terra Bella Irrigation District is located in Tulare County, about 75 miles southeast of Fresno and about 8 miles south of Porterville. Terra Bella Irrigation District is comprised of 13,962 acres, of which 11,165 are irrigated. In 1950, Terra Bella Irrigation District entered into a long-term contract with Reclamation for 29,000 AF/y of Class 1 water. Terra Bella Irrigation District does not have any other long-term surface water supplies. Currently, Terra Bella Irrigation District owns and operates 10 wells. There are no significant privately-owned grower or landowner wells in the district.

Terra Bella Irrigation District receives its CVP water supplies from the Friant-Kern Canal at MP 103.64, MP 102.69 and Deer Creek to a percolation pond. The District provides agricultural water, in addition to, municipal and industrial water for domestic use. The District's distribution system is 152 miles of pipeline. The main crops are nuts, deciduous fruit orchards, and citrus.

3.2.2 Environmental Consequences

No Action

If no action were taken, Stone Corral Irrigation District's non-CVP water would not be conveyed in the Friant-Kern Canal. They would have to find an alternate water supply, or use another conveyance method to deliver this non-CVP water to their customers' crops. If no alternative conveyance method could be found, the non-CVP water would remain in storage and the district would either have to find a way to exchange it for other, usable water supplies, or crops would be fallowed.

Proposed Action

The Proposed Action would allow non-CVP Kaweah River water purchased from Modoc Ditch Company, Jennings Ditch Company, and Lakeside Ditch Company to be introduced and conveyed in the Friant-Kern Canal when excess capacity is available. The total quantity of water that would be introduced and conveyed in the Friant-Kern Canal under the Proposed Action would be limited to 1,000 AF/year through February 29, 2020, less conveyance losses.

As described in Section 2.2, an operational exchange would be necessary to deliver the non-CVP water to Stone Corral Irrigation District as its turnouts are

located upstream of the introduction point (MP 69.13). Under the operational exchange, the non-CVP water would be delivered to Terra Bella Irrigation District for existing agricultural use. In exchange, a like amount of Terra Bella Irrigation District's CVP water from Millerton Lake would be delivered to Stone Corral Irrigation District for existing agricultural use. This, as well as all introductions of the non-CVP water into the Friant-Kern Canal, would be scheduled in advance with Reclamation and the Friant Water Authority. In addition, there would be no modification of the Friant-Kern Canal, and the capacity of the facility would remain the same. Therefore, there would be no impact to operation of the canal.

The Kaweah River water is already allocated for use by Modoc Ditch Company, Jennings Ditch Company, and Lakeside Ditch Company, but they are unable to use this amount of water for irrigation purposes because of high evapotranspiration losses through their conveyance facilities. Instead, pursuant to their water rights, the Companies have sold this water to Stone Corral Irrigation District for beneficial use. The Proposed Action does not represent a new diversion of the non-CVP water, or a new water right, but an alternate use for an existing supply. In addition, all participants are required to follow local, State, and Federal laws and regulations for movement of this water.

Non-CVP water introduced into the Friant-Kern Canal must meet Reclamation's then current water quality requirements prior to approval for conveyance (see Appendix C for Reclamation's existing water quality requirements and monitoring plan). If testing under the monitoring program shows that the water does not meet the standards, Stone Corral Irrigation District would not be allowed to introduce the non-CVP water into the Friant-Kern Canal until water quality concerns are addressed. The water quality monitoring program is anticipated to adequately protect the quality of water in the canal and limit degradation of other users' supplies. There would be no adverse impacts to water resources as a result of the Proposed Action.

Cumulative Impacts

Reclamation has reviewed existing or foreseeable projects in the same geographic area that could affect or could be affected by the Proposed Action. Many water transfers, Warren Act agreements and other supply management actions have been executed or are in process. These drought relief projects are expected to have a cumulative beneficial effect on water supply during the ongoing drought.

As in the past, hydrological conditions and other factors are likely to result in fluctuating water supplies, which drive requests for water service actions. Water districts provide water to their customers based on available water supplies and timing, while attempting to minimize costs. Farmers irrigate and grow crops based on these conditions and factors, and a myriad of water service actions are approved and executed each year to facilitate water needs. It is likely that in future years more districts will request exchanges, transfers, and Warren Act contracts or agreements (conveyance of non-CVP water in CVP facilities) due to

hydrologic conditions. Each water service transaction involving Reclamation undergoes environmental review prior to approval.

The Friant-Kern Canal is used to convey water for a variety of users from a variety of sources. The quality of water being introduced is tested regularly in order to limit the potential for degradation of mixed water supplies. Reclamation's water quality monitoring program is anticipated to adequately protect the quality of water in the Friant-Kern Canal from the cumulative effects of this and other water conveyance actions.

Although capacity in the Friant-Kern Canal is limited, Reclamation actively operates it in order to balance competing demands. Non-CVP water, such as the water which would be conveyed under the Proposed Action, has a lower priority than CVP water for conveyance in the Friant-Kern Canal and is required to be coordinated with Reclamation and the Friant Water Authority prior to introduction; therefore, the Proposed Action would not cause conflicts or other cumulative impacts to Friant-Kern Canal operations.

3.3 Biological Resources

3.3.1 Affected Environment

The Proposed Action Area includes all areas where conditions will change as a result of the Proposed Action, and includes: the Friant-Kern Canal from MP 57.90 to the Terra Bella Irrigation District's existing points of delivery, and the CVP service areas of the Stone Corral Irrigation District and the Terra Bella Irrigation District. The Proposed Action Area begins at MP 57.90 because under the Proposed Action the Terra Bella Irrigation District's CVP water will be taken out of the Friant-Kern Canal at this point for delivery to the Stone Corral Irrigation District instead of continuing down the canal to the Terra Bella Water District, as it would under the No Action Alternative. The Proposed Action Area consists primarily of actively cultivated agricultural lands, which include row crops, vineyards, and orchards; some limited urban development is also present. Undeveloped land is rare in the Proposed Action Area, and where present consists largely of annual grasses.

Reclamation requested an official species list from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) via the Service's website, http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/, on June 18, 2015 (Consultation Code: 08ESMF00-2015-SLI-0716). The species list covers the Stone Corral Irrigation District, the Terra Bella Irrigation District, and the Friant-Kern Canal from approximate MP 57.90 to the Terra Bella Irrigation District's existing turn-outs. The California Department of Fish and Wildlife's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) was also queried for records of protected species near the Proposed Action Area (CNDDB 2015). The information collected above, in addition to information within Reclamation's files, was combined to determine the likelihood of protected species occurrence within the Proposed Action Area (Table 3-2).

Table 3-2 Effects Determination for Federally Protected Species with the Potential to occur in or near the Proposed Action Area

| Listed Species | Status ¹ | ESA Effects ² | Basis for Effects Determination | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Amphibians | | | | |
| California red- legged frog (<i>Rana draytonii</i>) | Т, Х | NE | This species has not been observed in California's Central Valley since before 1960, and is presumed extirpated from the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or land conversion, so there would be No Effect to this species. | |
| California tiger salamander, central population (Ambystoma californiense) | Т, Х | NE | This species may occur within the Proposed Action Area; however, the Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or land conversion, so there would be No Effect to this species. | |
| Birds | T | <u> </u> | | |
| California condor (Gymnogyps californianus) | E, X | NE | This species may fly over or forage within the Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action would not involve any construction or land conversion, so there would be No Effect to this species. | |
| Critical Habitat California condor (<i>Gymnogyps</i> californianus) | X | NE | Critical habitat for this species is present along the eastern edge of the Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District. The Proposed Action would not result in any land conversion, and would therefore have No Effect on Critical Habitat for this species. | |
| Southwestern willow flycatcher (Empidonax traillii extimus) | E | NE | There are no records of this species within at least 10 miles of the Proposed Action Area, and suitable habitat is not present. The Proposed Action would not involve any construction or land conversion, so there would be No Effect to this species. | |
| Western yellow- billed cuckoo (Coccyzus americanus occidentalis) | T, PX | NE | There are no records of this species within at least 10 miles of the Proposed Action Area, and suitable habitat is not present. The Proposed Action would not involve any construction or land conversion, so there would be No Effect to this species. | |
| Fish | ı | | | |
| Delta smelt (Hypomesus transpacificus) | Т | NE | The Proposed Action would not affect any waterway within this species' range; there would be No Effect to this species. | |
| Invertebrates | | | | |
| Conservancy fairy shrimp (<i>Branchinecta</i> conservatio) | E | NE | This species is not expected to occur within the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable vernal pool habitat. The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or land conversion, so there would be No Effect to this species. | |
| Vernal pool fairy shrimp (<i>Branchinecta</i> <i>lynchi</i>) | Т, Х | NE | This species is not expected to occur within the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable vernal pool habitat. The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or land conversion, so there would be No Effect to this species. | |

| Listed Species | Status ¹ | ESA Effects ² | Basis for Effects Determination |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Critical Habitat Vernal pool fairy shrimp (Branchinecta lynchi) | X | NE | A small portion of Critical Habitat for this species overlaps the western edge of the Stone Corral Irrigation District, but does not contain the habitat elements required by this species. The Proposed Action would have No Effect on Critical Habitat for this species. |
| Vernal pool tadpole shrimp (<i>Lepidurus packardi</i>) | E, X | NE | This species is not expected to occur within the Proposed Action Area due to a lack of suitable vernal pool habitat. The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or land conversion, so there would be No Effect to this species. |
| Critical habitat Vernal pool tadpole shrimp (Lepidurus packardi) | X | NE | A small portion of Critical Habitat for this species overlaps the western edge of the Stone Corral Irrigation District, but does not contain the habitat elements required by this species. The Proposed Action would have No Effect on Critical Habitat for this species. |
| Mammals | | | |
| San Joaquin kit fox (Vulpes macrotis mutica) | E | NE | This species has been documented in the Proposed Action Area. No changes in land use, no conversion of cultivated or fallowed fields, and no construction or modification of existing facilities would occur as a result of the Proposed Action; therefore, there would be No Effect to this species. |
| Tipton kangaroo rat (Dipodomys nitratoides nitratoides) | E | NE | This species has not been documented in the Proposed Action Area and suitable habitat is not present. The Proposed Action would have No Effect on this species. |
| Plants | | | |
| Greene's tuctoria (Tuctoria greenei) | E | NE | The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or conversion of land. There would be No Effect to this species. |
| Hoover's spurge (Chamaesyce hooveri) | T, X | NE | The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or conversion of land. There would be No Effect to this species. |
| Critical Habitat Hoover's spurge (Chamaesyce hooveri) | X | NE | Designated Critical Habitat for this species is present along the northern and eastern edges of the Stone Corral Irrigation District. The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or conversion of land, so there would be No Effect to this species' Critical Habitat. |
| Keck's checker- mallow (Sidalcea keckii) | E, X | NE | The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or conversion of land. There would be No Effect to this species. |
| San Joaquin adobe sunburst (<i>Pseudobahia</i> <i>peirsonii</i>) | Т | NE | The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or conversion of land. There would be No Effect to this species. |
| San Joaquin Orcutt grass (Orcuttia inaequalis) | T, X | NE | The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or conversion of land. There would be No Effect to this species. |
| Critical Habitat San Joaquin Orcutt grass | Х | NE | Designated Critical Habitat for this species overlaps a very small area along the western edge of the Stone Corral Irrigation |

| Listed Species | Status ¹ | ESA Effects ² | Basis for Effects Determination |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|--|
| (Orcuttia inaequalis) | | | District. The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or conversion of land, so there would be No Effect to this species' Critical Habitat. |
| Springville clarkia (Clarkia springvillensis) | Т | NE | The Proposed Action would not involve any ground disturbance or conversion of land. There would be No Effect to this species. |
| Reptiles | | | · |
| Blunt-nosed leopard lizard (<i>Gambelia sila</i>) | E | NE | This species has not been documented in the Proposed Action Area, and is not expected to occur due to a lack of suitable habitat. The Proposed Action would not involve any construction, ground disturbance, or changes in land use, so there would be No Effect to this species. |
| Giant garter snake (Thamnophis gigas) | Т | NE | This species is presumed extirpated from the Proposed Action Area. No land use changes, adverse water quality changes, or construction or modification of existing facilities would occur as a result of the Proposed Action. There would be No Effect to this species. |

- 1 Status= Federally protected species under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), unless otherwise specified
 - E: Listed as Endangered
 - T: Listed as Threatened
 - PX: Proposed Critical Habitat critical habitat proposed for a species already listed
 - X: Critical Habitat designated for this species
- 2 ESA Effects = Effect determination for Endangered Species Act Analysis
- NE: No Effect from the Proposed Action to federally listed species

3.3.2 Environmental Consequences

No Action

Under the No Action Alternative, Reclamation would not permit the non-CVP water purchased by Stone Corral Irrigation District to be introduced and conveyed in the Friant-Kern Canal to Terra Bella Irrigation District for an operational exchange. Stone Corral Irrigation District would need to find another way to convey its purchased non-CVP water, or find an alternate water supply, otherwise fallowing of croplands may be necessary. Some fallowed lands could be used temporarily by federally listed species, such as the San Joaquin kit fox; however, the fields would probably be disked regularly and would provide only low quality temporary habitat.

Proposed Action

Under the Proposed Action, Reclamation would allow the non-CVP water purchased by Stone Corral Irrigation District to be introduced and conveyed in the Friant-Kern Canal for an operational exchange with Terra Bella Irrigation District. In exchange for receiving Stone Corral Irrigation District's non-CVP water, Terra Bella Irrigation District would deliver its CVP water, from Millerton Lake, to the Stone Corral Irrigation District via the Friant-Kern Canal. The

Proposed Action Area consists largely of actively cultivated agricultural lands which provide little to no habitat value to federally listed species. The Proposed Action would not require any ground disturbing activities or construction or modification of existing facilities. The water associated with the Proposed Action would not be used to convert land that has been fallowed and untilled for three or more years, and the land use patterns of cultivated or fallowed fields that have some value to listed species or birds protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act would not change. Based upon the nature of the Proposed Action, and with the implementation of the provided avoidance measures, Reclamation has determined there would be No Effect to proposed or listed species or critical habitat under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. §1531 et seq.) and No Take of birds protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. §703 et seq.).

Cumulative Impacts

As the Proposed Action would not result in any direct or indirect impacts to federally listed, proposed, or candidate species, or critical habitat, it would not contribute cumulatively to any impacts to these resources.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Section 4 Consultation and Coordination

4.1 Public Review Period

Reclamation provided the public with an opportunity to comment on the Draft FONSI and Draft EA during a 7 day public review period. One comment letter was received from Arvin-Edison Water Storage District. The comment letter and Reclamation's response to comments is included in Appendix A.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Section 5 Preparers and Reviewers

Bureau of Reclamation

Jennifer L. Lewis Natural Resources Specialist, SCCAO Lisa Carlson, Biology Technician, SCCAO Scott Williams, Archaeologist, MP-153 Rain L. Emerson, Supervisory Natural Resources Specialist, SCCAO – reviewer George Bushard, Repayment Specialist, SCCAO – reviewer David E. Hyatt, Resources Management Division Chief, SCCAO – reviewer

District

Nicholas I. Keller, Staff Engineer, Keller/Wegley Engineering

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Section 6 References

CNDDB (California Natural Diversity Database). 2015. California Department of Fish and Wildlife's Natural Diversity Database, Version 3.1.1. RareFind 3. Last Updated May 2015.

State of California. 2014. Governor Brown Declares Drought State of Emergency, Press Releases January 17, 2014, and December 22, 2014. Website: http://gov.ca.gov/news.php?id=18368.

State of California. 2015a. Governor Brown Directs First Ever Statewide Mandatory Water Reductions. Press Release April, 1, 2015. Website: http://gov.ca.gov/news.php?id=18910.

State of California. 2015b. Senior Water Rights Curtailed in Delta, San Joaquin & Sacramento Watersheds. Website: http://ca.gov/drought/topstory/top-story-37.html

Appendix A

Comment Letter and Reclamation's Response to Comments



ARVIN-EDISON WATER STORAGE DISTRICT

July 21, 2015

Via Electronic Mail (illewis@usbr.gov) Via Facsimile (559) 487-5116

DIRECTORS Edwin A. Camp President Jeffrey G. Giumarra Vice President John C. Moore Secretary/Treasurer Howard R. Frick Ronald R. Lehr

Dennis B. Johnston Charles Fanucchi Catalino M. Martinez Kevin E. Pascoe

STAFF Steven C. Collup Engineer-Manager David A. Nixon Assistant Manager Jeevan S. Muhar Staff Engineer Christ P. Krauter General Superintendent Jennifer Lewis United States Department of the Interior BUREAU OF RECLAMATION 1243 N. Street Fresno CA, 93721

Re: Stone Corral Irrigation District Warren Act Approval draft FONSI and EA 15-031 Comments

Dear Ms. Lewis:

Thank you for providing Arvin-Edison Water Storage District (AEWSD) the opportunity to comment on the Stone Corral Irrigation District Five (5) Year Warren Act Approval draft Environmental Assessment and Findings of No Significant Impact (EA/FONSI-15-031) regarding the proposed Warren Act

Contract involving Non-Project Kaweah River water supplies (Project) for up to 1,000 acre-feet per year (AF/yr) for a 5-year period.

AEWSD is generally supportive of water management programs such as described in the EA/FONSI. We do, however, request clarification and/or additions to the final EA/FONSI on the following points.

FONSI Findings in Water Resources section (page 3) states the following:

Non-CVP water introduced into the Friant-Kern Canal must meet Reclamation's then current water quality requirements prior to approval for conveyance (see Appendix C for Reclamation's existing water quality requirements and monitoring plan). If testing under the monitoring program shows that the water does not meet the standards, Stone Corral Irrigation District would not be allowed to introduce the non-CVP water into the Friant-Kern Canal until water quality concerns are addressed. This water quality monitoring program is anticipated to adequately protect the quality of water in the canal and limit degradation of other users' supplies.

The referenced Water Quality guidelines fall considerable short in protecting the quality of water. In addition, the Kaweah River water quality information was NOT included in the EA/FONSI and subsequently a comparison of water qualities between Friant-Kern Canal (FKC) and Kaweah River water is silent thus questioning the degradation analysis.

AEWSD-1

Jennifer Lewis July 21, 2015 Page 2

Water Quality Guidelines (2008 versus 2014 versions)

The majority of AEWSD's water quality concerns were addressed as a result of the "updated" Water Quality (WQ) Guidelines dated March 21, 2014 and contained in EA/FONSI 14-011.

However, AEWSD now understands USBR has reverted back to the archaic and deficient March 7, 2008 version, upon which AEWSD has extensively commented on in the past, and which comments are hereby incorporated.

AEWSD's primary concerns with the March 2008 WQ Guidelines remain as follows:

- Guidelines address only "non-project water" but should include all sources of introduced water supplies that are NOT chemically the same as project water
- Title 22 standards generally are not protective of the water quality for irrigation uses
- Guidelines do not adequately protect downstream users from significant water quality impacts as there are no in-canal standards for turbidity and salinity
- Type B water has to "generally" comply with Title 22, but may exceed Title 22 for certain constituents of concern as determined by Reclamation and Friant Water Authority on a case-by-case basis
- Type C water is not required to meet any water quality requirements as it is erroneously stated to be "physically the same as Project water." However, this is a misstatement because State Water Project water does not originate from Millerton Lake and is not chemically the same as FKC water

Limits of Degradation

No detailed information about protection of constituent's and associated degradation of existing water supplies or to what extent degradation is allowed was described in the EA/FONSI.

Perhaps the USBR is not aware of ominous water quality regulations currently being pursued by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Board including but not limited to Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program (ILRP) and CVSALTS Program. AEWSD also introduces water into the State Water Project California Aqueduct and administers a pump-in program that is highly regulated to limit degradation of certain constituents of concern (i.e. arsenic, chloride, chromium, nitrate, uranium, etc).

AEWSD has preliminarily been classified as a "high vulnerability" area in the ILRP, which program deals with nitrate (NO₃) loading on agricultural irrigated land. The CVSALTS Program is envisioned to limit the salt (TDS and/or EC) loading on agricultural irrigated land. As you are aware, the FKC quality is a large part of fresh snowmelt off of the Sierra Nevada's and has been described by USBR as being a "pristine" source. AEWSD relies heavily on receiving its contracted supply from Millerton Lake and the Guidelines currently do not adequately protect this pristine supply.

AEWSD-2

AEWSD-3

Jennifer Lewis July 21, 2015 Page 3

Reference to AEWSD's Contract

While the United States does not warrant the quality of water delivered to a contractor, the United States is obligated to operate and maintain project facilities in the most practical manner to maintain the quality of the water at the highest level possible.

Furthermore, the water supplied to AEWSD pursuant to its repayment contract is Central Valley Project Water stored or flowing through Millerton Lake. Indeed, the definition of Class 1 water is defined as "that supply of water stored in or flowing through Millerton Lake..."

Water that is stored in or flowing through Millerton Lake is pristine Sierra Nevada snowmelt and, as such, relied upon by AEWSD to maintain its water quality. The Project as proposed will displace and degrade AEWSD's contractual supply.

Thank you, and again we appreciate the opportunity to provide input into your Project. If you have questions or comments, please contact me.

Sincerely.

Steve Collup Engineer-Manager

Enclosure

cc: Jeevan Muhar, Staff Engineer

Michael Jackson, USBR Chris Eacock, USBR Scott Taylor, USBR

SCC:JSM:sj\AEWSD\USBR\Envir.Docs\Stone Corral Kaweah Pump-in Warren Act Contract 07.18.15.docx

AFWSD-4

Response to Arvin-Edison Water Storage District (AEWSD) Comment Letter, July 21, 2015

AEWSD-1 AEWSD's concern about poor quality water supplies being introduced into the Friant-Kern Canal is noted. As stated in Table 2-1 of Environmental Assessment (EA)-15-031 and described in Section 3.2.2, Stone Corral Irrigation District is required to comply with Reclamation's then-current water quality requirements. If the quality of the Non-CVP Kaweah River water does not meet Reclamation's criteria it will not be approved for introduction into the Friant-Kern Canal. In addition, the implementation of monitoring thresholds during introductions based on field measurements pursuant to Reclamation's then-current water quality monitoring plan allows for rapid assessment of degradation caused by introduction of non-CVP water and termination of pumping as needed to maintain water quality for all downstream users. Reclamation reserves the right to determine what constitutes substantial degradation. Reclamation is working with the Friant Water Authority to determine the thresholds for degradation, such as a limit on increase of salinity and/or turbidity in the canal caused by non-CVP introductions. We will consider comments from AEWSD and others in setting these thresholds.

As described in Sections 2.1 and 3.2.1, the source of non-CVP water proposed for introduction into the Friant-Kern Canal is Kaweah River water which originates from the Sierra Nevada Mountains as does the CVP water that flows in the Friant-Kern Canal from Millerton Lake. Although specific Kaweah River water quality constituents was not included in EA-15-031, as stated previously, Reclamation requires that all non-CVP water be tested prior to introduction into its facilities to ensure that any non-CVP water meets our established criteria.

In addition, as described in Section 2.1, an operational exchange would be necessary to deliver the non-CVP water to Stone Corral Irrigation District as its turnouts are located upstream of the introduction point (MP 69.13). Under the operational exchange, the non-CVP water would be introduced at MP 69.13 on the Friant-Kern Canal and then directly delivered to Terra Bella Irrigation District immediately downstream of the introduction point rather than flow down the length of the canal. In exchange, a like amount of Terra Bella Irrigation District's CVP water from Millerton Lake would be delivered to Stone Corral Irrigation District for existing agricultural use.

- **AEWSD-2** See Response to AEWSD-1.
- **AEWSD-3** See Response to AEWSD-1.
- **AEWSD-4** See Response to AEWSD-1.

Appendix B Cultural Resources Determination

CULTURAL RESOURCES COMPLIANCE Division of Environmental Affairs Cultural Resources Branch (MP-153)

MP-153 Tracking Number: 15-SCAO-170

Project Name: Stone Corral Irrigation District Warren Act Approval

NEPA Document: EA-15-031

NEPA Contact: Jennifer Lewis, Natural Resource Specialist

MP 153 Cultural Resources Reviewer: Scott Williams, Archaeologist

Date: June 17, 2015

Reclamation proposes to issue a five year Warren Act approval) to Stone Corral Irrigation District under Article 18 of their Repayment Contract. This is the type of undertaking that does not have the potential to cause effects to historic properties, should such properties be present, pursuant to the NHPA Section 106 regulations codified at 36 CFR § 800.3(a)(1). Reclamation has no further obligations under NHPA Section 106, pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.3(a)(1).

Under the Proposed Action, up to 1,000 AF of non-CVP surface water would be released from Terminus Dam and pumped from the Upper Wutchumna Ditch on the Kaweah River for annual introduction into the Friant Kern Canal. As Stone Corral Irrigation District is located upstream of the introduction point, an operational exchange with Terra Bella Irrigation District (located downstream of the introduction point) is also proposed. Under the operational exchange, Stone Corral Irrigation District would take delivery of Terra Bella Irrigation District's CVP water from Millerton Lake at MP 57.90, 59.33, 60.90 and 62.68, in lieu of the non-CVP surface water. In exchange, Terra Bella Irrigation District would take Stone Corral Irrigation District's purchased non-CVP surface water from their existing turnouts located downstream of the point of introduction. No new construction or modification of existing facilities may occur in order to complete the Proposed Action.

After reviewing documentation provided within EA-15-031, Reclamation has concluded this action would not have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places. This document serves as notification that Section 106 compliance has been completed for this undertaking. Please note that if project activities subsequently change, additional NHPA Section 106 review, including further consultation with the SHPO, may be required.

This document is intended to convey the completion of the NHPA Section 106 process for this undertaking. Please retain a copy in the administrative record for this action. Should changes be made to this project, additional NHPA Section 106 review, possibly including consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, may be necessary. Thank you for providing the opportunity to comment.

Appendix C

Reclamation's Water Quality Requirements and Monitoring Plan

RECLAMATION

Managing Water in the West

Policy for Accepting Non-Project Water into the Friant-Kern and Madera Canals Water Quality Monitoring Requirements



Friant-Kern Canal in Tulare County (Credit: Ted Holzem, Mintier & Associates)



U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Reclamation Mid-Pacific Region

March 7, 2008

United States Bureau of Reclamation South-Central California Area Office and Friant Water Authority

Policy for Accepting Non-Project Water into the Friant-Kern and Madera Canals Water Quality Monitoring Requirements

This Policy describes the approval process, implementation procedures, and responsibilities of a Contractor requesting permission from the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) to introduce non-project water into the Friant-Kern and Madera Canals, features of the Friant Division of the Central Valley Project (CVP). The monitoring requirements contained herein are intended to ensure that water quality is protected and that domestic and agricultural water users are not adversely impacted by the introduction of non-project water. The discharge of non-project water shall not in any way limit the ability of either Reclamation or the Friant Water Authority (Authority) to operate and maintain the Canals for their intended purposes nor shall it adversely impact existing contracts or any other agreements. The discharge of non-project water into the Canals will be permissible only when there is excess capacity in the system as determined by the Authority and or Reclamation.

The Contractor shall be responsible for securing other requisite Federal, State or local permits.

Reclamation, in cooperation with the Authority, will consider all proposals to convey non-project water based upon this Policy's water quality criteria and implementation procedures established in this document. Table 1 provides a summary of the Policy's water quality monitoring requirements.

This policy is subject to review and modification by Reclamation and the Authority. Reclamation and the Authority reserve the right to change the water quality monitoring requirements for any non-project water to be conveyed in the Friant-Kern and Madera Canals.

A. Types of Non-Project Water

This policy recognizes three types of non-project water with distinct requirements for water quality monitoring.

1. "Type A" Non-Project Water

Water for which analytical testing demonstrates complete compliance with California drinking water standards (Title 22)¹, plus other constituents of concern recommended by the California Department of Health Services. Type A water must be tested every year for the full list of

^{1.} Title 22. The Domestic Water Quality and Monitoring Regulations specified by the State of California Health and Safety Code (Sections 4010-4037), and Administrative Code (Sections 64401 et seq.), as amended.

constituents listed in Table 2. No in-prism (within the Canal) monitoring is required to convey Type A water.

2. "Type B" Non-Project Water

Water that generally complies with Title 22, but may exceed the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for certain inorganic constituents of concern to be determined by Reclamation and the Authority on a case-by-case basis. This water may be discharged into the Canal over short-intervals. Type B water shall be tested every year for the full list of constituents in Table 2, and more frequently for the identified constituents of concern. Flood Water and Ground Water are Type B non-project water.

Type B water may not be pumped into the Friant-Kern Canal within a half-mile upstream of a delivery point to a CVP Municipal and Industrial contractor. At this time, there are no M & I Contractors served from the Madera Canal.

The introduction of Type B water into the Friant-Kern and Madera Canals will require regular in-prism monitoring to confirm that the CVP water delivered to downstream customers is suitable in quality for their needs. The location, frequency, and parameters of in-prism monitoring will be determined by Reclamation and the Authority on a case-by-case basis.

3. "Type C" Non-Project Water

Type C Water is non-project water that originates in the same source as CVP water but that has not been appropriated by the United States. For example, non-project water from a tributary within the upper San Joaquin River watershed, such as the Soquel Diversion from Willow Creek above Bass Lake, is Type C water. Another example is State Water Project water pumped from the California Aqueduct and Cross Valley Canal into the lower Friant-Kern Canal. No water quality analyses are required to convey Type C water through the Friant-Kern or Madera Canals because it is physically the same as Project water.

B. Authorization

The Warren Act (Act of February 21, 1911, ch. 141, 36 Stat. 925), as supplemented by Section 305 of Public Law 102-250, authorizes Reclamation to contract for the carriage and storage of non-project water when excess capacity is available in Federal water facilities. The terms of this Policy are also based on the requirements of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.), the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-205), the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA, 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the Reclamation Act of 1902 (June 17, 1902 as amended), and the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-523, amended 1986) and Title XXIV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustments Act of 1992 (P.L. 102-575, 106 Stat 4600).

C. General Requirements for Discharge of Non-Project Water

1. Contract Requirements

A Contractor wishing to discharge non-project water into the Friant-Kern or Madera Canals must first execute a contract with Reclamation. The contract may be negotiated with Reclamation's South Central California Area Office (SCCAO) in Fresno.

2. Facility Licensing

Each non-project water discharge facility must be licensed by Reclamation and the Authority. The license for erection and maintenance of structures may be negotiated with the SCCAO.

3. Prohibition When the Canal is Empty

Non-project shall not be conveyed in the Friant-Kern or Madera Canals during periods when the canal is de-watered for maintenance.

D. Non-Project Discharge, Water Quality, and Monitoring Program Requirements

1. General Discharge Approval Requirements

Each source of non-project water must be correctly sampled, completely analyzed, and be approved by Reclamation prior to introduction into the Friant-Kern or Madera Canals. The Contractor shall pay the cost of collection and analyses of the non-project water required under this policy².

2. Water Quality Sampling and Analyses

Each source of Type A and B non-project water must be tested every year for the complete list of constituents of concern and bacterial organisms listed in Table 2. The analytical laboratory must be approved by Reclamation (Table 3).

3. Water Quality Reporting Requirements

Water quality analytical results must be reported to the Contracting Officer for review.

4. Type B Water Quality Monitoring

Reclamation will provide a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) that will describe the protocols and methods for sampling and analysis of Type B non-project water.

^{2.} Reclamation will pay for the collection and analyses of quarterly baseline samples collected at Friant Dam and Lake Woolomes.

The program may include sampling of canal water upstream and downstream of the Contractor's discharge point into the Friant-Kern or Madera Canal. The location of samples, and the duration and frequency of sampling, and the list of constituents to be analyzed, may be changed upon review of measured trends in concentration of those constituents of concern.

E. Control of Water Quality in the Friant Division

The quality of CVP water will be considered impaired if the conveyance of the Contractor's non-project water is causing the quality of CVP water to exceed a maximum contaminant level specified in Title 22 (Table 2).

Reclamation, in consultation with the Authority, will direct the Contractor to stop the discharge of non-project water from this source into the Friant-Kern or Madera Canal.

F. Baseline Water Quality Analysis

Every four months, Reclamation will collect samples of water from the Friant-Kern Canal near Friant Dam and near Lake Woolomes. These samples will be analyzed for Title 22 and many other constituents. The purpose of theses samples is to identify the baseline quality of water in the canal. No direct analysis within the Madera Canal will be conducted at this time.

The cost of this analysis will be borne by Reclamation under the CVP Baseline water quality monitoring program.

G. Water Quality Data Review and Management

All water quality data must be sent to Reclamation for review, verification, and approval. All water quality data will be entered into a database to be maintained by Reclamation. All field notes and laboratory water quality analytical reports will be kept by the Authority. All water quality data will be available upon request to the Contractor and other interested parties.

Definitions

CVP or Project water

Water that has been appropriated by the United States for the Friant Division of the CVP. The source of Project water in the Friant Division is the San Joaquin River watershed.

Non-project water

Water that has not been appropriated by the United States for the Friant Division of the CVP. This includes groundwater, and surface water from other streams and rivers that cross the Friant-Kern and Madera Canals, such as Wutchumna Ditch.

Maximum Contaminant Level

Usually reported in milligrams per liter (parts per million) or micrograms per liter (parts per billion).

Non-project discharge system

The pipe and pumps from which non-project water enters the Friant Division.

Title 22

The Domestic Water Quality and Monitoring Regulations specified by the State of California Health and Safety Code (Sections 4010-4037), and Administrative Code (Sections 64401 et seq.), as amended.

Type A water

This is non-project water that meets California drinking water standards. This water must be tested every year for the full list of Title 22 constituents. No in-stream monitoring is required to convey Type A water in the Friant Division.

Type B water

This is non-project water that has constituents that may exceed the California drinking water standards. This water must be tested every year for the full list of Title 22 constituents, plus annually for constituents of concern. Field monitoring is required of each source and of water upstream and downstream of the discharge point.

Type C water

This is non-project water from the same watershed as Project water that has not been appropriated by the United States for the Central Valley Project. Water from Soquel Creek diversion or the State Water Project are Type C water. No water quality analyses are required to convey this water in the Friant-Kern Canal.

Table 1. Water Quality Monitoring Requirements in the Friant DivisionTable 2. Title 22 California Drinking Water StandardsTable 3. List of Labs Approved by Reclamation

Table 1. Water Quality Monitoring Requirements - Friant Division, Central Valley Project

| Type of Water | Location | How often will a sample be collected? | What will be measured in the water? | Who will collect samples? |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Project Water | Friant Lake Woolomes | January, April, June, October January, April, June, October | Title 22 and bacterial constituents (1) (2) Title 22 and bacterial constituents (1) (2) | Reclamation, MP-157 Reclamation, MP-157 |
| Type A Non-Proje | ect Water | Every year | Title 22 and bacterial constituents (1) (2) | Contractor |
| Type B Non-Project Water | | Every year Every month (5) Every week (5) | Title 22 and bacterial constituents (1) (2) Constituents of concern (5) EC, turbidity, etc.(3) (5) | Contractor Contractor Friant Water Authority |
| Type C Non-Proj | ect Water | None required | | |
| Project water | Upstream of each Type B discharge (4) Downstream of each Type B discharge (4) | Every week (5) Every week (5) | EC, turbidity, etc.(3) (5) EC, turbidity, etc.(3) (5) | Friant Water Authority Friant Water Authority |

Notes:

This water quality monitoring program is subject to change at any time by the Contracting Officer.

Revised: 08/16/2007 SCC-107

⁽¹⁾ California Department of Health Services, California Code of Regulations, Title 22, Division 4, Chapter 15, Domestic Water Quality and Monitoring, http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/ddwem/publications/Regulations/regulations_index.htm.

⁽²⁾ Cryptosporidium, Giardia, total coliform bacteria

⁽³⁾ Field measurements.

⁽⁴⁾ Location to be determined by the Contracting Officer

⁽⁵⁾ To be determined by the Contracting Officer, if necessary.

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
Friant Water Authority
Friant Division, California
Water Quality Monitoring Requirements

Table 2a. Water Quality Constituents

| CONSTITUENT OR PARAMETER | Units | Recommended Method | California DHS Maximum Contaminant Level | | CAS Registry Number |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|----|---------------------------|
| Primary Constituents (CCR § 64431) | | | | | |
| Aluminum | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 1,000 | 1 | 7429-90-5 |
| Antimony | μg/L | EPA 200.8 | 6 | 1 | 7440-36-0 |
| Arsenic | μg/L | EPA 200.8 | 10 | 16 | 7440-38-2 |
| Asbestos | $MFL > 10 \mu m$ | EPA 100.2 | 7 | 1 | 1332-21-4 |
| Barium | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 1,000 | 1 | 7440-39-3 |
| Beryllium | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 4 | 1 | 7440-41-7 |
| Cadmium | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 5 | 1 | 7440-43-9 |
| Chromium | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 50 | 1 | 7440-47-3 |
| Cyanide | μg/L | EPA 335.4 | 150 | 1 | 57-12-5 |
| Fluoride | mg/L | EPA 300.1 | 2 | 1 | 16984-48-8 |
| Mercury (inorganic) | μg/L | EPA 245.1 | 2 | 1 | 7439-97-6 |
| Nickel | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 100 | 1 | 7440-02-0 |
| Nitrate (as NO3) | mg/L | EPA 300.1 | 45 | 1 | 7727-37-9 |
| Total Nitrate + Nitrite (as Nitrogen) | mg/L | EPA 353.2 | 10 | 1 | |
| Nitrite (as Nitrogen) | mg/L | EPA 300.1 | 1 | 1 | 14797-65-0 |
| Selenium | μg/L | EPA 200.8 | 50 | 1 | 7782-49-2 |
| Thallium | μg/L | EPA 200.8 | 2 | 1 | 7440-28-0 |
| Secondary Constituents (CCR § 64449) | | | | | |
| Aluminum | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 200 | 6 | 7429-90-5 |
| Chloride | mg/L | EPA 300.1 | 250/500/600 | 7 | 16887-00-6 |
| Color | units | SM 2120 B | 15 | 6 | |
| Copper | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 1,000 | 6 | 7440-50-8 |
| Foaming agents (MBAS) | mg/L | SM 5540 C | 0.5 | 6 | |
| Iron | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 300 | 6 | 7439-89-6 |
| Manganese | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 50 | 6 | 7439-96-5 |
| Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MtBE) | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 5 | 6 | 1634-04-4 |
| Odor - Threshold | threshold units | SM 2150 B | 3 | 6 | |
| Silver | μg/L | EPA 200.7 | 100 | 6 | 7440-22-4 |
| Specific conductance (EC) | μS/cm | SM 2510 B | 900/1600/2200 | 7 | |
| Sulfate | mg/L | EPA 300.1 | 250/500/600 | 7 | 14808-79-8 |
| Thiobencarb | μg/L | EPA 525.2 | 1 | 6 | 28249-77-6 |
| Total dissolved solids (TDS) | mg/L | SM 2540 C | 500/1000/1500 | 7 | |
| Turbidity | NTU | EPA 180.1 | 5 | 6 | |
| Zinc | mg/L | EPA 200.7 | 5 | 6 | 7440-66-6 |

Table 2a. Water Quality Constituents

| CONSTITUENT | | Recommended | California DHS Maximum | CAS Registry | |
|---|------------------------------|---|---|------------------|---|
| OR PARAMETER | Units | Method | Contaminant Level | | Number |
| Other required analyses (CCR § 64449 (l | b)(2); CCR § 64670) | | | | |
| Bicarbonate | mg/L | SM 2320B | | 8 | |
| Calcium | mg/L | SM3111B | | 8,12 | 7440-70-2 |
| Carbonate | mg/L | SM 2320B | | 8 | |
| Copper | mg/L | EPA 200.7 | 1.3 | 14 | 7440-50-8 |
| Hardness | mg/L | SM 2340 B | | 8 | |
| Hydroxide alkalinity | mg/L | SM 2320B | | 8,12 | |
| Lead | mg/L | EPA 200.8 | 0.015 | 14 | 7439-92-1 |
| Magnesium | mg/L | EPA 200.7 | | 8 | 7439-95-4 |
| Orthophosphate | mg/L | EPA 365.1 | | 12 | |
| pH | units | EPA 150.1 | | 8,12 | |
| Silica | mg/L | EPA 200.7 | | 12 | |
| Sodium | mg/L | EPA 200.7 | | 8 | 7440-23-5 |
| Temperature | degrees C | SM 2550 | | 12 | |
| • | ŭ | | | | |
| Radiochemistry (CCR § 64442) | | | | | |
| Radioactivity, Gross Alpha | pCi/L | SM 7110C | 15 | 3 | |
| licrobiology | | | | | |
| Cryptosporidium | org/liter | | No MCL, measure for | oresence | (surface water o |
| Fecal Coliform | MPN/100ml | | No MCL, measure for | oresence | (surface water o |
| Giardia | org/liter | | No MCL, measure for | | |
| Total Coliform bacteria | MPN/100ml | | No MCL, measure for presence (surface water | | |
| Organic Constituents (CCR § 64444) | | | | | |
| EPA 504.1 method | | | | | |
| Dibromochloropropane (DBCP) μg/L | | EPA 504.1 | 0.2 | 4 | 96-12-8 |
| Ethylene dibromide (EDB) | μg/L | EPA 504.1 | 0.05 | 4 | 206-93-4 |
| PA 505 | | | | | |
| Chlordane | μg/L | EPA 505 | 0.1 | 4 | 57-74-9 |
| Endrin | μg/L | EPA 505 | 2 | 4 | 72-20-8 |
| Heptachlor | μg/L | EPA 505 | 0.01 | 4 | 76-44-8 |
| Heptachlor epoxide | μg/L | EPA 505 | 0.01 | 4 | 1024-57-3 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | | EPA 505 | 1 | 4 | 440.74.4 |
| | µg/∟ | LI / 303 | | | 118-74-1 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | μg/L μg/L | EPA 505 | 50 | 4 | 77-47-4 |
| | μg/L | EPA 505 | 50 0.2 | 4 | |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | μg/L μg/L | EPA 505 EPA 505 | | | 77-47-4 |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) Methoxychlor | μg/L μg/L μg/L | EPA 505 | 0.2 | 4 | 77-47-4 58-89-9 |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) | µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L | EPA 505 EPA 505 EPA 505 | 0.2 30 | 4 4 | 77-47-4 58-89-9 72-43-5 |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) Methoxychlor Polychlorinated biphenyls Toxaphene | μg/L μg/L μg/L | EPA 505 EPA 505 EPA 505 EPA 505 | 0.2 30 0.5 | 4 4 4 | 77-47-4 58-89-9 72-43-5 1336-36-3 |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) Methoxychlor Polychlorinated biphenyls Toxaphene EPA 508 Method | µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L | EPA 505 EPA 505 EPA 505 EPA 505 EPA 505 | 0.2 30 0.5 3 | 4 4 4 | 77-47-4 58-89-9 72-43-5 1336-36-3 |
| Lindane (gamma-BHC) Methoxychlor Polychlorinated biphenyls | µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L | EPA 505 EPA 505 EPA 505 EPA 505 | 0.2 30 0.5 | 4 4 4 4 | 77-47-4 58-89-9 72-43-5 1336-36-3 8001-35-2 |

Table 2a. Water Quality Constituents

| California DHS | | CAS |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| mended Maximum thod Contaminant Level | | Registry Number |
| diod Contaminant Level | | Number |
| | | |
| A 515 18 | 4 | 25057-89-0 |
| 515.1-4 70 | 4 | 94-75-7 |
| 515.1-4 200 | 4 | 75-99-0 |
| 515.1-4 7 | 4 | 88-85-7 |
| 515.1-4 1 | 4 | 87-86-5 |
| 515.1-4 500 | 4 | 1918-02-1 |
| 515.1-4 50 | 4 | 93-72-1 |
| | | |
| 524.2 | 4 | 71-43-2 |
| 524.2 0.5 | 4 | 56-23-5 |
| 524.2 0.05 | | 106-93-4 |
| 524.2 600 | 4 | 95-50-1 |
| 524.2 5 | 4 | 106-46-7 |
| 524.2 5 | 4 | 75-34-3 |
| 524.2 0.5 | 4 | 107-06-2 |
| 524.2 | 4 | 75-35-4 |
| 524.2 | 4 | 156-59-2 |
| 524.2 | 4 | 156-60-5 |
| 524.2 5 | 4 | 75-09-2 |
| 524.2 5 | 4 | 78-87-5 |
| 524.2 0.5 | 4 | 542-75-6 |
| 524.2 300 | 4 | 100-41-4 |
| 524.2 | 4 | 1634-04-4 |
| 524.2 70 | 4 | 108-90-7 |
| 524.2 100 | 4 | 100-42-5 |
| 524.2 | 4 | 79-34-5 |
| 524.2 5 | 4 | 127-18-4 |
| 524.2 150 | 4 | 108-88-3 |
| 524.2 5 | 4 | 120-82-1 |
| 524.2 200 | 4 | 71-55-6 |
| 524.2 5 | 4 | 79-00-5 |
| 524.2 5 | 4 | 79-01-6 |
| 524.2 150 | 4 | 75-69-4 |
| 524.2 1,200 | 4 | 76-13-1 |
| 524.2 80 | 10 | 70 10 1 |
| 524.2 0.5 | 4 | 75-01-4 |
| 524.2 0.5 524.2 1,750 | 4 | 1330-20-7 |
| 1,730 | 7 | 1000-20-7 |
| 525.2 0.2 | 4 | 50-32-8 |
| 525.2 0.2 | 4 | 103-23-1 |
| | 4 | 117-81-7 |
| | | 2212-67-1 |
| | | 28249-77-6 |
| 525.2 /0 | 4 | 20249-11-0 |
| 504.4.0 | 4 | 4500.00.0 |
| | | 1563-66-2 23135-22-0 |
| | 525.2 20 525.2 70 531.1-2 18 531.1-2 50 | 525.2 70 4 531.1-2 18 4 |

Table 2a. Water Quality Constituents

| CONSTITUENT OR PARAMETER | Recommende Units Method | | California DHS Maximum Contaminant Level | | CAS Registry Number | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--|---|---------------------------|--|
| EPA 547 Method | | | | | | |
| Glyphosate | μg/L | EPA 547 | 700 | 4 | 1071-83-6 | |
| EPA 548.1 Method | | | | | | |
| Endothal | μg/L | EPA 548.1 | 100 | 4 | 145-73-3 | |
| EPA 549.2 Method | | | | | | |
| Diquat | μg/L | EPA 549.2 | 20 | 4 | 85-00-7 | |
| EPA 613 Method | | | | | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin) | μg/L | EPA 1613 | 0.00003 | 4 | 1746-01-6 | |

Source Data:

Adapted from Marshack, Jon B. August 2003. A Compilation of Water Quality Goals. Prepared for the California Environmental Protection Agency, Regional Water Quality Control Board.

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
Friant Water Authority
Friant Division, California
Water Quality Monitoring Requirements

Table 2b. Unregulated Chemicals (CCR § 64450)

| | | | California Departn | nent of | Health Services | CAS |
|----------------------------------|-------|----------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|------------|
| CONSTITUENT | | Recommended | | | | Registr |
| OR PARAMETER | Units | Method | Notification Level | | Response Level | Numbe |
| Boron | mg/L | EPA 200.7 | 1 | 9, 17 | 10 | 7440-42-8 |
| n-Butylbenzene | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 260 | 17 | 2,600 | 104-51-8 |
| sec-Butylbenzene | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 260 | 17 | 2,600 | 135-98-8 |
| tert-Butylbenzene | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 260 | 17 | 2,600 | 98-06-6 |
| Carbon disulfide | μg/L | 2177021.2 | 160 | 17 | 1,600 | 00 00 0 |
| Chlorate | μg/L | EPA 300.1 | 0.8 | 17 | 8 | |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 140 | 17 | 1,400 | 95-49-8 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 140 | 17 | 1,400 | 106-43-4 |
| Dichlorofluoromethane (Freon 12) | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 1,000 | 9,17 | 10,000 | 75-43-4 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | μg/L | SM 8270 | 3 | 17 | 300 | 123-91-1 |
| Ethylene glycol | μg/L | SM 8015 | 1,400 | 17 | 14,000 | 107-21-1 |
| Formaldehyde | μg/L | SM 6252 | 100 | 17 | 1,000 | 50-00-0 |
| n-Propylbenzene | μg/L | o o2o2 | 260 | 17 | 2,600 | 00 00 0 |
| HMX | μg/L | SM 8330 | 350 | 17 | 3,500 | 2691-41-0 |
| sopropylbenzene | μg/L | J 5555 | 770 | 17 | 7,700 | 2001 41 0 |
| Vanganese | mg/L | | 1 | 17 | 5 | |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | μg/L | | 120 | 17 | 1,200 | |
| Napthalene | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 17 | 17 | 170 | 91-20-3 |
| n-nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA) | μg/L | 1625 | 0.01 | 17 | 0.1 | |
| n-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) | μg/L | 1625 | 0.01 | 17 | 0.2 | |
| n-nitroso-n-propylamine (NDPA) | μg/L | 1625 | 0.01 | 17 | 0.5 | |
| Perchlorate | μg/L | EPA 314 | 6 | 9, 17 | 60 | 13477-36-6 |
| Propachlor | μg/L | EPA 507 or 525 | 90 | 17 | 900 | 1918-16-7 |
| o-Isopropyltoluene | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 770 | 17 | 7,700 | 99-87-6 |
| RDX | μg/L | SM 8330 | 0.30 | 17 | 30 | 121-82-4 |
| ert-Butyl alcohol (ethanol) | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 12 | 9,17 | 1,200 | 75-65-0 |
| I,2,3-Trichloropropane (TCP) | ug/L | EPA 524.2 | 0.005 | 9,17 | 0.5 | 96-18-4 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 330 | 17 | 3,300 | 95-63-6 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | μg/L | EPA 524.2 | 330 | 17 | 3,300 | 95-63-6 |
| 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene (TNT) | μg/L | SM 8330 | 1 | 17 | 100 | |
| Vanadium | mg/L | EPA 286.1 | 0.05 | 9,17 | 0.5 | 7440-62-2 |

Revised: 05/17/2007

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
Friant Water Authority
Friant Division, California
Water Quality Monitoring Requirements

Notes for Tables 2a and 2b

Title 22. California Code of Regulations, California Safe Drinking Water Act and Related Laws and Regulations. February 2007. http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/ddwem/publications/lawbook/PDFs/dwregulations-02-06-07.pdf

- [1] Table 64431-A. Maximum Contaminant Levels, Inorganic Chemicals
- [2] Table 64432-A. Detection Limits for Purpose of Reporting (DLRs) for Regulated Inorganic Chemicals
- [3] Table 644442. Radionuclide Maximum contaminant Levels (MCLs) and Detection Levels for Reporting (DLRs)
- [4] Table 64444-A. Maximum Contaminant Levels Organic Chemicals
- [5] Table 64445.1-A. Detection Limits for Reporting (DLRs) for Regulated Organic Chemicals
- [6] Table 64449-A. Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels "Consumer Acceptance Levels"
- [7] Table 64449-B. Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels "Consumer Acceptance Levels"
- [8] § 64449(b)(2)
- [9] Table 64450. Unregulated Chemicals
- [10] Appendix 64481-A. Typical Origins of Contaminants with Primary MCLs
- [11] Table 64533-A. Maximum Contaminant Levels and Detection Limits for Reporting Disinfection Byproducts
- [12] § 64670.(c)
- [13] Table 64678-A. DLRs for Lead and Copper
- [14] § 64678 (d)
- [15] § 64678 (e)
- [16] New Federal standard as of 1/23/2006
- [17] Dept Health Services Drinkig Water Notification Levels (June 2006)

RECLAMATION Managing Water in the West

Table 3. Approved Laboratory List for the Mid-Pacific Region Environmental Monitoring Branch (MP-157)

| Basic Laboratory | Address | 2218 Railroad Avenue Redding, CA 96001 USA |
|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 3 | Contact | Nathan Hawley, Melissa Hawley, Ricky Jensen |
| | P/F | (530) 243-7234 / (530) 243-7494 |
| | Email | nhawley@basiclab.com (QAO), mhawley@basiclab.com (PM), jcady@basiclab.com (quotes), |
| | Linan | poilar@basiclab.com (sample custody), khawley@basiclab.com (sample custody) |
| | COLC | |
| | CC Info | nhawley@basiclab.com, jcady@basiclab.com (sample custody) |
| | Methods | Approved only for inorganic parameters (metals, general chemistry) |
| DioVin Analytical | Address | 685 Stone Road Unit 6 Benicia, CA 94510 USA |
| BioVir Analytical | Contact | Rick Danielson, Lab Director |
| Laboratories | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | <u>P/F</u> | (707) 747-5906 / (707) 747-1751 |
| | <u>Email</u> | red@biovir.com, csj@biovir.com, lb@biovir.com, QAO Jim Truscott jrt@biovir.com |
| | Methods | Approved for all biological and pathogenic parameters |
| Block | Address | 2451 Estand Way Pleasant Hill, CA 94523 USA |
| | Contact | David Block |
| Environmental | P/F | (925) 682-7200 / (925) 686-0399 |
| Services | | dblock@blockenviron.com |
| | Email Mothoda | |
| | Methods | Approved for Toxicity Testing. |
| California | Address | 3249 Fitzgerald Road Rancho Cordova, CA 95742 |
| Laboratory | Contact | Raymond Oslowski |
| • | P/F | (916) 638-7301 / (916) 638-4510 |
| Services | Email | rayo@californialab.com |
| | Methods | Approved for Chromium VI |
| Caltest Analytical | Address | 1885 North Kelly Road Napa, CA 94558 |
| • | Contact | Bill Svoboda, Project Manager x29 |
| Laboratory | | (707) 258-4000 / (707) 226-1001 |
| | <u>P/F</u> | bsvoboda@caltestlab.com |
| | Email | |
| | Methods | Approved for all inorganic parameters and bioligical parameters |
| Columbia | Address | 4200 New Haven Road Columbia, MO 65201 USA |
| Environmental | Contact | Tom May, Research Chemist |
| | P/F | (573) 876-1858 / (573) 876-1896 |
| Resource Center | Email | tmay@usgs.gov |
| | Methods | Approved for mercury in biological tissue |
| | | |
| Data Chem | Address | 960 West LeVoy Drive Salt Lake City, UT 84123-2547 USA |
| Laboratories | Contact | Bob DiRienzo, Kevin Griffiths-Project Manager, Rand Potter - Project Manager, asbestos |
| | <u>P/F</u> | (801) 266-7700 / (801) 268-9992 |
| | Email | griffiths@datachem.com, Potter@datachem.com Invoicing: (Justin) pate@datachem.com |
| | Methods | Approved for asbestos, metals, organochlorine pesticides and PCBs in solids |
| Dont of Figh ?- | Address | 2005 Nimbus Road Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 USA |
| Dept. of Fish & | Contact | David B. Crane |
| Game - WPCL | | |
| | <u>P/F</u> | (916) 358-2858 / (916) 985-4301 |
| | <u>Email</u> | dcrane@ospr.dfg.ca.gov |
| | Methods | Approved only for metals analysis in tissue. |
| Frontier | Address | 414 Pontius North Seattle, WA 98109 USA |
| | Contact | Shelly Fank - QA Officer, Matt Gomes-Project Manager |
| Geosciences | P/F | (206) 622-6960 / (206) 622-6870 |
| | | |
| | Email | shellyf@frontiergeosciences.com, mattg@frontiergeosciences.com |
| | Methods | in low level metals analysis. |

| Emrit Charrana | Address | 853 Corporation Street Santa Paula, CA 93060 USA |
|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| Fruit Growers | Contact | David Terz, QA Director |
| Laboratory | P/F | (805) 392-2024 / (805) 525-4172 |
| | Email | davidt@fglinc.com |
| | Methods | Approved for all inorganic and organic parameters in drinking water. |
| 3.5 | 4.11 | 750 D 1 O. l D |
| Montgomery | Address | 750 Royal Oaks Drive Ste. 100 Monrovia, CA 91016 USA Allen Glover (project manager), Bradley Cahoon (quotes) |
| Watson/Harza | Contact P/F | (916) 374-8030, 916-996-5929 (AG-cell) / (916) 374-8061 |
| Laboratories | <u>r/r</u> Email | Allen.Glover@us.mwhglobal.com, Bradley.Cahoon@us.mwhglobal.com |
| | CC Info | cc. Sam on all communications to Allen. Samer.Momani@us.mwhglobal.com |
| | Methods | Approved for all inorganic and organic parameters in drinking water |
| 01 | <u> </u> | CDCH D. 2170 ACC D. 122 D. 122 CD 57007 UCA |
| Olson | Address | SDSU: Box 2170, ACS Rm. 133 Brookings, SD 57007 USA |
| Biochemistry | Contact D/E | Nancy Thiex, Laboratory Director (605) 688-5466 / (605) 688-6295 |
| Laboratories | <u>P/F</u> Email | Nancy.Thiex@sdstate.edu |
| | CC Info | For re-analysis: contact Zelda McGinnis-Schlobohm and Nancy Anderson |
| | CC IIIIO | Zelda.Schobohm@SDSTATE.EDU, Nancy.Anderson@SDSTATE.EDU |
| | | For analysis questions only: just CC. Nancy Anderson |
| | Methods | Approved only for low level selenium analysis. |
| | | |
| Severn Trent | Address | 880 Riverside Parkway West Sacramento, CA 95605 USA |
| Laboratories | Contact D/F | Jeremy Sadler |
| | P/F Email | (916) 374-4381 / (916) 372-1059 isadler@stl-inc.com |
| | Email Mothoda | Approved for all inorganic parameters and hazardous waste organics except for Ammonia as Nitrogen. |
| | Methods | Ag analysis in sediment, when known quantity is present, request 6010B |
| | | |
| Sierra Foothill | Address | 255 Scottsville Blvd, Jackson, CA 95642 |
| Laboratory, Inc. | Contact | Sandy Nurse (Owner) or Dale Gimble (QA Officer) |
| • | <u>P/F</u> | (209) 223-2800 / (209) 223-2747 |
| | Email | sandy@sierralab.com, CC: dale@sierralab.com |
| | <u>Methods</u> | Approved for all inorganic parameters, microbiological parameters, acute and chronic toxicity. |
| Twining | Address | 2527 Fresno Street Fresno, CA 93721 USA |
| Laboratories, Inc. | Contact | Jim Brownfield (QA Officer), Sample Control (for Bottle Orders) |
| | P/F | (559) 268-7021 / (559) 268-0740 |
| | <u>Email</u> | JimB@twining.com cc. to JosephU@twining.com |
| | Methods | Approved only for general chemistry and boron analysis. |
| U.S. Geological | Address | Denver Federal Center Building 20, MS 973 Denver, CO 80225 USA |
| Survey - Denver | Contact | Stephen A. Wilson |
| Survey Benver | P/F | (303) 236-2454 / (303) 236-3200 |
| | Email | swilson@usgs.gov |
| | Methods | Approved only for inorganic parameters in soil . |
| USBR Technical | Address | Denver Federal Center Building 67, D-8750 Denver, CO 80225-0007 USA |
| Service Center | Contact | Juli Fahy or Stan Conway |
| Denver Soils | P/F | (303) 445-2188 / (303) 445-6351 |
| Deliver Soils | <u>Email</u> | jfahy@do.usbr.gov |
| | Methods | Approved only for general physical analysis in soils. |
| Western | Address | 475 East Greg Street # 119 Sparks, NV 89431 USA |
| Environmental | Contact | Ginger Peppard (Customer Service Manager), Andy Smith (Lab Director), Michelle Kramer |
| | P/F | (775) 355-0202 / (775) 355-0817 |
| Testing | | |
| Laboratories | <u>Email</u> | ginger@WETLaboratory.com, andy@WETLaboratory.com, michelle@WETLaboratory.com |

Revised: 04/16/2007 MP-157