



100 Year Benefit Period vs. Simplified SCRB Method Discussed 10/21/11

Marla Pattison <mpattison@westlandswater.org>

Wed, Nov 20, 2013 at 11:17 AM

To: bmillerlevy@usbr.gov

Cc: Larry Bauman <lbauman@cvpwater.org>, Kiti Buelna <kbuelna@westlandswater.org>, Stephen Farmer <sfarmer@westlandswater.org>, Bobbie Ormonde <bormonde@westlandswater.org>

Brook,

I was a little confused over the discussion of historical benefits at yesterday's 11/19/13 Public Meeting on Benefits, specifically the 100 year benefit period. I was able to do a little digging this morning and found some discussion on a Simplified SCRB Method for the CAS.

Below is a link on the CAS website to the 10/21/11 Public Meeting #2 presentation that discusses a Simplified Methodology for SCRB to be used for the Cost Allocation Study. Please refer to pages 7 to 9 of this presentation of that meeting. The simplified method started off looking at benefits from years 2010 to 2060 and going to historical benefits from 1980 if the 50 year 'future' benefit stream is less than the single purpose alternative cost. At any rate it was only going to go back an additional 30 years to 1980, the date of the New Melones Dam and Reservoir completion.

<http://www.usbr.gov/mp/cvp/cvp-cas/docs/meetings/10-21-2012/CVP%20Cost%20Allocation%20Presentation%2010%2021%2011.ppt>

This was also documented at the 6/29/12 meeting in the Draft Assumptions, Period of Analysis.

<http://www.usbr.gov/mp/cvp/cvp-cas/docs/meetings/6-29-12/Draft%20Assumptions%20and%20Approach%20June%2029%202012.pdf>

Am I misunderstanding the simplified method that Traci discussed? Was the simplified SCRB method subsequently rejected as an alternative?

I can submit this question on a more formal basis if you prefer.

Sincerely,

Marla Pattison

Westlands