

**Draft Environmental Assessment** 

# Westlands Water District Groundwater Warren Act Contract

EA-15-001



## **Mission Statements**

The mission of the Department of the Interior is to protect and manage the Nation's natural resources and cultural heritage; provide scientific and other information about those resources; and honor its trust responsibilities or special commitments to American Indians, Alaska Natives, and affiliated island communities.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

## Contents

## Page

Section 1	Introduction1
1.1	Need for the Proposed Action
Section 2	Alternatives Including the Proposed Action
2.1	No Action Alternative
2.2	Proposed Action
2.2	.1 Environmental Commitments
Section 3	Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences 9
3.1	Resources Eliminated from Further Analysis
3.2	Water Resources
3.2	.1 Affected Environment
3.2	.2 Environmental Consequences
3.3	Land Use
3.3	.1 Affected Environment
3.3	.2 Environmental Consequences
3.4	Biological Resources
3.4	.1 Affected Environment
3.4	.2 Environmental Consequences
3.5	Environmental Justice
3.5	.1 Affected Environment
3.5	.2 Environmental Consequences
Section 4	Consultation and Coordination
4.1	Public Review Period
Section 5	Preparers and Reviewers
5.1	Reclamation
5.2	Westlands Water District
Section 6	References
Figure 1-1	Project Location
Figure 3-1	Mendota Pool Location 11
Figure 3-2	Drainage-Impaired Lands
Figure 3-3	Areas of Known Historical and Estimated Future Subsidence 17
I Iguite 5 5	
Table 2-1	Proposed Discharge Locations
Table 2-2	Environmental Protection Measures and Commitments 7
Table 3-1	Resources Eliminated from Further Analysis 9
Table 3-2	Westlands Water District Historical Groundwater Pumping Data 13
Table 3-3	A oricultural Products by County 19
Table 3-4	Federally Listed Species and Critical Habitat 21
Table $3-5$	Demographic Data 2013
1 uoic 5-5	Jennogruphie Data, 2015

Appendix A Source went Location	Appendix A	A S	Source	Well	Locations
---------------------------------	------------	-----	--------	------	-----------

- Indian Trust Assets Determination Cultural Resources Determination Appendix B Appendix C

## **Section 1** Introduction

## 1.1 Need for the Proposed Action

The State of California is experiencing unprecedented water management challenges due to severe drought in recent years. Both the State and Federal water projects are forecasting very low storage conditions in all major reservoirs. In addition, South of Delta Central Valley Project (CVP) contractors experienced reduced water supply allocations from 2007 to 2013 due to hydrologic conditions and regulatory requirements. Based on hydrologic conditions, Reclamation declared a 0% allocation for South of Delta CVP contractors for the 2014 Contract Year<sup>1</sup>. Although there has been some precipitation in the last few months, the drought is expected to continue into the 2015 Contract Year. As a result, South of Delta CVP contractors, such as Westlands Water District, have a need to make the most and best use of limited available supplies.

In order to better manage available water, Westlands Water District has requested a Warren Act Contract to convey non-CVP water in the San Luis Canal for delivery to their in-district agricultural users. They have also requested the flexibility to perform operational exchanges of their available CVP supplies within San Luis Reservoir for storage of the non-CVP water within the reservoir and/or for delivery to agricultural users located upstream of the points of introduction. The purpose of the proposed Warren Act Contract is to convey pumped groundwater and other sources of non-CVP water to areas within the district that could not otherwise receive this water, providing greater water management flexibility to the district and their water users.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A Contract Year is from March 1 through February 28/29 of the following year.



Figure 1-1 Project Location

## Section 2 Alternatives Including the Proposed Action

This Environmental Assessment (EA) considers two possible actions: the No Action Alternative and the Proposed Action. The No Action Alternative reflects future conditions without the Proposed Action and serves as a basis of comparison for determining potential effects to the human environment.

## 2.1 No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, Reclamation would not issue a Warren Act Contract to Westlands Water District for the introduction of their non-CVP water into the San Luis Canal. As Westlands Water District has an active groundwater pumping program, groundwater would still be pumped out of the aquifer as it has in the past. However, distribution of the non-CVP water would be limited to only those areas that could normally receive the water and would not enable Westlands Water District to provide water supplies to other areas in-district.

## 2.2 Proposed Action

Reclamation proposes to enter into a five-year Warren Act Contract with Westlands Water District. Under the terms of the contract, Westlands Water District would introduce up to 30,000 acre-feet per year (AF/y) of non-CVP water into the San Luis Canal, in years in which Westlands Water District's CVP allocation is 20% or less. The period of introduction would be between April 1 and August 31 of a given year. However, if it is not possible to begin conveyance by April 1, 2015, the conveyance period for this year would be shifted by one month, to between May 1 and September 30. All subsequent years would use the April 1 to August 31 window.

The source of the non-CVP water would be pumped groundwater from deep groundwater wells within Westlands Water District, as well as other sources of non-CVP water by way of the Mendota Pool. Potential groundwater sources and proposed discharge locations are listed in Table 2-1, and shown graphically in Appendix A. The amount of water from each source would vary, but the total quantity introduced under the Proposed Action would not exceed a combined volume of 30,000 AF in a given year. Prior to introduction, all wells would be tested to demonstrate compliance with then-current water quality standards for conveyance of non-Project water in the San Luis Canal. Water coming from the Mendota Pool would be tested at the laterals discharging to the San Luis Canal.

Non-CVP water introduced into the San Luis Canal would either be directly delivered to agricultural users located downstream of the points of introduction, or operationally exchanged with Reclamation for a like amount, less conveyance losses, of Westlands Water District's available water supplies in San Luis Reservoir. Exchanged water would either be delivered to agricultural users located upstream of the points of introduction in Westlands Water District or stored in San Luis Reservoir as non-CVP water for later delivery to Westlands Water District via the San Luis Canal.

Introduction of Westland Water District's non-CVP water and storage of the exchanged water would be scheduled annually with Reclamation and would be subject to excess capacity, operational constraints, and environmental requirements, as applicable. No Project Use Power would be used for the Proposed Action.

It is Westlands Water District's intention to use the water in the same year in which it is introduced to federal facilities. However, if Westlands Water District is unable to make use of water introduced into the facilities within the designated window, it may be necessary to carry the water over until it can be put to productive use.

	San Luis Canal		
#	Milepost	Facility Type	State Well ID
1	105.00L	Direct Discharge	141202R01
2	105.20L	Direct Discharge	141202R02
3	107.10R	Direct Discharge	141225D01
4	107.63R	Direct Discharge	141319R01
5	108.85L	Direct Discharge	141316N05
6	110.49L	Direct Discharge	141322P01
7	110.52L	Direct Discharge	141323EO2
8	111.02R	Direct Discharge	141327E01
9	111.91R	Direct Discharge	151305D02
10	113.77	Direct Discharge	141628P01
11	114.00R	Direct Discharge	151316L01
12	114.95L	Direct Discharge	151407E01
13	115.43L	Lateral	Lateral 7 Reverse Flow
14	116.91R	Direct Discharge	151322M01
15	117.52L	Direct Discharge	151419F01 151419Q01
16	118.46R	Direct Discharge	151431D02
17	119.56R	Direct Discharge	151431D02
18	120.80L	Direct Discharge	161404D01
19	122.59RA	Direct Discharge	161427P01

#### Table 2-1 Proposed Discharge Locations

#	San Luis Canal Milepost	Facility Type	State Well ID
20	122.051	Direct Discharge	
20	123.03L	Direct Discharge	161403001
21	124 18	Direct Discharge	161/12N02
22	125.33P	Direct Discharge	161506D02
20	125.001	Direct Discharge	161518P04
24	126.651		161530401
25	120.00L	Direct Discharge	
20	127.40L	Direct Discharge	171412001
21	128.498	Direct Discharge	161522.01
20	128.30L	Direct Discharge	161533301
29	128.54L	Direct Discharge	101532A00
30	130.81R	Direct Discharge	171510M01
31	132.77L	Direct Discharge	1/1513A01
32	133.80L	Direct Discharge	171601N03 171623J01 171623M01 181606E01
33	133.81L	Direct Discharge	171614Q01
34	135.48RA	Direct Discharge	171526A01
35	135.96R	Lateral 14R	171526L01
36	136.03L	Direct Discharge	171614Q01 171623J01 171623M01
37	137.00R	Lateral 15R	171536Q02
38	137.31L	Direct Discharge	181606F01
39	137.83L	Direct Discharge	171623J01 171623M01 171614Q01 171601N03
40	138.24L	Direct Discharge	181605N01
41	139.40L	Direct Discharge	181609R01
42	140.55LA	Direct Discharge	181617R02
43	141.02R	Direct Discharge	181620F01
44	141.55L	Direct Discharge	181621Q02
45	142.58R	Direct Discharge	181629N02
46	143.00L	Direct Discharge	181627N01
47	143.20L	Direct Discharge	191610E01
48	146.35L	Direct Discharge	181720N02
49	147.75RC	Direct Discharge	191720B01
50	152.75L	Direct Discharge	191723R01
51	153.10R	Direct Discharge	19172 <mark>6H01</mark>
52	154.10L	Direct Discharge	191836N01
53	155.15L	Direct Discharge	191831N01
54	156.36R	Direct Discharge	201714K01 201712H01
55	156.37LA	Direct Discharge	201806Q01
56	156.40L	Lateral 31	201808M01
57	157.98L	Direct Discharge	201817G01
58	158.47R	Lateral 32	201714R01

#	San Luis Canal Milepost	Facility Type	State Well ID
59	158.95L	Direct Discharge	201820E01
60	159.98R	Direct Discharge	201830G02 201831C01
61	160.50RA	Direct Discharge	201734D01
62	160.68L	Direct Discharge	201832E01
63	161.60L	Direct Discharge	211805C01 211809D02
64	162.08L	Direct Discharge	211805C01 211805M01
65	162.10R	Direct Discharge	211806G01
66	162.64L	Direct Discharge	211808B01 211809L01
67	163.18R	Direct Discharge	211807E01
68	163.59L	Direct Discharge	211805M01 211808Q01
69	164.00R	Lateral 27R	211818G01
70	164.11R	Direct Discharge	211818G03
71	164.55L-A	Direct Discharge	211817N03 211816P01 211816N01 211822E01 211823E01 211823D06
72	164.55L-B	Direct Discharge	211816P01 211816N01 211822E01
73	164.63R	Direct Discharge	211818G03
74	164.95R	Direct Discharge	211833G01 211833N02 211829E01
75	166.90R	Direct Discharge	211827K02
76	167.04L	Lateral 37	211823D06 211919C03
77	167.84R	Direct Discharge	221804H01
78	167.86R	Direct Discharge	211833N02 211833G01
79	169.21R	Direct Discharge	221803B01
80	169.48L	Direct Discharge	211835Q01 211835N02
81	169.88L	Direct Discharge	221801E01
82	171.50LA	Direct Discharge	221812R01

No new facilities or modifications to the San Luis Canal would be authorized. However, some of the existing discharge facilities have licenses which have expired, will expire soon, or could not be identified. Reclamation proposes to issue a combined 25-year authorization for all discharge points involved in the Proposed Action.

#### 2.2.1 Environmental Commitments

Westlands Water District shall implement the following environmental protection measures to reduce environmental consequences associated with the Proposed Action (Table 2-2). Environmental consequences for resource areas assume the measures specified would be fully implemented. Copies of all reports and monitoring shall be submitted to Reclamation.

Resource	Protection Measure
Multiple	There will be no ground disturbance, new construction or other new installation without further environmental review and approval.
Multiple	In areas known to be impaired by historic drainage, all groundwater pumped shall come only from wells screened below the Corcoran Clay layer.
Multiple	Groundwater conveyed under the Proposed Action shall not be applied to drainage-impaired lands.
Groundwater	Westlands Water District shall comply with all applicable ordinances regarding export of groundwater.
Groundwater	Water quality sampling shall include measurements of groundwater levels. Groundwater levels shall be reported to Reclamation.
Land Use/ Biological Resources	The water shall not be used native lands or lands untilled for three consecutive years or more without additional environmental analysis and approval.
Water Quality	Prior to introduction, all wells shall be tested to demonstrate compliance with then-current water quality standards for conveyance of non-Project water in the San Luis Canal.
Groundwater	Westlands Water District shall only introduce pumped groundwater into the San Luis Canal for conveyance in years when their CVP allocation is 20% or less.

## Table 2-2 Environmental Protection Measures and Commitments

(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

## Section 3 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

This section identifies the potentially affected environment and the environmental consequences involved with the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative, in addition to environmental trends and conditions that currently exist.

## 3.1 Resources Eliminated from Further Analysis

Reclamation analyzed the affected environment and determined that the Proposed Action does not have the potential to cause direct, indirect, or cumulative adverse effects to the resources listed in Table 3-1.

Resource	Reason Eliminated
Indian Trust Assets	The Proposed Action is not of a type with a potential to affect Indian Trust assets. See Appendix B.
Cultural Resources	The Proposed Action does not have a potential to affect Cultural Resources, as it involves the conveyance of water through existing facilities for existing uses. There would be no new construction or modification of facilities. See Appendix C.
Indian Sacred Sites	The Proposed Action does not have a potential to affect Indian Sacred Sites on federal land, as it involves the conveyance of water through existing facilities for existing uses. There would be no new construction or modification of facilities.
Air Quality	The pumps to be used for the Proposed Action are already existing and in place. They would be operated with or without the Proposed Action, and do not represent a new source of air emissions.
Global Climate	The pumps to be used for the Proposed Action are already existing and in place. They would be operated with or without the Proposed Action, and do not represent a new source of greenhouse gas emissions.

Table 3-1 Resources Eliminated from Further Analysis

## 3.2 Water Resources

## 3.2.1 Affected Environment

#### Surface Water

**Westlands Water District** Westlands Water District encompasses more than 600,000 acres of farmland located in western Fresno and Kings Counties and serves approximately 600 family-owned farms that average 900 acres in size. The district, located on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley, is a part of the San Luis Unit of the CVP, with CVP contracts from the San Luis Unit and the Delta Division totaling 1,150,000 AF/y and permanent reassignments totaling 46,948 AF. Westlands Water District receives water from the CVP by way of the Delta-

Mendota Canal and the San Luis Canal. Once diverted from federal facilities, water is delivered to farmers through 1,034 miles of underground pipe and over 3,300 metered delivery outlets.

In addition to CVP supplies, landowners in Westlands Water District rely on groundwater pumping, water transfers, and/or acquisitions on the open market to supplement their CVP supply. If their water portfolio comes up short, land is temporarily taken out of production (i.e., fallowed).

**San Luis Reservoir** San Luis Reservoir (also known as B.F. Sisk Dam and Reservoir) is a joint Federal/State facility located on San Luis Creek near Los Banos, California. The reservoir primarily stores water from the Delta, for use by CVP contractors in the western portions of Fresno, Kings and Merced Counties. O'Neill Forebay, which is located adjacent to the reservoir, balances flow and facilitates power operations.

**San Luis Canal** The San Luis Canal is a joint Federal/State concrete-lined canal with a capacity ranging from 8,350 to 13,100 cubic feet per second. It is the federally-built and operated section of the California Aqueduct and extends 102.5 miles from O'Neill Forebay, near Los Banos, in a southeasterly direction to a point west of Kettleman City. The 138-foot-wide channel is 36 feet deep, 40 feet wide at the bottom, and lined with concrete.

**Mendota Pool** The Mendota Pool is impounded by Mendota Dam, which is owned and operated by Central California Irrigation District. The Pool primarily serves as a conveyance facility but is also used as a short-term storage and reregulation reservoir. The Pool is supplied with surface water from the Delta-Mendota Canal (its primary source), the San Joaquin River (during restoration and flood releases from Friant Dam), and the Kings River via Fresno Slough (during flood releases from Pine Flat Dam). In addition, local wells owned by the Mendota Pool Group, Tranquillity Irrigation District, and Fresno Slough Water District also pump groundwater into the Pool, and the Mendota Wildlife Area drains its waterfowl ponds into the Pool during the spring. Most of this water is used by the members of the San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors Water Authority (Exchange Contractors) to irrigate lands within their service areas, but there are other CVP contractors that divert water from the Pool for irrigation. See Figure 3-1.

Water quality conditions in the Mendota Pool depend on inflows from the Delta-Mendota Canal, groundwater pumped into the pool and, to a limited extent, river inflows. During the irrigation season, most of the water released from the Mendota Pool to the river and to irrigators is imported from the Delta via the Delta-Mendota Canal. This water has higher concentrations of total dissolved solids than water in the upper reaches of the San Joaquin River, and can be affected by runoff and seepage into the canal (Reclamation 2010).



(Reclamation 2010)

Most of the non-CVP water being considered for conveyance to the San Luis Canal by way of the Mendota Pool would come from native groundwater pumped into the pool by districts and landowners located adjacent to it. This is typical of historical operation of the Mendota Pool. According to the San Luis and Delta Mendota Water Authority, 17 different entities pumped groundwater into the pool in 2014, totaling approximately 62,000 AF (Rhodes, pers. comm. 2015).

A portion of the water in the Pool could also come from the Meyers Water Bank. The Water Bank stores surface water underground for use in times of shortage. Since the water comes from surface sources, it is of higher quality than native groundwater.

#### Groundwater

**Groundwater Resources in Westlands** The groundwater basin underlying Westlands Water District is comprised generally of two water-bearing zones: (1) an upper zone above a nearly impervious Corcoran Clay layer containing the Coastal and Sierran aquifers and (2) a lower zone below the Corcoran Clay containing the sub-Corcoran aquifer (Department of Water Resources 2003). These water-bearing zones are recharged by subsurface inflow primarily from the west and northeast, and percolation of groundwater, and imported and local surface water. The Corcoran Clay separates the upper and lower water-bearing zones in the majority of Westlands but is not continuous in the western portion of the district.

Groundwater pumping started in this portion of the San Joaquin Valley in the early 1900s. Prior to delivery of CVP water, the annual groundwater pumpage in Westlands ranged from 800,000 to 1,000,000 AF/y during the period of 1950-1968. The majority of this pumping was from the aquifer below the Corcoran Clay, causing the sub-Corcoran groundwater surface to reach an average elevation of more than 150 feet below mean sea level by 1968 (Westlands Water District 2014a).

After delivery of CVP water supplies into Westlands Water District began, groundwater pumping declined to about 200,000 AF/y, or less, in the 1970s (Department of Water Resources 2003). The reduction in groundwater pumping stabilized groundwater depths and in most portions of the district, groundwater levels significantly recovered. During the early 1990s, groundwater pumping greatly increased because of the reduced CVP water supplies caused by an extended drought and regulatory actions related to the Central Valley Project Improvement Act. Pumping has since increased and decreased in response to available surface water supplies. Rates for the preceding 10 years are shown in Table 3-2.

Water Year	CVP Allocation	Groundwater Pumped (AF)
2005	85%	75,000
2006	100%	25,000
2007	50%	310,000
2008	40%	460,000
2009	10%	480,000
2010	45%	140,000
2011	80%	45,000
2012	40%	355,000
2013	20%	638,000
2014 (est.)	0%	650,000
Source: Westla	ands Water District 2014b	

Table 3-2 Westlands Water District Historical Groundwater Pumping Data

Westlands Water District has an approved groundwater management plan and estimates the current safe yield of groundwater underneath the district to be approximately 200,000 AF/y. However, this quantity of groundwater is generally only pumped when other supplemental supplies are not available. This is due to the poorer quality of the groundwater compared to surface water. Westlands Water District supplies groundwater to some district farmers and owns some groundwater wells, with the remaining wells privately owned by water users in the district.

**Groundwater Regulation** In 2014, California enacted the Safe Groundwater Management Act. The Safe Groundwater Management Act requires the formation of local Groundwater Sustainability Agencies, who must develop Groundwater Sustainability Plans for areas designated as medium or high priority. Under this system, the entire San Joaquin Valley is classified as high priority (Department of Water Resources 2014a). In addition to statewide policies, some local jurisdictions have adopted their own groundwater ordinances. Fresno County has an ordinance which restricts transfer of groundwater outside of the county. Kings County does not have an ordinance at this time.

**Groundwater Quality** Groundwater zones commonly used along a portion of the western margin of the San Joaquin Valley have high concentrations of total dissolved solids, ranging from 500 milligrams per liter (mg/L) to greater than 2,000 mg/L (Bertoldi et al. 1991). The concentrations in excess of 2,000 mg/L commonly occur above the Corcoran Clay layer. These high levels have impaired groundwater for irrigation and municipal uses in the western portion of the San Joaquin Valley.

High selenium concentrations in soils on the west side of the San Joaquin River Hydrologic Region are of concern because of their potential to leach from the soil by subsurface irrigation return flow into the groundwater and into receiving surface waters. Selenium concentrations in shallow groundwater along the west side have been highest in the central and southern area south of Los Banos and Mendota with median concentrations of 10,000 to 11,000 mg/L (Bertoldi et al. 1991). Westlands Water District policy does not allow water to discharge from any irrigated parcel. **Drainage** Plans for agricultural drainage from the San Luis Unit began in the 1960s and 1970s. By 1975, construction was completed on a segment of the San Luis Drain, which collected drainage from a 42,000-acre portion of Westlands Water District, and delivered it to Kesterson Reservoir. However, in 1983, embryonic deformities in aquatic birds were discovered at Kesterson, with elevated selenium concentrations identified as the cause. In 1985, discharges to Kesterson Reservoir ceased, and feeder drains to the San Luis Drain were plugged (Reclamation 2007).

As a result of a lawsuit filed by Westlands Water District and landowners in the area, a Federal Court Order was issued in 1986. The Court Order directed Reclamation to develop plans to provide alternate drainage service to the area. A series of studies and additional court actions through 2001 affirmed that Reclamation had an obligation to provide drainage service to the affected area, but determined that the obligation could be met by means other than the originally-envisioned interceptor drain to the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta (Reclamation 2007).

A series of studies to identify a preferred approach followed, leading to a Final Environmental Impact Statement in 2006 and Record of Decision in 2007. The alternative selected was the "In Valley/Water Needs Land Retirement" alternative. This alternative included measures to reduce drainage, drainage water reuse/treatment facilities, and retirement of 194,000 acres of irrigated land (Reclamation 2007). The area identified as impaired by drainage is shown below in Figure 3-2.

Since the Record of Decision, Reclamation has evaluated a variety of treatment options for managing selenium-enriched drainage water, and impacts from the drainage. As of early 2015, a demonstration project is underway to use a combination of reverse osmosis, ultrafiltration, biotreatment and other treatment techniques to treat water collected from sumps in Panoche Drainage District (Reclamation 2012). In addition, as of early 2015, over 90,000 acres of drainage-impaired land have been retired from agricultural production.



Figure 3-2 Drainage-Impaired Lands (Reclamation 2006)

#### Subsidence

Land subsidence is caused by subsurface movement of earth materials. Principal causes of subsidence within the San Joaquin Valley include: aquifer compaction due to groundwater pumping, hydrocompaction caused by application of water to dry soils, and oil mining. Withdrawal of groundwater within the San Joaquin Valley between the 1920s and 1960s for agricultural irrigation caused significant overdraft within the central west side of the valley and most of the southern

valley, causing substantial land subsidence within those areas. Importation of surface water from the CVP and SWP in the 1970s decreased the rate of groundwater withdrawal, allowing aquifer levels to recover and subsequently reducing subsidence rates (Poland and Lofgren 1984, U.S. Geological Survey 2013). Recently, groundwater pumping rates have increased throughout the San Joaquin Valley due to regulatory and drought-related curtailments placed on water deliveries from the CVP and SWP, resulting in water level declines and renewed compaction.

Various entities, including Reclamation, the U.S. Geological Survey, California Department of Water Resources, San Luis and Delta-Mendota Water Authority, and the Exchange Contractors have been monitoring subsidence trends within the Central Valley. In 2011, Reclamation established the San Joaquin River Restoration Program Geodetic Control Network to begin monitoring subsidence with the Restoration Area. In addition, due to significant subsidence rates along the flood control bypasses that parallel the San Joaquin River (some localized areas showing rates of more than 1 foot per year), Department of Water Resources has collected levee survey data to help further refine the estimated annual rates along the levees of the flood bypasses (Reclamation 2014b).

In 2014, the Department of Water Resources issued a summary of historical and projected future subsidence trends in the state (Department of Water Resources 2014b). The analysis showed that the areas with greatest potential for subsidence are those areas where demand on groundwater is the highest, such as the San Joaquin Valley. Westlands Water District is in an area with historical as well as recent subsidence (see Figure 3-3).

## 3.2.2 Environmental Consequences

#### No Action

Under the No Action Alternative, Reclamation would not permit introduction of non-CVP water into federal facilities. As Westlands Water District has an active groundwater pumping program, groundwater would still be pumped out of the aquifer as it has in the past. However, distribution of the non-CVP water would be limited to only those areas that could normally receive the water and would not enable Westlands Water District to provide water supplies to other areas in-district.



Figure 3-3 Areas of Known Historical and Estimated Future Subsidence (Department of Water Resources 2014b)

## **Proposed Action**

**Surface Water** The Proposed Action would allow groundwater and other non-CVP water to be conveyed and/or stored in CVP facilities when excess capacity is available. The Proposed Action would not interfere with the normal operations of the San Luis Canal (as it would be scheduled prior to introduction), nor would it impede any SWP or CVP obligations to deliver water to other contractors or to fish and wildlife habitat.

In 2014, in an action similar to the Proposed Action, Westlands Water District was given authorization to pump up to 30,000 AF of groundwater from many of the same wells, for conveyance with SWP approval in joint facilities. Total dissolved solids values reported for water from the wells at that time ranged from 530 to 1180 mg/L (Rhodes, pers. comm. 2015). This is expected to be representative of the groundwater pumped and conveyed under the Proposed Action. Water in each well would be required to meet then-current water quality standards prior to approval for introduction into the San Luis Canal. If a well to be used for pumping water into the San Luis Canal does not meet standards, no water would be accepted from that source until water quality improves sufficient to meet the requirements.

Some groundwater wells included in the Proposed Action are located in areas known to be impacted by historic drainage (see Figure 3-2 and Appendix A). However, these wells are all screened below the Corcoran Clay layer which separates the shallow and deep aquifers. Therefore, the water pumped from these wells would not come from the layers which are drainage-impaired. The groundwater pumped and conveyed under the Proposed Action would also not be used on land known to be drainage-impaired, and therefore would not mobilize contaminants present in those areas.

**Groundwater** The Proposed Action could involve the pumping of up to 30,000 AF/y of groundwater at various locations within the district, for conveyance in federal facilities, during years in which their CVP allocation is 20% or less. The water involved in the Proposed Action is within the range of historical pumping during the irrigation season, and would be pumped regardless of whether Reclamation allowed its conveyance in federal facilities. The Proposed Action only allows Westlands Water District's growers to convey the water to the areas of the district with greatest need.

Westlands Water District shall monitor and report groundwater levels to Reclamation as part of their water quality sampling program.

**Subsidence** Groundwater pumping is known to be a leading cause of subsidence in the San Joaquin Valley. However, the groundwater to be conveyed under the Proposed Action is within the range of historical pumping by the district, and would be pumped regardless of whether Reclamation allowed its conveyance in federal facilities. Therefore any subsidence associated with this use of groundwater would take place regardless of Reclamation's decision.

#### **Cumulative Impacts**

**Surface Water** The San Luis Canal carries water from CVP, SWP and other sources, for use by contractors located along the San Luis Canal/California

Aqueduct. Poor water quality from multiple sources has the potential to cause a cumulative impact on downstream water users. In order to reduce the risk of cumulative impacts to water quality, all water introduced to the San Luis Canal would be tested prior to introduction, and if water quality standards cannot be met, introductions from that source would not be allowed until water quality standards are met.

Groundwater Many irrigation districts and individual growers in the San Joaquin Valley rely on groundwater as part of their supply, with volumes pumped varying in response to surface water allocations (CVP and SWP), hydrologic conditions and changes in crop patterns. Pumped water may be used directly onsite, sold/transferred, or exchanged for water at another location.

Groundwater overdraft is an ongoing challenge throughout California, and the San Joaquin Valley in particular has been identified as a high priority for establishing a sustainability plan. Overdraft is a cumulative problem, caused by many small actions throughout the basin. However, the Proposed Action only allows conveyance of water that would already be pumped to areas within the district with the greatest need. Therefore there would be no contribution to cumulative impacts to groundwater as a result of the Proposed Action itself.

**Subsidence** Subsidence in the San Joaquin Valley is a cumulative problem, caused by groundwater pumping at many locations throughout the area. Pumping of the groundwater which would be conveyed under the Proposed Action may contribute to ongoing subsidence trends. However, that water is likely to be pumped for agricultural use in similar volumes regardless of Reclamation's decision. Therefore the Proposed Action itself would not contribute to cumulative subsidence impacts beyond ongoing existing trends.

## 3.3 Land Use

## 3.3.1 Affected Environment

Westlands Water District is located in Fresno and Kings Counties, in California's Central Valley. The valley is generally rural and agricultural in nature, with several medium-sized cities located along major transportation corridors. The leading agricultural products in each county are outlined below in Table 3-3.

Table 3-3 A	gricultural Products by County			
County	Major Agricultural Products			
Fresno	Almonds, livestock, raisins, milk, tomatoes			
Kings	Milk, cotton, cattle, tomatoes, walnuts			
Source: California Farm Bureau Federation 2014				

Table 2.2. Agricultural Draduate by County

Certain areas in the San Joaquin Valley are impacted by historical drainage practices which left elevated levels of various constituents (primarily selenium) in the shallow soil layers. As a result, the agricultural productivity of those areas is

limited, and application of new water to the affected areas raises a risk that contaminants could become soluble and travel to other areas.

## 3.3.2 Environmental Consequences

#### No Action

Under the No Action Alternative, Reclamation would not permit introduction of the non-CVP water into federal facilities. As Westlands Water District has an active groundwater pumping program, groundwater would still be pumped out of the aquifer as it has in the past. However, distribution of the non-CVP water would be limited to only those areas that could normally receive the water and would not enable Westlands Water District to provide water supplies to other areas in-district.

### **Proposed Action**

The Proposed Action would support current land uses by allowing growers in Westlands Water District to make the most effective use of water that is available to them. Water conveyed in the San Luis Canal would only be used to sustain existing crops. The water would not be used to support new development or convert fallow land for agriculture.

Some groundwater wells included in the Proposed Action are located in areas known to be impacted by drainage (see Figure 3-2). However, as described previously, the wells are all screened below the Corcoran Clay layer which separates the shallow and deep aquifers. Therefore the water pumped from these wells would not come from the layers which are drainage-impaired. The groundwater pumped and conveyed under the Proposed Action would also not be used on land known to be drainage-impaired.

#### **Cumulative Impacts**

The Proposed Action would allow for more effective use of water supplies in a time of shortage. This helps to mitigate the impacts of external challenges, in particular California's ongoing drought. Several similar water-moving actions have been authorized or are currently under review. Cumulatively they are expected to provide a benefit to existing land uses.

Since groundwater pumped for the Proposed Action would be drawn from the aquifer below the Corcoran Clay layer, and water would not be applied to the areas known to be drainage-impaired, the Proposed Action is not anticipated to cumulatively contribute to these existing impairments.

## 3.4 Biological Resources

## 3.4.1 Affected Environment

A species list was obtained from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2015) on February 10, 2015 (document number:

150210122721). Reclamation utilized that list, records from the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB 2015) and other information on file to compile Table 3-4 below.

The Proposed Action Area consists of San Luis Reservoir, the San Luis Canal, Mendota Pool, and lands within Westlands Water District. The only Federally listed species that may occur in the area are the San Joaquin kit fox, blunt-nosed leopard lizard, giant garter snake, California least tern, and San Joaquin woollythreads. The only one of these species that can use agricultural lands at all is the San Joaquin kit fox, which can forage (but not den) in crop fields where the fields lie close to native lands (Warrick et al. 2007). The majority of the Proposed Action Area consists of agricultural lands.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing	Critical Habitat	Range/ Habitat Use	Occurrence in	Impacts
		Status			Proposed Action Area	
Conservancy fairy shrimp	Branchinecta conservatio	Endangered	Designated	Found in turbid vernal pools.	Vernal pools are absent from the Proposed Action Area	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
longhorn fairy shrimp	Branchinecta longiantenna	Endangered	Designated	Occurs in multiple types of vernal pools.	Vernal pools are absent from the Proposed Action Area	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
vernal pool fairy shrimp	Branchinecta lynchi	Threatened	Designated	Occurs in a variety of vernal pools or other depressions that have a similar hydrology	Vernal pools and other similar depressions are absent from the Proposed Action Area	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
valley elderberry longhorn beetle	Desmocerus californicus dimorphus	Threatened	Designated	Requires elderberry shrubs with stems one inch or greater in diameter at ground level	Elderberry shrubs do not occur around the edge of San Luis Reservoir or in actively farmed lands or at Meyers Water Bank	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
vernal pool tadpole shrimp	Lepidurus packardi	Endangered	Designated	Found in a wide range of vernal pool types; has a disjunct range.	Vernal pools are absent from the Proposed Action Area	No effect; no effect on critical habitat

#### Table 3-4 Federally Listed Species and Critical Habitat

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing Status	Critical Habitat	Range/ Habitat Use	Occurrence in Proposed	Impacts
North American green sturgeon	Acipenser medirostris	Threatened	Designated	Inhabits the Sacramento San-Joaquin Delta and spawns in the Sacramento River.	Action Area The Proposed Action Area is outside of the species' range. White sturgeon have been found in San Luis Reservoir, but not green sturgeon.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
Owens tui chub	Gila bicolor snyderi	Endangered	Designated	Found only in a limited number of populations in the Owens River Valley, where it inhabits standing water or low-gradient rivers and streams.	The Proposed Action Area is outside of the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
delta smelt	Hypomesus transpacificus	Threatened	Designated	Occurs in the Sacramento- San Joaquin Delta.	The Proposed Action Area is outside of the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
Lahontan cutthroat trout	Oncorhynchus clarki henshawi	Threatened	None	Found in cold- water habitats in the Lahontan Basin.	The Proposed Action Area is outside of the species' range.	No effect
Paiute cutthroat trout	Oncorhynchus clarki seleniris	Threatened	None	Currently found in a few populations in the Inyo and Sierra National Forests; eliminated from its historic range within the Humboldt- Toiyabe National Forest.	The Proposed Action Area is outside the of the species' range.	No effect
Central Valley steelhead	Oncorhynchus mykiss	Threatened	Designated	Occurs in the Sacramento and San- Joaquin Delta, and spawns and rears in parts of the Sacramento and San Joaquin River systems.	The Proposed Action Area is outside the of the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing Status	Critical Habitat	Range/ Habitat Use	Occurrence in Proposed Action Area	Impacts
Central Valley spring-run chinook salmon	Oncorhynchus tshawytscha	Threatened	Designated	Occurs in the Sacramento and San- Joaquin Delta, and spawns and rears in parts of the Sacramento River system; is being re- introduced to the upper San Joaquin River.	The Proposed Action Area is outside the of the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
Sacramento River winter- run chinook salmon	Oncorhynchus tshawytscha	Endangered	Designated	Occurs in the Sacramento and San- Joaquin Delta, and spawns and rears in parts of the Sacramento River system.	The Proposed Action Area is outside the of the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
California tiger salamander (central population)	Ambystoma californiense	Threatened	Designated	Breeds in vernal pools and other similar ponds and uses rodent burrows in surrounding grasslands for refugia during the non- breeding season.	Vernal pools and other suitable breeding ponds do not occur in the Proposed Action Area.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
California red-legged frog	Rana draytonii	Threatened	Designated	Uses foothill streams and ponds; has been eliminated from the San Joaquin Valley floor. The species and its critical habitat occur just to the west of San Luis Reservoir, but not in the reservoir itself.	The Proposed Action Area does not include any suitable habitat for this species and is outside of its critical habitat.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
Mountain yellow- legged frog (northern population)	Rana muscosa	Endangered	Proposed	Occurs in high mountain streams in parts of the Sierra Nevada (south of the Monarch Divide), mostly on National Park or National Forest lands.	The Proposed Action Area is outside the of the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing Status	Critical Habitat	Range/ Habitat Use	Occurrence in Proposed Action Area	Impacts
Sierra Nevada yellow- legged frog	Rana sierrae	Endangered	Proposed	Occurs in high mountain streams in parts of the Sierra Nevada (north of the range of the mountain yellow-legged frog), and parts of the eastern slope of the Sierra Nevada.	The Proposed Action Area is outside the of the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
Yosemite toad	Anaxyrus canorus	Threatened	Proposed	Uses wet meadows and surrounding forest in parts of the Sierra Nevada.	The Proposed Action Area is outside the of the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
blunt-nosed leopard lizard	Gambelia sila	Endangered	None	Found in alkali scrub and arid grassland habitat in parts of the San Joaquin Valley and adjacent areas (such as the Carrizo Plain).	Blunt-nosed leopard lizards may occur on the western-most edges of Westlands Water District, but not on actively- farmed lands	No effect; the water involved in the Proposed Action cannot be used to bring native lands into production
giant garter snake	Thamnophis gigas	Threatened	None	Found in and near wetland habitat in Mendota Pool and the Grasslands.	Does not occur in the Proposed Action Area.	No effect. The Proposed Action would not generate any drainage that would travel into the Grassland Bypass Area.
western snowy plover	Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus	Threatened	Designated	A coastal shorebird; occasionally found inland at evaporation ponds.	Not known to occur in the Proposed Action Area, which is outside of the typical range. Not expected due to lack of evaporation ponds	No effect; no effect on critical habitat

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing Status	Critical Habitat	Range/ Habitat Use	Occurrence in Proposed Action Area	Impacts
Western yellow-billed cuckoo	Coccyzus americanus occidentalis	Threatened	Proposed	Uses extensive cottonwood- willow forests; currently restricted in California to a portion of the Sacramento River, the Kern River, and the Colorado River.	The species could fly overhead on its migration to and from breeding habitat along the Sacramento River and wintering grounds in South America, but would not otherwise use the Proposed Action Area.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
California condor	Gymnogyps californianus	Endangered	Designated	Forages for carrion in large expanses of foothill and oak savanna ringing the southern San Joaquin Valley floor.	This species' habitat does not occur in the Proposed Action Area and there are no records of its occurrence in the area.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
California least tern	Sternula antillarum browni	Endangered (recommend ed for downlisting to Threatened)	None	Normally nests on sandy coastal habitat and forages for small fish. Sometimes can be found inland where open water with small fish is found.	Has been documented foraging at sewage ponds on Lemoore Naval Air Station. Monitoring in 2014 of the San Luis Drain in and adjacent to Westlands Water District did not result in any least tern observations.	No effect. Least terns would not be affected because the Proposed Action would not contribute to any drainage that could contamina te potential foraging habitat, such as the San Luis Drain.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing Status	Critical Habitat	Range/ Habitat Use	Occurrence in Proposed Action Area	Impacts
giant kangaroo rat	Dipodomys ingens	Endangered	None	Occurs in arid grasslands and saltbush scrub in Kern County and a few other south San Joaquin Valley locations. The closest population to the Proposed Action Area is the Kettleman Hills in Kings County.	Does not occur in the Proposed Action Area.	No effect
Fresno kangaroo rat	Dipodomys nitratoides exilis	Endangered	Designated	Uses alkali sink and arid grassland habitat; historical occurrences at and near the Alkali Sink Ecological Reserve and Madera Ranch. A possible Fresno/Tipton hybrid population may still occur at Lemoore Naval Air Station.	Does not occur in the Proposed Action Area.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
Tipton kangaroo rat	Dipodomys nitratoides nitratoides	Endangered	None	Generally only occurs south of the Proposed Action Area, although there may be a very small Fresno/Tipton hybrid population near the Proposed Action Area (see above).	Does not occur in the Proposed Action Area.	No effect
Sierra Nevada bighorn sheep	Ovis canadensis californiana	Endangered	Designated	Found in remote arid mountain habitat in the southern Sierra Nevada.	Proposed Action Area is outside the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing Status	Critical Habitat	Range/ Habitat Use	Occurrence in Proposed Action Area	Impacts
Buena Vista Lake shrew	Sorex ornatus relictus	Endangered	Designated	Uses riparian/wetland habitat. Critical habitat occurs near but outside of Westlands Water District.	Proposed Action Area is outside the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
San Joaquin kit fox	Vulpes macrotis mutica	Endangered	None	Prefers saltbush scrub and arid grassland habitat, but can use agricultural lands for foraging within a mile or so of occupied habitat.	Records of the species are known from the Proposed Action Area.	No effect. The Proposed Action would not result in any land use change.
fisher	Pekania pennanti	Proposed Threatened	None	Occupies montane forest habitat	Proposed Action Area is outside the species' range.	No effect
Mariposa pussy-paws	Calyptridium pulchellum	Threatened	None	Occurs on decomposed granite in foothills of south-central Sierra Nevada.	Proposed Action Area is outside the species' range.	No effect
San Benito evening- primrose	Camissonia benitensis	Threatened	None	Found on serpentine- derived alluvial soils in western Fresno and San Benito Counties.	Proposed Action Area is outside the species' range.	No effect
succulent owl's-clover	Castilleja campestris ssp. succulenta	Threatened	Designated	Occurs in vernal pool habitat in southern Sierra Nevada foothills.	Vernal pools are absent from the Proposed Action Area.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
California jewelflower	Caulanthus californicus	Endangered	None	Occurs in saltbush scrub and arid grasslands; there are three known naturally- occurring populations: Carrizo Plain, Santa Barbara Canyon, and the Kreyenhagen Hills in Fresno County.	Does not occur in the Proposed Action Area. Has been eliminated from the area, although still found in the Kreyenhagen Hills.	No effect

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing Status	Critical Habitat	Range/ Habitat Use	Occurrence in Proposed Action Area	Impacts
Hoover's spurge	Chamaesyce hooveri	Threatened	Designated	Found in vernal pools (usually deeper pools) in the Sierra Nevada foothills.	Vernal pools are absent from the Proposed Action Area	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
palmate- bracted bird's-beak	Cordylanthus palmatus	Endangered	None	Occurs in alkali sink habitat.	Suitable habitat no longer occurs in the Proposed Action Area.	No effect
San Joaquin woolly- threads	Monolopia congdonii	Endangered	None	Found in arid grasslands and saltbush scrub habitat.	May still occur on the western fringes of Westlands Water District.	The Proposed Action would not result in any land use change.
Colusa grass	Neostapfia colusana	Threatened	Designated	Occurs in vernal pools; some of the known locations are spread far apart and it may occur in other localities where it hasn't been verified yet.	Vernal pools are absent from the Proposed Action Area	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
San Joaquin Valley Orcutt grass	Orcuttia inaequalis	Threatened	Designated	Found in vernal pools in the southern Sierra Nevada foothills.	Vernal pools are absent from the Proposed Action Area	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
hairy Orcutt grass	Orcuttia pilosa	Endangered	Designated	Occurs in vernal pools. Known both from the northeastern Sacramento Valley and the southern Sierra Nevada foothills.	Vernal pools are absent from the Proposed Action Area	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
Hartweg's golden sunburst	Pseudobahia bahiifolia	Endangered	None	Found in grasslands and oak woodlands on the east side of the San Joaquin Valley and foothills. Usually on fine- textured soils with Mima mounds present.	Proposed Action Area is outside the species' range.	No effect

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listing Status	Critical Habitat	Range/ Habitat Use	Occurrence in Proposed Action Area	Impacts
San Joaquin adobe sunburst	Pseudobahia peirsonii	Threatened	None	Found in grasslands along the eastern side of the southern San Joaquin Valley	Proposed Action Area is outside the species' range.	No effect
Keck's checker- mallow	Sidalcea keckii	Endangered	Designated	Found in grasslands in the Sierra Nevada foothills.	Proposed Action Area is outside the species' range.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat
Greene's tuctoria	Tuctoria greenei	Endangered	Designated	Found in different types of vernal pools.	Vernal pools are absent from the Proposed Action Area.	No effect; no effect on critical habitat

### 3.4.2 Environmental Consequences

#### No Action

Under the No Action, lands in Westlands Water District would either continue to be farmed with other water supplies or would be fallowed. It is unlikely that this would change the current distribution or abundance of Federally listed species in the Proposed Action Area, as the fallowed fields would typically be regularly disced, and so would not revert to a more suitable condition for the few species in the area, such as the San Joaquin kit fox.

#### **Proposed Action**

Under the Proposed Action, the water would help to keep agricultural lands in production. No native lands or lands fallowed and untilled for three or more years could be brought into production with the use of the water involved in the Proposed Action. The water introduced into the San Luis Canal would not mix with any wildlife refuge supplies, as none are delivered from that facility. No drainage would be generated that could make its way into aquatic habitat potentially used by the giant garter snake or California least tern.

Reclamation has determined that the Proposed Action would not impact any Federally listed or proposed species or critical habitat.

#### **Cumulative Impacts**

As the Proposed Action would not result in any direct or indirect impacts to biological resources, it would not contribute cumulatively to any impacts.

## 3.5 Environmental Justice

## 3.5.1 Affected Environment

Westlands Water District is located in Fresno and Kings Counties. The demographics of the counties are comparable to California's, except that the proportion of the population who identify as Hispanic or Latino is higher, and the percentage who identify as Asian is lower. See Table 3-5 below for more information.

	Total Population	White	Black or African American	American Indian	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Hispanic or Latino
Fresno							
County	955,272	77.4%	5.9%	3.0%	10.5%	0.3%	51.6%
Kings							
County	150,960	81.4%	7.4%	3.0%	4.3%	0.3%	52.7%
California	38,332,521	73.5%	6.6%	1.7%	14.1%	0.5%	38.4%
Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2014							

#### Table 3-5 Demographic Data, 2013

## 3.5.2 Environmental Consequences

#### No Action

Under the No Action Alternative, Reclamation would not permit Westlands Water District to introduce pumped groundwater and other sources of non-CVP water into the San Luis Canal. Growers would have to find alternative supplies of water, provide for alternative conveyance path(s), and/or temporarily take land out of production. Farm laborers often come from minority and low-income communities. Therefore reductions in agricultural productivity would have a disproportionate, adverse impact on those communities.

## **Proposed Action**

The Proposed Action would support agriculture by allowing conveyance of groundwater and other sources of non-CVP water to support existing crops. Since farm laborers often come from minority and low-income communities, supporting farm employment is a benefit to those disadvantaged groups.

#### **Cumulative Impacts**

The Proposed Action would allow conveyance of water to support agriculture in a time of shortage. Because of agriculture's importance to the area's economy, any impacts, either positive or negative, tend to have a disproportionate and cumulative effect on employment and wages. Farm laborers often come from low-income and minority populations and they are therefore disproportionately affected by these trends. Several similar water-moving actions have been authorized or are currently under review. Cumulatively they are expected to provide a benefit to the economic well-being of disadvantaged groups.

# Section 4 Consultation and Coordination

## 4.1 Public Review Period

Reclamation intends to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on the Draft Finding of No Significant Impact and Draft EA during a 15 day public review period.

(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

## **Section 5 Preparers and Reviewers**

## 5.1 Reclamation

Ben Lawrence, Natural Resources Specialist, SCCAO Shauna McDonald, Wildlife Biologist, SCCAO Joanne Goodsell, Archaeologist, MP-153 Richard Stevenson, ITA, MP-400 Rain L. Emerson, Supervisory Natural Resources Specialist, SCCAO – reviewer Joy Kelley, Repayment Specialist, SCCAO – reviewer David E. Hyatt, Resources Management Division Chief, SCCAO – reviewer

## 5.2 Westlands Water District

Mark Rhodes, Resources Analyst- Reviewer

(This Page Intentionally Left Blank)

## **Section 6 References**

- Bertoldi, G.L., R. H. Johnston, and K.D. Evenson. 1991. Ground water in the Central Valley, California – a summary report. U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper. 1401-A.
- Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). 2006. San Luis Drainage Feature Reevaluation, Final Environmental Impact Statement. May 2006.
- Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). 2007. San Luis Drainage Feature Reevaluation, Record of Decision. March 9, 2007.
- Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). 2010. Environmental Assessment 09-156, Five-year Warren Act Contracts for Banta-Carbona Irrigation District, Byron-Bethany Irrigation District, Patterson Irrigation District, and West Stanislaus Irrigation District. March 2, 2010.
- Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). 2012. Environmental Assessment 10-030, San Luis Drainage Feature Reevaluation Demonstration Treatment Facility at Panoche Drainage District. June 7, 2012.
- Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). 2013a. Environmental Assessment 12-061, 10-Year Exchange and/or Warren Act Contracts for Conveyance of Groundwater in the Delta Mendota Canal (DMC pump-in program). January 10, 2013.
- Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). 2013b. Environmental Assessment 11-013, Amendment to the Meyers Groundwater Banking Exchange Agreement. September 16, 2013.
- Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). 2014a. Environmental Assessment 14-011, Friant-Kern Canal Groundwater Pump-In Program Warren Act Agreements. May 2, 2014.
- Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). 2014b. Draft Technical Memorandum: Subsidence Monitoring. San Joaquin River Restoration Program. June 20, 2014.
- California Department of Water Resources. 2003. California's Ground Water, Bulletin 118-update 2003.
- California Department of Water Resources. 2014a. Sustainable Groundwater Management. Website:

<u>http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/sgm/index.cfm</u>. Accessed February 2015.

- California Department of Water Resources. 2014b. Summary of Recent, Historical, and Estimated Potential for Future Land Subsidence in California. 2014.
- California Farm Bureau Federation. 2014. County Farm Bureaus. Website: <u>http://www.cfbf.com/CFBF/CountyFarmBureaus/CFBF/CountyFarmBureaus/Default.aspx</u>. Accessed January 2015.
- California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB). 2015. RareFind 3 electronic database. Government version.
- Poland, J.F. and B.E. Lofgren. 1984. Case History No. 9.13. San Joaquin Valley, California, U.S.A. in *Guidebook to studies of land subsidence due* to ground-water withdrawal. J.F. Poland (Ed). Prepared for the International Hydrological Programme, Working Group 8.4. Website: <u>http://wwwrcamnl.wr.usgs.gov/rgws/Unesco/</u> Accessed: November 6, 2009.
- Rhodes, Mark (Westlands Water District). 2015. Personal communication with Ben Lawrence (Bureau of Reclamation). February 6, 2015.
- San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program. 1990. A Management Plan for Agricultural Subsurface Drainage and Related Problems on the Westside San Joaquin Valley. Final Report (a.k.a. "The Rainbow Report").
  Prepared for the U.S. Department of Interior and California Resources Agency. September 1990.
- U.S. Census Bureau. 2014. State and County QuickFacts. Website: <u>http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/index.html</u>. Accessed January 2015.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015. Federal Species List (document No. 150210122721). Website: <u>http://www.fws.gov/sacramento/ES\_Species/Lists/es\_species\_lists-form.cfm</u>.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2013. Land Subsidence along the Delta-Mendota Canal in the Northern Part of the San Joaquin Valley, California, 2003-10. Scientific Investigations Report 2013-5142. Website: <u>http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2013/5142/</u>.
- Warrick, G. D., H. O. Clark, Ir., P. A. Kelly, D. F. Williams, and B. L. Cypher . 2007. Use of agricultural lands by San Joaquin kit foxes. Western North American Naturalist 67:270-277.

Westlands Water District. 2014a. Deep Groundwater Conditions Report. May 2014.

Westlands Water District. 2014b. Annual Water Use and Supply. Website: <u>http://www.westlandswater.org/resources/watersupply/supply.asp?title=Annual%20Water%20Use%20and%20Supply</u>. Accessed February 2015.

Appendix A Source Well Locations



## Appendix B

Indian Trust Assets Determination

From:	Richard Stevenson
Sent:	Tuesday, January 20, 2014
То:	Benjamin Lawrence
Subject:	Westlands Water District Groundwater Warren Act

Ben,

I reviewed the proposed action whereby Westlands Water District has requested a Warren Act Contract to convey and store up to 30,000 acre-feet per year of groundwater in San Luis Canal and San Luis Reservoir. The Warren Act Contract would be for a period of five years, and the non-project groundwater would be introduced into the Canal between April 1 and August 31 during the contract period. The water would come from a combination of deep groundwater wells within Westlands, and groundwater purchased from water districts and private entities adjacent to the Mendota Pool who have existing Mendota Pool pump-in programs.

Water would be discharged to the Canal using existing pipes and laterals. Some licenses for existing pipes will need to be renewed, but no new discharge points would be installed. The location and type of each discharge point is shown in the attached spreadsheet.

The proposed action does not have a potential to affect Indian Trust Assets.

Richard M. Stevenson Deputy Regional Resources Manager

Appendix C Cultural Resources Determination

## CULTURAL RESOURCES COMPLIANCE Mid-Pacific Region Division of Environmental Affairs Cultural Resources Branch

MP-153 Tracking Number: 15-SCAO-060

Project Name: Westlands Water District Groundwater Warren Act

NEPA Document: EA-15-001

MP-153 Cultural Resources Reviewer: Joanne Goodsell

Date: January 12, 2015

At the request of Westlands Water District (Westlands), Reclamation proposes to approve a 5-Year Warren Act Contract to convey up to 30,000 acre-feet per year of groundwater into San Luis Canal and San Luis Reservoir. The groundwater would come from existing wells within Westlands and groundwater purchased from water districts and private entities adjacent to the Mendota Pool who have existing Mendota Pool pump-in programs. Water would be discharged into the San Luis Canal using existing pipes and laterals. The proposed action would require the renewal of some licenses for existing discharge pipes, but would require no new discharge point construction or installation.

Reclamation has determined that the proposed action would have no impacts to cultural resources and is an undertaking that has no potential to cause effects on historic properties pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.3(a)(1). At this time, Reclamation has no further obligations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. § 300101 *et seq.*) related to the proposed action.

This document communicates the completion of the NHPA Section 106 review process for this undertaking. If there are any changes to the proposed action prior to implementation, additional Section 106 review would be required. Please retain a copy of this document with the administrative record for this action

1