Appendix C6 Arizona Water Demand Scenario Quantification

# Appendix C6—Arizona Water Demand Scenario Quantification

# 1.0 Introduction

This appendix summarizes the data sources used in scenario quantification for Colorado River<sup>1</sup> demand for the state of Arizona and presents the results of quantification. As presented in figure C6-1, Arizona is divided into six planning areas, all of which are in the Colorado River hydrologic basin: Mainstem, Central Arizona, North Central, Central Yavapai Highlands, Upper San Pedro, and San Juan. Data collection and development were completed at the planning-area level.

The following sections present background information that summarizes the state's planning areas as well as data sources used to quantify demand scenarios by category. Following the background section, results of demand scenario quantification are presented. The results section is broken out into an Arizona Study Area summary, followed by Colorado River demand by geography, and finally by category.

# 2.0 Background

The Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) is the agency given authority to protect the interests and rights of the State and its citizens in matters pertaining to interstate waters. ADWR developed information intended to capture Arizona's demands on the Colorado River for use in the Colorado River Basin Water Supply and Demand Study (Study). In order to develop demands for the Study, ADWR used data from the *Arizona Water Atlas* (ADWR, 2010a), groundwater active management area assessments, the Water Resources Development Commission, Arizona Department of Commerce population projections, Reclamation's Mainstem Water Use Accounting Reports, and Reclamation's planning studies for the North Central, Central Yavapai Highlands, and Upper San Pedro areas. Quantification of the Basin Study scenarios used these base data.

# 2.1 Data Sources for Quantification

This section discusses data sources for demand quantification by use category. Some category projections were based on relevant parameter data, while other category projections were developed directly as water demand. Sources included state, regional, and national agency reports.

• Agricultural Demand: Irrigated acreage, consumptive factors, and agricultural demands were derived by ADWR using various studies and reports shown in section 4.0, References (ADWR, 2005, 2010b, 2010c, 2011b; Reclamation, 1964–2002, 1996–2008, 2003–2009, 2006, 2007a, 2009; USGS, 2007, 2009). Agricultural applied water use was calculated based on irrigated acreage, consumptive factors, and consumptive demands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Colorado River demand as computed by Study Area demand minus other supplies.

## FIGURE C6-1

Colorado River Hydrologic Basin and Export Service Areas in Arizona



- Municipal and Industrial (M&I): Population estimates were disaggregated from Arizona state population (ADWR, 2011a). Demand and consumptive factors were derived by ADWR using various studies and reports (ADWR, 2005, 2010b, 2010c, 2011b; Reclamation, 1964–2002, 1996–2008, 2003–2009, 2006, 2007a, 2009; USGS, 2007, 2009), and per capita usage was calculated based on population estimates, demand, and consumptive factors.
- Energy: Energy demands were derived by ADWR using various studies and reports (Water Resources Development Commission, 2011).
- **Minerals:** Minerals demands were derived by ADWR using various studies and reports (ADWR, 2010b, 2010c, 2011b).
- Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation: Fish, wildlife, and recreation demands were derived by ADWR using various studies and reports (ADWR, 2005; Reclamation, 1964–2002, 2003–2009, 2006; USGS, 2007, 2009).
- **Tribal:** Tribal demands were derived with input from the tribes and ADWR (ADWR, 2010b, 2010c, 2011b; Reclamation, 1964–2002, 1996–2008; Reclamation, 2003–2009, 2006).

# 3.0 Results of Water Demand Scenario Quantification<sup>2</sup>

This section summarizes Arizona's Colorado River water demand trends by category across the scenarios. The purpose of this section is to describe changes in demands, both temporally and geographically, parameters that influence changes in demands, and how the parameters and demands differ amongst scenarios.

Demands were first developed for areas that may be potentially served by Colorado River water ("Study Area" demands); independent of the source of supply. However, a portion of the Study Area demand, particularly in the Central Arizona planning area, is satisfied from other supplies such as surface water, groundwater, and reclaimed water/effluent. To develop estimates of the Colorado River demand, the Study Area demand was reduced by estimates of available supply from other sources. This appendix focuses on Colorado River demands, but includes discussion of the Study Area parameters that led to these demands. Gila River Basin demands are not included. The Colorado River Simulation System model would need to be extended, and natural flow data sets would need to be developed in order to include the Gila River Basin tributaries in the analysis.

Sections 3.1 through 3.3 summarize the results of demand scenario quantification, with section 3.1 presenting Study Area demand and Colorado River water demand, section 3.2 presenting Colorado River Demand for the state and individual planning areas across the six scenarios, and section 3.3 presenting Colorado River water demand by category across the six scenarios. Parameters and demands for all categories and all scenarios, along with references for data sources, are detailed in tables C6-2 to C6-7 in section 3.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By definition, scenarios representing future, projected, estimated, or potential demands are uncertain and are only one possible realization of unknown events. All scenarios represent potential Colorado River Water demand. However, for readability purposes, potential Colorado River water demand will also be varyingly referred to as Colorado River demand, or in some cases, just demand.

## 3.1 Summary Results of Scenario Quantification

Values were developed for Study Area parameters to quantify Study Area demand for each of the scenarios. Colorado River demand was calculated as Study Area demand minus other supplies. Tables C6-1A, B, and C present summary results for the demand scenarios considered in the Study for Arizona's Study Area, the Upper Basin, and Lower Basin in Arizona, respectively. The tables present agricultural and M&I demand parameters for the entire Study Area that distinguish the scenarios, the resulting Study Area demands, and finally the Colorado River demands by category. Because other supplies may vary among scenarios, trends observed in the parameters and Study Area demands may not be reflected identically in Colorado River demand trends.

Arizona estimates that about 7 million people will be in Arizona's Study Area by 2015. This number is expected to increase to 9.8 to 16.0 million by 2060. The greatest population growth is associated with the Rapid Growth (C1 and C2) scenarios and the Enhanced Environment (D2) scenario. The Slow Growth (B) scenario has the lowest population growth of the scenarios (9.8 million by 2060), but still represents a growth of about 45 percent over 2015 estimates.

The growing municipal population, however, will continue to be more efficient in its per capita water use than today. Per capita water use, based solely on passive or existing conservation targets, is expected to be 4 to 23 percent less in 2060 than in 2015 in all scenarios except for Slow Growth (B) scenario, where it is expected to increase by about 1 percent. Usage rates and per capita reductions vary across Arizona's planning areas.

Irrigated acreage is projected to decrease through 2060 under all scenarios. Decrease in irrigated acreage varies by scenario, and ranges from a 30 percent decrease in the Slow Growth (B) scenario to a 48 percent decrease in the Rapid Growth (C1 and C2) and Enhanced Environment (D2) scenarios. The effect of decreased irrigated acreage is offset by an increase in water delivery per acre across all scenarios. The increase in water delivery per acre ranges from 14 percent Slow Growth (B) scenario to 25 percent Rapid Growth (C2) scenario.

Study Area demand for energy is projected to increase under all scenarios due to the growing need for electricity generation, including solar. Most of the energy demands are met by local supplies. The portion of Study Area demand for energy met by the Colorado River is forecast to increase modestly, from about 1,100 acre-feet per year (afy) in 2015 to between 1,500 and 1,900 afy in 2060.

Study Area demand for minerals is projected to increase across all scenarios, from 40,000 afy in 2015 to between 53,000 and 58,000 afy in 2060.

Study Area demand for tribal use is projected to increase across all scenarios, with demand increasing between 21 and 34 percent by 2060.

#### TABLE C6-1A

Summary Results of Arizona Water Demand Scenario Quantification by 2060

	Key Study Are	a Demand Scenari	o Parameters	6			
			2060 5	cenario Pa	rameters		
	2015'	Α	В	C1	C2	D1	D2
Population (millions)	6.7 - 7.5	12.5	9.8	16.0	16.0	12.5	16.0
Change in per capita water usage (%), from 2015		-4%	+1%	-5%	-22%	-23%	-23%
Irrigated acreage (millions of acres)	0.62	0.36	0.45	0.31	0.31	0.36	0.31
Change in per acre water delivery (%), from 2015		+16%	+14%	+21%	+25%	+16%	+19%
	Study Area	a Demand (thousar	nd acre-ft)				
	20451		2060	Scenario D	emands		
	2015	А	в	C1	C2	D1	D2
Ag demand	2056 - 2342	1,314	1,759	1,161	1,196	1,314	1,101
M&I demand	1,857	3,100	2,588	3,858	3,159	2,476	3,111
Energy demand	78 - 87	137	109	188	133	123	133
Minerals demand	40	58	58	58	58	58	58
FWR demand	27 - 91	27.0	27.7	27.0	30.2	89.7	90.9
Tribal demand	1017 - 1144	1,317	1,227	1,460	1,428	1,329	1,477
Total Study Area Demand <sup>2</sup>	5,318	5,953	5,769	6,753	6,004	5,390	5,971
	Colorado Riv	ver Demand (thous	and acre-ft)				
	2015 <sup>1</sup>		2060	Scenario D	emands		
	2013	А	в	C1	C2	D1	D2
Ag demand	1,124	703	724	703	763	703	668
M&I demand	750 - 827	1,465	1,170	2,068	1,363	1,381	1,614
Energy demand	1.1	1.6	1.6	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.6
Minerals demand	40	55	58	54	53	55	55
FWR demand	16 - 80	15.9	16.6	15.9	19.1	78.6	79.8
Tribal demand	924 - 1051	1,258	1,143	1,408	1,406	1,259	1,409
Total Colorado River Demand <sup>2</sup>	2,972	3,498	3,113	4,251	3,606	3,478	3,828

1. If range across scenarios is less than 10%, Current Projected (A) is presented. Otherwise, range (min - max) is presented.

2. Excludes potential losses (reservoir evaporation, phreatophytes, and/or operational inefficiencies) that may be charged to state

#### TABLE C6-1B

Summary Results of Arizona Water Demand Scenario Quantification by 2060 for the Upper Basin

Key S	Study Area De	mand Scen	ario Param	eters			
	004 F <sup>1</sup>		20	)60 Scenari	o Paramete	ers	
	2015	А	В	C1	C2	D1	D2
Population (millions)	0.012	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020
Change in per capita water usage (%), from 2015		-30%	-30%	-30%	-30%	-30%	-30%
Irrigated acreage (millions of acres)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Change in per acre water delivery (%), from 2015							
s	tudy Area De	mand (thou	sand acre-f	t)	•	•	
	<b>224</b>		:	2060 Scena	rio Demand	s	
	2015	А	в	C1	C2	D1	D2
Ag demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M&I demand	1.68	1.96	1.96	1.96	1.96	1.96	1.96
Energy demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minerals demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FWR demand	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34
Tribal demand	38 - 44	43.3	43.3	70.9	70.9	43.3	70.9
Total Study Area Demand <sup>2</sup>	40 - 46	46	46	73	73	46	73
Col	lorado River D	Demand (the	ousand acre	e-ft)			
	<b>004 -</b> 1		:	2060 Scena	rio Demand	s	
	2015	А	в	C1	C2	D1	D2
Ag demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M&I demand	1.68	1.96	1.96	1.96	1.96	1.96	1.96
Energy demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minerals demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FWR demand	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34
Tribal demand	38 - 44	43.3	43.3	70.9	70.9	43.3	70.9
Total Colorado River Demand <sup>2</sup>	40 - 46	46	46	73	73	46	73

1. If range across scenarios is less than 10%, Current Projected (A) is presented. Otherwise, range (min - max) is presented.

2. Excludes potential losses (reservoir evaporation, phreatophytes, and/or operational inefficiencies) that may be charged to state

#### TABLE C6-1C

Summary Results of Arizona Water Demand Scenario Quantification by 2060 for the Lower Basin

Keys	Study Area Dei	mand Scen	ario Param	eters			
			20	)60 Scenari	o Paramete	ers	
	2015 <sup>1</sup>	А	В	C1	C2	D1	D2
Population (millions)	6.7 - 7.5	12.5	9.8	16.0	16.0	12.5	16.0
Change in per capita water usage (%), from 2015		-4%	+1%	-5%	-22%	-23%	-23%
Irrigated acreage (millions of acres)	0.62	0.36	0.45	0.31	0.31	0.36	0.31
Change in per acre water delivery (%), from 2015		+16%	+14%	+21%	+25%	+16%	+19%
S	Study Area Den	nand (thou	sand acre-f	t)			
	204.51		2	2060 Scena	rio Demand	s	
	2015	А	в	C1	C2	D1	D2
Ag demand	2056 - 2342	1,314	1,759	1,161	1,196	1,314	1,101
M&I demand	1,856	3,098	2,586	3,856	3,157	2,474	3,109
Energy demand	78 - 87	137	109	188	133	123	133
Minerals demand	40	58	58	58	58	58	58
FWR demand	27 - 91	26.7	27.4	26.7	29.9	89.4	90.6
Tribal demand	973 - 1106	1,274	1,183	1,389	1,357	1,286	1,406
Total Study Area Demand <sup>2</sup>	5,272	5,907	5,723	6,679	5,931	5,344	5,898
Co	lorado River D	emand (thc	ousand acre	e-ft)			
	00451		2	2060 Scena	rio Demand	s	
	2015	А	в	C1	C2	D1	D2
Ag demand	1,124	703	724	703	763	703	668
M&I demand	748 - 826	1,463	1,168	2,066	1,361	1,379	1,612
Energy demand	1.1	1.6	1.6	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.6
Minerals demand	40	55	58	54	53	55	55
FWR demand	16 - 79	15.5	16.3	15.5	18.8	78.3	79.5
Tribal demand	881 - 1013	1,215	1,100	1,337	1,335	1,215	1,338
Total Colorado River Demand <sup>2</sup>	2,926	3,453	3,068	4,178	3,533	3,433	3,755

1. If range across scenarios is less than 10%, Current Projected (A) is presented. Otherwise, range (min - max) is presented.

2. Excludes potential losses (reservoir evaporation, phreatophytes, and/or operational inefficiencies) that may be charged to state

Figure C6-2 presents demands across the scenarios in three panels as follows: 1) Study Area demand with other supplies and Colorado River demand identified, 2) Colorado River demand, and 3) change in Colorado River demand by demand category.

From panel one it can be seen that Study Area demand increases from about 5.3 million acre-feet (maf) in 2015 to between 5.4 and 6.8 maf in 2060. The range in Study Area demand growth across scenarios in 2060, however, is projected to be as low as 53 thousand acre-feet (kaf) or as high as 1,390 kaf. About 35 to 46 percent of the Study Area demand is expected to be met by other supplies.

Panel two provides a view of the range across scenarios of Colorado River demand. This demand changes from about 3.0 maf in 2015 to between 3.1 and 4.3 maf in 2060 (or 5 percent to 41 percent) depending on the scenario. This difference results in a Colorado River demand range of about 1.1 maf across the scenarios in 2060, or about 37 percent.

Panel three shows how specific categories affect the projected change in Colorado River demand by scenario. Growth in M&I demand across all scenarios results in the greatest increase in demand, followed by tribal demand and minerals demand. Agricultural demand decreases across all scenarios.

Figure C6-3 ties historical water use to the range of Colorado River demand in the quantified scenarios. The 1.1 maf range across scenarios in 2060 is easily discernable, with a relatively even spread over the range across the scenarios.







2015

All plots include Upper Basin demand of 40 to 73 thousand acre-feet per year

0

-500

-1,000

2060

2035

Fish and Wildlife and

Recreation Tribal

----Net Change



## FIGURE C6-3



# 3.2 Colorado River Water Demand by Geography

Colorado River water demand for areas served by the Colorado River is presented in figures C6-4 and C6-5. These figures show two geographic levels: Study Area in Arizona, and individual planning areas. Demands at each geographic level are shown across the scenarios. The columns to the right show Colorado River demand at a point in time (2015, 2035, or 2060) by relative contribution of the categories.

Colorado River demand<sup>3</sup> in Arizona is primarily in the Mainstem and Central Arizona planning areas. Demands in the Mainstem are primarily agricultural and tribal, whereas demands in Central Arizona are primarily M&I, with some tribal and agricultural.

Figure C6-6 shows the change in Colorado River demand by category from 2015 across the scenarios. Change in Colorado River demand is dominated by the Central Arizona planning area, with a large increase in M&I demands and a smaller increase in tribal demands, offset by a decrease in agricultural demands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Potential Colorado River demand is based on changes in parameters such as population and for the purpose of the Study is not limited by apportionment.

# FIGURE C6-4





FIGURE C6-5 Colorado River Demand by Category

**FIGURE C6-6** 





# 3.3 Colorado River Demand by Category

# 3.3.1 Agricultural

Agricultural water demand is driven by irrigated acreage and water delivery per acre. Water delivery per acre is the amount of water diverted per irrigated acre. Components of this use include transmission and delivery losses (surface evaporation, riparian demand, and seepage), and on-farm losses that are made up of evaporation, crop irrigation requirements, and tail water (return). Each of these factors (precipitation, growing season, etc.) will vary by location, irrigation method, and crop type.

Figure C6-7 presents the following by scenario in 2015, 2035, and 2060:

- Change in agricultural demand for Colorado River water
- Change in agricultural demand for Colorado River water by planning area
- Agricultural demand as a portion of Colorado River water demand (right hand side of graph)

As can be seen from figure C6-7, agricultural water demand makes up about 35 to 39 percent of Colorado River demand in Arizona in 2015, and drops to about 17 to 23 percent of Colorado River demand in 2060. This drop results from both a decrease in agricultural water demand and an increase in other categories of demand.

There are two Arizona planning areas with significant agricultural water use: the Mainstem, and the Central Arizona planning areas. Mainstem users hold senior water rights and have the greatest demand. Lower priority water rights supply the Central Arizona planning area. Agricultural demand is forecast to decrease over the Study period by varying amounts in the Central Arizona planning area, ranging from about 370 kaf to 420 kaf, depending on the efficiency and acreage assumptions in each scenario. Some decreases are assumed to result from the conversion of agricultural lands to urban development as the Central Arizona Project agricultural pool decreases over time until it is eliminated in 2030.

#### **FIGURE C6-7**





# 3.3.2 Municipal and Industrial

M&I water demand can be estimated from population and M&I per capita water use, with the addition of self-served industrial (SSI) demand. Municipal per capita water demand calculations include industrial, commercial, institutional, and residential water demand. A number of factors may influence the M&I per capita water use of a given community, including the amount of industrial demand, climate, number of institutional facilities, and number of visitors.

SSI users are industries located in a given area that have their own water supply systems and are therefore not directly related to local measures of population and M&I per capita water use.

Figure C6-8 presents the following by scenario in 2015, 2035, and 2060:

- Change in M&I demand for Colorado River water
- Change in M&I demand for Colorado River water in individual planning areas
- M&I demand as a portion of Colorado River water demand (right hand side of graph)

As can be seen from figure C6-8, M&I water demand is the one of the largest components of Colorado River demand, changing from about 25 to 28 percent in 2015 to between 38 and 49 percent of Colorado River demand in 2060, depending on which scenario is considered.

Colorado River demand for M&I use increases over time from 2015 to 2060 across all scenarios. The increase is primarily due to population increase as M&I per capita water use decreases over time across all scenarios; SSI demand also increases across all scenarios.

In examining the planning areas, population growth from 2015 to 2060 drives the increase in M&I demand for Colorado River water in the Central Arizona planning area and to a lesser extent in the Mainstem planning area. Colorado River water allocations and the availability of other supplies also affect M&I Colorado River water demand.

Increases in population are somewhat tempered by decreases in M&I per capita water use. Per capita water use is expected to be 4 to 23 percent less in 2060 than in 2015 in all scenarios except for Slow Growth (B) scenario, where it is expected to increase by about 1 percent.





Change in Colorado River Demand in Arizona from 2015 for M&I

# 3.3.3 Energy

Water demand for energy can be estimated through known plans for new power plants or through applying a per capita energy water use factor. Power facilities often serve areas remote from their locations and therefore potentially represent exports or imports of water from the Study Area to meet these distributed needs.

Figure C6-9 presents the following by scenario in 2015, 2035, and 2060:

- Change in energy demand for Colorado River water
- Change in energy demand for Colorado River water in individual planning areas
- Energy demand as a portion of Colorado River water demand (right hand side of graph)

As can be seen from figure C6-9, energy water demand is a small fraction of Colorado River demand, making up less than 0.1 percent of Colorado River demand in 2060.

Energy demand for Colorado River water increases over time from 2015 to 2060 across all scenarios, with the increase ranging from about 0.3 to 0.7 kaf.

Water use associated with energy demand is estimated on a per capita basis for the Mainstem and Central Arizona planning areas, and is estimated to increase as the population increases over time. Other planning area water use related to energy demand is estimated based on existing power generation facilities use.

#### FIGURE C6-9 Change in Colorado River Demand in Arizona from 2015 for Energy

# 3.3.4 Minerals Extraction

Water demand for mineral production can be estimated through existing uses and known plans for extraction in the Study Area. Water demand for mineral production can vary significantly, based on market prices for a given product.

Figure C6-10 presents the following by scenario in 2015, 2035, and 2060:

- Change in mineral production demand for Colorado River water
- Change in mineral production demand for Colorado River water in individual planning areas
- Minerals production demand as a portion of Colorado River demand (right hand side of graph)

As can be seen from figure C6-10, minerals water demand is a small fraction of Colorado River demand, changing from about 1.3 percent in 2015 to between 1.3 and 1.9 percent of Colorado River demand in 2060, depending on which scenario is considered.

Minerals demand for Colorado River water increases through time by about 15,000 afy from 2015 to 2060 across all scenarios.

Demand for Colorado River water for minerals extraction is present only in the Central Arizona planning area; accordingly, all of the increase occurs in the Central Arizona planning area.

FIGURE C6-10 Change in Colorado River Demand in Arizona from 2015 for Minerals

# 3.3.5 Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation

Water demand for fish, wildlife, and recreation is estimated from existing agreements or known consumptive use associated with this demand category. Non-consumptive demands associated with fish, wildlife, and recreation, including in-stream flow requirements, are represented through the metrics portion of the Study presented in *Technical Report D – System Reliability Metrics* (Reclamation, 2012).

Fish, wildlife, and recreation demands are forecast to remain constant through time, although the demands are variable among different scenarios. All scenarios have fish, wildlife, and recreation demands between about 16,000 afy and 19,000 afy, except the Enhanced Environment (D1 and D2) scenarios which have demands at 79,000 afy. All fish, wildlife, and recreation demands are in the Mainstem planning area.

# 3.3.6 Tribal

Water demand for federally recognized tribes in Arizona with rights to Colorado River water relied on information submitted by the Ten Tribes Partnership for use in the *Colorado River Interim Surplus Criteria Final Environmental Impacts Statement* (Reclamation, 2000) and used in the more recent *Colorado River Interim Guidelines for Lower Basin Shortages and Coordinated Operations for Lake Powell and Lake Mead Final EIS* (Reclamation, 2007b), information from ADWR, input from the individual tribes, and Reclamation's 2005 to 2009 Decree Accounting Report (Reclamation, 2007 and 2010). The projected Navajo Nation demands were provided by the Navajo Nation Department of Water Resources and modified to fit the storyline narratives regarding tribal use under each scenario.

Figure C6-11 presents the following by scenario in 2015, 2035, and 2060:

- Change in tribal demand for Colorado River water
- Change in tribal demand for Colorado River water in individual planning area
- Tribal demand as a portion of Colorado River demand (right hand side of graph)

As can be seen from figure C6–11, tribal water demand is one of the larger components of Colorado River demand in Arizona, increasing slightly from about 31 to 35 percent in 2015 to between about 33 and 39 percent of Colorado River demand in 2060, depending on which scenario is considered.

Colorado River tribal demand increases over time by about 217 to 358 kaf (about 21 to 34 percent) from 2015 to 2060 across all scenarios. These increases are primarily due to development of demands under existing water rights and the realization of new tribal claims and settlements. Increases occur mostly in the Central Arizona planning area, but there is also some increase in the Mainstem planning area. The rate of increase is greatest in Rapid Growth (C1 and C2) and Enhanced Environment (D2) scenarios.

For additional information on tribal demands, see appendix C9.

FIGURE C6-11



Change in Colorado River Demand in Arizona from 2015 for Tribal

0

2015

2035 2060

2015 2035

2060

# 3.4 Summary Tables of Parameters and Demands by Category

Tables C6-2 to C6-7 present the specific parameter data collected by planning area. Each table is a complete set of data for a given scenario. These data were used to develop Study Area demands and subsequently Colorado River demands once other supplies were considered. These tables provide the specific information used in the creation of the summary and category plots previously discussed and provide reference information for the data provided.

#### TABLE C6-2 Total Demand within Study Area under Current Projected (A) Scenario

	Planning Are	aRIZONA	Mainstem		1	Central Arizon	a	North Co	entral and Nava	jo Nation	Cent	ral Yavapai High	lands	Up	per San Pedro R	iver	LOW	/ER BASIN SUBT	OTAL		San Juan		UPP	ER BASIN SUBT	OTAL	1	STATE TOTAL		Source
Hydrologic Basin	Year	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	comm
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage acres	168,340	168,340	168,340	446,610	288,100	188,740	0	0	0	7,440	5,920	4,010	129	129	129	622,519	462,489	361,219	0	0	0	0	0	0	622,519	462,489	361,219	1)
Per-Acre	e Water Delivery (Diversion) af/ac/y	r 6.85	6.85	6.85	3.2	3.2	3.2				3.6	3.6	3.6	2.9	2.9	2.9	4.22	4.54	4.88							4.22	4.54	4.88	
	Consumptive factor %	61%	61%	61%	100%	100%	100%				100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	79%	75%							83%	79%	75%	2)
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	703,200	703,200	703,200	1,448,800	923,690	595,980	0	0	0	27,090	21,540	14,610	380	380	380	2,179,470	1,648,810	1,314,170	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,179,470	1,648,810	1,314,170	3)
Municipal and Industrial	Population	297,620	433,790	527,800	6,348,470	9,085,770	11,305,200	100,580	118,010	131,450	249,730	340,370	401,680	94,070	115,620	133,900	7,090,470	10,093,560	12,500,030	12,110	15,760	20,320	12,110	15,760	20,320	7,102,580	10,109,320	12,520,350	4)
Municipal and Industria	al Per Capita Use (Diversion) gpcd	271	271	277	215	209	207	126	126	127	151	138	121	187	187	187	214	208	206	123	111	86	123	111	86	214	208	206	5), 6)
	Consumptive factor %	66%	68%	70%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%	2)
Municipal and Indust	trial Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	59,460	89,640	114,830	1,532,280	2,130,800	2,622,280	14,200	16,720	18,770	42,270	52,570	54,630	19,700	24,220	28,050	1,667,910	2,313,950	2,838,560	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,669,585	2,315,905	2,840,515	
Self Served Indust	trial Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	5,260	5,960	6,400	171,820	220,320	232,620	0	0	0	9,210	12,980	17,690	1,400	2,300	2,300	187,690	241,560	259,010	0	0	0	0	0	0	187,690	241,560	259,010	3)
	Demand (Consumptive) at/yr	64,720	95,600	121,230	1,704,100	2,351,120	2,854,900	14,200	16,720	18,770	51,480	65,550	72,320	21,100	26,520	30,350	1,855,600	2,555,510	3,097,570	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,857,275	2,557,465	3,099,525	4
Energy	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	410	560	700	80,870	112,230	136,480	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	81,280	112,790	137,180	0	0	0	0	0	0	81,280	112,790	137,180	7)
Minerals	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000	- 8)
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	15,510	15,530	15,530	0	0	0	320	320	320	0	0	0	10,800	10,800	10,800	26,630	26,650	26,650	338	338	338	338	338	338	26,968	26,988	26,988	9)
ILIDAI	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	552,066	555,566	555,566	534,600	737,230	713,420	3,100	4,060	4,540	U	U	U	U	U	U	1,089,766	1,296,856	1,273,526	43,597	43,317	43,317	43,597	43,317	43,317	1,133,363	1,340,173	1,316,843	+10)
Total Hydrologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	1,335,906	1,370,456	1,396,226	3,807,890	4,182,270	4,358,780	17,620	21,100	23,630	78,570	87,090	86,930	32,280	37,700	41,530	5,272,266	5,698,616	5,907,096	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	5,317,876	5,744,226	5,952,706	1
Adjacent Areas																													-
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage acres																									/			
Pel-Aci	Consumptive factor %	"																								/			
	Demand (Diversion) af/vr																									/			
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr																									/			
Municipal and Industrial	Population	1																								/			
Municipal and Industria	al Per Capita Use (Diversion) gpcd																									/			
	Consumptive factor %																									/			
Municipal and In	dustrial Demand (Diversion) af/yr																									/			
Self Served In	dustrial Demand (Diversion) af/yr																									/			
	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																									/			
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr																									1 1			
Energy	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	1																								1 1			
Minerals	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	1																								1 1			
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	4																								/			
Tribal	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																									4			4
Total Adjacent Areas	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																0	0	0				0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Demand in the Study Are	ea af/yr	1,335,906	1,370,456	1,396,226	3,807,890	4,182,270	4,358,780	17,620	21,100	23,630	78,570	87,090	86,930	32,280	37,700	41,530	5,272,266	5,698,616	5,907,096	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	5,317,876	5,744,226	5,952,706	11)
																										<b></b>			4
Demand that may be met by O	other Supplies af/yr	0	0	0	2,249,581	2,509,130	2,361,110	10,773	9,418	7,530	72,099	72,084	72,094	13,796	13,802	13,802	2,346,249	2,604,434	2,454,536	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,346,249	2,604,434	2,454,536	12)
Potential Colorado River Dema	and af/yr	1,335,906	1,370,456	1,396,226	1,558,309	1,673,140	1,997,670	6,847	11,682	16,100	6,471	15,006	14,836	18,484	23,898	27,728	2,926,017	3,094,182	3,452,560	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	2,971,627	3,139,792	3,498,169	13)
Agricultural	Colorado River Demand af/yr	703,200	703,200	703,200	421,053	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,124,253	703,200	703,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,124,253	703,200	703,200	I
Municipal and Industrial	Colorado River Demand af/yr	64,720	95,600	121,230	651,963	957,921	1,282,781	6,847	11,682	16,100	6,471	15,006	14,836	18,484	23,898	27,728	748,485	1,104,107	1,462,675	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,675	1,955	1,955	750,160	1,106,062	1,464,630	
Francisco -	Colorado River Demand af/yr	410	560	700	724	916	932	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,134	1,476	1,632	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,134	1,476	1,632	
Energy				0	20 520	52.052	F 4 7CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39 520	53,853	54 760	0	0	0	0	0	0	39 520	53 853	54 760	1
Minerals	Colorado River Demand af/yr	0	0	0	39,520	53,853	54,700	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	35,520	33,033	54,700	0	0	-	-	0	-	33,320	33,033	54,700	
Energy Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Colorado River Demand af/yr Colorado River Demand af/yr	0 15,510	0 15,530	15,530	39,520	53,853	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15,510	15,530	15,530	338	338	338	338	338	338	15,848	15,868	15,868	

Source and Comments

1) Personal communication, ADWR, Aug. 26, 2011.

Personal communication, ADWR, Aug. 26, 2011;
 Nonmainstem: 100% based on consumptive need equaling diversion from Mainstem.
 Personal communication, ADWR, Aug. 26, 2011; nonmainstem areas

A) Personal communication, ADWR, Aug. 26, 2011. Nonlinear metas
 A) Personal communication, ADWR, Aug. 26, 2011. Population figures for each planning area are disaggregated from total Arizona state population. See Draft Arizona Demand Narrative, Aug. 2011
 5) In Mainstern, GPCD increase due to the addition of Arizona's unallocated Priority 4 entitlement, which are assumed to be allocated after 2020, no population has been associated with this allocation
 6) In North Central, municipal GPCD's based on non-Tribal population estimates; 2015 - 100,580; 2035 - 118,010; and 2060 - 131,450. Tribal population estimates are: 2015 - 17,890; 2035 - 20,990; and 2060 - 23,380.

7) Personal communication, ADWR, Aug. 26, 2011. Mainstem and Central based on per-capita energy factor of 18.4 MWH per person per year, and 650 gallons per MWH.

 /) Personal communication, ADWR, Aug. 26, 2011. Mainstem and central based on per-capita energy factor of 16.4 mWH per person per year, and bog gailons per fwH.
 8) Personal communication, ADWR, Aug. 26, 2011.
 9) Personal communication, ADWR, Aug. 26, 2011. Mainstem: 2005-2009 average. Upper San Pedro: Use based on Water Management of the Regional Aquifer in the Sierra Vista Subwatershed, Arizona-2007 Report to Congress.
 10) Personal communication, ADWR, Oct. 21, 2011. Tribal demands include agricultural, and other uses. There are approximately 82,000 acres of tribal agricultural lands in the mainstem, and 180 acres in North Central.
 11) Calculated from the sum of Hydrologic Basin (Consumptive) Demand and Adjacent Areas (Diversion) Demand.
 12) Personal communication, ADWR, Oct. 21, 2011. Tribal demands include surger water, groundwater, groundwater mining, and effluent re-use.
 13) For planning areas other than Central A2, all Cd demand is municipal. For Central A2, based on recent distribution of CAP water (2010510 Basin Study AZCAPBreakout.xlsx). Approach was to start with recent distribution, and then make the change in distribution the same as the change in overall demands. This was rent projected only. The values for all categories but M&I were then applied to all other scenarios, with M&I used as the makeup term. The formulas also check to make sure CR demand isn't greater than total demand for each category - if so, M&I makes up the differe

ates ted tate Plans tudy Team

#### Total Demand within Study Area under Slow Growth (B) Scenario

	Dianaina Area	ARIZONA			1	Control Aring		North C	anternal and Marries	- Notion			-landa	l					TOTAL	1	Con luon	-			0741	1	STATE TOTAL	الم
alogic Pacin	Plaining Area	2015	2025	2060	2015	2025	2060	2015	2025	2060	2015		2060	2015	2025	2060	2015	202E	2060	2015	202E	2060	2015	2025	2060	2015	2025	2060 com
ultural	Irrigated Acreage acres	168.340	168.340	168.340	464 480	345 790	273.430	0	0	0	7 440	5.920	4.010	129	129	129	640 389	520 179	445 909	0	0	0	0	0	2000	640 389	520 179	445 909 1)
Per-Acre Wa	ater Delivery (Diversion) af/ac/yr	7 18	7 18	7 18	3.41	3.73	3.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	4 37	4.37	4 37	3.57	3.57	3.57	4 41	4 86	5.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4 41	4 86	5 03 2)
i ci /idic ili	Consumptive factor %	60%	60%	60%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	81%	78%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	83%	81%	78%
	Demand (Consumptive) af/vr	724 140	724 140	724 140	1 585 120	1 290 680	1 016 990	0	0	0	32 500	25 850	17 530	460	460	460	2 342 220	2 041 130	1 759 120	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 342 220	2 041 130	1 759 120
cipal and Industrial	Population	282,500	366,630	412.290	6.026.070	7 679 070	8,831,000	95.470	99.740	102,680	237.050	287,680	313,770	89,290	97.720	104 410	6,730,380	8,530,840	9,764,150	12,110	15 760	20.320	12,110	15,760	20.320	6.742.490	8.546.600	9,784,470 3)
Municipal and Industrial Pe	er Capita Use (Diversion) groch	274	282	302	219	220	218	128	133	140	156	162	171	189	196	207	218	219	219	123	111	86	123	111	86	217	219	219 4)
	Consumptive factor %	66%	67%	69%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%
Municipal and Industrial	Demand (Consumptive) af/vr	56,940	77,950	96,240	1,480,010	1,890,140	2,155,860	13,641	14,831	16,051	41,400	52,290	59,950	18,890	21,510	24,160	1.610.881	2.056.721	2.352.261	1,675	1,955	1,955	1.675	1.955	1.955	1.612.556	2.058.676	2.354.216
Self Served Industrial	Demand (Consumptive) af/vr	5,430	5,950	6,260	167,560	204,750	207,720	0	0	0	9,210	12,980	17,690	1,400	2,300	2,300	183,600	225,980	233.970	0	0	0	0	0	0	183,600	225,980	233,970 5)
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	62.370	83,900	102,500	1.647.570	2.094.890	2.363.580	13.641	14.831	16.051	50.610	65.270	77.640	20,290	23.810	26,460	1.794.481	2.282.701	2.586.231	1.675	1.955	1.955	1.675	1.955	1.955	1.796.156	2.284.656	2.588.186
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	390	470	540	77,170	96,100	108,360	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77,560	96.570	108,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	77,560	96.570	108.900 6)
ls	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	58.000	58.000	0	0	0	0	0	0	39.520	58.000	58.000 7)
Idlife, and Recreation	Demand (Consumptive) af/vr	16,230	16,250	16,250	0	0	0	320	320	320	0	0	0	10,800	10,800	10,800	27,350	27,370	27,370	338	338	338	338	338	338	27,688	27,708	27,708 8)
	Demand (Consumptive) af/vr	435,860	439,360	439,360	534,600	740,260	740,260	3,030	3,560	3,690	0	0	0	0	0	0	973,490	1,183,180	1,183,310	43,597	43,317	43,317	43,597	43,317	43,317	1,017,087	1,226,497	1,226,627 9)
							14.15											_,,_00	_,					,	,		-,,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
ologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	1,238,990	1,264,120	1,282,790	3,883,980	4,279,930	4,287,190	16,991	18,711	20,061	83,110	91,120	95,170	31,550	35,070	37,720	5,254,621	5,688,951	5,722,931	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	5,300,231	5,734,561	5,768,541
ent Areas																												
ural	Irrigated Acreage acres																											
Per-Acre Wa	ater Delivery (Diversion) af/ac/yr																											
	Consumptive factor %																											
	Demand (Diversion) at/yr																											
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	-																										
and Industrial	Population																											
iunicipal and industrial Pe	er Capita Use (Diversion) gpcd																											
Municipal and Induce	Consumptive factor %																											
wunicipal and mousi	trial Demand (Diversion) al/yr																											
Sell Serveu muusi	that Demand (Diversion) al/yr																											
	Demand (Diversion) at/yr																											
	Demand (Consumptive) al/yr	ł																										
	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	-																										
life and Decreation	Demand (Diversion) of /ur	•																										
unie, and Recreation	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	-																										
	Demand (Diversion) ary																											
cent Areas	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
mand in the Study Area	af/yr	1,238,990	1,264,120	1,282,790	3,883,980	4,279,930	4,287,190	16,991	18,711	20,061	83,110	91,120	95,170	31,550	35,070	37,720	5,254,621	5,688,951	5,722,931	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	5,300,231	5,734,561	5,768,541
d that may be met by Other	r Supplies af/yr	0	0	0	2,249,520	2,616,271	2,562,646	10,427	8,436	6,760	72,080	72,088	72,085	13,803	13,800	13,800	2,345,830	2,710,595	2,655,291	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,345,830	2,710,595	2,655,291 10)
l Colorado River Demand	af/yr	1,238,990	1,264,120	1,282,790	1,634,460	1,663,659	1,724,544	6,564	10,275	13,301	11,030	19,032	23,085	17,747	21,270	23,920	2,908,791	2,978,356	3,067,640	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	45,610	2,954,401	3,023,966	3,113,250
al	Colorado River Demand af/yr	724,140	724,140	724,140	421,053	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,145,193	724,140	724,140	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,145,193	724,140	724,140 11)
l and Industrial	Colorado River Demand af/yr	62,370	83,900	102,500	728,027	944,026	1,004,922	6,564	10,275	13,301	11,030	19,032	23,085	17,747	21,270	23,920	825,738	1,078,503	1,167,728	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,675	1,955	1,955	827,413	1,080,458	1,169,683
	Colorado River Demand af/yr	390	470	540	830	1,024	1,013	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,220	1,494	1,553	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,220	1,494	1,553
ż	Colorado River Demand af/yr	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000
ife, and Recreation	Colorado River Demand af/yr	16,230	16,250	16,250	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16,230	16,250	16,250	338	338	338	338	338	338	16,568	16,588	16,588

Source and Comments

ns

1) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Central Arizona: higher utilization rate (up < 10%), slower land conversion (driven by population); Other areas: no change from Current Projected
2) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Central Arizona and Mainstem: 5% increase relative to Current Projected (note that 5% is applied to each of 3 different AMA/s in Central Arizona, which combined with changes in acreage results in an average difference from Current Projected that does not equal 5%). Other areas: 20% increase from
Current Projected.

Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Annual population change is reduced by 35% relative to current projected.

4) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Central Arizona: increase system loss to 10% (cannot exceed 10% in AMAs); All other areas: increase gpcd by 10% to 2060 due to increased system loss. 5) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. SSI is a function of population

6) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. No change in per-capita energy water use values from Current Projected (WRDC "moderate"). However, total energy use is reduced due to population reduction.

7) No change from Current Projected

8) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Mainstem: National Wildlife Refuges increased use of 5% relative to Current Projected; Other areas: no change from Current Projected 9) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Mainstem: use ADWR tribal projection, which is less than the "Ten Tribes Partnership" assumption used in Current Projected; Central Arizona: no change from Current Project; North Central and Navajo Nation: based on population estimates for the portions of the Navajo and Hopi Reservations located within the North Central portion of the study area 10) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. North Central: local supplies were calculated as the difference between the total demand and unmet demand; Central Yavapai Highlands and Upper San Pedro Study: based on information appraisal reports. Central AZ: AZ used internal models to estimate their demands met by

Other supplies and CAP deliveries. The remaining or unmet demands are represented as Potential Colorado River Basin demands, where CAP deliveries are a portion of potential Colorado River Demands in the Central AZ planning area. 11) For planning areas other than Central AZ, all CR demand is municipal. For Central AZ, based on recent distribution of CAP water (20110510 Basin Study AZCAPBreakout.xlsx). Approach was to start with recent distribution, and then make the change in distribution the same as the change in overall demands. This was done for current projected only. The values for all categories but M&I were then applied to all other scenarios, with M&I used as the makeup term. The formulas also check to make sure CR demand isn't greater than total demand for each category - if so, M&I makes up the difference.

### TABLE C6-4 Total Demand within Study Area under Rapid Growth (C1) Scenario

		1																											From Curr
		ARIZONA															1 .			1			I .			1			Input Par
dealers to produ	Planning Area	2045	Mainstem	2000	2045	Central Arizon	a 2000	North Ce	ntral and Navaj	jo Nation	Centr	al Yavapai Higi	nlands	Upp	per San Pedro F	River	LOW	ER BASIN SUB	TOTAL	2045	San Juan	2050	UPP	ER BASIN SUBTO	OTAL	2045	STATE TOTAL	2000	Source and Computer
droiogic Basin ricultural		2015	2035	2060	2015 428 890	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	7 440	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	604 799	2035	2060	1)
Per-Ac	re Water Delivery (Diversion) af/ac/v	6.85	6.85	6.85	3 24	3 17	3 14	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.64	3.64	3.64	2.95	2.95	2.95	4 25	4 70	5 14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4 25	4 70	5 14	2)
101710	Consumptive factor %	61%	61%	61%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	76%	72%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	83%	76%	72%	-)
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	703,200	703,200	703,200	1,389,280	731,230	443,180	0	0	0	27,090	21,540	14,610	380	380	380	2,119,950	1,456,350	1,161,370	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,119,950	1,456,350	1,161,370	
unicipal and Industrial	Population	313,400	512,670	674,730	6,685,110	10,737,910	14,452,430	105,910	139,470	168,050	262,970	402,270	513,500	99,060	136,640	170,870	7,466,450	11,928,960	15,979,580	12,110	15,760	20,320	12,110	15,760	20,320	7,478,560	11,944,720	15,999,900	3)
Municipal and Industr	ial Per Capita Use (Diversion) gpcd	271	269	272	214	206	203	126	126	127	151	138	121	187	187	187	213	205	203	123	111	86	123	111	86	213	205	202	4)
	Consumptive factor %	66%	70%	72%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%	
Municipal and Indu	strial Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	62,670	107,610	148,620	1,603,450	2,480,940	3,290,020	14,951	19,761	24,002	44,500	62,130	69,830	20,750	28,620	35,790	1,746,321	2,699,061	3,568,262	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,747,996	2,701,016	3,570,217	
Self Served Indu	strial Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	5,380	6,340	6,970	176,120	237,060	260,810	0	0	0	9,210	12,980	17,690	1,400	2,300	2,300	192,110	258,680	287,770	0	0	0	0	0	0	192,110	258,680	287,770	5)
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	68,050	113,950	155,590	1,779,570	2,718,000	3,550,830	14,951	19,761	24,002	53,710	75,110	87,520	22,150	30,920	38,090	1,938,431	2,957,741	3,856,032	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,940,106	2,959,696	3,857,987	
rgy	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	440	730	970	86,230	143,170	186,980	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	86,670	143,900	187,950	0	0	0	0	0	0	86,670	143,900	187,950	6)
erals	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000	/)
Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	15,510	15,530	15,530	524,600	724.040	705.050	320	320	320	0	0	0	10,800	10,800	10,800	26,630	26,650	26,650	338	338	338	338	338	338	26,968	26,988	26,988	8)
31	Demand (Consumptive) at/yr	552,000	555,566	555,566	534,600	734,210	705,250	19,320	76,536	120,013	U	U	U	U	U	U	1,105,991	1,366,314	1,389,429	30,041	54,035	70,005	38,041	54,836	70,865	1,144,032	1,421,150	1,460,294	9)
Hydrologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	1,339,266	1,388,976	1,430,856	3,829,200	4,384,610	4,944,240	34,596	96,619	152,935	80,800	96,650	102,130	33,330	42,100	49,270	5,317,192	6,008,955	6,679,431	40,054	57,129	73,158	40,054	57,129	73,158	5,357,246	6,066,084	6,752,589	
cent Areas	Irrighted According accord																					_							
Iturdi Dor Ac	Inigated Acreage acres	-																											
FEI-AU	Consumptive factor %																												
	Demand (Diversion) af/vr																												
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr																												
cipal and Industrial	Population	1																											
Municipal and Industr	ial Per Capita Use (Diversion) gpcd																												
	Consumptive factor %																												
Municipal and I	ndustrial Demand (Diversion) af/yr																												
Self Served I	ndustrial Demand (Diversion) af/yr																												
	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																												
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	4																											
γ role	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	-																											
Idis	Demand (Diversion) al/yr	4																											
whume, and Recreation	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	-																											
	Demand (Diversion) ary																												
Adjacent Areas	Demand (Diversion) af/vr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
,		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Demand in the Study Ar	ea af/yr	1,339,266	1,388,976	1,430,856	3,829,200	4,384,610	4,944,240	34,596	96,619	152,935	80,800	96,650	102,130	33,330	42,100	49,270	5,317,192	6,008,955	6,679,431	40,054	57,129	73,158	40,054	57,129	73,158	5,357,246	6,066,084	6,752,589	
																													l
nd that may be met by (	Other Supplies af/yr	0	0	0	2,245,359	2,542,890	2,407,519	11,310	11,014	8,130	72,088	72,085	72,086	13,801	13,798	13,799	2,342,558	2,639,787	2,501,534	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,342,558	2,639,787	2,501,534	10)
tial Colorado River Dem	and af/yr	1,339,266	1,388,976	1,430,856	1,583,841	1,841,720	2,536,721	23,286	85,605	144,805	8,712	24,565	30,044	19,529	28,302	35,471	2,974,634	3,369,168	4,177,897	40,054	57,129	73,158	40,054	57,129	73,158	3,014,688	3,426,297	4,251,055	İ
ultural	Colorado River Demand af/yr	703,200	703,200	703,200	400,923	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,104,123	703,200	703,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,104,123	703,200	703,200	11)
cipal and Industrial	Colorado River Demand af/yr	68,050	113,950	155,590	697,624	1,127,010	1,822,669	7,211	13,807	21,902	8,712	24,565	30,044	19,529	28,302	35,471	801,126	1,307,634	2,065,676	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,675	1,955	1,955	802,801	1,309,589	2,067,631	
3V	Colorado River Demand af/yr	440	730	970	744	910	925	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,184	1,640	1,895	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,184	1,640	1,895	
erals	Colorado River Demand af/yr	0	0	0	39,520	53,508	54,360	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	53,508	54,360	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	53,508	54,360	
Wildlife, and Recreation	Colorado River Demand af/yr	15,510	15,530	15,530	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15,510	15,530	15,530	338	338	338	338	338	338	15,848	15,868	15,868	
al	Colorado River Demand af/ur	EE2 066	EEE EEE	555 566	445.030	660 202	659 767	16.075	71 700	122.002	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 042 474		4 227 226	20.041	E4 00C	70.005	20.041	E4 00C	70.005	4 054 242	4 242 402	4 400 404	1

#### Source and Comments

 op resonal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Wind: Unign Energy use value use of inclusive and energy water use values non-current Projected
 No change from Current Projected
 Presonal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Wainstem and Central Arizona: no change from Current Project; Personal communication, Navajo Nation, Apr 16, 2012. North Central and Navajo Nation and San Juan: Nation provided demand schedules
 Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. North Central - local supplies were calculated as the difference between the total demand and unmet demand; Central Yavapai Highlands and Upper San Pedro Study: based on information appraisal reports. Central AZ: AZ used internal models to estimate their demands met by Other Supplies and CAP deliveries. The remaining or unmet demands are represented as Potential Colorado River Basin demands, where CAP deliveries are a portion of potential Colorado River Demands in the Central AZ planning area. 11) For planning areas other than Central AZ, all CR demand is municipal. For Central AZ, based on recent distribution of CAP water (20110510 Basin Study AZCAPBreakout.xlsx). Approach was to start with recent distribution, and then make the change in distribution the same as the change in overall demands. This was done for current projected only. The values for all

categories but M&i were then applied to all other scenarios, with M&i used as the makeup term. The formulas also check to make sure CR demand isn't greater than total demand for each category - if so, M&i makes up the difference.

#### APPENDIX C6—ARIZONA WATER DEMAND SCENARIO QUANTIFICATION TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM C-QUANTIFICATION OF WATER DEMAND SCENARIOS

Total Demand within Study Area under Rapid Growth (C2) Scenario

		ARIZONA																					From Curren
	Planning Area		Mainstem		1	Central Arizona	.	North Cer	ntral and Nava	o Nation	Cent	ral Yavapai Higl	lands	Upp	oer San Pedro R	liver	1	San Juan		1	STATE TOTAL	1	Source and Computed
Hydrologic Basin	Year	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	comments
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage acres	168,340	168,340	168,340	428,890	230,810	141,070	0	0	0	7,440	5,920	4,010	129	129	129	0	0	0	604,799	405,199	313,549 1	L)
Per-Acre	Water Delivery (Diversion) af/ac/y	7.92	7.92	7.92	3.19	3.01	2.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.91	2.91	2.92	2.33	2.33	2.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50	5.05	5.63 2	2)
	Consumptive factor %	57%	57%	57%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	79%	72%	68%	
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	763,420	763,420	763,420	1,366,130	694,670	421,020	0	0	0	21,670	17,230	11,690	300	300	300	0	0	0	2,151,520	1,475,620	1,196,430	
Municipal and Industrial	Population	313,400	512,670	674,730	6,685,110	10,737,910	14,452,430	105,910	139,470	168,050	262,970	402,270	513,500	99,060	136,640	170,870	12,110	15,760	20,320	7,478,560	11,944,720	15,999,900 3	3)
Municipal and Industria	al Per Capita Use (Diversion) gpcd	280	251	228	209	177	162	123	113	101	151	138	124	183	167	150	123	111	86	208	178	163 4	4)
	Consumptive factor %	66%	69%	71%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%	
Municipal and Indust	rial Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	65,280	99,680	122,260	1,562,930	2,124,220	2,628,130	14,651	17,671	19,061	44,480	62,300	71,220	20,300	25,630	28,700	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,709,316	2,331,456	2,871,326	
Self Served Indust	rial Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	5,180	6,100	6,690	176,120	237,060	260,810	0	0	0	9,210	12,980	17,690	1,400	2,300	2,300	0	0	0	191,910	258,440	287,490 5	5a), 5b)
<b>Factor</b>	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	70,460	105,780	128,950	1,739,050	2,361,280	2,888,940	14,651	17,671	19,061	53,690	75,280	88,910	21,700	27,930	31,000	1,6/5	1,955	1,955	1,901,226	2,589,896	3,158,816	-)
Minorple	Demand (Consumptive) al/yr	410	530	0/0	39,520	58 000	58 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82,070	107,080	132,690 0	2) Z)
Fish Wildlife and Recreation	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	18 760	18 775	18 775	0	0	0	320	320	320	0	0	0	10 800	10 800	10 800	338	338	338	20 218	20 222	20 222	') 2)
Trihal	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	551,917	555,417	555.417	534,600	713.440	673,130	19.255	76.468	128,543	0	0	0	0	0	0	38.041	54,836	70.865	1 143 813	1 400 161	1 427 955	) )
	consumptive) ally			000,111		,	0.0,100	,200				•	•		•	•		01,000		1,1-3,013	1,400,101	_,, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	·/
Total Hydrologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	1,404,967	1,443,922	1,467,232	3,760,960	3,933,940	4,173,110	34,226	94,459	147,924	75,360	92,510	100,600	32,800	39,030	42,100	40,054	57,129	73,158	5,348,367	5,660,990	6,004,124	
Adjacent Areas																							
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage acres																						
Per-Acre	Water Delivery (Diversion) af/ac/y	r																					
	Consumptive factor %																						
	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																						
NAL STOCK BUILDING STOCK	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	-																					
Municipal and Industrial	Population																						
Municipal and industria	al Per Capita Ose (Diversion) gpco																						
	consumptive factor %																						
Self Served Inc	dustrial Demand (Diversion) af/yr																						
Self Served Inc	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																						
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr																						
Fnerøv	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	-																					
Minerals	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	1																					
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																						
Tribal	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	1																					
	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,																						
Total Adjacent Areas	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Demand in the Study Area	a af/yr	1,404,967	1,443,922	1,467,232	3,760,960	3,933,940	4,173,110	34,226	94,459	147,924	75,360	92,510	100,600	32,800	39,030	42,100	40,054	57,129	73,158	5,348,367	5,660,990	6,004,124	
Demand that may be met by Ot	ther Supplies af/yr	0	0	0	2,252,243	2,333,192	2,304,121	11,061	10,049	7,920	72,086	72,089	72,092	13,799	13,792	13,787	0	0	0	2,349,189	2,429,122	2,397,920 1	.0)
Potential Colorado River Dema	nd af/vr	1,404,967	1.443.922	1.467.232	1.508.717	1.600.748	1.868.989	23.165	84.410	140.004	3.274	20.421	28,508	19.001	25.238	28.313	40.054	57.129	73.158	2.999.178	3.231.868	3.606.204	
Agricultural	Colorado River Demand af/vr	763,420	763,420	763,420	368,390	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,131,810	763,420	763,420 1	1)
Municipal and Industrial	Colorado River Demand af/vr	70.460	105.780	128.950	655.075	890.073	1,158.227	7,090	12,612	17,101	3,274	20,421	28,508	19,001	25,238	28,313	1,675	1,955	1,955	756.575	1,056.079	1,363.054	,
Energy	Colorado River Demand af/vr	410	530	670	702	861	898	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.112	1.391	1.568	
Minerals	Colorado River Demand af/vr	0	0	0	39,520	50,616	52,787	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	50,616	52,787	
		1 1											1						220	10,000			
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Colorado River Demand af/yr	18,760	18,775	18,775	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	338	338	338	19,098	19,113	19,113	

#### Source and Comments

1) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Central Arizona: faster land conversion (driven by population); Other areas: no change from Current Projected

2) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Mainstem: 5% decrease in water duties, but overall higher water duties result from consumptive use being higher for the same acreage. Central Arizona: 5% decrease relative to Current Projected (note that 5% is applied to each of 3 different AMA's in Central Arizona, which combined with changes in acreage results in an average difference from Current Projected that does not equal 5%). Other areas: 20% decrease from Current Projected.

3) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Annual population change is increased by 35% relative to current projected. 4) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. All areas: gpcd reduced annually by 0.44% (note that this is applied to each of 3 different AMA's in Central Arizona, which combined with changes in population results in an average gpcd that changes at a slightly different rate than 0.44%; similarly in Mainstem it is applied to individual contractors, so changes in population of individual contractors results in a slightly different rate than 0.44%)

5) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. SSI is a function of population

6) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. WRDC "low" energy use value used -- decreased energy water use values from Current Projected. Note that these are per capita, so energy use is also affected by population

7) No change from Current Projected

8) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Mainstem: recreation contractors use full entitlement, no change to National Wildlife Refuges; Other areas: no change from Current Projected

Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Mainstem and Central Arizona: no change from Current Project; Personal communication, Navajo Nation, Apr 16, 2012. North Central and Navajo Nation and San Juan: Nation provided demand schedules

10) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. North Central: local supplies were calculated as the difference between the total demand and unmet demand; Central Yavapai Highlands and Upper San Pedro Study: based on information from Reclamation appraisal reports. Central AZ: AZ used internal models to estimate their demands met by Other Supplies and CAP deliveries. The remaining or unmet demands are represented as Potential Colorado River Basin demands, where CAP deliveries are a portion of potential Colorado River Demands in the Central AZ planning area.

11) For planning areas other than Central AZ, all CR demand is municipal. For Central AZ, based on recent distribution of CAP water (20110510 Basin Study AZCAPBreakout.xlsx). Approach was to start with recent distribution, and then make the change in distribution the same as the change in overall demands. This was done for current projected only. The values for all categories but M&I were then applied to all other scenarios, with M&I used as the makeup term. The formulas also check to make sure CR demand isn't greater than total demand for each category - if so, M&I makes up the difference

MAY 2012

Total Demand within Study Area under Enhanced Environment (D1) Scenario

	Diapping Area	ARIZONA	Mainstom		1	Control Arizona		North Co	ntrol and Navai	ia Nation	Contr	al Vayanai High	ande	Line	or Con Dodro D	i	1	Con luon		1	STATE TOTAL	
Hydrologic Basin	Vear	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage acres	168.340	168.340	168.340	446.610	288,100	188,740	0	0	0	7,440	5.920	4.010	129	129	129	0	0	0	622.519	462,489	361.219
Per-Acr	cre Water Delivery (Diversion) af/ac/v	6.85	6.85	6.85	3.24	3.21	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.64	3.64	3.64	2.95	2.95	2.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.22	4.54	4.88
	Consumptive factor %	61%	61%	61%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	83%	79%	75%
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	703,200	703,200	703,200	1,448,800	923,690	595,980	0	0	0	27,090	21,540	14,610	380	380	380	0	0	0	2,179,470	1,648,810	1,314,170
Municipal and Industrial	Population	297,620	433,790	527,800	6,348,470	9,085,770	11,305,200	100,580	118,010	131,450	249,730	340,370	401,680	94,070	115,620	133,900	12,110	15,760	20,320	7,102,580	10,109,320	12,520,350
Municipal and Industr	rial Per Capita Use (Diversion) gpcd	260	221	186	210	181	163	121	101	94	148	124	100	179	150	120	123	111	86	208	179	161
	Consumptive factor %	66%	67%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%
Municipal and Indus	ustrial Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	56,810	71,980	73,620	1,496,570	1,843,160	2,064,283	13,611	13,381	13,842	41,310	47,190	44,990	18,850	19,420	18,000	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,628,826	1,997,086	2,216,690
Self Served Indus	ustrial Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	5,260	5,960	6,400	171,820	220,320	232,620	0	0	0	9,210	12,980	17,690	1,400	2,300	2,300	0	0	0	187,690	241,560	259,010
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	62,070	77,940	80,020	1,668,390	2,063,480	2,296,903	13,611	13,381	13,842	50,520	60,170	62,680	20,250	21,720	20,300	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,816,516	2,238,646	2,475,700
Energy	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	390	450	520	77,960	105,945	122,832	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78,350	106,395	123,352
Minerals	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	58,000	58,000
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	n Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	78,245	78,258	78,258	0	0	0	320	320	320	0	0	0	10,800	10,800	10,800	338	338	338	89,703	89,716	89,716
Tribal	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	552,066	555,566	555,566	534,600	736,760	725,520	3,100	4,060	4,540	0	0	0	0	0	0	43,597	43,317	43,317	1,133,363	1,339,703	1,328,943
Total Hydrologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	1,395,971	1,415,414	1,417,564	3,769,270	3,887,875	3,799,235	17,031	17,761	18,702	77,610	81,710	77,290	31,430	32,900	31,480	45,610	45,610	45,610	5,336,922	5,481,270	5,389,880
Adjacent Areas	Irrigated Acreage acres																					
Ppr-Arr	cre Water Delivery (Diversion) af/ac/v																					
Tel Ad	Consumptive factor %																					
	Demand (Diversion) af/vr																					
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr																					
Municipal and Industrial	Population	1																				
Municipal and Industr	rial Per Capita Use (Diversion) gpcd																					
	Consumptive factor %																					
Municipal and Ir	Industrial Demand (Diversion) af/yr																					
Self Served Ir	Industrial Demand (Diversion) af/yr																					
	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																					
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr																					
Energy	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	1																				
Minerals	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	_																				
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	n Demand (Diversion) af/yr Demand (Diversion) af/yr										_											
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr	-																				
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr	-																				
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal Total Adjacent Areas	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal Total Adjacent Areas Total Demand in the Study Arr	Demand (Diversion) af/yr Demand (Diversion) af/yr Demand (Diversion) af/yr Demand (Diversion) af/yr rea af/yr	0	0 1,415,414	0 1,417,564	0 3,769,270	0 3,887,875	0 3,799,235	0 17,031	0 17,761	0 18,702	0 77,610	0 81,710	0 77,290	0 31,430	0 32,900	0 31,480	0 45,610	0 45,610	0 45,610	0	0 5,481,270	0 5,389,880
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal Total Adjacent Areas Total Demand in the Study Are Demand that may be met by O	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           rea         af/yr           Other Supplies         af/yr	0 1,395,971 0	0 1,415,414 0	0 1,417,564	0 3,769,270 2,180,480	0 3,887,875 2,105,884	0 3,799,235 1,820,074	0 17,031 10,422	0 17,761 7,985	0 18,702 5,802	0 77,610 72,084	0 81,710 72,091	0 77,290 72,086	0 31,430 13,798	0 32,900 13,804	0 31,480 13,804	0 45,610 0	0 45,610 0	0 45,610 0	0 5,336,922 2,276,784	0 5,481,270 2,199,764	0 5,389,880 1,911,766
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal Total Adjacent Areas Total Demand in the Study Are Demand that may be met by C	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           rea         af/yr           Other Supplies         af/yr	0 1,395,971 0	0 1,415,414 0	0 1,417,564 0	0 3,769,270 2,180,480	0 3,887,875 2,105,884	0 3,799,235 1,820,074	0 17,031 10,422	0 17,761 7,985	0 18,702 5,802	0 77,610 72,084	0 81,710 72,091	0 77,290 72,086	0 31,430 13,798	0 32,900 13,804	0 31,480 13,804	0 45,610 0	0 45,610 0	0 45,610 0	0 5,336,922 2,276,784	0 5,481,270 2,199,764	0 5,389,880 1,911,766
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal Total Adjacent Areas Total Demand in the Study Arr Demand that may be met by 0 Potential Colorado River Dem	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           rea         af/yr           Other Supplies         af/yr           nand         af/yr	0 1,395,971 0 1,395,971	0 1,415,414 0 1,415,414	0 1,417,564 0 1,417,564	0 3,769,270 2,180,480 1,588,790	0 3,887,875 2,105,884 1,781,991	0 3,799,235 1,820,074 1,979,161	0 17,031 10,422 6,609	0 17,761 7,985 9,776	0 18,702 5,802 12,900	0 77,610 72,084 5,526	0 81,710 72,091 9,619	0 77,290 72,086 5,204	0 31,430 13,798 17,632	0 32,900 13,804 19,096	0 31,480 13,804 17,676	0 45,610 0 45,610	0 45,610 0 45,610	0 45,610 0 45,610	0 5,336,922 2,276,784 3,060,138	0 5,481,270 2,199,764 3,281,506	0 5,389,880 1,911,766 3,478,115
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal Total Adjacent Areas Total Demand in the Study Are Demand that may be met by C Potential Colorado River Dem Agricultural	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Other Supplies         af/yr           nand         af/yr           and         af/yr	0 1,395,971 0 1,335,971 703,200	0 1,415,414 0 1,415,414 703,200	0 1,417,564 0 1,417,564 703,200	0 3,769,270 2,180,480 1,588,790 417,683 417,683	0 3,887,875 2,105,884 1,781,991 0	0 3,799,235 1,820,074 1,979,161 0	0 17,031 10,422 6,609 0	0 17,761 7,985 9,776 0	0 18,702 5,802 12,900 0 25,802	0 77,610 72,084 5,526 0	0 81,710 72,091 9,619 0	0 77,290 72,086 5,204 0	0 31,430 13,798 17,632 0	0 32,900 13,804 19,096 0	0 31,480 13,804 17,676 0	0 45,610 0 45,610	0 45,610 0 45,610 0	0 45,610 0 45,610 0	0 5,336,922 2,276,784 3,060,138 1,120,883	0 5,481,270 2,199,764 3,281,506 703,200	0 5,389,880 1,911,766 3,478,115 703,200
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal Total Adjacent Areas Total Demand in the Study Are Demand that may be met by O Potential Colorado River Dem Agricultural Municipal and Industrial	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Other Supplies         af/yr           Other Supplies         af/yr           Colorado River Demand         af/yr           Colorado River Demand         af/yr	0 1,395,971 0 1,395,971 703,200 62,070 62,070	0 1,415,414 0 1,415,414 703,200 77,940	0 1,417,564 0 1,417,564 703,200 80,020	0 3,769,270 2,180,480 1,588,790 417,683 685,827	0 3,887,875 2,105,884 1,781,991 0 1,065,969	0 3,799,235 1,820,074 1,979,161 0 1,263,033	0 17,031 10,422 6,609 0 6,609	0 17,761 7,985 9,776 0 9,776	0 18,702 5,802 12,900 0 12,900	0 77,610 72,084 5,526 0 5,526	0 81,710 72,091 9,619 0 9,619	0 77,290 72,086 5,204 0 5,204	0 31,430 13,798 17,632 0 17,632	0 32,900 13,804 19,096 0 19,096	0 31,480 13,804 17,676 0 17,676	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,675	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,955	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,955	0 5,336,922 2,276,784 3,060,138 1,120,883 779,339	0 5,481,270 2,199,764 3,281,506 703,200 1,184,355	0 5,389,880 1,911,766 3,478,115 703,200 1,380,788
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal Total Adjacent Areas Total Demand in the Study An Demand that may be met by C Potential Colorado River Dem Agricultural Municipal and Industrial Energy	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Other Supplies         af/yr           Colorado River Demand Colorado River Demand af/yr         af/yr           Colorado River Demand af/yr         af/yr	0 1,395,971 0 1,395,971 703,200 62,070 390	0 1,415,414 0 1,415,414 703,200 77,940 450	0 1,417,564 0 1,417,564 703,200 80,020 520	0 3,769,270 2,180,480 417,683 685,827 730	0 3,887,875 2,105,884 1,781,991 0 1,065,969 930	0 3,799,235 1,820,074 1,979,161 0 1,263,033 942	0 17,031 10,422 6,609 0 6,609 0	0 17,761 7,985 9,776 0 9,776 0	0 18,702 5,802 12,900 0 12,900 0	0 77,610 72,084 5,526 0 5,526 0	0 81,710 72,091 9,619 0 9,619 0	0 77,290 72,086 5,204 0 5,204 0	0 31,430 13,798 17,632 0 17,632 0	0 32,900 13,804 19,096 0 19,096 0	0 31,480 13,804 17,676 0 17,676 0	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,675 0	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,955 0	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,955 0	0 5,336,922 2,276,784 3,060,138 1,120,883 779,339 1,120	0 5,481,270 2,199,764 3,281,506 703,200 1,184,355 1,380	0 5,389,880 1,911,766 3,478,115 703,200 1,380,788 1,462 1,380,788
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal Total Adjacent Areas Total Demand in the Study Arr Demand that may be met by C Potential Colorado River Dem Agricultural Municipal and Industrial Energy Minerals Colorado River Dem Colorado Rive	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Other Supplies         af/yr           Colorado River Demand         af/yr	0 1,395,971 0 1,395,971 703,200 62,070 390 0 0	0 1,415,414 0 1,415,414 703,200 77,940 450 0 70 50	0 1,417,564 0 1,417,564 703,200 80,020 520 0 70,550	0 3,769,270 2,180,480 417,683 685,827 730 39,520	0 3,887,875 2,105,884 1,781,991 0 1,065,969 930 54,666	0 3,799,235 1,820,074 1,979,161 0 1,263,033 942 55,352	0 17,031 10,422 6,609 0 6,609 0 0	0 17,761 7,985 9,776 0 9,776 0 0	0 18,702 5,802 12,900 0 12,900 0 0	0 77,610 72,084 5,526 0 5,526 0 0	0 81,710 72,091 9,619 0 9,619 0 0	0 77,290 72,086 5,204 0 5,204 0 0	0 31,430 13,798 17,632 0 17,632 0 0	0 32,900 13,804 19,096 0 0 0 0	0 31,480 13,804 17,676 0 17,676 0 0 0	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,675 0 0 0	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,955 0 0 0	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,955 0 0 0	0 5,336,922 2,276,784 3,060,138 1,120,883 779,339 1,120 39,520 39,520 50,520	0 5,481,270 2,199,764 3,281,506 703,200 1,184,355 1,380 54,666 50,666	0 5,389,880 1,911,766 3,478,115 703,200 1,380,788 1,462 55,352 55,352 55,352
Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Tribal Total Adjacent Areas Total Demand in the Study Are Demand that may be met by 0 Potential Colorado River Dem Agricultural Municipal and Industrial Energy Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           n         Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Demand (Diversion)         af/yr           Other Supplies         af/yr           Colorado River Demand         af/yr	0 1,395,971 0 1,335,971 703,200 62,070 390 0 78,245 FD 005	0 1,415,414 0 1,415,414 703,200 77,940 450 0 77,940 450 0 77,940	0 1,417,564 0 1,417,564 703,200 80,020 520 0 78,258 57,555	0 3,769,270 2,180,480 1,588,790 417,683 685,827 730 39,520 0	0 3,887,875 2,105,884 1,781,991 0 1,065,969 930 54,666 0 54,666 0	0 3,799,235 1,820,074 1,979,161 0 1,263,033 942 55,352 0 (50,02)	0 17,031 10,422 6,609 0 6,609 0 0 0 0 0	0 17,761 7,985 9,776 0 9,776 0 0 0	0 18,702 5,802 12,900 0 12,900 0 0 0	0 77,610 72,084 5,526 0 5,526 0 0 0	0 81,710 72,091 9,619 0 9,619 0 0 0	0 77,290 72,086 5,204 0 5,204 0 0 0 0	0 31,430 13,798 17,632 0 17,632 0 0 0 0	0 32,900 13,804 19,096 0 19,096 0 0 0	0 31,480 13,804 17,676 0 17,676 0 0 0	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,675 0 0 0 338	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,955 0 0 0 338 232	0 45,610 0 45,610 0 1,955 0 0 0 338 338	0 5,336,922 2,276,784 3,060,138 1,120,883 779,339 1,120 39,520 78,583 78,583	0 5,481,270 2,199,764 3,281,506 703,200 1,184,355 1,380 54,666 78,596 78,596 20,202	0 5,389,880 1,911,766 3,478,115 703,200 1,380,788 1,462 55,352 78,596 78,596 78,596 78,596

#### Source and Comments

1) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. All areas: no change from Current Projected

2) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. No change from Current Projected (note that this is applied to each of 3 different AMA's in Central Arizona, which combined with changes in acreage results in an average applied water rate that is slightly different from Current Projected). 3) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. No change from current projected.

4) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. All areas: gpcd reduced annually by 0.88%, with lower limit of 100 gpcd (note that this is applied to each of 3 different AMA's in Central Arizona, which combined with changes in population results in an average gpcd that changes at a slightly different rate than 0.44%; similarly in Mainstem it is applied to individual contractors, so changes in population of individual contractors results in a slightly different rate than 0.44%). There is an exception for Central Arizona and North Central and Navajo Nation in 2060 where gpcd was determined as a 22.5% reduction from 2015 levels.

5) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. SSI is a function of population

6) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. WRDC "low" energy use value used -- decreased energy water use values from Current Projected. Note that these are per capita, so energy use is also affected by population. There is an exception for Central Arizona where a 5.6% reduction from the Scenario A is realized in 2035 and a 10% reduction in 2060.

7) No change from Current Projected

8) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Mainstem: National Wildlife Refuges use full entitlement; Other areas: no change from Current Projected

9) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. No change from Current Projected

10) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. North Central: local supplies were calculated as the difference between the total demand and unmet demand; Central Yavapai Highlands and Upper San Pedro Study: based on information from Reclamation appraisal reports. Central A2: AZ used internal models to estimate their demands met by Other Supplies and CAP deliveries. The remaining or unmet demands are represented as Potential Colorado River Basin demands, where CAP deliveries are a portion of potential Colorado River Demands in the Central AZ planning area.

11) For planning areas other than Central AZ, all CR demand is municipal. For Central AZ, based on recent distribution of CAP water (20110510 Basin Study AZCAPBreakout.xlsx). Approach was to start with recent distribution, and then make the change in distribution the same as the change in overall demands. This was done for current projected only. The values for all categories but M&I were then applied to all other scenarios, with M&I used as the makeup term. The formulas also check to make sure CR demand isn't greater than total demand for each category - if so, M&I makes up the difference

#### APPENDIX C6—ARIZONA WATER DEMAND SCENARIO QUANTIFICATION TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM C-QUANTIFICATION OF WATER DEMAND SCENARIOS

Total Demand within Study Area under Enhanced Environment (D2) Scenario

		ARIZONA																					From Curren
	Planning Are		Mainstem		1 .	Central Arizona	1	North Cer	tral and Navaio	Nation	Cent	ral Yavapai High	lands	Upr	er San Pedro R	River	1	San Juan		1	STATE TOTAL	1	Source and Computed
Hydrologic Basin	Year	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	comments
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage acres	168,340	168,340	168,340	428,890	230,810	141,070	0	0	0	7,440	5,920	4,010	129	129	129	0	0	0	604,799	405,199	313,549	1)
Per-Acre	Water Delivery (Diversion) af/ac/y	r 6.51	6.51	6.51	3.19	3.01	2.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.91	2.91	2.92	2.33	2.33	2.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.11	4.46	4.87	2)
	Consumptive factor %	61%	61%	61%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	83%	76%	72%	
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	668,190	668,190	668,190	1,366,130	694,670	421,020	0	0	0	21,670	17,230	11,690	300	300	300	0	0	0	2,056,290	1,380,390	1,101,200	
Municipal and Industrial	Population	313,400	512,670	674,730	6,685,110	10,737,910	14,452,430	105,910	139,470	168,050	262,970	402,270	513,500	99,060	136,640	170,870	12,110	15,760	20,320	7,478,560	11,944,720	15,999,900	3)
Municipal and Industria	al Per Capita Use (Diversion) gpcd	261	220	182	209	177	162	121	101	100	148	124	100	179	150	120	123	111	86	207	175	160	4)
	Consumptive factor %	66%	68%	69%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%	
Municipal and Indust	rial Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	60,260	85,300	94,300	1,562,930	2,124,220	2,628,130	14,331	15,821	18,821	43,510	55,770	57,520	19,850	22,950	23,000	1,675	1,955	1,955	1,702,556	2,306,016	2,823,726	<b>5</b> -) <b>5</b> -)
Self Served Indust	rial Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	5,150	6,070	6,650	176,120	237,060	260,810	0	0	0	9,210	12,980	17,690	1,400	2,300	2,300	0	0	0	191,880	258,410	287,450	5a), 5b)
Faarmy	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	65,410	91,370	100,950	1,739,050	2,361,280	2,888,940	14,331	15,821	18,821	52,720	68,750	75,210	21,250	25,250	25,300	1,6/5	1,955	1,955	1,894,436	2,564,426	3,111,176	6)
Minerals	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	410	0	0/0	39 520	58 000	58 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82,070	107,080	132,090	7)
Fish Wildlife and Recreation	Demand (Consumptive) of/yr	79.456	79 469	79 469	0	0	0	320	320	320	0	0	0	10 800	10 800	10 800	338	338	338	90 914	90 927	90 927	8)
Tribal	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	551,917	555.417	555.417	534.600	724.920	722,400	19.255	76.468	128,543	0	0	0	0	0	0	38.041	54.836	70.865	1.143.813	1 411 641	1 477 225	9)
	zemana (consumptive) al/yr							,200	10,100		~	•	•	-	•	•		0.,000	,	1,1-3,013	1,711,071	1,477,223	-1
Total Hydrologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr	1,365,383	1,394,976	1,404,696	3,760,960	3,945,420	4,222,380	33,906	92,609	147,684	74,390	85,980	86,900	32,350	36,350	36,400	40,054	57,129	73,158	5,307,043	5,612,464	5,971,218	
Adjacent Areas																							
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage acres																						
Per-Acre	Water Delivery (Diversion) af/ac/y	r																					
	Consumptive factor %																						
	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																						
Municipal and Industrial	Demand (Consumptive) al/yr	4																					
Municipal and Industria	Population good																						
Wullicipal and Industria	Consumptive factor %																						
Municipal and Inc	dustrial Demand (Diversion) af/vr																						
Self Served Inc	dustrial Demand (Diversion) af/yr																						
Sen Served me	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																						
	Demand (Consumptive) af/yr																						
Energy	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	1																					
Minerals	Demand (Diversion) af/yr	1																					
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																						
Tribal	Demand (Diversion) af/yr																						
Total Adjacent Areas	Demand (Diversion) af/vr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
.,	,,,		-	-	_							-	-		-	-		-	-		-		
Total Demand in the Study Area	a af/yr	1,365,383	1,394,976	1,404,696	3,760,960	3,945,420	4,222,380	33,906	92,609	147,684	74,390	85,980	86,900	32,350	36,350	36,400	40,054	57,129	73,158	5,307,043	5,612,464	5,971,218	
Demand that may be met by Ot	ther Supplies af/yr	0	0	0	2,164,138	2,149,578	2,049,556	10,871	9,258	7,980	72,091	72,089	72,093	13,794	13,799	13,790	0	0	0	2,260,894	2,244,724	2,143,419	10)
Potential Colorado River Dema	nd af/yr	1,365,383	1,394,976	1,404,696	1,596,822	1,795,842	2,172,824	23,035	83,351	139,704	2,299	13,891	14,807	18,556	22,551	22,610	40,054	57,129	73,158	3,046,149	3,367,740	3,827,800	
Agricultural	Colorado River Demand af/yr	668,190	668,190	668,190	396,459	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,064,649	668,190	668,190	11)
Municipal and Industrial	Colorado River Demand af/yr	65,410	91,370	100,950	715,054	1,079,775	1,457,015	6,960	11,553	16,801	2,299	13,891	14,807	18,556	22,551	22,610	1,675	1,955	1,955	809,954	1,221,095	1,614,139	
Energy	Colorado River Demand af/yr	410	530	670	759	941	939	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,169	1,471	1,609	
Minerals	Colorado River Demand af/yr	0	0	0	39,520	55,323	55,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,520	55,323	55,200	
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Colorado River Demand af/yr	79,456	79,469	79,469	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	338	338	338	79,794	79,807	79,807	
Tribal	Colorado River Demand of /ur	FE1 017	EEE 417	EEE 417	445.020	650 903	650 670	16.075	71 709	122.002	0	0	0		0	0	20.044	E4 02C	70.965	1 051 062	1 241 054	1 400 000	

#### Source and Comments

1) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Central Arizona: faster land conversion (driven by population); Other areas: no change from Current Projected 2) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Central Arizona and Mainstem: 5% decrease relative to Current Projected (note that 5% is applied to each of 3 different AMA's in Central Arizona, which combined with changes in acreage results in an average difference from Current Projected that does not equal 5%). Other areas: 20% decrease from Current Projected.

3) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Annual population change is increased by 35% relative to current projected.

4) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. All areas: gpcd reduced annually by 0.88%, with lower limit of 100 gpcd (note that this is applied to each of 3 different AMA's in Central Arizona, which combined with changes in population results in an average gpcd that changes at a slightly different rate than 0.44%; similarly in Mainstem it is applied to individual contractors, so changes in population of individual contractors results in a slightly different rate than 0.44%)

5) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. SSI is a function of population

6) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. WRDC "low" energy use value used -- decreased energy water use values from Current Projected. Note that these are per capita, so energy use is also affected by population

7) No change from Current Projected

8) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Mainstem: National Wildlife Refuges use full entitlement; Other areas: no change from Current Projected

9) Personal communication, ADWR, Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. Mainstem and Central Arizona: no change from Current Project; Personal communication, Navajo Nation, Apr 16, 2012. North Central and Navajo Nation and San Juan: Nation provided demand schedules

10) Personal communication, ADWR Dec 3, 2011, and Feb 22, 2012. North Central: local supplies were calculated as the difference between the total demand and unmet demand; Central Yavapai Highlands and Upper San Pedro Study: based on information from Reclamation appraisal reports. Central A2: AZ used internal models to estimate their demands met by Other Supplies and CAP deliveries. The remaining or unmet demands are represented as Potential Colorado River Basin demands, where CAP deliveries are a portion of potential Colorado River Demands in the Central AZ planning area.

11) For planning areas other than Central AZ, all CR demand is municipal. For Central AZ, based on recent distribution of CAP water (20110510 Basin Study AZCAPBreakout.xlsx). Approach was to start with recent distribution, and then make the change in distribution the same as the change in overall demands. This was done for current projected only. The values for all categories but M&I were then applied to all other scenarios, with M&I used as the makeup term. The formulas also check to make sure CR demand isn't greater than total demand for each category - if so, M&I makes up the difference

# 4.0 References

- Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR). 2005. Upper San Pedro Basin, Active Management Area Review Report.
- Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR). 2010a. Arizona Water Atlas.
- Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR). 2010b. Draft Demand and Supply Assessment, Tucson Active Management Area.
- Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR). 2010c. Draft Demand and Supply Assessment, Phoenix Active Management Area.
- Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR). 2011a. Draft Arizona Demand Narrative, August.
- Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR). 2011b. Draft Demand and Supply Assessment, Pinal Active Management Area.
- Reclamation. 1964–2002. Compilation of Records in Accordance with Article V of the Decree of the Supreme Court of the United States in Arizona v. California Et. Al., Dated March 9, 1964.
- Reclamation. 1996–2008. Lower Colorado River Accounting System Evapotranspiration and Evaporation Calculations.
- Reclamation. 2000. Colorado River Interim Surplus Criteria Final Environmental Impacts Statement.
- Reclamation. 2003–2009. Colorado River Accounting and Water Use Report, Arizona, California, and Nevada.
- Reclamation. 2006. North Central Water Supply Study, Report of Findings.
- Reclamation. 2007 and 2010. 5 year reports. *Provisional Upper Colorado River Basin Consumptive Uses and Losses Report 2001–2008.* (http://www.usbr.gov/uc/library/envdocs/reports/crs/crsul.html)
- Reclamation. 2007a. Appraisal Report, Augmentation Alternatives for the Sierra Vista Subwatershed, Arizona.
- Reclamation. 2007b. Colorado River Interim Guidelines for Lower Basin Shortages and Coordinated Operations for Lake Powell and Lake Mead Final Environmental Impact Statement. Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of the Interior, 40 pp.
- Reclamation. 2009. Central Yavapai Highlands Water Resources Management Study, Appraisal Level Phase I.
- Reclamation. 2012. Colorado River Basin Water Supply and Demand Study. *Technical Report D – System Reliability Metrics.*

- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2007. *Water Management of the Regional Aquifer in the Sierra Vista Subwatershed, Arizona* – 2009 Report to Congress, prepared in response to Public 108-136, Section 321.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2009. *Water Management of the Regional Aquifer in the Sierra Vista Subwatershed, Arizona* – 2009 Report to Congress, prepared in response to Public 108-136, Section 321.
- Water Resources Development Commission, Arizona. 2011. Water Supply and Demand Working Group Report.