

Peer Review of Research Priorities Roadmap to Enhance Canal Infrastructure Sustainability

Date: December 7, 2015

Originating Office: Research and Development Office, Bureau of Reclamation, Mail Code 08-10000, PO Box 25007, Denver CO 80225

Reclamation Roles:

Director or Delegated Manager: Levi Brekke, Chief, Research and Development Office, Bureau of Reclamation

Peer Review Lead: Erin Foraker, Renewable Energy Research Coordinator, Bureau of Reclamation

Subject and Purpose: Reclamation's Research and Development Office recently engaged in infrastructure research roadmapping to determine where future research efforts should focus to provide the greatest benefit. The purpose of the prioritized roadmap is to fill gaps in Reclamation's current toolbox to extend the useful life of critical infrastructure. Reclamation field and Denver Office personnel generated the data used in this roadmapping process. A team of subject matter experts completed the roadmap and prioritized the identified research needs. The canal infrastructure research roadmap describes the research need by identifying adverse outcomes, causes, current mitigation practices, and outstanding needs for tools, technology, etc.

The purpose of this Peer Review Plan is to facilitate stakeholder and expert review of the roadmap for use in future decision processes amongst Reclamation leadership. The report (roadmap) will also be distributed to the roadmap data respondents as an internal vetting exercise.

Impact of Dissemination: The Canal Infrastructure Research Roadmap report is not determined to be influential or highly influential as defined by Office of Management and Budget Final Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review (70 FR 2664-2677) and the Reclamation Manual Peer Review of Scientific Information and Assessments Policy Temporary Release (CMP TRMR-30).

Peer Review Scope: This peer review is focused solely on the research needs identified in the Canal Infrastructure Research Roadmap and their ranked priority. Peer reviewers are asked to provide responses relative to the questions below:

Question 1. Based on your experience, is the final list of highest priority research needs representative of the greatest canal infrastructure needs?

Question 2. What (if any) are your experiences with the research needs identified within this report?

Question 3. Are there other important research needs associated with canal infrastructure that were not identified in this report?

Manner of Review, Selection of Reviewers: The review will take place on Reclamation's Peer Review Agenda website. Public, expert, and stakeholder review will occur concurrently through targeted invitations from Reclamation. Professional and scientific societies dedicated to the engineering or operations of canals and associated structures will be asked to nominate potential peer reviewers. The expert peer reviewers will have least 10 years of experience with canals, including such fields as canal design, canal construction, and canal operation. Public comments will not be provided to the expert peer reviewers. Reviewers will be given attribution for their comments and not remain anonymous.

Number of Peer Reviewers: It is anticipated that more than 10 peer reviewers will be utilized.

Timing of review: December 10, 2015 to January 10, 2015

Delivery of findings: Following the review period, the Peer Review Lead will consolidate and synthesize the input from individual peer reviewers and deliver the findings as an appendix to the Canal Infrastructure Research Roadmap main document. At a minimum, this will include a description of the peer review process, subject being reviewed, and reviewer comments. Reclamation will publish this completed peer review summary document on the peer review website (<http://www.usbr.gov/main/qoi/peeragenda.html>). The final roadmapping report will be provided digitally and as a hardcopy to Reclamation.

Agency contact: Levi Brekke, Reclamation's Chief of Research and Development (lbrekke@usbr.gov).