---000---

Environmental Impact Statement

for Remanded Biological Opinions

on the Coordinated Long-Term Operation

of the Central Valley Project

and State Water Project

Wednesday, April 25, 2012

Madera County Main Library

121 North G Street

Madera, California

6:00 P.M.

---000---

Reported By: Jillian M. Bassett, CSR No. 13619

PUBLIC COMMENT SESSION

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

1

TOM GLOVER: First thing I would like to comment on, I'd like to comment on the process. I found out about this meeting two hours ago. There was no notice for Westlands, and when I look around the room some other folks that are affected were part of the San Luis Delta-Mendota Water Authority. They receive their water from the Central Valley Project. I expected an overflow crowd. So somebody -- I don't know -- I'll just come out and say it -- dropped the ball at Reclamation to not notice anybody. And what I would request -- actually, stronger than that -- I would ask that you reschedule this meeting to a time and notice it properly.

And also the location in Madera, I think there's other locations that would serve us much better:

Los Banos, Mendota, Paris Ranch. I mean, these are the folks that their livelihood is affected by the RPAs. So if you really want comments, that would be my recommendation. And you have a month so you have plenty of time to reschedule and hear the comments of the folks that are affected on the ground.

As far as -- and I'm kind of shooting from the hip, because I told you I got notice two hours ago and I haven't had a chance to look over the documentation. So

I'm just kind of relying on my memory and what has happened over the last few years.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

But, why are we concerned in Westlands? We're concerned in Westlands because any time our surface water is cut, what that does is our farmers are more reliant on ground water. It accentuates the overdraft problem on the West Side. Also you can experience the greater air quality issues with the diesel generators. So that is of the utmost importance to us since 1968 when the canal went in. If you look at the way the Aquaphor has been managed, it's been an equilibrium, it's been a good conjunctive use program. In wet years we utilize surface water and in drier years we pump ground water and allow the Aquaphor to recharge during wet years and pump like hell during dry years when the water is needed.

So part of the reason the canal went in in the first place is mitigation with subsidence on the West Side. So I know we're wrapped around the axel about the fishery issues, but there is definite effects to our growers on the West Side.

So the other area of concern is unpredictability of our allocation. And I think this year is a good example. The fishery folks operate within a range of old and middle river reverse flow. So when they do their modeling and their allocation, they have to have the

utmost of conservative allocations, because a range, to them, they have to -- modelers have to look at the lower range. So what that does for us is it squeezes us on our allocation and then it gets to be -- let's just call it what it is -- becomes very political. And we go to the elected folks and they push the fishery folks and all the sudden they see the light.

1.3

2.3

2.4

So that is our growers, them knowing what their allocation is early in the season is very important so they can plan accordingly and plant and go to the bank for the funding for their planting. So when we get squeezed in the Delta there are direct affects on the allocation and the ground water pumping.

So those are probably over my three minutes, but that's -- and I know you're going to get comments on the fishery issues, but this is really on the ground of what's happening. Look at the unemployment. I'm sure Gayle will talk about that. Every acre that's fallowed, if the allocation isn't up, that means land is out of production.

In Westlands we're fortunate enough that probably between 20 and 25 percent of our crops are permanent crops. So the growers can fallow land, but it's hard to make a mortgage payment off of fallowed land. So when we get cut, our growers get cut and land is out of production. And we've been looking at what the farm gate

1	value is, and to use the number of about \$1,500 an acre
2	for the produce coming off of the fields. And if you
3	looked at two-and-a-half times of the benefit to the
4	region, that's about \$4,500 an acre. And you multiply
5	that in 2009 we had about I'm trying to remember what
6	the number was 260,000 acres. So you multiply that and
7	that's a lot of zeros that the economy has lost, the
8	region has lost. And so when they're making cuts in the
9	Delta, they're affecting lives on the West Side growers.
10	To get back to my original comment, I really
11	would like to see our growers be able to interact. I know
12	Todd's here, one of our directors. But I guarantee if we
13	would have been noticed, that this room would have been
14	overflowing, not only with Westlands, but San Luis Water
15	District, and all of the folks on the West Side. Folks
16	that are affiliated with the San Luis and Delta-Mendota
17	Water Authority, anybody with a CVP contract that relies
18	on pumping through the Delta.
19	SUE FRY: Thank you.
20	So, Steve, you're up next.
21	STEVE OTTEMOELLER: Good evening.
22	Steve Ottemoeller with the Friant Water Authority. I
23	guess for once it's nice to be on the right list. I did
24	happen to get the notice.
25	We have just one primary comment related to the

1 scoping for this project, and it involves the San Joaquin 2 River Restoration Program. The program is in place now in terms of development and planning, and there has been modeling -- perhaps EIS is out in terms of the water 5 getting to the Delta and water being recaptured. And we want to make sure that the analysis of the biological 6 7 opinions and everything associated with that does include 8 both the river restoration flows that are going to hit the 9 Delta and recapture. 10 Now, I know that the progress has not been as 11 great as a lot of us would like in terms of identifying 12 the criteria under which water can be recaptured in the 1.3 Delta and brought back into the South Delta facilities, but I think it would be remiss on the part of Reclamation 14 1.5 not to coordinate those two programs, and make sure to 16 look at, and that the analysis does include the 17 restoration flows and the recapture. 18 SUE FRY: Thank you. 19 TODD NEVES: My name is Todd Neves, I'm a farmer 2.0 in Westlands Water District. And I wish I was more 21 prepared. I just received the notification a couple hours 22 ago. 2.3 So any ways, I appreciate your efforts and coming out and doing a public question and answer. I would 2.4 25 strongly like to invite you to a more ground zero here on,

1 maybe Mendota. Somewhere where we can get more 2 participation. And we'll make sure the district notifies 3 the growers, and we'll make sure we get it done right. Again, my farm, my family, my employees, their families, we -- our livelihood depend on the Delta. I'm a 5 third generation California farmer. I hope to give my 6 7 kids the same opportunity that I had. And what we really 8 need is a reliable and a consistent allocation. It's so 9 hard on our operations -- I'll just give you a brief 10 example. When we get a 10 percent, a 30 percent, a 11 12 40 percent allocation, we're idling land. We're -- our 1.3 next step will be laying off employees. These are things that we do not want to do, but I have debt on land. We do 14 15 everything in our power to be efficient with our water. 16 I'll give you an example. 17 My farm I purchased in 1999. I have paid more to 18 conserve water by switching to drip irrigation, drilling 19 wells to supplement water, I have paid more for those 2.0 irrigation conservations than I did for my actual ranch. So these are the things that we're doing. We're 21 22 trying to be pro. We don't want to react. When we react 2.3 it's extremely hard on operations. And like Tom said, running wells and stuff, those are band aids, those are 2.4 25 not long-term fixes for our operations.

So any ways, I know I can go into detail, and we really appreciate you guys coming out here, but we would love for you guys to go to ground zero. We can get more participation and you can see the lives and families that depend on the Delta. So thank you.

1.3

2.0

2.3

2.4

GAYLE HOLMAN: Good evening. Gayle Holman,
Public Affair Rep with Westlands Water District. And I
would like to, also, thank you for putting this together,
but also to let you know that I would love to be a
resource for you for future meetings. I would be more
than happy to find whatever facility would best suit your
needs.

And so, please, I know I handed you my card and please use that. And I think this just shows, again, that unfortunately the people that are right there at the ground zero doing the work don't even get the information, just the trickle down effect didn't seem to work. So we quickly sent it out and of course I received a number of frustrated e-mails from our growers saying, "I want to be there," but they're doing community events and etc., because they're engaged people. Our growers are people that don't just give to their operation, but they give to their community and they give to their state. And they do it through the form of community service. And I know they want to ensure that their state is still a sustainable

state for future generations because they inherited it or were lucky enough to step into it because of that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

So when I think about this and what we are working towards here, this long term effect for 2016, the thing that comes to mind is the human impact of it, the economic impact. California is in a state of deficit spending, and here we have a tangible project where farming produces an enormous amount of revenue that comes to our state of California like no other industry. People won't stop eating. It's a given. It's going to sustain and it will continue.

So we have growers year after year, generation after generation, continuing providing that. And maybe through the bumps in the roads they want to throw in the towel when they have the 10 percent allocation. But the bottom line is I ask you to look at the long-term human and economic impact and to see the tax revenues that these guys generate. And it's just astounding the things we take for granted.

The unemployment is still very, very high in these communities. Yesterday I was out on the West Side with one of our -- meeting a reporter. A reporter from Southern California. And I drove through San Joaquin at 7:30 in the morning and saw the Community Food Bank there setting up shop. And all the residence lined up waiting

to receive their free handout of groceries because there 1 2 are not enough jobs to go around. And I thought, "Oh my gosh. Here's a story. Here's the story that right here in the heart of our nation, with the ag, and we have 5 people that are used to working and producing, helping produce that food, helping package it, are waiting in line 6 7 to receive that food." So those are just some of the things that I think 8 9 would bode well for inclusion in this. And I would just 10 again echo what others have said that we would welcome another opportunity. But if that doesn't happen, I'll be 11 12 sure to distribute the comment cards and ensure that 1.3 others have an opportunity that a few of us have had tonight. So thank you. 14 1.5 SUE FRY: Would you like to say something? 16 BRAD CRAVEN: I guess everyone else is already done, huh? 17 18 SUE FRY: We just need you to say your name and 19 your affiliation so that Jill can get it all down. 2.0 BRAD CRAVEN: My name is Brad Craven. I'm with Superior Almond Hulling. We're a closed-harvest 21 22 processor. I'm not a grower, I'm not a water user per se, 2.3 but we are entirely dependent on the water supply of the farmers for our livelihood. And the community of 2.4 25 post-harvest process is a very large group of employers.

So a lot of the agricultural jobs come through our sector. 1 It's not just the almond crop we deal with, it's tomatoes and grapes and everything else. So on the West Side --I don't think you were really asking for economic 5 comments, were you? Oh, you were. Well, my comment on the economic side is that 6 7 we're a large employer. We're part of a group of a large 8 number of employers, and we have nothing to do with 9 growing crops; we're just processing and employing people. 10 On the environmental side, I just wanted to point 11 out that farmers and processors like ourselves alike are 12 required by the Air Pollution Control District to have 1.3 conservation management plans. And conservation management plans for the most part deal with fugitive dust 14 1.5 generation, PM10 control based on truck traffic or tractor 16 operations, or maybe track out onto -- you know -- mud 17 track out on the paved roads where it gets stirred up. 18 I think those plans are marginally affective in 19 controlling the PM10 from fugitive dust. But I think it 20 pales in comparison to fallowed lands and wind generator dust. And if you see the West Side winds in action 21 22 whenever there's dry, untilled dirt, you can probably make 2.3 a correlation between the frequency of traffic accidents 2.4 caused by dust on the freeway and in the years that we 25 have low water supplies.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

So I think the Air Pollution Control District probably doesn't have any good options in coming up with a system to control wind-generated dust in an area like that. Probably the best control would be to have a reliable and consistent water supply to make those lands productive and put a covered crop on them. I really didn't come with any prepared comments; I was going to listen. SUE FRY: Thank you. We appreciate that you did that. GWEN BUCHHOLZ: I guess those will just be the formal comments. Do you have any specific questions? We don't have a lot of answers because we just started, but if you have any specific questions or thoughts we'll continue to record those. SUE FRY: We're planning, we'll be out -- if we don't do additional scoping meetings, there's one thing we're considering. As we go through this process there's going to be a lot of information generated, and we may do something that's a little less usual in the EIS process, we might actually do some workshops along the way where we come back out and see folks. Because we're going to be generating a lot of information. Because with everything else that's going on in the Delta and with operations and the litigation, there's

going to be a lot of information we need to make sure people aren't getting confused.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

TOM GLOVER: At some point do you start talking about the actual project? Because tonight we talked about the process, but we didn't talk about the project.

SUE FRY: Right. And so we're here to get your comments on what you think, like, through any EIS process. Ultimately if you have a preferred alternative, to put it forward. So we're here to initiate that process. We're hearing what your thoughts are on what we should be doing and what we shouldn't be doing.

TOM GLOVER: But you also have a number of projects that you consider before you select a preferred project. So if I was a grower I would want to know, "Jeeze, tonight we haven't talked about what actually you're doing. We just talked about an abstract process."

SUE FRY: And that is because we're just getting started. So we actually haven't started developing, what I could call projects, alternatives. So we're going to develop a set of -- from what we hear from across the state from these scoping meetings, and from what we already know, we'll be developing alternatives. And those alternatives will be assessed as part of the environmental impact statement. And that's when we would be out doing workshops in the future. So when we have more information

1 on that we can bring that back to you. But we really are 2 just starting. 3 TOM GLOVER: Like, if you had to take a point away tonight, it's that if you were to come to a public 5 scoping meeting and you had five people, you would think, "These folks aren't interested," and that is not the case. 6 7 SUE FRY: Oh, we know that's not true. TOM GLOVER: That's not the case. It is of the 8 9 utmost interest to not only Westlands, but as I mentioned, 10 the other districts that get those supplies. BRAD CRAVEN: Madera County doesn't have a lot of 11 12 West Side water projects. It goes as far as fire balling, 1.3 that's it. So as far as Delta water --GWEN BUCHHOLZ: And we had actually tried to get 14 1.5 this one scheduled, just for the record, in Los Banos, and 16 it was booked tonight. So when we set up the timing, because we're trying to do it X days after the notice of 17 18 intent, and Y days before the close of scoping, this 19 became just a two-week period. And we tried for this week 2.0 and next week and the Los Banos places -- there must be 21 some other things happening there. 22 TOM GLOVER: Well, the perception will be -- and 2.3 it's the perception Reclamation didn't do enough to meet with the folks on the West Side and find out what their 2.4 25 concerns are.

1	TODD NEVES: But we can change that perception if
2	you give us a chance. We know you're working on it;
3	you're trying. But if you get a chance.
4	SUE FRY: Yeah, and I'm not saying I will say
5	we did the typical noticing and publishing for any
6	environmental statement. We worked very hard to make sure
7	we had it in multiple papers. It was in the Fresno Bee.
8	What day? I don't know. I didn't cut it out.
9	GAYLE HOLMAN: But in the legal section?
10	SUE FRY: No, it was in the news like an
11	advertisement. So we paid extra for that. So print adds
12	are very expensive to do. But they were a requirement for
13	the NEPA process, and we make sure we do that. And so I
14	apologize that a very particular e-mail was not sent to
15	Westlands Water District.
16	TOM GLOVER: Well, it's not all Westlands either,
17	because you don't have any from San Luis Delta, and it
18	just if they knew, they would be here.
19	GWEN BUCHHOLZ: We were a little surprised.
20	We're ready today for Spanish translation because we've
21	been down here before, we know there's a lot of people
22	that are interested. We were anticipating this to be our
23	largest meeting outside of Sacramento, and maybe even more
24	larger than Sacramento. That's been history.
25	TOM GLOVE: So something went astray.

1	GWEN BUCHHOLZ: Yeah, so we're surprised, too.
2	Because we are prepared for that.
3	GAYLE HOLMAN: Here's the thing, too: Earlier
4	today when I did get word it was actually that the meeting
5	was tomorrow night. But I was actually generating and
6	I think that was someone internally. I got handed it, so
7	I was actually quickly trying to prepare something to say
8	that it's tomorrow night. And literally right before I
9	hit the send button I thought I better make sure the
10	address is correct for the library. And I Googled it and
11	I see it's tonight. And it's 4:00 o'clock. And I
12	literally am going, "Stop. Don't send the e-mail yet to
13	everyone." And that's when it went from, "Okay. It's bad
14	enough we're going to let everyone know 26 hours in
15	advance to 2 hours." So that's, I guess I don't
16	know that's where we stood.
17	So I don't know. Maybe there are other districts
18	that are thinking it's tomorrow night. I don't know how
19	that info came about, but that was a verbal that I first
20	got that it was tomorrow night.
21	GWEN BUCHHOLZ: Or maybe they're coming to
22	Sacramento.
23	TOM GLOVER: That's not their preference.
24	GWEN BUCHHOLZ: I just don't know.
25	GAYLE HOLMAN: I can understand the logistics.

1	And you're on a road show and have to make that
2	work, so that's tough.
3	SUE FRY: I am curious.
4	Steve, how did you get the news?
5	STEVE OTTEMOELLER: The regular Bureau of
6	Reclamation public information. I get everything,
7	whatever.
8	TODD NEVES: Was it e-mail or
9	STEVE OTTEMOELLER: E-mail. I got it a couple
10	weeks ago I think. I don't know exactly.
11	GWEN BUCHHOLZ: It would have been when the
12	notice was sent out.
13	TODD NEVES: If you do decide I mean, I know
14	you have a lot going on. But if you do decide, as a
15	Westlands grower, we will make sure that we will give you
16	every hopefully a lot more well, it will be a lot
17	more. But just from the grower feedback, from Lemoore to
18	here I had e-mails and calls and I was trying to get
19	growers here, and I just couldn't get it done. But I
20	definitely we'll be sure
21	SUE FRY: Keep in mind you can send in comments,
22	you can e-mail us comments. And maybe that is a more
23	efficient way to do it. If nobody has time to show up
24	here, maybe you just can
25	TODD NEVES: We wanted the personal where you

1	could actually feel and see that we're sincere. It's
2	absolutely
3	SUE FRY: Oh, and there's no doubt. Again, we're
4	surprised there's not more people here. We understand the
5	interest. I was ready for this to be a very big meeting
6	where I was going to be very tired afterwards and feeling
7	a little bit beat up. So we get it. We know the
8	interest.
9	TOM GLOVER: Almost like doing a water transfer
10	public meeting in Chico.
11	SUE FRY: Were you on that panel up there?
12	TOM GLOVER: I was at the meeting. We would have
13	had the same turn out.
14	SUE FRY: We appreciate that you came.
15	GWEN BUCHHOLZ: We'll close comments.
16	(End of public comment session at 6:49 P.M.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2 3 4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) ss COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO)
5	I, JILLIAN M. BASSETT, a Certified Shorthand
6	Reporter, licensed by the state of California and
7	empowered to administer oaths and affirmations pursuant to
8	Section 2093 (b) of the Code of Civil Procedure, do hereby
9	certify:
10	The said proceedings were recorded
11	stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed
12	under my direction via computer-assisted transcription;
13	That the foregoing transcript is a true record of
14	the proceedings which then and there took place;
15	That I am a disinterested person to said action.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name on
17	May 9, 2012.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	JILLIAN M. BASSETT Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 13619
23	
24	
25	