Reinitiation of Consultation Stakeholder Meeting

February 14, 2017

Agenda

- Introduction
- Meeting Purpose and Objectives
- CVP and SWP Overview
- Project Scope
- Overview of Regulatory Steps and Products
- Role of Stakeholder Engagement

Meeting Guidelines

- Q&A following each section of the agenda
 - First we will ask for comments from attendees in the room
 - Second we will ask for comments from attendees on the telephone
- Respect for presenters and meeting participants
- Please help us to stay on topic and on schedule

Meeting Guidelines

- Listen first to understand
- Allow speakers to finish
- Avoid personal attacks
- Avoid disruptions from personal devices
- Respect agenda scope, topics, and start and end times
- Share question time with others
- Honor meeting participation guidelines and facilitator requests

Meeting Purpose

- 1) Communicate Reinitiation of Consultation (ROC) on the Coordinated Long-Term Operation (LTO) of the CVP and SWP:
- objectives
- scope
- process
- 2) Solicit input on the stakeholder engagement process

Introductory Remarks

Reinitiation

- 8/2/2016: Reclamation (Federal agency for Section 7), and DWR as the applicant, requested reinitiation of consultation on the LTO
- 8/3/2016: USFWS responded
- 8/17/2016: NMFS responded

ROC on LTO Objectives

Why did we reinitiate consultation?

- new information regarding multiple years of drought
- low populations of listed species
- new information from collaborative science processes

What are our goals?

- Joint or highly coordinated non-jeopardy Biological Opinion(s)
- Durable Biological Opinion(s)
- Incorporating new science
- Support long-term water contract renewals

ROC on LTO Status

- December 30, 2016: An MOU was signed by Reclamation, USFWS, NMFS, DWR and DFW outlining tasks, processes and schedules to complete the new BA and BOs
- Reclamation is in the process of hiring a contractor
- Planning preliminary analyses, agency coordination, and stakeholder engagement

ROC on LTO Objectives

How will we accomplish our goal?

- Fresh Look Concept
- Biological objectives
- Best available science



- Science-based adaptive management
- Transparency
- Peer review and Collaborative Science Coordination
- Climate change

Questions?



Central Valley Project Overview

- Trinity Division
- Shasta Division
- Sacramento River Division
- American River Division
- Delta Division
- East Side Division
- West San Joaquin Division
- Friant Division
- San Felipe Division

CVP Overview



State Water Project Overview

- Oroville Dam
- Banks Pumping Plant
- Skinner Fish Protection Facility
- Clifton Court Forebay

SWP Overview



Questions?



- Extended study period
- Consideration of climate change and sea level rise
- Explore adaptive management

Extent of Study Area

- CVP and SWP service areas
- Rivers downstream of CVP and SWP reservoirs
- Reservoirs in CVP and SWP service areas that store CVP and/or SWP water

Approach

- Address potential new improvements
- Explore new components
- Fresh look concept
- Increase operational flexibility and feasibility while meeting objectives

Types of Actions Included

- Operations
- Habitat
- Construction







Questions?



National Environmental Policy Act

- Scoping Process
- Purpose and Need
- Alternatives Development
 - No Action
 - Action Alternatives
- Impact Analysis
 - Analytical Tools

Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation

- Biological Assessment
 - Scope
 - Proposed Action
 - Environmental Baseline

Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation

- Biological Opinion
 - Analysis of jeopardy and adverse modification
 - The Services will closely coordinate with the goal of a joint BiOp
 - Consultation Approach
 - Goal: Heavy reliance on the BA
 - Recovery is an important consideration in the jeopardy analysis
 - Peer review?

DFW Perspective

NEPA and **ESA** Interplay

Concurrent but meaningful NEPA guidance

Questions?



Stakeholder Engagement

Goal

 Find common ground and work collaboratively together

Overall

- Meetings with all stakeholders at least quarterly
- Stakeholders will receive NEPA and ESA schedules, when developed
- Coordination with collaborative science processes

Stakeholder Engagement

NEPA

- Stakeholders will review alternatives
- Stakeholders provide input for the EIS
- Cooperating agencies and stakeholders review admin draft EIS
- Stakeholders and general public review public draft EIS

Stakeholder Engagement

ESA

- A plan will be developed for review of the proposed action
- Designated Non-Federal Representatives review admin draft BA
- Peer review

Questions

https://www.usbr.gov/mp/BayDeltaOffice/Ito.html

Information Stations

https://www.usbr.gov/mp/BayDeltaOffice/lto.html