

CANYON CREEK SUITE OF REHABILITATION SITES: TRINITY RIVER MILE 73 TO 78

Environmental Assessment/Draft Environmental Impact Report

Volume II: Environmental Assessment/Draft Environmental Impact Report

February 2006

***Project Applicant and Federal
Lead Agency for NEPA***

Trinity River Restoration Program
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation



Federal Cooperating Agencies for NEPA

U.S. Department of Agriculture
Forest Service

U.S. Department of Interior
Bureau of Land Management



California Lead Agency for CEQA

North Coast Regional Water
Quality Control Board



Applicant's Consultant

North State Resources, Inc.



CANYON CREEK SUITE OF REHABILITATION SITES: TRINITY RIVER MILE 73 TO 78

Environmental Assessment/Draft Environmental Impact Report

Volume II: Environmental Assessment/Draft Environmental Impact Report

February 2006

***State Clearinghouse
SCH#2005102025***

Project Applicant and Federal Lead Agency for NEPA

Trinity River Restoration Program
U. S. Department of the Interior – Bureau of Reclamation
P. O. Box 1300
1313 Main Street
Weaverville, CA 96093

Federal Cooperating Agencies for NEPA

U. S. Department of Interior – Bureau of Land Management
Redding Field Office
355 Hemsted Drive
Redding, CA 96002

U. S. Department of Agriculture – United States Forest Service
Shasta-Trinity National Forest
3644 Avtech Parkway
Redding, CA 96002

California Lead Agency for CEQA

North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
5550 Skylane Blvd., Suite A
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Applicant's Consultant:

North State Resources, Inc.
5000 Bechelli Lane, Suite 203
Redding, CA 96002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Environmental Assessment/Draft Environmental Impact Report

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1	Introduction	1-1
1.2	Project History and Background	1-3
1.3	Trinity River Restoration Program.....	1-4
1.3.1	Channel Rehabilitation Activities.....	1-5
1.4	Type of Environmental Document	1-6
1.5	Similarities and Differences between NEPA and CEQA	1-6
1.5.1	NEPA/CEQA Process.....	1-7
1.5.2	Mitigation and Monitoring Program.....	1-8
1.6	Scoping and Public Involvement	1-9
1.6.1	Areas of Potential Controversy.....	1-10
1.6.2	Public Review.....	1-10
1.7	Purpose and Need for the Action	1-11
1.7.1	Purpose and Need Statement	1-11
1.7.2	Goals and Objectives of the Proposed Action	1-12
1.8	General Setting and Location	1-13
1.9	Description of the Proposed Action	1-14
1.10	Preparers of the EA/DEIR	1-19
1.11	Required Permits and Approvals.....	1-19
1.11.1	Federal	1-19
1.11.2	State of California.....	1-21
1.11.3	Local	1-22
1.12	Legislative and Management History	1-23
1.13	Indian Tribes	1-24
1.14	Integration of Related Environmental Review Requirements	1-24
1.14.1	Compliance with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act	1-24
1.14.2	Compliance with Section 401 of the Clean Water Act	1-25
1.14.3	Compliance with the Federal Endangered Species Act	1-25
1.14.4	Compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act.....	1-25
1.14.5	Compliance with Federal Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.....	1-25
1.14.6	Compliance with Executive Order 11990 (Wetlands)	1-26
1.14.7	Compliance with Federal Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management)	1-26
1.14.8	Compliance with Federal Executive Order 12898 (Environmental Justice).....	1-26

Chapter 2: Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives

2.1	Project Overview	2-1
2.2	Project Location	2-2
2.3	Development of Alternatives.....	2-3

2.4 Project Setting	2-4
2.5 Description of Project Sites	2-9
2.5.1 Riverine Activity Areas - R	2-11
2.5.2 Upland Activity Areas - U	2-12
2.5.3 Staging/Access Areas/Roads - C	2-13
2.6 Description of Alternatives.....	2-13
2.6.1 Rehabilitation Activities	2-14
2.6.2 No-Action Alternative	2-18
2.6.3 Proposed Action	2-18
2.6.4 Alternative 1	2-26
2.7 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Evaluation	2-29
2.7.1 Export Material Options	2-29
2.7.2 Point Bar Construction	2-29
2.7.3 Develop a large Side Channel at Valdor Gulch	2-29

Chapter 3: Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.1.1 Affected Environment (CEQA Existing Conditions)	3-1
3.1.2 Environmental Consequences (CEQA Environmental Impacts)	3-1
3.2 Land Use	3.2-1
3.2.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.2-1
3.2.2 Regulatory Framework	3.2-9
3.2.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.2-14
3.3. Geology, Fluvial Geomorphology, and Soils.....	3.3-1
3.3.1. Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.3-1
3.3.2. Regulatory Framework	3.3-15
3.3.3. Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.3-17
3.4 Water Resources	3.4-1
3.4.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.4-1
3.4.2 Regulatory Framework	3.4-9
3.4.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.4-12
3.5 Water Quality	3.5-1
3.5.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.5-1
3.5.2 Regulatory Framework	3.5-7
3.5.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.5-11
3.6 Fishery Resources	3.6-1
3.6.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.6-1
3.6.2 Regulatory Setting	3.6-20
3.6.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.6-23
3.7 Vegetation, Wildlife, and Wetlands.....	3.7-1
3.7.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.7-1
3.7.2 Regulatory Setting	3.7-29
3.7.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.7-34
3.8 Recreation.....	3.8-1
3.8.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.8-1
3.8.2 Relevant Plans and Policies	3.8-3
3.8.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.8-8

3.9 Socioeconomics, Population, and Housing	3.9-1
3.9.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.9-1
3.9.2 Relevant Plans and Policies	3.9-6
3.9.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.9-9
3.10 Tribal Trust.....	3.10-1
3.10.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.10-1
3.10.2 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.10-7
3.11 Cultural Resources	3.11-1
3.11.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.11-1
3.11.2 Regulatory Setting	3.11-6
3.11.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.11-9
3.12 Air Quality	3.12-1
3.12.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.12-1
3.12.2 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation.....	3.12-9
3.13 Environmental Justice	3.13-1
3.13.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.13-1
3.13.2 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.13-3
3.14 Aesthetics	3.14-1
3.14.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.14-1
3.14.2 Regulatory Framework	3.14-11
3.14.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.14-14
3.15 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3.15-1
3.15.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.15-1
3.15.2 Regulatory Framework	3.15-6
3.15.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.15-9
3.16 Noise	3.16-1
3.16.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.16-1
3.16.2 Relevant Plans and Policies	3.16-9
3.16.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.16-10
3.17 Public Services and Utilities/Energy	3.17-1
3.17.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.17-1
3.17.2 Regulatory Framework	3.17-5
3.17.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.17-6
3.18 Transportation/Traffic Circulation	3.18-1
3.18.1 Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	3.18-1
3.18.2 Regulatory Setting	3.18-4
3.18.3 Environmental Consequences/Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3.18-5

Chapter 4: Other Statutory Considerations

4.1 Cumulative Impacts	4-1
4.1.1 Legal Requirements	4-1
4.1.2 Methodology	4-1
4.1.3 Related Projects and Programs	4-2
4.1.4 Issue-Specific Cumulative Impact Analysis	4-5
4.2 Growth-Inducing Impacts	4-12
4.2.1 Introduction	4-12
4.2.2 Growth and Development Potential.....	4-13

4.3	Significant Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources Which Would Result from the Proposed Action	4-14
4.4	Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses of the Environment And the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity.....	4-15
4.5	Mitigation Monitoring Program for CEQA-Mandated Mitigation	4-15
4.5.1	Responsibilities and Authority	4-16
4.5.2	Monitoring Plan Format	4-16
4.5.1	Noncompliance Complaints.....	4-16
4.6	Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts.....	4-16
4.7	CEQA Findings of Fact and Statements of Overriding Consideration.....	4-17

Chapter 5: Consultation and Coordination

5.1	Lead and Participating Agencies.....	5-1
5.2	Project Scoping	5-1
5.2.1	Summary of Public Scoping Meetings	5-1
5.2.2	Comments on the Notice of Preparation.....	5-2
5.2.3	List of Agencies and Organizations Contacted.....	5-2
5.3	Agency Consultation and Coordination.....	5-3
5.3.1	Consistency with Environmental Laws	5-3
5.3.2	Discretionary Approvals.....	5-4
5.3.3	Consistency Determinations	5-7
5.3.4	Federal Executive Orders	5-9

Chapter 6: References

6.1	Literature Cited.....	6-1
6.2	Persons Contacted.....	6-8

Chapter 7: List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

Chapter 8: List of Preparers

Chapter 9: Distribution List

9.1	Federal Agencies.....	9-1
9.2	State Agencies.....	9-1
9.3	Local Agencies.....	9-1
9.4	Other Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals.....	9-2

Appendices

Appendix A	Draft Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting Plan
Appendix B	Public Involvement Process

Appendix C	Delineation of Waters of the U.S., Including Wetland Corps Verification Letter
Appendix D	Wild & Scenic River Act Section 7 Determination
Appendix E	USFWS Special-Status Species List
Appendix F	Programmatic Agreement for Section 106
Appendix G	Hocker Flat Hydraulics Report
Appendix H	Salmonid Life Histories
Appendix I	Special-Status Fish Species
Appendix J	CNDDB and CNPS Reports
Appendix K	Plants Species Observed
Appendix L	Special-Status Wildlife Species Life Histories
Appendix M	Key Observation Point Photos

Figures

Figure 1.1	Project Vicinity	1-15
Figure 1.2	Project Location	1-16
Figure 2.1a	Conner Creek Proposed Action Rehabilitation Areas	2-5
Figure 2.1b	Valdor Gulch Proposed Action Rehabilitation Areas	2-6
Figure 2.1c	Elkhorn Proposed Action Rehabilitation Areas	2-7
Figure 2.1d	Pear Tree Gulch Proposed Action Rehabilitation Areas	2-8
Figure 2.2a	Conner Creek – Rehabilitation Area Cross Sections.....	2-31
Figure 2.2b	Valdor Gulch – Rehabilitation Area Cross Sections	2-32
Figure 2.2c	Elkhorn – Rehabilitation Area Cross Sections	2-33
Figure 2.2d	Pear Tree Gulch – Rehabilitation Area Cross Sections.....	2-34
Figure 2.3a.1	Conner Creek R-1 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-35
Figure 2.3a.2	Conner Creek R-2 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-36
Figure 2.3a.3	Conner Creek R-3 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-37
Figure 2.3a.4	Conner Creek R-4 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-38
Figure 2.3a.5	Conner Creek R-5 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-39
Figure 2.3b.1	Valdor Gulch R-1 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-40
Figure 2.3b.2	Valdor Gulch R-2 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-41
Figure 2.3b.3	Valdor Gulch R-3 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-42
Figure 2.3b.4	Valdor Gulch R-4 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-43
Figure 2.3b.5	Valdor Gulch R-5 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-44
Figure 2.3b.6	Valdor Gulch R-6 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-45
Figure 2.3b.7	Valdor Gulch R-7 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-46
Figure 2.3b.8	Valdor Gulch R-8 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-47
Figure 2.3b.9	Valdor Gulch R-9 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-48
Figure 2.3c.1	Elkhorn R-1 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-49
Figure 2.3c.2	Elkhorn R-2 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-50
Figure 2.3c.3	Elkhorn R-3 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-51
Figure 2.3c.4	Elkhorn R-4 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-52
Figure 2.3c.5	Elkhorn R-5 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-53
Figure 2.3c.6	Elkhorn R-6 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-54
Figure 2.3c.7	Elkhorn R-7 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area.....	2-55
Figure 2.3d.1	Pear Tree Gulch R-1 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-56
Figure 2.3d.2	Pear Tree Gulch R-2 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-57
Figure 2.3d.3	Pear Tree Gulch R-3 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-58
Figure 2.3d.4	Pear Tree Gulch R-4 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-59
Figure 2.3d.5	Pear Tree Gulch R-5 Cross Section Profile of Proposed Rehabilitation Area	2-60

Figure 2.4a	Conner Creek U-1 and U-2 Cross Section Profiles of Proposed Rehabilitation Areas.....	2-61
Figure 2.4b	Valdor Gulch U-1 and U-2 Cross Section Profiles of Proposed Rehabilitation Areas.....	2-62
Figure 2.4c	Elkhorn U-1 and U-2 Cross Section Profiles of Proposed Rehabilitation Areas	2-63
Figure 2.4d	Pear Tree Gulch U-1 and U-2 Cross Section Profiles of Proposed Rehabilitation Areas.....	2-64
Figure 2.5a	Conner Creek – Proposed Action and Alternative 1 Rehabilitation Areas	2-65
Figure 2.5c	Elkhorn – Proposed Action and Alternative 1 Rehabilitation Areas.....	2-66
Figure 3.2-1	Trinity River Basin Land Ownership	3.2-2
Figure 3.2-2	Planning Area Boundaries.....	3.2-4
Figure 3.3-1	Alternate Bar Formation.....	3.3-6
Figure 3.3-2	Pre-and-Post-Dam Hydrology	3.3-7
Figure 3.3-3	Simplified Geomorphology	3.3-8
Figure 3.3-4a	Conner Creek Geomorphology	3.3-10
Figure 3.3-4b	Valdor Gulch Geomorphology.....	3.3-11
Figure 3.3-4c	Elkhorn Geomorphology.....	3.3-12
Figure 3.3-4d	Pear Tree Gulch Geomorphology.....	3.3-13
Figure 3.4-1	Local Hydrology and Tributaries	3.4-2
Figure 3.4-2	Regional Hydrology	3.4-3
Figure 3.4-3	100-year Floodplain and Flood Insurance Rate Map	3.4-8
Figure 3.6-1	Salmonid Growth and Migration Patterns.....	3.6-2
Figure 3.6-2	Post TRD Fall-Run Chinook Spawner Escapements	3.6-5
Figures 3.6-3a	Conner Creek Aquatic Habitat	3.6-15
Figures 3.6-3b	Valdor Gulch Aquatic Habitat.....	3.6-16
Figures 3.6-3c	Elkhorn Aquatic Habitat.....	3.6-17
Figures 3.6-3d	Pear Tree Gulch Aquatic Habitat	3.6-18
Figure 3.6-4a	Conner Creek Relative Impacts of Project Alternatives on Aquatic Habitat	3.6-29
Figure 3.6-4b	Valdor Gulch Relative Impacts of Project Alternatives on Aquatic Habitat.....	3.6-30
Figure 3.6-4c	Elkhorn Relative Impacts of Project Alternatives on Aquatic Habitat.....	3.6-31
Figure 3.6-4d	Pear Tree Gulch Relative Impacts of Project Alternatives on Aquatic Habitat	3.6-32
Figure 3.6-5a	Conner Creek Riparian Area Habitat a.....	3.6-39
Figure 3.6-5b	Valdor Gulch Riparian Area Habitat b.....	3.6-40
Figure 3.6-5c	Elkhorn Riparian Area Habitat b.....	3.6-41
Figure 3.6-5d	Pear Tree Gulch Riparian Area Habitat b.....	3.6-42
Figure 3.7-1a	Conner Creek Plant Community Types.....	3.7-3
Figure 3.7-1b	Valdor Gulch Plant Community Types.....	3.7-4
Figure 3.7-1c	Elkhorn Plant Community Types	3.7-5
Figure 3.7-1d	Pear Tree Gulch Plant Community Types.....	3.7-6
Figure 3.7-2a	Conner Creek Non-Native and Invasive Plant Species Locations	3.7-17
Figure 3.7-2b	Valdor Gulch Non-Native and Invasive Plant Species Locations.....	3.7-18
Figure 3.7-2c	Elkhorn Non-Native and Invasive Plant Species Locations.....	3.7-19
Figure 3.7-2d	Pear Tree Gulch Non-Native and Invasive Plant Species Locations.....	3.7-20
Figure 3.8-1	Recreation Areas in the General Vicinity of Canyon Creek Rehabilitation Sites	3.8-4
Figure 3.9-1	Trinity County Communities.....	3.9-2
Figure 3.10-1	Trinity Basin Reservations	3.10-4
Figure 3.12-1	California Air Quality Basins.....	3.12-3
Figure 3.14-1	Visual Resource Assessment Process.....	3.14-7
Figure 3.14-2	Visual Assessment Units and Key Observation Points	3.14-8
Figure 3.15-1	Major Evacuation Routes	3.15-5
Figure 3.16-1a	Conner Creek Sensitive Receptor Locations.....	3.16-4

Figure 3.16-1b	Valdor Gulch Sensitive Receptor Locations	3.16-5
Figure 3.16-1c	Elkhorn Sensitive Receptor Locations	3.16-6
Figure 3.16-1d	Pear Tree Gulch Sensitive Receptor Locations	3.16-7
Figure 3.18-1	Local Roadways	3.18-2

Tables

Table 1-1	Rehabilitation Activities.....	1-18
Table 2-1a	Conner Creek Activity Areas	2-9
Table 2-1b	Valdor Gulch Activity Areas.....	2-10
Table 2-1c	Elkhorn Activity Areas.....	2-10
Table 2-1d	Pear Tree Gulch Activity Areas	2-11
Table 2-2	Rehabilitation Activities.....	2-14
Table 2.3	Summary of Proposed Action – Activity Areas.....	2-19
Table 2-4	Estimated Flows Conditions Used For Alternative Designs	2-21
Table 2-5	Summary of Alternative 1 – Activity Areas.....	2-27
Table 3.2-1	Land Use Categories and Definitions.....	3.2-3
Table 3.2-2	Land Use Sub-Category Designations Relevant to Land at the Rehabilitation Sites ...	3.2-5
Table 3.2-3	Land Use Zoning Districts of the Rehabilitation Sites	3.2-6
Table 3.2-4	Land Use Zoning Districts and Land Use Designations within the project Area.....	3.2-7
Table 3.2-5	Consistency of Project Action(s) with the Bureau of Land Management’s Redding Resource Management Plan and the 1993 Record of Decision	3.2-10
Table 3.2-6	Summary of Potential Land Use Impacts for the Project Alternatives.....	3.2-14
Table 3.2-7	Consistency of the Project Alternatives with Applicable Flood Hazard Overlay Zoning District Land Development Standards....	3.2-17
Table 3.2-8	Consistency of Project Alternatives with the Safety Elements of The Trinity County General Plan and The Junction City Community Plan in Flood Hazard Overlay Zoning Districts	3.2-18
Table 3.3-1	Summary of Geology and Soils Impacts for the Project Alternatives.....	3.3-18
Table 3.3-2	Area and Volume of Soil Disturbance Under the Project Alternatives.....	3.3-21
Table 3.4-1	Summary of Water Resource Impacts for the Proposed Action.....	3.4-14
Table 3.5-1	Trinity River Beneficial Uses.....	3.5-2
Table 3.5-2	Water Quality Objectives for the Trinity River.....	3.5-3
Table 3.5-3	Temperature Objectives for the Trinity River (Interim Action Plan).....	3.5-6
Table 3.5-4	Summary of Water Quality Impacts for the Project Alternatives	3.5-12
Table 3.6-1	Trinity River Restoration Program Spawner Escapement Goals	3.6-3
Table 3.6-2	Comparison of TRRP In-river Spawner Escapement Goals to Average Numbers of Naturally Produced Fish.....	3.6-4
Table 3.6-3	Summary of Fishery Resources Impacts for the Project	3.6-25
Table 3.7-1	Habitat Types Present at Each Site (acres).....	3.7-7
Table 3.7-2	Special-Status Plant Species Considered for Analysis	3.7-12
Table 3.7-3	Special-Status Wildlife Species Considered for Analysis.....	3.7-22
Table 3.7-4	Summary of Jurisdictional Waters, Canyon Creek Suite of Rehabilitation Sites.....	3.7-28
Table 3.7.5	Summary of Vegetation, Wildlife and Wetland Impacts for the Project Alternatives	3.7-36
Table 3.7-6	Expected Area of Disturbance to Jurisdictional Waters.....	3.7-39
Table 3.7-7	Expected Area of Disturbance to Upland Plant Communities	3.7-42
Table 3.8-1	Recreation Within the Vicinity of the Canyon Creek Rehabilitation Sites.....	3.8-3
Table 3.8-2	Summary of Recreation Impacts for the Project Alternatives.....	3.8-8
Table 3.9-1	Per Capita Income, Trinity County and California	3.9-4
Table 3.9-2	Summary of Socioeconomics Impacts of the Project Alternatives	3.9-10

Table 3.10-1	Partial List of Klamath/Trinity Region Tribal Assets	3.10-6
Table 3.10-2	Summary of Tribal Trust Impacts of the Project Alternatives	3.10-8
Table 3.11-1	Summary of Cultural Resources Impacts for the Project Alternatives.....	3.11-11
Table 3.12-2	Federal and State Criteria Pollutant Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.12-4
Table 3.12-3	Air Quality Emission Significance Thresholds: North Coast Unified Air Quality Management District	3.12-5
Table 3.12-4	PM ₁₀ Monitoring Data for Weaverville (1995-2004).....	3.12-9
Table 3.12-5	Summary of Air Quality Impacts for the Project Alternatives.....	3.12-10
Table 3.13-1	Poverty Rate, Trinity County and California	3.13-1
Table 3.13-2	Summary of Environmental Justice Impacts for the Project Alternatives.....	3.13-4
Table 3.14-1	Key Observation Points.....	3.14-4
Table 3.14-2	Summary of Aesthetic Impacts of the Project Alternatives	3.14-15
Table 3.15-1	Hazardous Waste Sites Recorded in Trinity County, California.....	3.15-2
Table 3.15-2	Summary of Hazards and Hazardous Waste Impacts for the Project.....	3.15-10
Table 3.16-1	Noise levels and Associated Effects for a Variety of Noise Types.....	3.16-1
Table 3.16-2	Sensitive Noise Receptors within 1,000 feet of the Canyon Creek Rehabilitation Sites.....	3.16-3
Table 3.16-3	U.S. General Services Administration Maximum Noise Levels Allowable for Government Contracts	3.16-8
Table 3.16-4	Typical Construction Noise Levels	3.16-9
Table 3.16-5	Construction Equipment Noise.	3.16-9
Table 3.17-1	Summary of Impacts on Public Services and Utilities of the Project Alternatives	3.16-9
Table 3.18-1	Roadway Characteristics for Access roads to the Rehabilitation Sites.....	3.18-3
Table 3.18-2	Summary of Transportation Impacts for the Project	3.18-6