

## CVPIA Program Activity Review

### Working Group Meeting

April 25, 2006

#### ***Purpose Statement and Ground Rules***

Reviewed with no comments.

#### ***Status Reports***

**Question:** Will the programs completed be used to inform the process? Yes, the completed programs will remain in the matrix, tables, and summary sheets for comparison.

#### ***Matrix***

Matrix has served the purpose of finding gaps in the written provisions of the Act. The Matrix has evolved into program activity summary sheets as a way to flow information into the draft report.

#### ***Reimbursability***

One of the important considerations for some stakeholders is the issue of multiple funding sources for a program activity? The 3407 completion determination should consider if funding does not come from the other sources; the water and power contractors should not be subject to longer Restoration Fund payments if programs are not complete for other reasons.

#### ***Chart of Program Activities***

b(23) Trinity moved to Long Term/Time Certain column for consideration (pending further discussion).

#### ***Refining Progress and Performance Goals***

The objective of today's meeting is to review and discuss performance goals.

#### **b(23) Trinity**

Discussion:

- ROD has 3 elements:
  - Construction
  - Flows
  - Habitat maintenance
- Program Activity Review is only looking at CVPIA not Trinity ROD.
- What does b(23) authorize? Two alternate perspectives:
  - CVPIA is only about flows.
  - CVPIA is about managing the whole Trinity Program.
- Timeframe – how do we show past dates and program planning dates?
- Not clear if 2008 timeline is agreed to for Trinity.
- For performance and progress goals - change timeframe to show ROD dates.
- Rewrite program approach to describe agency position on b(23).
- Describe role of Trinity Management Council (TMC).
- Reduce/remove language on constraints.

## CVPIA Program Activity Review

- Look at O & M payment language in b(23) when developing agency policy.
- What is the agencies' approach to managing the program?
- The Hoopa Tribe registered concern with Reclamation regarding the status of 3407 implementation and revisions made to the updated Matrix, which were made without consultation or involvement of the tribe.
- The Working Group acknowledged the unique challenges of the Trinity discussion, noting that all stakeholders have an interest in the discussion and the tribes have a special consultation relationship with Reclamation and the Service.

### *Progress Goals for Flows*

5 water year types

- Total annual acre-feet
- Maximum cubic feet per second target
- Are you hitting the target depending on the water year type.

### *Progress Goals for Structural*

Implementation of structural actions

- Infrastructure modification
- Habitat restoration projects

## **b(21) Anadromous Fish Screen Program – AFSP**

Discussion:

- How did 24” fish screen criteria get selected? Was there a scientific basis?
- CALFED set some cubic feet per second targets – this may set better targets than 24” requirement.
- Can we see the logic for setting priorities?
- Is monitoring looking at (1) effectiveness of existing screens or (2) extent of the problem?
- When and how do we fill in the performance goal?
- Look at more refinement of size of diversions – percent of diversion makes sense on tributaries.
- Is there progress on the assessment done as part of CALFED?
- Look at a measure of percentage of Upper Sacramento diversion capacity.
- “Shall not be limited to” – look at types of actions to address fish losses.
  - Measure other actions
  - What has worked
- Performance goal – have you minimized juvenile fish losses?
- Tie progress goals to juvenile fish loss performance goal.
- Need to understand and describe the link to ESA requirements-eliminate any losses.
- Take is not a good measure of performance.
- Maybe there isn't a hard target for fish losses, but contribute to AFRP doubling.
- Consider cost effectiveness of fish screening compared to other fish restoration actions.

## **d(1 & 2) Refuge Level 2/Level 4 Water**

Discussion:

- L2 diversification – underway now – looking at groundwater wells, etc.

## CVPIA Program Activity Review

- Acres of habitat can be a performance measure.
- Need measure of diversification.
- One measure is variety of suppliers.
- Another measure is acre feet from other sources.
- Target for diversification is all of L2.
- Timeframe is undefined.
- Look at an efficiency goal for L4 acquisition.
- Is it appropriate to set a hard performance goal for CVPIA?
- Priorities in management change per year for targets and delivery and habitat.
- Consider the maximum numbers of potential habitat acres as the target.
- Refuge people to explore how to report on success/value of acres of habitat annually.

### **(d) (5) Refuge Conveyance**

Discussion:

- Include wheeling agreements.
- Modify performance goal to report on how many refuges have capacity to deliver.
- When listing projects – list whether they are needed for L2 and L4.
- Are there constraints on delivering the water you have available?
- Do you have capacity to deliver increased flows?
- Consider measuring the number of refuges that have full delivery capacity.

### **b(1) Other**

Discussion:

- Is this an ongoing program?
- The target shouldn't be dollars per year.
- The target should be to mitigate CVP impacts to threatened and endangered species.
- b(1) other should be defined to support b(1) – only consider actions that aren't in the Act to make b(1) work; not a standalone program to address any and all CVP impacts.
- Address things that are related to AFRP not specified in the Act.
- Are we reinterpreting how the Act has been implemented?
- Capture this in stakeholder perspectives.
- “Other identified adverse impacts” should be in the program title.
- b(1) other is beginning a process to define impact of CVP to set performance goals.
- “Consistent with this section” need to clarify meaning.
- “All reasonable efforts” what does that mean?
- Does this performance goal apply to all programs under b(1) other?
- Working Group will return to b(1) other for additional review for Performance Goals.
- Consolidate all b(1) other programs activities under one summary sheet

### ***Priority Provisions for Future Discussion***

- Tracy
- CAMP
- Red Bluff – invite Tehama-Colusa Canal representative

## **CVPIA Program Activity Review**

- Clear Creek
- Delta Cross Channel

### ***Roundtable Meeting on May 18***

Will provide an update of the CVPIA PAR since last meeting.

### ***Actions***

- Clarify Agency program management approach for Trinity:
- Place Performance Goal before Progress Goals in each summary sheet.
- Clarify b(1) other policy

### ***Meeting Schedule***

Wednesday, May 3

- Discuss Restoration Fund Roundtable agenda
- Initial 3407 Completion Interpretation
- Assessment Approach

Tuesday, May 16

Thursday, May 18 (after Restoration Fund Roundtable)

Tuesday, May 23

Thursday, June 1

## CVPIA Program Activity Review

### **Participants**

<del>Michael Accituno</del>	<del>NMFS</del>
Ara Azhderian	SLDMWA
John Beam	CDFG
Serge Birk	CVPWA
Gary Bobker	Bay Institute
Frances Brewster	SCVWD
Paul Forsberg	CDFG
<del>Zeke Grader</del>	<del>PCFFA</del>
Ann Hayden	ED
<del>Tim Hayden</del>	<del>Yurok Tribe</del>
<del>Heather Hostler</del>	<del>Hoopa Valley Tribe</del>
Campbell Ingram	Nature Conservancy
Danny Jordan	Hoopa Valley Tribe
Joseph Jarnaghan	Hoopa Valley Tribe
<del>Don Marciochi</del>	<del>Grassland WD</del>
<del>Clifford Lyle Marshall</del>	<del>Hoopa Valley Tribe</del>
Jacolyn Martins	Hoopa Valley Tribe
<del>Barry Nelson</del>	<del>NRDC</del>
<del>Paul Olmstead</del>	<del>SMUD</del>
Jeff Phipps	NCPA
<del>Dennis Puz</del>	<del>Yurok Tribe</del>
Jeff Quimby	CCWD
<del>Spreck Rosekrans</del>	<del>ED</del>
<del>Bob Stackhouse</del>	<del>CVPWA</del>
Tom Stokeley	Trinity Co.
Bernice Sullivan	FWA
<del>Jerry Toenyas</del>	<del>NCPA</del>
David Widell	Ducks Unlimited
<del>Alan Zepp</del>	<del>NCPA</del>
Dave Zezulak	CDFG

### **Agency Team**

<del>John Engbring</del>	<del>FWS</del>
Dale Garrison	FWS
Roger Guinee	FWS
<del>Susan Hoffman</del>	<del>Reclamation</del>
Shana Kaplan	Reclamation
Allan Oto	Reclamation
<del>Susan Ramos</del>	<del>Reclamation</del>
Patricia Rivera	Reclamation
Charles Gardiner	Consultant
Janice Kelley	Consultant