DRAFT

Supplemental Environmental Assessment for the 2004 Renewal of Interim Water Service Contracts through February 28, 2006

Central Valley Project, California

December 2003

Bureau of Reclamation Mid-Pacific Region 2800 Cottage Way Sacramento, California 95825-1898



CHAPTER 1 PURPOSE AND NEED

1.1 Introduction

In accordance with Section 3404(c) of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) proposes to execute up to 59 interim water service contracts for a period of up to two years, from March 1, 2004 through February 28, 2006. Interim renewal contracts (IRCs) are undertaken under the authority of the CVPIA to provide a bridge between the expiration of existing long-term water contracts and the execution of new long-term water contracts. These interim contracts will be renewed for a two-year period from March 1, 2004 through February 28, 2006. However, in the event that a new long-term water contract is executed with an effective date of March 1, 2005, that interim water service contract would then expire on February 28, 2005. Interim renewal of these water service contracts is necessary to continue delivery of Central Valley Project (CVP) water until the long-term contracts are executed. Water service contracts proposed for interim renewal in 2004 are listed in Table 1.

Reclamation has prepared this supplemental Environmental Assessment (EA) to determine if any actions occurring from an extended interim period of up to two years from March 1, 2004 until February 28, 2006 will result in any potential impacts not analyzed in the 1994 EA (Bureau of Reclamation 1994a and 1994b), the 1998 Supplemental EA (Bureau of Reclamation 1998), the 2000 Supplemental EA (Bureau of Reclamation 2000), the 2001 Supplemental EA (Bureau of Reclamation 2001), or the 2002 Supplemental EA (Bureau of Reclamation 2002). These six previous documents are incorporated by reference into this analysis. The 2002, 2001, and 2000 IRC Supplemental EAs are included in Appendix C. The December 1994 EA, and February 1998 Supplemental EA are incorporated by reference and available by request.

This 2004 Supplemental EA provides the sections that have updated information, additional discussions, or table changes from the 2002, 2001 or 2000 Final Supplemental EAs. In addition to the 42 CVP interim renewal contracts analyzed in the previous Supplemental EAs, this 2004 Supplemental EA includes 17 additional CVP contractors that also require IRCs at this time. These 17 contractors have long-term water service contracts that expired or will expire within the next year, and therefore these contractors will also require an interim renewal contract until new long-term contracts can be executed in 2005 or 2006. These 17 interim renewal contracts are identified in Table 1 of this document.

This environmental analysis was developed consistent with regulations and guidance from the Council on Environmental Quality, and in conformance with the analysis provided in *NRDC* v. *Patterson*, Civ. No. S-88-1658 (Patterson). In Patterson the Court

found that "...[on] going projects and activities require NEPA [National Environmental Policy Act] procedures only when they undergo changes amounting in themselves to further 'major action'." In addition, the court went further to state that the NEPA statutory requirement applies only to those changes. The analysis in this draft supplemental EA and the incorporated EAs finds in large part that the interim renewal of the contracts is in essence a continuation of the "status quo," that is, they continue the existing use and allocation of resources (i.e., the same amount of water is being provided to the same lands for existing/ongoing purposes).

1.2 BACKGROUND OF INTERIM CONTRACTS

Section 3409 of the CVPIA stipulates that Reclamation must prepare a programmatic environmental impact statement (PEIS) before renewing long-term CVP water service contracts. The complexity of the analysis associated with the CVPIA PEIS extended its completion until October 1999 with a Record of Decision approved on January 9, 2001.

The PEIS evaluated CVP-wide impacts of long-term contract renewal. Regional LTCR environmental-compliance documents tiered from the PEIS are at various stages of completion. NEPA documentation was completed in early 2001 for the Friant Division, and the Cross Valley Canal (CVC) Unit, Hidden Unit, and Buchanan Unit LTCRs. Twenty-five of the 28 Friant Division long-term contracts were executed in January of 2001. The Hidden Unit long-term contract and the Buchanan Unit long-term contract were approved in February 2001. The CVC Unit's contract negotiations are essentially complete. Final execution of CVC Unit long-term contracts is pending.

Reclamation is completing the contract negotiations and site-specific environmental documentation for long-term contracts with contractors in the American River Division, the Corning and Tehama-Colusa Canal Units (Sacramento River Division), the Sacramento River Water Rights Settlement Contractors (Sacramento Division), the Feather River Division, the Contra Costa Unit (Delta Division), the Mercy Springs Water District (Delta Division), the US. Department of Veteran Affairs (Delta Division), the City of Fresno (Friant Division), the San Felipe Division, the San Luis Unit (West San Joaquin Division), the Delta Mendota Canal Unit (West San Joaquin Division), the Shasta-Trinity River Divisions, and the Cross Valley Canal Unit. Reclamation is aggressively pursuing completion of environmental compliance and execution of remaining long-term water service contracts within this interim period.

1.3 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION

The purpose of the proposed action is to execute interim contracts for up to two years between March 1, 2004 through February 28, 2006. Execution of interim contracts is needed to continue delivery of CVP water to interim contractors until the long-term contracts can be executed.

1.4 ISSUES RELATED TO CVP WATER USE UNDER THE PROPOSED INTERIM CONTRACTS

1.4.1 Interim Water Contract Service Areas

No changes to any water service area are part of the proposed action. Appropriate environmental compliance and documentation will be completed for any request from interim contractors for Reclamation approval of service area boundary changes.

1.4.2 Water Transfers

No water transfers are part of the proposed action. Any water transfers would be separate independent actions. Pursuant to Section 3405 of the CVPIA, appropriate environmental compliance and documentation will be completed for any request from an interim contractor for Reclamation approval of a water transfer.

1.4.3 Water Assignments

Potential future assignments of CVP water are not included in the proposed action. Any changes in CVP water assignments would be separate independent actions and would require their own environmental compliance and documentation.

1.4.4 Other Issues

The following actions are also not included in the Proposed Action:

- Warren Act contracts for conveyance of non-federal water using federal facilities;
- The Mendota Pool Pumpers Exchange Agreement and other non-Central Valley Project Waters that are pumped into the Mendota Pool;
- Inclusions and exclusions to Interim Renewal Contract service area boundaries.
- Future changes in use from agricultural irrigation only to Ag/M&I involving these interim renewal contractors.
- Changes to the existing Operations Criteria and Plan (OCAP).

1.5 Public Involvement

The public will be invited to review and comment on this draft 2004 Supplemental EA for a 30-day review period beginning in December 2003. A press release announcing the EA/FONSI publication will be sent to all interested parties, announced on the Mid-Pacific Region web page, and announced at meetings conducted by Reclamation for proposed renewal of the long-term water service contracts.

Public participation requirements for water service, repayment, and other water-related contracts are established in Section 9(f) of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939, 43 U.S.C. 485h, and by RRA rules and regulation (43 CFR 426.22). Public participation procedures are composed of two basic elements: 1) publicize proposed contract actions, and 2) provide an opportunity for public comment. Reclamation provides public notices of proposed contract actions at least 60 days prior to execution of any contract with a term greater than 1 year. Negotiations have been completed for the draft form of the 2004 contracts, and a press release announcing their availability was sent to all interested parties on December 12, 2003. The draft forms of the contract are available for a 60-day public review and comment period and can be viewed on-line at www.usbr.gov/mp/cvpia/3404c/2004InterimCts/index.html.

Table 1. Central Valley Project 2004 Interim Renewal Contractors, IRC contract quantities, the

existing contract number, and the existing contract expiration date.

existing contract number, and the existing contract	Contract	l date.	Contract
CVP Contractor	Quantity (A/F)	Existing Contract No.	Expiration Date
AMERICAN RIVER DIVISION			
Folsom Lake Unit:			
El Dorado Irrigation District*	7,500	14-06-200-1357A	12/31/2004
El Dorado Irrigation District (Lake Hills Estates)	50	14-06-200-7312-IR6	2/29/2004
San Juan Water District	11,200	14-06-200-152A-IR7	2/29/2004
Sly Park Unit:			
El Dorado Irrigation District (Transferring title to EID, but will include in this impact analysis)	23,000	14-06-200-949-IR7	2/29/2004
DELTA DIVISION			
Delta-Mendota Canal:			
Banta-Carbona Irrigation District	20,000	14-06-200-4305A-IR7-A	2/29/2004
Broadview Water District	27,000	14-06-200-8092-IR7	2/29/2004
Centinella Water District	2,500	7-07-20-W0055-IR7	2/29/2004
Coelho Family Trust* (Formerly Traction Ranch)	2,080	14-06-200-7859A-IR1	2/29/2004
Del Puerto Water District	140,210	14-06-200-922-IR9	2/29/2004
Eagle Field Water District	4,550	14-06-200-7754-IR7	2/29/2004
Fresno Slough Water District*	4,000	14-06-200-4019A-IR1	2/29/2004
James Irrigation District*	35,300	14-06-200-700-A-IR1	2/29/2004
Laguna Water District	800	2-07-20-W0266-IR7	2/29/2004
Mercy Springs Water District	2,842	14-06-200-3365A-IR7-A	2/29/2004
Pajaro Valley Water Management Area, Westlands	7-		
Water District (District # 1), Santa Clara Valley Water District	6,260	14-06-200-3365A-IR7-B	2/29/2004
Westlands Water District (District # 2)* (assignment final)	4,198	14-06-200-3365A-IR7-C (assign from MercySprings WD	2/29/2004
Oro Loma Water District	4,600	14-06-200-7823-IR7	2/29/2004
Patterson Irrigation District	16,500	14-06-200-3598A-IR7	2/29/2004
Plain View Water District	20,600	14-06-200-785-IR9	2/29/2004
Reclamation District 1606*	228	14-06-200-3802A-IR1	2/29/2004
The West Side Irrigation District	5,000	7-07-20-W0045-IR7-A	2/29/2004
Tracy, City Of* (assignment pending)	5,000	14-06-200-4305A-IR7-B (partial assign. from Banta Carbona ID)	2/29/2004
Tracy, City Of* (assignment pending)	2,500	7-07-20-W0045-IR7-B (partial assign. from The West Side ID)	2/29/2004
Tranquillity Irrigation District*	13,800	14-06-200-701-A-IR1	2/29/2004
Tranquillity Public Utility District* (Formerly Hughes, Melvin)	70	14-06-200-3537A-IR1	2/29/2004
US Department of Veterans Affairs* (San Joaquin National Cemetery)	450	3-07-20-W1124-IR0	2/29/2004
West Stanislaus Irrigation District	50,000	14-06-200-1072-IR9	2/29/2004
Widren Water District	2,990	14-06-200-8018-IR7	2/29/2004
FRIANT DIVISION			
Friant-Kern Canal:			
Lewis Creek Water District* (Did not renew, but will include action in this impact analysis)	1,450	14-06-200-1911A-IR1	2/29/2000

CVP Contractor	Contract Quantity (A/F)	Existing Contract No.	Contract Expiration Date
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION			
Corning Canal Unit:	22.000	14.06.200 6555 1755	2/20/2004
Corning Water District	23,000	14-06-200-6575-IR7	2/29/2004
Proberta Water District	3,500	14-06-200-7311-IR7	2/29/2004
Thomes Creek Water District	6,400	14-06-200-5271A-IR7	2/29/2004
Tehama-Colusa Canal Unit:			
Colusa County Water District	62,200	14-06-200-304-A-IR7	2/29/2004
Colusa, County Of (Subs: Colusa County WD, Cortina WD, 4-M WD, Glenn Valley WD, Holthouse WD, La Grande WD, Myers-Marsh MWC)	60,000	14-06-200-8310A-IR7	2/29/2004
Davis Water District	4,000	14-06-200-6001A-IR7	2/29/2004
Dunnigan Water District	19,000	14-06-200-399A-IR7	2/29/2004
Glide Water District	10,500	7-07-20-W0040-IR7	2/29/2004
Kanawha Water District	45,000	14-06-200-466-A-IR7	2/29/2004
Kirkwood Water District	2,100	7-07-20-W0056-IR7	2/29/2004
La Grande Water District	5,000	7-07-20-W0022-IR7	2/29/2004
Orland-Artois Water District	53,000	14-06-200-8382A-IR7	2/29/2004
Westside Water District	25,000	14-06-200-8222-IR7	2/29/2004
Westside Water District* (assignment final)	40,000	14-06-200-8310X-IR1 assignment from Co. of Colusa	2/29/2004
FEATHER RIVER DIVISION:			
Feather Water District	20,000	14-06-200-171A-IR8	2/29/2004
SHASTA DIVISION			
Shasta Lake, City Of	2,750	4-07-20-W1134-IR9	2/29/2004
Mountain Gate County Service District*	350	14-06-200-6998-IR1	2/29/2004
Shasta County Water Authority*	5,000	14-06-200-3367A	12/31/2004
TRINITY RIVER DIVISION			
Bella Vista Water District	24,000	14-06-200-851A-IR8	2/29/2004
Clear Creek County Service District	15,300	14-06-200-489-A-IR8	2/29/2004
Shasta County Service District*	1,000	14-06-200-862A-IR1	2/29/2004
<u>Miscellaneous</u>			
Colusa Basin Drain:			
Colusa Drain MWC (New Contract - 1988)*	100,000	8-07-20-W0693	12/31/2004
Cross Valley Canal:			
Fresno, County Of	3,000	14-06-200-8292A-IR7	2/29/2004
Hills Valley Irrigation District-Amendatory	3,346	14-06-200-8466A-IR7	2/29/2004
Kern-Tulare Water District	40,000	14-06-200-8601A-IR7	2/29/2004
Lower Tule River Irrigation District	31,102	14-06-200-8237A-IR7	2/29/2004
Pixley Irrigation District	31,102	14-06-200-8238A-IR7	2/29/2004
Rag Gulch Water District	13,300	14-06-200-8367A-IR7	2/29/2004
Tri-Valley Water District	1,142	14-06-200-8565A-IR7	2/29/2004
Tulare, County Of	5,308	14-06-200-8293A-IR7	2/29/2004
* IRC was not included in the 2002 Supplemental EA			

CHAPTER 2 ALTERNATIVES

2.1 Proposed Action Alternative

The Proposed Action alternative evaluated in this document is the execution of up to 59 interim renewal water-service contracts between the United States and the CVP contractors listed in Table 1. Forty-two of the CVP contractors listed on Table 1 entered into interim renewal contracts on February 28, 2002. The Proposed Action would continue these existing interim contracts, with only minor, administrative changes to the contract provisions. Seventeen CVP contractors on Table 1 have long-term water-service contracts expiring now or within the next year, and will also require an interim contract in 2004 to allow continued delivery of CVP water until long-term water-service contracts can be executed. The Proposed Action would continue their existing water service contracts, with only minor, administrative changes to the contract provisions.

A sample Existing Interim Contracts are provided in Appendix B [The Existing Interim Contract used for six contractors in the Delta-Mendota Canal Unit (Coelho Family Trust, Fresno Slough WD, James ID, RD 1606, Tranquillity ID, and Tranquillity PUD) is slightly different, and is presented in Appendix B(2)]. The terms and conditions of the Existing Interim Renewal Contract are incorporated by reference into the Proposed Action contract except for these revisions:

- (a) The first sentence in Subdivision (a) of Article 1 is modified as follows: "This renewal contract shall be effective from March 1, 2004, and shall remain in effect through February 28, 2006, and thereafter will be renewed as described in Subdivision (a) of Article 2 of the Interim Renewal Contact if a long-term renewal contract has not been executed with an effective commencement date of March 1, 2006; Provided, that if a long-term renewal contract has been executed with an effective commencement date of March 1, 2005, this interim renewal contract shall expire on February 28, 2005".
- (b) Subdivision (b)(1) of Article 2 of the Existing Interim Renewal Contract is amended by deleting the date "November 30, 2001," and replacing same with the date "February 15, 2006."
- (c) Subdivision (b) of Article 1 of the Existing Interim Renewal Contract is amended by deleting the dates "February 1, 2004," "February 15, 2004," and "February 28, 2006," and replacing same with the dates "February 1, 2006," "February 15, 2006," and "February 28, 2006," respectively.

These revisions would take effect on the date of the execution of the 2004 interim contract. Except for date changes, the 2004 interim contracts will remain the same

as the existing interim contract.

The Proposed Action alternative is comparable to Alternative 1, continuation of existing interim contracts, analyzed in the 2000 Supplemental EA. The period of renewal for each 2004 contract would be for two years, as permitted under subsection 3404(c)(1) of CVPIA. Subsection 3404(c)(1) specifies that interim renewal contracts will not exceed three years in length, and be for successive interim periods of not more than two years in length. The current contract provisions are those that are included in the existing interim renewal contracts and specified in the 2002 Supplemental EA. They contain only minor variations from the provisions described in the 1994 EA, the 1998 Supplemental EA, and the 2000, and 2001 Supplemental EAs.

All other existing contract provisions such as contract supply, payment, water quality, water measurement, water conservation, water shortage, discretionary provisions of the Reclamation Reform Act, Endangered Species Act compliance, and standard articles are not changed (see Section 2.1.1 of the 2000 Supplemental EA, presented in Appendix C).

2.2 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT ELIMINATED FROM FURTHER ANALYSIS

2.2.1 No Renewal of Interim Contracts

Nonrenewal of existing contracts is considered infeasible based on Section 3404(c) of the CVPIA. Section 3404(c) states "...the Secretary **shall**, upon request, renew any existing long-term repayment of water service contract for the delivery of water from the CVP...." The non-renewal alternative was considered, but eliminated from analysis in this EA because Reclamation has no discretion not to renew existing water service contracts.

2.2.2 Reduction In Interim Contract Amounts

Reduction in contract water amounts due to the current delivery constraints on the CVP system (identified in the PEIS) was considered in certain cases, but rejected from analysis for several reasons:

First, the Reclamation Project Act of 1956 and the Reclamation Project Act of 1963 mandate renewal of existing contract amounts when beneficially used. Water-needs analyses have been completed for all CVP contracts to identify the amount of water that could be beneficially used by each water service contractor. In almost all cases, the needs exceed or equal the current total contract amount. The contract amounts are constrained not to exceed the beneficial use or the existing contract amount, whichever is less.

Second, the shortage provision of the water service contract protects Reclamation's

Contracting Officer from liability from the shortages in water allocations that exist due to drought, other physical constraints, and actions taken to meet legal or regulatory requirements. Such legal or regulatory actions include, for example, actions to implement the CVPIA, which has dedicated significant amounts of CVP water to environmental uses and which provides funding from the CVP contractors to improve habitat and to acquire water for environmental purposes. The CVPIA required the CVP to institute environmental management as part of the CVP operations, such as allocation of 800,000 acre-feet for fish and wildlife purposes, refuge water supply, and acquisition of water from willing sellers. These legal requirements, in addition to existing Federal and State requirements of CVP operations provide regulatory/environmental use of CVP water and constrain the actual water delivery amounts.

Third, retaining the full historic water quantities under contract provides the contractors with assurance the water will be made available in wetter years and helps to support investments for local storage, water conservation improvements and capital repairs.

2.2.3 Other Alternatives

Other alternatives, including tiered pricing, are being developed through the negotiations process for long-term contracts. Appropriate alternative will be evaluated as part of the environmental compliance process for long-term contract renewals. Reclamation is aggressively pursuing completion of long-term contract renewal. Reclamation anticipates completing the environmental compliance and the execution of long-term water service contracts within this interim period (2004 to 2006).

CHAPTER 3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

The Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences in this 2004 Supplemental EA presents only those sections that have updated information, additional discussions, or table changes from the 2002, 2001 and 2000 Final Supplemental EAs. The Proposed Action alternative is comparable to Alternative 1, continuation of existing interim contracts, analyzed in the 2000 Supplemental EA.

As described in Chapters 1 and 2, this 2004 Supplemental EA includes 17 interim renewal contracts that were not included in the previous IRC environmental assessments. The contract water amount for each of these 17 interim renewal contractors is shown on Table 1. These 17 contractors are located inside the same geographical area analyzed in the original IRC Environmental Assessment (Reclamation 1994) and in the subsequent Supplemental IRC Environmental Assessments (Reclamation 1998, 2000, 2001, 2002). Location maps for the 17 water service contractors are presented in Appendix D.

The existing affected environment conditions are essentially the same as those described in the initial 1994 EA and subsequent Supplemental EAs. Consistent with existing CVP and CVPIA biological opinions, Reclamation implemented a Central Valley Habitat Monitoring Program (CVHMP) in 1999 to map and monitor habitat inside CVP water service areas. The CVHMP uses satellite imagery and aerial photography to identify natural habitats and monitor habitat changes that may be occurring inside CVP water service areas. The CVHMP database benchmark year is 1993, and that 1993 data reflects land-use and habitat conditions described in the affected environment sections of the 1994 EA (Reclamation 1994). Comparisons of the 1993 benchmark-year to the most recent available satellite imagery (2000) show that habitat conditions inside the IRC water service areas have changed very little since the first IRC environmental analysis in 1994, which further supports conclusions presented in the 2002, 2001, and 2000 Supplemental IRC Environmental Assessments. Summaries of land-use and habitat changes inside each IRC service area since 1993 are presented in Appendix E.

3.3 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

3.3.1 [Proposed Action] Alternative

The fifth paragraph from the 2001 Supplemental IRC Environmental Assessment is revised as follows:

CVP-wide impacts to biological resources have been evaluated in the PEIS, and a FWS Biological Opinion to address potential CVP-wide impacts was completed on November 21, 2000. Cross Valley Canal (CVC) Unit Contractors' potential impacts to biological resources have been evaluated in the CVC Unit Contractors Long-Term Contract Renewal Environmental Assessment (January 2001), the CVC Unit Contractors Long-Term Contract Renewal Regional Biological Assessment (January 17, 2001), the Biological Opinion on U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Long Term Contract Renewal of Friant Division and CVC Unit Contracts (FWS-January 19, 2001), and the Biological Opinion for the Long-Term Renewal of CVP Water Service Contracts for the Friant Division and CVC Unit Contractors (NOAA Fisheries -January 20, 2001). The programmatic biological opinion and Essential Fish Habitat Conservation Recommendations prepared by NOAA Fisheries for the CVPIA was completed on November 14, 2000.

The following paragraph is included at the end of this section:

The FWS Biological Opinion for 2002 interim contracts is incorporated by reference in this 2004 Supplemental EA and presents the commitments that Reclamation will undertake during the proposed 2004 interim renewal period.

CHAPTER 4 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

Reclamation has consulted and coordinated with the US Fish and Wildlife Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration – Fisheries, and the IRC contractors (Table 1) in the preparation of this draft environmental documents for this proposed action. The public will be invited to review and comment on this draft 2004 Supplemental EA for a 30-day review period beginning in late December 2003. A press release announcing the EA/FONSI publication will be sent to all interested parties, announced on the Mid-Pacific Region web page.

4.1 ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

In February 2002, Reclamation has completed section 7 consultations with NOAA Fisheries and the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA). These consultations cover the interim contract renewals (IRC) from March 1, 2002 through February 29, 2004.

In 2000, Reclamation completed formal ESA consultation on IRCs, and the FWS issued a biological opinion dated February 29, 2000. On February 28, 2001, the FWS issued a memorandum extending the 2000 biological opinion through February of 2002. In February 2002, the FWS issued a biological opinion amending the February 2000 biological opinion, which extended the 2000 biological opinion through February of 2004.

Reclamation consulted formally on the CVC Unit long-term water service contracts and received a biological opinion on January 19, 2001. For the CVC Unit Contractors in the interim contract period, Reclamation and the CVC Unit Contractors have committed to comply with the requirements of the long-term contract biological opinion.

In 2002, Reclamation completed ESA consultation on interim contracts with NOAA Fisheries for a period of up to two years. NOAA Fisheries, by letter dated February 22, 2002, concurred with Reclamation's determination that the renewal of 2-year interim water service contracts will not likely adversely affect Sacramento River winter-run chinook salmon, Central Valley spring-run chinook salmon, Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast coho salmon, Central Valley steelhead, or their designated critical habitat. Formal consultation on the CVC Unit's long-term water service contracts was completed in January 2001. Reclamation and the CVC Unit Contractors have committed to comply with the NOAA Fisheries long-term contract BO as part of the approval of these interim contracts.

Reclamation formally re-initiated ESA consultation with FWS on November 5, 2003 and with NOAA Fisheries on November 14, 2003 regarding the proposed action. These ESA consultations on the proposed 2004 interim renewal contracts will be completed before Reclamation exercises a FONSI document on the proposed action.

CHAPTER 5 REFERENCES

