ENVIRONMENTAL WATER ACCOUNT

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT/ ENVRIONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

APPENDIX C

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Final Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Report



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605 Sacramento, California 95825-1646



JAN 1 5 2004

Memorandum

To:	Director, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation, Sacramento, California
Fron:	Acting Field Supervisor, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, Sacramento, California
Subject:	Final Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Report on Implementation of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, Environmental Water Account

This memorandum constitutes the Fish and Wildlife Service's (Service) final Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (FWCA) report, as provided for in section 2(b) of the FWCA (Public Law 85-624; 16 U.S.C. 661-667c), regarding proposed environmentally beneficial changes in operation of the State Water Project (SWP) and the Federal Central Valley Project (CVP). This report has been prepared by the Service taking into consideration new information, and the Service's biological opinion (1+1-03-F-0321) persuant to section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA).

Project Description

The August 28, 2000, programmatic ROD for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program (CALFED) established the Environmental Water Account (EWA) as a 4-year cooperative water management program which could be extended by agreement of the participating agencies. The purpose of the EWA is to provide protection to fish species of the San Francisco/Sacramento/San Joaquin River Delta estuary, while simultaneously assuring water quality and water supply reliability for water users. Any supplemental water-assets required by the program would be acquired, banked, transferred, or borrowed, substantially through voluntary purchases in the water transfer market, or by developing additional assets over time.



Director

Three alternatives were evaluated in the EWA EIS/EIR: 1) No Action Alternative; 2) Flexible Purchase Alternative; and 3) Fixed Purchase Alternative. Protective measures identified by the proposed action (Flexible Purchase Alternative) range from augmenting instream flows and Delta outflows to reducing Delta export pumping. Changes in SWP and CVP operations to minimize impacts on various fish species residing in or migrating through the Delta could include modifications to the timing of some flow releases from storage and the timing of water exports from Delta pumping plants.

Responsibilities and Evaluation

The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is the lead Federal agency responsible for compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Compliance with NEPA and California Environmental Quality Act has resulted in preparation of a draft and final EIS/EIR. Reclamation has also consulted with the Service pursuant to section 7 of the ESA (1-1-03-F-0321).

The Service is a Cooperating Agency in the associated NEPA process and has been participating in the planning of this project for some time. As a result, we believe our need for surveys and investigations, as provided for under the FWCA, have been met through existing analyses, completed jointly by Reclamation and the Service. Further, we believe the existing NEPA document sufficiently evaluates the alternatives as presently identified. The Service continues to provide technical assistance to the EWA process through the EWA Environmental Sub-Team and the Action Specific Implementation Plan (ASIP) Technical Sub-Team. The Service has provided comments and recommendations to Reclamation regarding the EWA since September 30, 2002.

Recommendations/Conclusions

Of the three alternatives evaluated in the draft and final EIS/EIR, the Service supports adoption of the proposed action (Flexible Purchase Alternative). For a complete description of this alternative, refer to Section 2.4 of the EWA draft EIS/EIR.

Based on our review of the draft EIS/EIR, the draft ASIP, and discussions with the EWA implementing agencies, the Service continues to recommend the following, recognizing that discussions on these issues are ongoing.

 <u>Compliance and Project Monitoring</u>: A brief but task-specific summary is needed describing the process by which EWA agencies will ensure that all contract provisions and conservation measures will be employed and evaluated. This document is in preparation by the EWA Environmental Sub-team. This document will be completed prior to the signing of the Record of Decision.

Director.

- <u>Effect Indicators and Evaluation Criteria:</u> In order to assess whether or not the EWA will significantly affect species, we recommend the development of specific and objective evaluation criteria, grounded in sound science, for application during any monitoring and tier actions, including adaptive management.
- Future coordination under the FWCA should be completed as required for associated tier specific actions.

This report has been coordinated with CDFG and NOAA Fisheries. If you have any questions regarding this report or other aspects of the FWCA, please contact Mike Hoover at (916) 414-6600.

ce:

USBR, Sacramento, California (Attn: Sandy Osborn) CDFG, Sacramento, California (Attn: Diana Jacobs) NOAA Fisheries, Sacramento, California (Attn: Michael Aceituno) DWR, Sacramento, California (Attn: Jerry Johns)