

**Attachment A**

**Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation**

**SCOPING MEETING NOTIFICATION**

**Mid-Pacific Region  
Sacramento, Calif.**

MP-17-095

Media Contact: Louis Moore, 916-978-5100, [WMoore@usbr.gov](mailto:WMoore@usbr.gov)

For Immediate Release: June 13, 2017

## **Reclamation Announces Public Scoping Meetings on Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation**

SACRAMENTO, Calif. – The Bureau of Reclamation will hold two public scoping meetings to gather information from other agencies, interested parties, and the public on the scope of alternatives for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation (SDFPE) project. The SDFPE project evaluates the near-term actions of reintroducing Federally-listed endangered winter-run Chinook salmon and potentially spring-run Chinook salmon to tributaries above Shasta Dam. The near-term goal is to increase the geographic distribution and abundance of the listed fish. The long-term goal is to increase abundance, productivity, and spatial distribution, and to improve the life history, health, and genetic diversity of the target species.

The public scoping meetings on the EIS are scheduled for:

- Tuesday, June 27, 2017, 2:00 to 4:00 p.m., 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825, Federal Building, Cafeteria Room C-1001.
- Wednesday, June 28, 2017, 6:00 to 8:00 p.m., 20814 Mammoth Drive, Lakehead, CA 96051, Lakehead Lions Club.

The EIS will assess impacts associated with the implementation of the near-term activities identified under Action V in the National Marine Fisheries Service Reasonable and Prudent Alternative from the 2009 Biological Opinion and Conference Opinion on the Long-term Operations of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project. Action V includes a Fish Passage Program to evaluate the reintroduction of Federally-listed Chinook salmon and steelhead to three dams operated by Reclamation: Shasta, Folsom, and New Melones.

In 2016, Reclamation released a Draft Pilot Implementation Plan. This Pilot Plan provided a general overview of winter-run Chinook Salmon reintroduction to historical habitats in the Study Area. In 2017, Reclamation prepared a Preliminary Draft Environmental Assessment as part of the planning process to evaluate and disclose potential environmental effects associated with the SDFPE's implementation of a Pilot Study to assess the feasibility of the reintroduction of Federally-listed Chinook salmon in tributaries above Shasta Lake. These documents can be found at <https://www.usbr.gov/mp/BayDeltaOffice/shasta-dam-fish-pass.html>.

Written comments are due by close of business Friday, July 28, 2017, and should be sent to Carolyn Bragg, Bureau of Reclamation, Bay-Delta Office, 801 I Street, Suite 140, Sacramento, CA 95814. You can also submit public comments at the public scoping meetings, email [cbragg@usbr.gov](mailto:cbragg@usbr.gov) or faxed to 916-414-2439. For additional information, please contact Bragg at 916-414-2433(TTY 800-877-8339).

###

Reclamation is the largest wholesale water supplier in the United States, and the nation's second largest producer of hydroelectric power. Its facilities also provide substantial flood control, recreation, and fish and wildlife benefits. Visit our website at <http://www.usbr.gov>. Follow us on Twitter [@USBR](https://twitter.com/USBR) and [@ReclamationCVP](https://twitter.com/ReclamationCVP).

necessity of this information collection for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (hours and cost) of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Ways we could enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Ways we could minimize the burden of the collection of the information on the respondents.

Please note that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and an individual need not respond to, a collection of information unless it has a valid OMB Control Number.

It is our policy to make all comments available to the public for review at the location listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. Before including your address, phone number, email address or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 1076–0177.

*Title:* Tribal Energy Development Capacity Program Grants.

*Brief Description of Collection:* Indian Tribes and Tribal energy resource development organizations that would like to apply for TEDC funding must submit an application that includes certain information. A complete application must contain a formal signed resolution of the governing body of the Tribe or Tribal energy resource development organization, a proposal describing the planned activities and deliverable products; and a detailed budget estimate, including contracted personnel costs, travel estimates, data collection and analysis costs, and other expenses. The IEED requires this information to ensure that it provides funding only to those projects that meet the goals of the TEDC program and purposes for which Congress provides the appropriation. Upon acceptance of an application, the successful applicant must then submit one- to two-page progress reports twice during the grant period summarizing events, accomplishments, problems and/or results in executing the project.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Indian Tribes and Tribal energy resource development organizations under 25 U.S.C. 3502.

*Number of Respondents:* 27 per year, on average; 13 project participants each year, on average.

*Frequency of Response:* Once per year for applications; 4 times per year for progress reports.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 40 hours per application; 1.5 hours per progress report.

*Obligation to Respond:* Response is required to obtain a benefit.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 1,158 hours (1,080 for applications and 78 for progress reports).

*Estimated Total Annual Non-Hour Dollar Cost:* \$0.

### Authority

The authorities for this action are the Energy Policy Act of 2005, 25 U.S.C. 3502, and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

**Elizabeth K. Appel,**

*Director, Office of Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative Action—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2017–12444 Filed 6–14–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4337–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

**[RR02800000, 17XR0680A3, RX178689471000000]**

### Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation, California

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent and scoping meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Reclamation intends to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation. The document will evaluate the program that will be used to implement the near-term actions identified under Action V in the National Marine Fisheries Service's 2009 Biological Opinion and Conference Opinion on the Long-Term Operation of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project Reasonable and Prudent Alternative. This EIS will evaluate the near-term actions of reintroducing Federally-listed endangered winter-run Chinook salmon and potentially spring-run Chinook salmon to historical habitats.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on the scope of the EIS on or before July 21, 2017.

Oral and written comments will also be accepted during two scoping meetings held to solicit public input on alternatives, concerns, and issues to be addressed in the EIS:

1. Tuesday, June 27, 2017, 2–4 p.m., Sacramento, CA.

2. Wednesday, June 28, 2017, 6–8 p.m., Lakehead, CA.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to Carolyn Bragg, Natural Resources Specialist, Bureau of Reclamation, Bay-Delta Office, 801 I Street, Suite 140, Sacramento, CA 95814–2536; fax to (916) 414–2439; or email at [cbragg@usbr.gov](mailto:cbragg@usbr.gov).

The scoping meetings will be held at the following locations:

1. Sacramento—Federal Building, Cafeteria Room C–1001, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825.

2. Lakehead—Lakehead Lions Club, 20814 Mammoth Drive, Lakehead, CA 96051.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carolyn Bragg, (916) 414–2433, fax (916) 414–2439, or email [cbragg@usbr.gov](mailto:cbragg@usbr.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Agencies Involved

The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) will invite the following agencies to participate as cooperating agencies for the preparation of the EIS in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA): National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Forest Service, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, California Department of Water Resources, California State Water Resources Control Board, Shasta County, Siskiyou County, and additional Federal and State agencies with jurisdiction in the project area.

#### II. Why We Are Taking This Action

The National Marine Fisheries Service's 2009 Biological Opinion and Conference Opinion on the Long-term Operation of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project (NMFS BO) concluded that the continued operation of the Central Valley Project and the State Water Project were likely to jeopardize the continued existence of four anadromous species listed under the federal Endangered Species Act: Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*), California Central Valley steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), and the Southern Distinct Population Segment of North American green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*). The NMFS BO sets forth a Reasonable and Prudent

Alternative (RPA) that if implemented, will allow the Central Valley Project and State Water Project to operate in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

RPA Action V includes an evaluation of the potential reintroduction of Federally-listed Chinook salmon and steelhead to historical habitats. Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation (SDFPE) is an effort to determine the feasibility of reintroducing winter-run and spring-run Chinook salmon and steelhead to tributaries above Shasta Dam. The SDFPE is separated into near-term and long-term actions. As part of the requirements of the RPA, Reclamation, in coordination with the Interagency Fish Passage Steering Committee, is developing the Pilot Program as an adaptive management process to evaluate the near-term reintroduction of Chinook salmon into historical habitat above Shasta Dam.

Reclamation is focusing the initial near-term goals of re-introducing winter-run and potentially spring-run Chinook salmon upstream of Shasta Dam as the location based on: a) the imperiled status of winter-run Chinook salmon and the resulting urgency to move these fish back into their historical habitats as a means of reducing extinction risk; and b) the good habitat conditions. NMFS requires the use of Federally-listed Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon, either from the wild in the Sacramento River and/or the Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery conservation program in order to meet the goals of RPA Action V.

Reclamation has prepared a Draft Pilot Implementation Plan and an unpublished Preliminary Draft Environmental Assessment for the proposed action, which can be found at <https://www.usbr.gov/mp/BayDeltaOffice/shasta-dam-fish-pass.html>. The initial analysis conducted indicated uncertainties associated with the resources analyzed. Given these uncertainties, Reclamation has decided to prepare an EIS. If the near-term actions indicate that long-term fish passage of listed salmonids is feasible and practical to implement, then in accordance with RPA Action V, Reclamation will develop and implement a Long-Term Fish Passage Program, which would require additional environmental documentation.

### III. Purpose and Need for Action

The range of Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon has been reduced by Keswick and Shasta dams on the Sacramento River and by hydroelectric dam development on

Battle Creek. Currently, Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon spawning is limited to the mainstem Sacramento River downstream of Shasta and Keswick dams where the naturally-spawning population is maintained by cool water releases from the dams. Central Valley spring-run Chinook salmon spawning occurs primarily in other Sacramento River tributaries. The need for the proposed action arises from projections of increased incidences of temperature related impacts to listed anadromous fish, and their resulting vulnerability below Shasta Dam. The purpose of the proposed action is to evaluate the feasibility of establishing self-sustaining populations of listed anadromous fish above Shasta Lake. The Pilot Program seeks to do this by evaluating various aspects of reintroduction including the biological and technological challenges.

### IV. Project Area

The project area includes Shasta Lake, the Sacramento River from Shasta Lake upstream to Box Canyon Dam, and the McCloud River from Shasta Lake upstream to McCloud Dam. The project area is within Shasta and Siskiyou Counties.

### V. Alternatives To Be Considered

The Preliminary Draft Environmental Assessment included analysis of reasonable alternatives that could potentially be considered to meet the purpose and need of the proposed near-term actions of this EIS under Action V for the reintroduction of Federally-listed Chinook salmon to historical habitats. A habitat assessment was conducted of the mainstem reaches of the Upper Sacramento River and McCloud River as part of the development of the Pilot Implementation Plan. The assessment found good habitat conditions in both watersheds. The Pilot Program includes multiple pilot studies intended to be conducted on a short-term basis to answer questions regarding feasibility of a Long-term Fish Passage Program. The Preliminary Draft Environmental Assessment included analysis of two alternatives; introduction of Federally-listed endangered winter-run Chinook salmon and potentially spring-run Chinook salmon to the Upper Sacramento River and McCloud River in different years and the introduction of Federally-listed endangered winter-run Chinook salmon and potentially spring-run Chinook salmon to both the Upper Sacramento River and the McCloud River at the same time. Additional alternatives may be identified during the scoping process, and potential environmental effects of these

alternatives will be evaluated in this EIS. The results of the proposed action will facilitate a determination by the Interagency Fish Passage Steering Committee as to whether it is feasible or practical to implement a full-scale and long-term reintroduction of listed anadromous fish in the watershed above Shasta Lake.

### VI. Statutory Authority

National Marine Fisheries Service's 2009 Biological Opinion and Conference Opinion on the Long-Term Operation of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project RPA Action V obligates the U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, to evaluate the feasibility for the reintroduction of winter-run and spring-run Chinook salmon and steelhead upstream of Shasta, Folsom and New Melones dams. NEPA [42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*] requires that Federal agencies conduct an environmental analysis of their proposed actions to determine if the actions may significantly affect the human environment. As required by NEPA, Reclamation will analyze in the EIS the potential direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental effects that may result from implementation of the proposed action and alternatives, which may include, but are not limited to, the following areas of potential impact: Surface Water Resources, Hazardous Materials, Fisheries and Aquatic Species, Wildlife, Botanical Resources, Visual, Scenic, or Aesthetic Resources, Air Quality, Noise, Transportation, Public Services, and Utilities, Recreation, Cultural Resources, Socioeconomics, Environmental Justice, Indian Trust Assets and Indian Sacred Sites, Global climate change/greenhouse gas emissions.

### VII. Request for Comments

The purposes of this notice are:

- To advise other agencies, potentially affected local governments, tribes, and the public of our intention to gather information to support the preparation of an EIS;
- To obtain suggestions and information from other agencies, interested parties, and the public on the scope of alternatives and issues to be addressed in the EIS; and.
- To identify important issues raised by the public related to the development and implementation of the proposed action.

We invite written comments from interested parties to ensure that the full range of alternatives and issues related to the development of the proposed action are identified. Written comments may be submitted by mail, electronic

mail, facsimile transmission or in person listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. Comments and participation in the scoping process are encouraged.

### VIII. Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

### IX. How To Request Reasonable Accommodation

If special assistance is required at one of the scoping meetings, please contact Carolyn Bragg at the information provided in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice, or (TTY) 800-877-8339, at least five working days before the meetings. Information regarding this proposed action is available in alternative formats upon request.

Dated: June 9, 2017.

**Pablo R. Arroyave,**

*Deputy Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 2017-12398 Filed 6-14-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4332-90-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-578 and 731-TA-1368 (Preliminary)]

### 100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft From Canada; Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of imports of 100- to 150-seat large civil aircraft from Canada, provided for in subheading 8802.40.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV") and to be subsidized by the government of Canada.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

### Commencement of Final Phase Investigations

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules, upon notice from the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") of affirmative preliminary determinations in the investigations under sections 703(b) or 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determinations are negative, upon notice of affirmative final determinations in those investigations under sections 705(a) or 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

### Background

On April 27, 2017, The Boeing Company, Chicago, Illinois filed a petition with the Commission and Commerce, alleging that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV and subsidized imports of 100- to 150-seat large civil aircraft from Canada. Accordingly, effective April 27, 2017, the Commission, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 733(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a) and 1673b(a)), instituted countervailing duty investigation No. 701-TA-578 and antidumping duty investigation No. 731-TA-1368 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigations and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of May 3, 2017 (82 FR 20634). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on May 18, 2017, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to sections 703(a) and 733(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a) and 1673b(a)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on June 12, 2017. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4702 (June 2017), entitled *100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft from Canada: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-578 and 731-TA-1368 (Preliminary)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 12, 2017.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-12436 Filed 6-14-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1001]

### Certain Digital Video Receivers and Hardware and Software Components Thereof Notice of Request for Statements on the Public Interest

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") has issued a recommended determination on remedy and bonding in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting submissions from the public on any public interest issues raised by the recommended relief. The ALJ recommended that a limited exclusion order issue against certain digital video receivers and hardware and software components thereof imported by the respondents. The respondents are Comcast Corporation of Philadelphia, PA; Comcast Cable Communications, LLC of Philadelphia, PA; Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC of Philadelphia, PA; Comcast Business Communications, LLC of Philadelphia, PA; Comcast Holdings Corporation of Philadelphia, PA; Comcast Shared Services, LLC of Chicago, IL; Technicolor SA of Issy-les-Moulineaux, France; Technicolor USA, Inc. of Indianapolis, IN; Technicolor Connected Home USA LLC of Indianapolis, IN; Pace Ltd. of Saltaire, England; Pace Americas, LLC of Boca Raton, FL; Arris International plc of Suwanee, GA; Arris Group Inc. of Suwanee, GA; Arris Technology, Inc. of Horsham, PA; Arris Enterprises Inc. of Suwanee, GA; and Arris Solutions, Inc. of Suwanee, GA. The ALJ also

Written comments are due by close of business Sept. 28, 2017, and should be sent to Carolyn Bragg, Bureau of Reclamation, Bay-Delta Office, 801 I Street, Suite 140, Sacramento, CA 95814.



by 8 years of rest. The settlement agreement identifies 7 distinct grizzly bear security zones almost entirely on the original 19,400 acres of Class A lands in the Stillwater Block in the HCP, but also adds 2,300 acres in a new area in Coal Creek State Forest. The amended HCP would replace the 4-year active/8-year rest rotation with specific measures for restricting forest management activities to the denning season in these grizzly bear security zones. All motorized activities below 6,300 feet in elevation within the grizzly security zones would be allowed during the grizzly denning season and prohibited all year round above that elevation. The current HCP prohibits new permanent road construction on the original 19,400 acres of Class A lands. This measure would remain essentially the same under an amendment, but to incorporate the terms of the settlement agreement, it would be specifically applied to the seven grizzly security zones, including the additional 2,300 acres in the Coal Creek State Forest. Several other measures in the HCP for Class A lands would remain the same but be extended to the grizzly security zones. Other amendments specifically spell out measures that DNRC had committed to implement in the original HCP but were previously incorporated by reference from DNRC's Forest Management Administrative Rules of Montana.

The original HCP requires the DNRC to complete corrective actions at sites identified with high risk of sediment delivery in bull trout watersheds in the HCP plan area by 2027. As directed by the settlement agreement, the HCP would be amended to prioritize and complete such corrective actions in federally designated bull trout critical habitat in the Stillwater Block by 2024.

Lastly, over the past 5 years of HCP implementation, the Service and DNRC identified some commitment and procedural clarifications that would be incorporated into the HCP. These amendments would serve to help DNRC understand how to implement certain measures and would not entail any changes to the nature of the measures or how they affect the covered species.

#### Alternatives Analyzed in the Draft SEIS

The Draft SEIS considers the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects of the proposed action, including the proposed amended HCP's measures intended to avoid, minimize, and mitigate such impacts, and no-action alternatives. The proposed action entails issuing DNRC an amended permit authorizing additional take based on amendments to the HCP to add covered lands and incorporate terms of the settlement

agreement. The no-action alternative would include amending the HCP to incorporate the terms of the settlement agreement, which is legally required, but would not include adding lands or issuing an amended permit authorizing additional take.

The Service invites comments and suggestions from interested parties on the content of the Draft SEIS. In particular, information and comments regarding the following topics are requested:

1. The direct, indirect, or cumulative effects that implementation of either action alternative could have on the natural and human environment.
2. Whether or not the impact on various aspects of the natural and human environment have been adequately analyzed.
3. Any other information pertinent to evaluating the effects of the proposed action on the natural and human environment.

#### Role of the Environmental Protection Agency in the EIS Process

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is charged under section 309 of the Clean Air Act to review all Federal agencies' environmental impact statements (EISs) and to comment on the adequacy and acceptability of the environmental impacts of proposed actions in the EISs.

EPA also administers the database for EISs prepared by Federal agencies and provides notice of their availability in the **Federal Register**. The EIS database provides information about EISs prepared by Federal agencies, as well as EPA's comments concerning the EISs. All EISs are filed with EPA, which publishes a notice of availability each Friday in the **Federal Register**.

For more information, see <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html>. You may search for EPA comments on EISs, along with EISs themselves, at <https://cdxnodengn.epa.gov/cdx-enepa-public/action/eis/search>.

#### Public Comments

Written comments received become part of the public record associated with this action. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may request in your comment that we withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to

do so. We will not consider anonymous comments. All submissions from organizations or businesses and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses will be made available for public disclosure in their entirety.

#### Authority

We provide this notice under section 10(c) of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations for incidental take permits (50 CFR 17.22) and NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4371 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR 1506.6; 43 CFR part 46).

**Michael G. Thabault,**

*Assistant Regional Director—Ecological Services, Mountain-Prairie Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Lakewood, Colorado.*

[FR Doc. 2017–18418 Filed 8–28–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4333–15–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

##### Bureau of Reclamation

[RR02800000, 17XR0680A3, RX178689471000000]

#### Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation, California; Reopening of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice to reopen comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is reopening the public comment period on the scope of the draft environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation.

**DATES:** The comment period for the scope of the draft EIS published June 15, 2017 (82 FR 27522), is reopened. Comments should be received on or before September 28, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments to Ms. Carolyn Bragg, Natural Resources Specialist, Bureau of Reclamation, Bay-Delta Office, 801 I Street, Suite 140, Sacramento, CA 95814–2536; or by email to [cbragg@usbr.gov](mailto:cbragg@usbr.gov); or via facsimile to (916) 414–2439.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carolyn Bragg, (916) 414–2433, or email at [cbragg@usbr.gov](mailto:cbragg@usbr.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 15, 2017, Reclamation published a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing its intent to prepare a draft EIS. Since then, Reclamation has received a formal request from the

public requesting more time to comment on the scope of the draft EIS. This notice reopens that comment period for 30 days.

#### Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: July 27, 2017.

**Travis B. Aberle,**

*Assistant Regional Director of Business Services.*

[FR Doc. 2017-18267 Filed 8-28-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4332-90-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

[RR02050400, 17XR0687NA, RX.18527901.30000000]

#### Central Valley Project Improvement Act Refuge Water Management Plans

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 (CVPIA) and subsequent Department of the Interior administrative review process, the Bureau of Reclamation developed and published the Criteria for Developing Refuge Water Management Plans (Refuge Criteria). The 13 entities listed below each developed a Refuge Water Management Plan, which Reclamation evaluated and has preliminarily determined meets the requirements of the Refuge Criteria. Reclamation is publishing this notice to enable the public to review each plan and to comment on the preliminary determination. Public comment is invited at this time.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on the preliminary determinations on or before September 28, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to Ms. Charlene Stemen, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-400, Sacramento, CA 95825; or via email at [cstemen@usbr.gov](mailto:cstemen@usbr.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To be placed on a mailing list for any

subsequent information, please contact Ms. Charlene Stemen at [cstemen@usbr.gov](mailto:cstemen@usbr.gov), or at 916-978-5218 (TDD 978-5608).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following Refuge Water Management Plans are available for review:

- San Luis National Wildlife Refuge
- Kern National Wildlife Refuge
- Merced National Wildlife Refuge
- Pixley National Wildlife Refuge
- Los Banos State Wildlife Area
- Volta State Wildlife Area
- North Grassland State Wildlife Area
- Mendota State Wildlife Area
- Grassland Resource Conservation District (GRCD)
- Delevan National Wildlife Refuge
- Colusa National Wildlife Refuge
- Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge
- Gray Lodge State Wildlife Area

The Refuge Criteria provides a common methodology, or standard, for efficient use of water by Federal Wildlife Refuges, State wildlife management areas, and resource conservation districts that receive water under provisions of the CVPIA. The Bureau of Reclamation, in coordination with the Interagency Refuge Water Management Team, determined that the CVPIA Refuge Water Management Plan Criteria for 2010 shall be used as guidance for the proposed 2015 Refuge Water Management Plans. The press release can be found at the following Web site: [www.usbr.gov/newsroom/newsrelease/detail.cfm?RecordID=49568](http://www.usbr.gov/newsroom/newsrelease/detail.cfm?RecordID=49568). The 2010/2015 Refuge Criteria can be found at the following Web site: <https://www.usbr.gov/mp/watershare/docs/2010-refuge-criteria.pdf>. A copy of these Refuge Water Management Plans will be available for review at Reclamation's Mid-Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-400, Sacramento, CA 95825. If you wish to review a copy of these Water Management Plans, please contact Ms. Stemen.

#### Public Disclosure

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personally-identifying information in your comment, please be aware that your entire comment—including such identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. In your comment you may request us to withhold your personally-identifying information from public review; however, we cannot guarantee we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 21, 2017.

**Richard J. Woodley,**

*Regional Resources Manager, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation.*

[FR Doc. 2017-18269 Filed 8-28-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4332-90-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### Public Meeting of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is publishing this notice to announce that a Federal Advisory Committee meeting of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group (AMWG) will take place.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Wednesday, September 20, 2017, from 8:30 a.m. to approximately 5:30 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the DoubleTree by Hilton, 2100 South Priest Drive, Tempe, Arizona, 85282.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Katrina Grantz, Bureau of Reclamation, telephone (801) 524-3635; email at [kgrantz@usbr.gov](mailto:kgrantz@usbr.gov); facsimile (801) 524-3807.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552B, as amended), and 41 CFR 102-3.140 and 102-3.150.

**Purpose of the Meeting:** The Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program (GCDAMP) was implemented as a result of the Record of Decision on the Operation of Glen Canyon Dam Final Environmental Impact Statement to comply with consultation requirements of the Grand Canyon Protection Act (Pub. L. 102-575) of 1992. The AMWG makes recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior concerning Glen Canyon Dam operations and other management actions to protect resources downstream of Glen Canyon Dam, consistent with the Grand Canyon Protection Act. The AMWG meets two to three times a year.

**Agenda:** The AMWG will meet to approve the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget and Work Plan, and receive updates on: (1) Current basin hydrology and 2018 operations; (2) non-native fish issues; (3)