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1. Chapter 3 of this report titled: “Volume 2: Embankment Designs and Optimization Study” includes a description of Alternative No.1: Mid-Sea Dam with North Marine Lake. Alternative No. 1 was proposed by the Salton Sea Authority (SSA). The mid-Sea embankment location of this alternative was originally proposed by the SSA to be located approximately 1.5 miles south of the position shown in Figure 3.1. The SSA proposed the new location to allow for enhanced capabilities to manage for future salinity concentrations in the north marine lake. At the time Kleinfelder conducted their work, the new alignment was not yet proposed by the Salton Sea Authority. Therefore, all cost quantities and cost estimates developed by Kleinfelder and presented in “Volume 2: Embankment Designs and Optimization Study” are based on the original alignment proposed by the Salton Sea Authority. The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) revised embankment quantities and costs for Alternative No.1 to reflect the new alignment. All costs and analyses presented in “Volume 1: Evaluation of Alternatives” are based on this new dam alignment.

2. Table 8.1 of this report: “Volume 2: Embankment Designs and Optimization Study” provides a summary of estimated construction costs for the embankment elements of the Restoration alternatives. This table reports a cost of $0 for Alternative 3C: Concentric Lakes Alternative with Geotubes. The costs of this alternative are not $0. Kleinfelder’s task order did not include a requirement for making an estimate of costs for this alternative. Cost estimates for Alternative 3 using Geotubes were developed by Reclamation and reported in “Volume 1: Evaluation of Alternatives” as Table 7.3.

3. Table 8.1 of this report: “Volume 2: Embankment Designs and Optimization Study” mistakenly presents total project costs, annual risk costs, annual OME&R costs, and present values as $0 for each alternative. Kleinfelder was not responsible for developing these costs and mistakenly reported them as zero. Kleinfelder was responsible for developing quantities and costs for the embankment portions of the alternatives (except for Alternative No.3 with Geotubes). Reclamation developed complete cost estimates for the alternatives. Tables 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, and 7.4 of the report titled “Volume 1: Evaluation of Alternatives” present Reclamation’s complete alternative cost estimates.
# Table of Contents

1.0 **Introduction** .................................................................1
  1.1 Study Location ..................................................................1
  1.2 Study Purpose and Objectives ...........................................2
  1.3 Scope of Work ..................................................................3
  1.4 Authorization ..................................................................4
  1.5 Project Personnel ..........................................................4

2.0 **Executive Summary** ..................................................7

3.0 **Restoration Alternatives** ..........................................11
  3.1 General ...........................................................................11
  3.2 Alternative No. 1 — Mid-Sea Dam/North Marine Lake  
    (Salton Sea Authority Alternative) ..............................11
  3.3 Alternative No. 2 — Mid-Sea Barrier/South Marine Lake. 
    ......................................................................................13
  3.4 Alternative No. 3 — Concentric Lakes Dikes ..............14
  3.5 Alternative No. 4 — North-Sea Dam/Marine Lake ..........16
  3.6 Alternative No. 5 — Habitat Enhancement Without 
    Marine Lake ..................................................................17
  3.7 Risk Reductions Summary ..............................................17

4.0 **Evaluation of Mid-Sea Dam and Barrier Options** ..........19
  4.1 General ...........................................................................19
  4.1.1 Appraisal Level Study Results ........................................... 19
  4.1.2 Geologic and Seismic Setting ........................................... 20
  4.1.3 Available Geotechnical Information ................................. 21
  4.1.4 Design Criteria and Considerations ................................ 22
  4.2 Formulation, Evaluation, and Decision Process for 
    Section of Preferred Configuration of Required 
    Embankments ..................................................................24
  4.3 Evaluation of Construction Material Sources ...............28
  4.4 Stability and Seepage Analyses Results .........................30
    4.4.1 Seepage Analyses ......................................................... 30
    4.4.2 Stability Analyses ......................................................... 31
  4.5 Deformation Analysis Results .........................................33
  4.6 Option A — Sand Dam with Stone Columns ...............34
  4.7 Option B — Rockfill Dam with Jet Grouted Foundation36
  4.8 Option C — Modified Rock Notches Dam with Minimum 
    Filters .............................................................................37
4.9 Option D — Modified Rock Notches Dam with Maximum Seismic Filters ........................................... 39
4.10 Mid-Sea Barrier ................................................................................................................................. 41
4.11 Evaluation and Selection of Preferred Dam and Dike Configurations ............................................. 43

5.0 Optimized South- and North-Sea Dams, Perimeter Dikes, Concentric Lakes Dikes, and Habitat Pond Embankments Cross-Sections .............................................. 51
5.1 General ........................................................................................................................................... 51
5.2 South- and North-Sea Dams ............................................................................................................ 51
  5.2.1 South-Sea Dam ......................................................................................................................... 51
  5.2.2 North-Sea Dam ....................................................................................................................... 53
5.3 Perimeter and Concentric Lakes Dikes ............................................................................................. 55
5.4 Habitat Pond Embankments .......................................................................................................... 57

6.0 Results of Risk Analyses .................................................................................................................. 59
6.1 Methodology ..................................................................................................................................... 59
6.2 Alternatives and Embankments Evaluated ....................................................................................... 60
6.3 Potential Failure Modes .................................................................................................................. 60
6.4 Estimation of Annual Probability of Failure and Loss of Life .......................................................... 63
6.5 Compilation of Highest Annual Probability of Failure and Loss-of-Life for Each Restoration Alternative ............................................................................................................. 72
6.6 Adaptations to Design Concepts Resulting from Initial Risk Analysis Results ............................... 74
6.7 Discussion of Risk and Cost of Replacement ................................................................................... 75

7.0 Project Constructability ....................................................................................................................... 77
7.1 General ............................................................................................................................................ 77
  7.1.1 Introduction and Scope .............................................................................................................. 77
  7.1.2 Project Alternatives .................................................................................................................. 77
7.2 Embankment Materials .................................................................................................................... 77
  7.2.1 Dredging ................................................................................................................................. 77
  7.2.2 Type A Sand/Gravel ................................................................................................................. 78
  7.2.3 Type B Sand/Gravel ................................................................................................................. 78
  7.2.4 Filter and Drain Materials ....................................................................................................... 78
  7.2.5 Riprap ...................................................................................................................................... 78
  7.2.6 Stone Columns ......................................................................................................................... 78
  7.2.7 Soil-Cement-Bentonite Slurry Wall ......................................................................................... 79
  7.2.8 Wick Drains ............................................................................................................................ 79
  7.2.9 Habitat Pond Embankments .................................................................................................. 79
# Table of Contents

7.3 Material Sources .............................................................. 80
  7.3.1 Coolidge Mountain / API Pits ........................................ 80
  7.3.2 Eagle Mountain / Kaiser Ventures ................................ 80

7.4 Construction Materials and Methods .............................. 81
  7.4.1 Quarry Stone ............................................................... 81
  7.4.2 Alluvial Soil Sources ................................................... 81
  7.4.3 Screening and Crushing ................................................. 82
  7.4.4 Sorting ........................................................................... 82
  7.4.5 Waste Materials ............................................................ 82
  7.4.6 Transport and Placement ............................................... 82
  7.4.7 Dredging ........................................................................ 83
  7.4.8 Foundation Treatment ................................................... 83
  7.4.9 Foundation Excavation .................................................... 84
  7.4.10 Stone Columns ............................................................... 84
  7.4.11 Soil-Cement-Bentonite Slurry Walls ............................... 84

7.5 Schedule and Project Duration ........................................ 84
7.6 Contracting Methods and Packaging ................................ 84
7.7 Project Risks ..................................................................... 85

8.0 Construction Cost Estimates ......................................... 87
  8.1 Introduction ..................................................................... 87
  8.2 Embankment Elements and Construction Requirements 87
  8.3 Cost Estimate Model Development ............................... 88
  8.4 Unit Prices ...................................................................... 88
    8.4.1 Fixed Direct Costs ....................................................... 89
    8.4.2 Variable Direct Costs ................................................... 89
    8.4.3 Total Direct Unit Costs ................................................. 89
    8.4.4 Indirect Costs ............................................................... 89
    8.4.5 Markup and Profit ......................................................... 90
    8.4.6 Unit Price Escalation .................................................... 90
    8.4.7 Production Rates and Constraints ................................. 90
  8.5 Quantity Estimates ........................................................ 90
  8.6 Summary of Estimated Costs .......................................... 91

9.0 Conclusions and Recommendations ............................ 93
  9.1 Preferred Embankment Dam Configurations ................. 93
  9.2 Deformation Analysis Results .......................................... 95
  9.3 Risk Analysis Results ...................................................... 96
  9.4 Construction Materials and Cost Estimates ....................... 97

10.0 References ................................................................. 99
List of Tables

Table 3.1 Summary of Anticipated Maximum Section Attributes
  Mid-Sea, South-Sea and Perimeter Dike Embankments
Table 3.2 Summary of Project Alternatives
Table 4.1 Summary of Computed Slope Stability Factors of Safety for
  Embankment Configuration Options A through E.
Table 4.2 Summary of Cross-Section Costs – Option A Sand Dam with
  Stone Columns
Table 4.3 Summary of Cross-Section Costs – Option B Rockfill Dam with
  Jet Grouted Foundation
Table 4.4 Summary of Cross-Section Costs – Option C Modified Rock
  Notches Dam with Minimum Filters
Table 4.5 Summary of Cross-Section Costs – Option D Modified Rock
  Notches with Maximum Seismic Filters
Table 4.6 Summary of Comparative Analysis Results, Mid-Sea Dam
  Configuration Options
Table 6.1 Summary of Risk Estimates for all Enbankment Structures
Table 6.2 Summary of Mean Risk Estimates – Mid-Sea Dam Option A, Sand
  Dam with Stone Columns
Table 6.3 Summary of Mean Risk Estimates – Mid-Sea Dam Option D, Rock
  Notches with Maximum Seismic Filters
Table 6.4 Summary of Mean Risk Estimates, Mid-Sea Barrier
Table 6.5 Summary of Mean Risk Estimates, Perimeter Dike
Table 6.6 Summary of Mean Risk Estimates, South-Sea Dam
Table 6.7 Summary of Mean Risk Estimates, North-Sea Dam
Table 6.8 Summary of Mean Risk Estimates, Concentric Lakes Dikes
Table 6.9 Summary of Mean Risk Estimates, Habitat Ponds
Table 6.10 Summary of Alternative Risks – With Liquefaction Within the
  Upper Stiff Lacustrine Deposit
Table 8.1 Summary of Estimated Construction Costs – Embankment
  Elements Only
Table 9.1 LOL Estimates for Project Structures

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Project Location Map
Figure 1.2 Principal Geographic Features Surrounding the Salton Sea
Figure 1.3 Geologic Features Legend
Figure 3.1 Alternative No. 1 – Mid-Sea Dam/North Marine Lake (Salton Sea
  Authority Alternative)
Figure 3.2 Alternative No. 2 – Mid-Sea Barrier/South Marine Lake
Figure 3.3 Alternative No. 3 – Concentric Lakes Dikes
Figure 3.4 Alternative No. 4 – North Sea Dam/Marine Lake
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3.5</td>
<td>Alternative No. 5 – Habitat Enhancement without Marine Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.1</td>
<td>Reclamation Preferred Mid-Sea Dam Configuration – Appraisal Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.2</td>
<td>Reclamation Preferred Mid-Sea Barrier Configuration – Appraisal Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.3</td>
<td>Reclamation Preferred Lakes Dike Configuration – Appraisal Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.4</td>
<td>Reclamation Preferred Habitat Pond Embankment Configuration – Appraisal Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.5</td>
<td>Location of 2004 Explorations Relative to Alternatives 1, 2 and 4 Embankments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.6</td>
<td>Location of 2004 Explorations Relative to Alternative 3 Embankments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.7a</td>
<td>Geologic Cross Section A-A’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.7b</td>
<td>Geologic Cross Section B-B’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.7c</td>
<td>Geologic Cross Section C-C’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.7d</td>
<td>Geologic Cross Section D-D’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.7e</td>
<td>Geologic Cross Section E-E’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.8</td>
<td>Summary of Deformation Analysis Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.9</td>
<td>Predicted Crest Deformation of the mid-Sea Dam from Parametric Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.10</td>
<td>Option A – “Optimized” Sand Dam with Stone Columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.11</td>
<td>Option B – Rockfill Dam with Jet Grouted Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.12</td>
<td>Option C – Modified Rock Notches Dam with Minimum Filters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.13</td>
<td>Option D – Modified Rock Notches Dam with Maximum Seismic Filters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4.14</td>
<td>“Optimized” Mid-Sea Sand Barrier Dam With and Without Stone Columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 5.1</td>
<td>“Optimized” South-Sea Sand Dam with Stone Columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 5.2</td>
<td>“Optimized” North-Sea Dam with Stone Columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 5.3</td>
<td>“Optimized” Perimeter and Concentric Lakes Sand Dams (Dikes) with Stone Columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 5.4</td>
<td>“Optimized” Habitat Pond Embankment Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 6.1</td>
<td>f-N Diagram Portraying Risks for Restoration Alternative No. 1 - Mid-Sea Dam/North Marine Lake (Salton Sea Authority Alternative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 6.2</td>
<td>f-N Diagram Portraying Risks for Restoration Alternative No. 2 - Mid-Sea Barrier/South Marine Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 6.3</td>
<td>f-N Diagram Portraying Risks for Restoration Alternative No. 3 – Concentric Lakes Dikes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 6.4</td>
<td>f-N Diagram Portraying Risks for Restoration Alternative No. 4 – North Sea Dam/Marine Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 6.5</td>
<td>f-N Diagram Portraying Risks for Restoration Alternative No. 5 - Habitat Enhancement without Marine Lake</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of Appendices

Appendix 2A  Evaluation of Construction Material Sources
Appendix 2B  Seepage and Stability Analysis
Appendix 2C  Deformation Analyses
Appendix 2D  Risk Analysis
Appendix 2E  Optimization Seepage and Stability Analyses
Appendix 2F  Construction Cost Estimates