

Schedule A-2B1
Page 1 of 2

**CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT
ACCUMULATED IRRIGATION CAPITAL RELIEF DUE TO ABILITY-TO-PAY STUDIES
2011 IRRIGATION WATER RATES**

Facility/Contractor	Start of Relief	A		B		C		D		E	
		Cumulative Capital Relief		Irrigation Capital Rates		Fiscal Year 2009		Fiscal Year 2009		Cumulative Capital Relief	
		Fiscal Years 1992 - 2008		Fiscal Years 1992 - 2008		Delivered Irrigation Water		Capital Relief		Fiscal Years 1992 - 2009	
Ref		(2010 Irrigation Water Rates)	(2009 Irrigation Water Rates)	(2011 Irrigation Water Rates)	(2009 Irrigation Water Rates)	(2011 Irrigation Water Rates)	(2009 Irrigation Water Rates)	(2011 Irrigation Water Rates)	(2009 Irrigation Water Rates)	(2011 Irrigation Water Rates)	(2009 Irrigation Water Rates)
		<Schedule A-2B1>	<Schedule A-2Ba>	<Schedule A-2Bb>	<Schedule A-2Bc>	<Schedule A-2Bd>	<Schedule A-2Be>	<Schedule A-2Bf>	<Schedule A-2Bg>	<Schedule A-2Bh>	<Schedule A-2Bi>
Tehama Colusa Canal											
4-M WD	10/01/1993	\$	270,850	\$	14.19	1,270	\$	18,021	\$	288,871	
Colusa County WD	10/01/1993	\$	8,549,292	\$	21.33	20,903	\$	445,861	\$	8,995,153	
Cortina WD	10/01/1993	\$	141,795	\$	16.41	496	\$	8,139	\$	149,934	
Davis WD	10/01/1993	6/ \$	282,155	\$	17.46	1,724	\$	-	\$	282,155	
Dunnigan WD	10/01/1993	\$	1,920,358	\$	12.31	5,688	\$	70,019	\$	1,990,377	
Glenn Valley WD	10/01/1993	\$	99,733	\$	10.34	795	\$	8,220	\$	107,953	
Glide WD	10/01/1993	\$	963,215	\$	7.78	4,052	\$	31,525	\$	994,740	
Holthouse WD	10/01/1993	\$	159,726	\$	9.51	201	\$	1,912	\$	161,638	
Kanawha WD	10/01/1991	\$	4,621,071	\$	11.16	14,157	\$	157,992	\$	4,779,063	
Kirkwood WD	04/10/1997	\$	90,185	\$	13.81	414	\$	5,717	\$	95,902	
La Grande WD	10/01/1993	\$	534,044	\$	9.13	1,595	\$	14,562	\$	548,606	
Myers Marsh MWC	10/01/1993	\$	20,715	\$	9.91	57	\$	565	\$	21,280	
Orland Artois WD	10/01/1993	\$	7,597,156	\$	13.21	13,869	\$	183,209	\$	7,780,365	
Tehama WD	1/ 10/01/1993	\$	-	\$	-	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Westside WD	10/01/1993	\$	6,915,299	\$	12.65	16,192	\$	204,829	\$	7,120,128	
Total		\$	41,326,017					\$	2,333,429	\$	43,659,446

Footnotes:

- 1/ Contract(s) expired in 1995.
2/ Stockton East WD is considered a Non-Permanent Contractor because the water under their long-term contract is not considered "firm supply". Relief calculations do not accurately portray relief provided by Power.
3/ Contracts were renewed in 2005. The renewed contract rate is the "Cost-of-Service" rate which includes the capital component. After contract renewal they may become eligible for relief from the irrigation capital obligation, pending completion of the current Ability-to-Pay analysis. Prior to 2005, these contractors had a contract rate of \$2.00 per acre-foot for project irrigation water. This was less than their CVP O&M charge, therefore; they were not making any payment on irrigation capital. Cumulative Capital Relief Fiscal Years 1992-2005 may be modified pending results from Ability-to-Pay refund calculations currently in progress.
4/ Cumulative Capital Relief Fiscal Years 1992-2005 may be modified pending results from Ability-to-Pay refund calculations currently in progress.
5/ Contractor had partial relief for capital in FY 2009.
6/ Contractor paid full capital in FY 2009.