Appendix C3 New Mexico Water Demand Scenario Quantification

Appendix C3—New Mexico Water Demand Scenario Quantification

1.0 Introduction

This appendix summarizes the data sources used in scenario quantification for Colorado River demand¹ for the state of New Mexico and presents the results of quantification. As presented in figure C3-1, New Mexico is divided into a number of planning areas that align with Colorado River Basin (Basin) tributaries (San Juan, Northwest [Little Colorado tributaries], and Southwest [Gila tributaries]), and Adjacent Areas that are served by Colorado River water. Data collection and development were completed at the planning area level.

The following sections present background information that summarizes the state's planning areas, as well as data sources used to quantify demand scenarios by category. Following the background section, results of demand scenario quantification are presented. The results section is broken out into a New Mexico Study Area summary, followed by Colorado River demand by geography and finally by category.

2.0 Background

The New Mexico Office of the State Engineer and the New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission (NMISC) are responsible for regional and state-level water resource planning in New Mexico. As part of New Mexico's state water planning process, regional plans were developed by a number of regional planning entities. The NMISC coordinated these efforts, and once they were final, adopted the resulting regional plans.

The NMISC also coordinated the efforts to provide information for scenario quantification. These efforts largely relied on information previously generated through regional plans and demographic studies. However, new assumptions and/or data development were required where the assumptions of the Colorado River Basin Water Supply and Demand Study (Study) required information not developed as part of the regional planning effort.

2.1 Data Sources for Quantification

This section discusses data sources for demand quantification by use category. Some category projections were based on relevant parameter data, while other category projections were developed directly as water demand. Sources include state, regional, and national agency reports.

¹ Potential Colorado River demand as computed by Study Area demand minus other supplies.

FIGURE C3-1

Colorado River Hydrologic Basin and Export Service Areas in New Mexico



- Agricultural Demand: Irrigated acreage, agricultural applied water use, and agricultural demand estimates were derived from the *San Juan Regional Water Plan* (San Juan Water Commission, 2003), the *Southwest New Mexico Regional Water Plan* (Daniel B. Stephens & Associates [DBSA], 2005), *Taos Regional Water Plan* (DBSA, 2008), *Middle Rio Grande Water Supply Study* (S.S. Papadopoulos and Associates, 2000), and additional information provided by the NMISC.
- **Municipal and Industrial (M&I):** Population and per capita water use values for the San Juan, Southwest, and Taos planning areas were derived from the same Regional Plans as agricultural parameters, and additional information provided by NMISC. Additional information for Adjacent Areas was derived based on the City of Albuquerque's reported efficiency and Albuquerque population estimates prepared by the Bureau of Business and Economic Research.
- **Energy:** Energy demands were derived from personal communication with NMISC for the San Juan planning area. Some additional energy use in the Taos and Southwest planning areas was derived from the regional plans.
- **Minerals:** Minerals demands were derived from personal communication with NMISC for the San Juan planning area. Some additional energy use in the Taos and Southwest planning areas was derived from the regional plans.
- Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation: Water demands for fish, wildlife, and recreation were derived from contracted amounts based on the San Juan Chama contract.
- **Tribal:** Tribal demands were derived from personal communication with NMISC, input from the Jicarilla Apache Nation and Navajo Nation, and San Juan Chama contract amounts.

3.0 Results of Water Demand Scenario Quantification²

This section summarizes New Mexico's Colorado River water demand trends by category across the scenarios. The purpose of this section is to describe changes in demands, both temporally and geographically, parameters that influence changes in demands, and how the parameters and demands differ among scenarios.

Demands were first developed for areas that may be potentially served by Colorado River water ("Study Area" demands); independent of the source of supply. However, for areas outside of the hydrologic basin, a portion of the Study Area demand is satisfied from other supplies, such as the Rio Grande water and local groundwater. The communities within the Basin, including the Southwest and Northwest planning areas, also rely on non-tributary groundwater for a portion of their supply. To develop estimates of the Colorado River demand, the Study Area demand was reduced by estimates of available supply from other sources. This appendix focuses on Colorado River demands, but includes discussion of the Study Area parameters that led to these demands.

² By definition, scenarios representing future, projected, estimated, or potential demands are uncertain and are only one possible realization of unknown events. All scenarios represent potential Colorado River Water demand. However, for readability purposes, potential Colorado River water demand will also be varyingly referred to as Colorado River demand, or in some cases, just demand.

Sections 3.1 through 3.3 summarize the results of demand scenario quantification, with section 3.1 presenting Study Area demand and Colorado River water demand, section 3.2 presenting Colorado River demand for the state and individual planning areas across the six scenarios, and section 3.3 presenting Colorado River water demand by category across the six scenarios. Parameters and demands for all categories and all scenarios, along with references for data sources, are detailed in tables C3-2 to C3-7 in section 3.4.

3.1 Summary Results of Scenario Quantification

Values were developed for Study Area parameters to quantify Study Area demand for each of the scenarios. Colorado River demand was calculated as Study Area demand minus other supplies. Table C3-1 presents summary results for the demand scenarios considered in the Study. The table presents agricultural and M&I demand parameters for the entire Study Area that distinguish the scenarios, the resulting Study Area demands, and finally the Colorado River demands by category. Because other supplies may vary among scenarios, trends observed in the parameters and Study Area demands may not be reflected identically in Colorado River demand trends.

New Mexico estimates that about 1.5 million people will be in New Mexico's Study Area by 2015. This number is expected to increase to about 2 to 3 million by 2060. The greatest population growth is associated with the Rapid Growth (C1 and C2) and Enhanced Environment (D2) scenarios. The Slow Growth (B) scenario has the lowest population growth of the scenarios (2 million by 2060) but still represents a growth of about 37 percent over 2015 estimates.

The growing municipal population, however, will continue to be more efficient in its per capita water use than today. Per capita water use, based solely on passive or existing conservation targets, is expected to be 11 to 24 percent less in 2060 than in 2015. While usage rates vary across New Mexico's planning areas, per capita reductions are assumed to be consistent across the planning areas.

Irrigated acreage is projected to decrease slightly (2 percent or 3,000 acres) through 2060 under all scenarios. Water delivery per acre does not change in the Current Projected (A), Rapid Growth (C1), and Enhanced Environment (D1) scenarios; increases slightly (4 percent) in the Slow Growth (B) scenario; and decreases by about 15 percent in the Rapid Growth (C2), and Enhanced Environment (D2) scenarios.

Study Area demand for energy is projected to increase slightly under all scenarios due to the growing need for energy sources (coal and solar). The greatest increases in Study Area demand for energy are anticipated in the San Juan planning area, with an increase of about 1,500 acre-feet per year (afy) (4 percent).

Study Area demand for minerals is projected to remain constant through time and across all scenarios.

TABLE C3-1

Summary Results of New Mexico Water Demand Scenario Quantification by 2060

Key S	tudy Area	Demand So	enario Par	ameters			
	0045 ¹		20	60 Scenari	o Paramet	ers	
	2015	Α	В	C1	C2	D1	D2
Population (millions)	1.5	2.6	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.6	3.0
Change in per capita water usage (%), from 2015		-11%	-11%	-11%	-15%	-24%	-22%
Irrigated acreage (millions of acres)	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14
Change in per acre water delivery (%), from 2015		+0%	+4%	+0%	-15%	+0%	-15%
St	udy Area D	emand (the	ousand acr	e-ft)			
	20451		2	060 Scena	rio Demano	ds	
	2015	А	В	C1	C2	D1	D2
Ag demand	723	718	748	718	592	718	592
M&I demand	252	414	322	477	453	346	407
Energy demand	40.7	42.2	42.2	42.2	42.2	38.0	42.2
Minerals demand	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2
FWR demand	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Tribal demand	303	367	367	529	529	367	529
Total Study Area Demand ²	1,330	1,551	1,490	1,777	1,627	1,480	1,581
Cold	orado Rive	r Demand (thousand a	icre-ft)			
	0045 ¹		2	060 Scena	rio Demano	ds	
	2015	А	В	C1	C2	D1	D2
Ag demand	111	111	111	111	106	111	106
M&I demand	141	230	169	293	149	163	102
Energy demand	40.0	41.5	41.5	41.5	41.5	37.4	41.5
Minerals demand	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FWR demand	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Tribal demand	303	367	367	529	529	367	529
Total Colorado River Demand ²	600	754	693	979	831	683	785

1. If range across scenarios is less than 10%, Current Projected (A) is presented. Otherwise, range (min - max) is presented.

2. Excludes potential losses (reservoir evaporation, phreatophytes, and/or operational inefficiencies) that may be charged to state.

Study Area demand for tribal use is projected to increase across all scenarios between about 20 and 75 percent. The larger increase occurs in the Rapid Growth (C1 and C2) and Enhanced Environment (D2) scenarios.

Figure C3-2 presents demands across the scenarios in three panels as follows: 1) Study Area demand with other supplies and Colorado River demand identified, 2) Colorado River demand, and 3) change in Colorado River demand by demand category.

From panel one it can be seen that Study Area demand increases from about 1.3 million acre-feet (maf) in 2015 to between 1.5 and 1.8 maf in 2060. The range in Study Area demand growth across scenarios in 2060, however, is projected to be as low as 149 thousand acre-feet (kaf) or as high as 448 kaf. About half of the Study Area demand is expected to be met by other supplies.

Panel two provides a view of the range across scenarios of Colorado River demand. This demand increases from about 600 kaf in 2015 to between 683 and 980 kaf in 2060 (or 14 to 63 percent), depending on the scenario. This difference results in a Colorado River demand range of about 298 kaf across the scenarios in 2060, or about 40 percent.

Panel three shows how specific categories affect the projected change in Colorado River demand by scenario. Growth in tribal demand across all scenarios results in the greatest increase in demand (between 41 and 100 percent), followed closely by M&I demand (between 3 and 60 percent).

Figure C3-3 ties historical water use to the range of Colorado River demand in the quantified scenarios. The 298 kaf range across scenarios in 2060 is easily discernible, with a relatively even spread over the range across the scenarios. In addition, it appears that the quantified scenarios track well with the peaks in historical uses that likely represent the least supply-limited conditions or actual demand.

FIGURE C3-2 Study Area, Colorado River, and Change in Colorado River Demand









FIGURE C3-3

¹Reservoir evaporation on the order of 70 kaf is not included in this plot.

3.2 Colorado River Water Demand by Geography

Colorado River water demand for areas served by the Colorado River is presented in figures C3-4 and C3-5. These figures show two geographic levels: Study Area in New Mexico, and individual planning areas. Demands at each geographic level are shown across the scenarios. The columns to the right show the Colorado River demand at a point in time (2015, 2035, or 2060) by relative contribution of the categories.

Colorado River demand³ in New Mexico is primarily in the San Juan and Adjacent Areas planning areas. The San Juan planning area has the greatest magnitude of Colorado River demand, with tribal demands making up the majority of those demands, along with some energy and agricultural demands. The primary demand category in the Adjacent Areas planning is M&I, with a small amount of agricultural demand.

Figure C3-6 shows the change in Colorado River demand by category from 2015 across the scenarios. Change in Colorado River demand is roughly similar in magnitude on both the San Juan and Adjacent Areas planning areas, with tribal demand making up the vast majority of change in San Juan, and M&I making up all of the change in Adjacent Areas.

³Potential Colorado River demand is based on changes in parameters such as population and for the purpose of the Study is not limited by apportionment.

FIGURE C3-4 Colorado River Demand in New Mexico









FIGURE C3-6 Change in Colorado River Demand in New Mexico from 2015 by Category

3.3 Colorado River Demand by Category

3.3.1 Agricultural

Agricultural water demand is driven by irrigated acreage and water delivery per acre. Water delivery per acre is the amount of water diverted per irrigated acre. Components of this use include transmission and delivery losses (surface evaporation, riparian demand, and seepage), and on-farm losses that are made up of evaporation, crop irrigation requirements, and tail water (return). Each of these factors will vary by location (precipitation, growing season, etc.), irrigation method, and crop type.

Figure C3-7 presents the following by scenario in 2015, 2035, and 2060:

- Change in agricultural demand for Colorado River water
- Change in agricultural demand for Colorado River water by planning area
- Agricultural demand as a portion of Colorado River water demand (right hand side of graph)

As can be seen from figure C3-7, agricultural water demand⁴ makes up about 19 percent of Colorado River demand in New Mexico in 2015, and drops to between 11 and 16 percent of demand in 2060. This drop results from both a decrease in agricultural water demand and an increase in other categories of demand. The majority of Colorado River demand for agriculture is located in the San Juan planning area.

In the San Juan planning area, Colorado River demand for agriculture decreases in the Rapid Growth (C2) and Enhanced Environment (D2) scenarios, by about 4.5 kaf (5 percent of Colorado River demand). The decrease is due entirely to reduced water delivery per acre; irrigated acreage is forecast to remain constant in the San Juan planning area across all scenarios. Colorado River demand for agriculture in all other planning areas is forecast to remain constant through time across all scenarios.

⁴ Tribal demand currently includes a significant quantity of agriculture demand that is included in the tribal category and not represented here. Agricultural use in the tribal category continues to grow as settlements are implemented.





Change in Colorado River Demand in New Mexico from 2015 for Agriculture

3.3.2 Municipal and Industrial

M&I water demand can be estimated from population and M&I per capita water use, with the addition of self-served industrial (SSI) demand. M&I per capita water use is a measure of the amount of water produced or diverted per person in a given municipality. Because this measure examines all water produced by a given municipality, it often includes industrial, commercial, and institutional demand as well as residential demand. A number of factors may influence the M&I per capita water use of a given community, including the amount of industrial demand, climate, number of institutional facilities, and number of visitors.

SSI are industries located in a given area that have their own water supply systems and are therefore not directly related to local measures of population and M&I per capita water use.

Figure C3-8 presents the following by scenario in 2015, 2035, and 2060:

- Change in M&I demand for Colorado River water in the Study Area
- Change in M&I demand for Colorado River water in individual planning areas
- M&I demand as a portion of Colorado River water demand (right hand side of graph)

As can be seen from figure C3-8, M&I water demand is the second-largest component of Colorado River demand, changing from about 24 percent in 2015 to between 13 and 31 percent of Colorado River demand in 2060, depending on which scenario is considered.

Colorado River demand for M&I use increases over time from 2015 to 2060 in the Current Projected (A), Slow Growth (B), Rapid Growth (C1), and Enhanced Environment (D1) scenarios. This increase is primarily due to population increase, as M&I per capita water use decreases over time across all scenarios and self-served industrial demand nominally increases. Decrease in the M&I demand in the Rapid Growth (C2) and Enhanced Environment (D2) scenarios is due to decrease in per capita water use.

In examining the planning areas, nearly all of the increase in M&I demand for Colorado River water from 2015 to 2060 over time is due to population increase in the Adjacent Areas across all scenarios. The remaining increase in demand is primarily from M&I demand in the San Juan planning area.

Increases in population are somewhat tempered by decreases in M&I per capita water use. Per capita water use decreases in all scenarios with reductions ranging from 11 to 24 percent by 2060.

FIGURE C3-8



Change in Colorado River Demand in New Mexico from 2015 for M&I

3.3.3 Energy

Water demand for energy can be estimated through known plans for new power plants or through applying a per capita energy water use factor. Power facilities often serve areas remote from their locations and therefore potentially represent exports or imports of water from the Study Area to meet these distributed needs.

Figure C3-9 presents the following by scenario in 2015, 2035, and 2060:

- Change in energy demand for Colorado River water
- Change in energy demand for Colorado River water in individual planning areas
- Energy demand as a portion of Colorado River water demand (right hand side of graph)

As can be seen from figure C3-9, energy water demand is a relatively small fraction of Colorado River demand, decreasing from about 7 percent of in 2015 to between 4 and 6 percent of demand in 2060, depending on which scenario is considered. The decreasing percentage is due to demands in other categories increasing at a faster rate than energy demands increase.

Energy demand for Colorado River water increases over time from 2015 to 2060 across all scenarios, with notable increases for the Current Projected (A) and Rapid Growth (C1) scenarios.

Energy demands are shown only in the San Juan planning areas. Consistent increases occur in the San Juan planning area across all scenarios, with an increase of 1.5 kaf to a total of 41.5 kaf.

Change in Colorado River Demand in New Mexico, from 2015 Energy 2.0 Thousand Acre-Feet per Year (KAF) 1.5 1.0 5 - 6% of Colorado River demand* % of Colorado River demand* 0.5 4 - 6% of Colorado River demand* 0.0 2015 2035 2060 Six columns per time San Juan period represent six 2.0 scenarios. From left to 1.5 right: A, B, C1, C2, D1, D2 ¥ 1.0 *Percentages shown 0.5 represent the range 0.0 across scenarios 2015 2035 2060 Northwest 2.0 1.5 ¥^{1.0} N/A 0.5 0.0 2015 2035 2060 **Adjacent Areas** 2.0 1.5 ¥ 1.0 N/A 0.5 Southwest 0.0 2.0 2015 2035 2060 1.5 ¥ 1.0 N/A 0.5 0.0 2015 2035 2060 2015 2035 2060

FIGURE C3-9

Change in Colorado River Demand in New Mexico from 2015 for Energy

3.3.4 Minerals Extraction

Although there is some demand for minerals in the Southwest (about 900 afy in Current Projected [A] scenario) and the Adjacent Areas (about 5,300 afy in Current Projected [A] scenario), these demands are met by other supplies. There is no reported Colorado River demand for minerals extraction under the scenarios analyzed for the Study.

3.3.5 Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation

There are no reported consumptive fish, wildlife, and recreation demands on Colorado River water in New Mexico.

3.3.6 Tribal

Tribal water demands were provided by the Jicarilla Apache Nation and the Navajo Nation in cooperation with the State of New Mexico. The projected Navajo Nation demands were provided by the Navajo Nation Department of Water Resources and modified to fit the storyline narratives regarding tribal use under each scenario.

Figure C3-10 presents the following by scenario in 2015, 2035, and 2060:

- Change in tribal demand for Colorado River water
- Change in tribal demand for Colorado River water in individual planning area
- Tribal demand as a portion of Colorado River demand (right hand side of graph)

As can be seen from figure C3-10, tribal water demand is the largest component of Colorado River demand in New Mexico, decreasing from about 50 percent in 2015 to between about 49 and 67 percent of Colorado River demand in 2060, depending on which scenario is considered. The decreasing percentage is due to demands in other categories increasing at a faster rate than tribal demands increase.

Colorado River tribal demand increases over time from 2015 to 2060 across all scenarios. These increases are primarily due to development of demands under water rights settlements. Increases occur mostly in the San Juan planning area, but there is also some increase in the Northwest planning area. The rate of increase is similar across all scenarios.

For additional information on tribal water demands, see appendix C9.

3.4 Summary Tables of Parameters and Demands by Category

Tables C3-2 to C3-7 present the specific parameter data collected by planning area. Each table is a complete set of data for a given scenario. These data were used to develop Study Area demands and subsequently Colorado River demands once other supplies were considered. These tables provide the specific information used in the creation of the summary and category plots previously discussed and provide reference information for the data provided.

FIGURE C3-10





TABLE C3-2 Total Demand within Study Area under Current Projected (A) Scenario

	Plann	ing Area		San Juan			Southwest			Northwest		4	djacent Are	as		STATE TOTA	ι.	Source and
Hydrologic Basin		Year	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	comments
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage	acres	34,300	34,300	34,300	16,859	15,492	13,954	0	0	0				51,159	49,792	48,254	1)
Per-Acre V	Vater Delivery (Diversion)	af/ac/yr	5.08	5.08	5.08	5.89	5.89	5.89	0.00	0.00	0.00				5.34	5.33	5.31	1b)
	Consumptive factor	%	51%	51%	51%	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%				45%	45%	45%	2), 2b)
	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	88,805	88,805	88,805	33,167	30,478	27,450	0	0	0				121,972	119,283	116,255	3), 3b)
Municipal and Industrial	Population		186,300	214, 332	266,577	10,149	10,126	9,688	0	0	0				196,449	224,458	276,265	4), 4b)
Municipal and Industrial F	Per Capita Use (Diversion)	gpcd	200	181	146	193	192	193	0	0	0				200	181	148	5)
	Consumptive factor	%	44%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%				45%	50%	50%	6)
Municipal and Industria	al Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	18,450	21,700	21,800	1,096	1,087	1,047	0	0	0				19,546	22,787	22,846	7), 7b)
Self Served Industria	al Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0				100	100	100	8)
	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	18,550	21,800	21,900	1,096	1,087	1,047	0	0	0				19,646	22,887	22,946	1
Energy	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	40,000	41,500	41,500	640	640	640	0	0	0				40,640	42,140	42,140	9), 9b)
Minerals	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	0	0	0	933	933	933	0	0	0				933	933	933	10), 10b)
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	11)
Tribal	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	287,615	338,730	340,595	0	0	0	4,350	13,500	15,100				291,965	352,230	355,695	12), 12b)
Total Hydrologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	434,970	490,835	492,800	35,836	33,138	30,070	4,350	13,500	15,100	0	0	0	475,156	537,473	537,970	
																		1
Adjacent Areas																		
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage	acres										93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	13)
Per-Acre V	Vater Delivery (Diversion)	af/ac/yr										6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45	14)
	Consumptive factor	%										33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	
	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										601,391	601,391	601,391	601,391	601,391	601,391	
	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr										195,932	195,932	195,932	195,932	195,932	195,932	15)
Municipal and Industrial	Population											1,277,435	1,802,403	2,326,427	1,277,435	1,802,403	2,326,427	16)
Municipal and Industrial F	Per Capita Use (Diversion)	gpcd										163	150	150	163	150	150	17)
	Consumptive factor	%										40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	18)
Municipal and Indu	strial Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										232,539	302,863	390,917	232,539	302,863	390,917	
Self Served Indu	strial Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										17	23	27	17	23	27	19)
	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										232,556	302,886	390,944	232,556	302,886	390,944	
	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr										93,022	121,154	156,377	93,022	121,154	156,377	
Energy	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										17	23	29	17	23	29	20)
Minerals	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										5,252	5,255	5,258	5,252	5,255	5,258	21)
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	22)
Tribal	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	23)
Total Adjacent Areas	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	855,115	925,455	1,013,521	855,115	925,455	1,013,521	
Total Demand in the Study Area		af/yr	434,970	490,835	492,800	35,836	33,138	30,070	4,350	13,500	15,100	855,115	925,455	1,013,521	1,330,270	1,462,928	1,551,491	24)
Demand that may be met by Othe	er Supplies	af/yr	0	0	0	35,836	33,138	30,070	0	0	0	694,415	726,755	767,321	730,250	759,893	797,391	
																		1
Potential Colorado River Demand		af/yr	434,970	490,835	492,800	0	0	0	4,350	13,500	15,100	160,700	198,700	246,200	600,020	703,035	754,100	25), 12b)
Agricultural	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	88,805	88,805	88,805	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,000	22,000	22,000	110,805	110,805	110,805	26)
Municipal and Industrial	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	18,550	21,800	21,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	122,800	160,800	208,300	141,350	182,600	230,200	
Energy	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	40,000	41,500	41,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40,000	41,500	41,500	
Minerals	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	
Tribal	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	287,615	338,730	340,595	0	0	0	4,350	13,500	15,100	10,900	10,900	10,900	302,865	363,130	366,595	
																		*

Source and Comments

1) San Juan: New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011.

Jan Juan: New Mexico Interstate stream Commission, personal communication, 2011.
 Jb) Southwest New Mexico Regional Water Plan, 2005, Tables 6-8 through 6-12. Total diversion / total acres (for Catron, Grant, and 90% of Hidalgo Counties; Luna County excluded). Average of 1990, 1995, 2000.
 San Juan Regional Water Plan, 2003, aconsumptive factor of 51% based on agricultural diversion as twice the depletion plus 10% for incidental losses.
 Southwest New Mexico Regional Water Plan, 2005, Tables 6-8 through 6-12. Weighted average (based on acreage for Catron, Grant, and 90% of Hidalgo Counties; Luna County excluded) of depletion/diversion, average of 1990, 1995, 2000.

3) San Juan: New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011. Current and forecast = 90,500 afy, minus 4,000 afy stockpond evaporation and use.

3b) Southwest New Mexico Regional Water Plan, 2005. Based on Appendix E5 (assume Catron, Grant, and 90% of Hidalgo Counties), average of low and high scenarios (diversion), multiplied by consumptive factor. Extrapolate to 2060.

4) San Juan: San Juan Regional Water Plan, 2003.

4b) Southwest New Mexico Regional Water Plan, 2005, Appendix E5; Sum of Catron and Hidalgo Counties. Average of low and high estimates; extrapolated to 2060

5) San Juan Regional Water Plan, 2003 - weighted average of year 2000 calculated M&I efficiency by planning area for year 2011. 2015 based on 2011 to 2035 interpolation. New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011 provided 2035 and 2060 values.

6) San Juan: Consumptive factor calculated from demand and M&I water use efficiency for 2015 (within reported range). This value moves to 50% by 2035 as per NMISC, 2011.

7) San Juan: New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011; 100 afy moved to reservoir evaporation 7b) Southwest New Mexico Regional Water Plan, 2005. Sum of Public (Tbl 6-15), Commercial, Industrial, and Domestic (Appendix E5) (Catron and Hidalgo Counties only), multiplied by consumptive use factor to get consumptive

demand. Extrapolated for 2060

8) San Juan: New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011.

9) San Juan: New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011.
 9b) Southwest New Mexico Regional Water Plan, 2005, Appendix E5, Grant, Hidalgo, and Catron Counties. Average of low and high scenario. Assume 2060 remains same as 2020-2040.

10) San Juan: New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011.

10b) Southwest New Mexico Regional Water Plan, 2005, Appendix E5 (Hidalgo and Catron Counties only). Average of low and high scenario. Assume 2060 remains same as 2020-2040.

11) San Juan: New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011: 100 afy moved to reservoir evaporati

San Juan: New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011; 1,000 moved to reservoir evaporation.
 San Juan: New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011; 1,000 moved to reservoir evaporation.
 Northwest: Build-out of Navajo-Gallup pipeline.

13) 63,551 from Middle Rio Grande Regional Water Supply Study, S.S. Papadopulos & Associates, 2000. + 29,750 from Taos Regional Water Plan (SSPA, 2008)

14) New Water Use by Categories 2005, Pg. 92. Applied water rate 7.8 estimated from Middle Rio Grande Conservancy District total diversion 39,403 divided by 5,004 acres, and applied to 63,551 acres. 3.55 afy/acre calculated for Taos based on 105,693 afy divided by 29,750 acres (Taos Regional Water Plan). Weighted average calculated 15) Calculated based on consumptive water use of 2.10 af/ac/yr from New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, personal communication, 2011.

Contracted value of control contro control control control control control control control contro

Taos Regional Plan Tbl 6-25 (SSPA, 2008) interpolated for 2015/2035 and extrapolated past 2050 for 2060
 Taos Regional Plan Tbl 6-26 (SSPA, 2008) interpolated for 2015/2035 and extrapolated past 2050 for 2060

21) Taos Regional Plan Tbl 6-27 (SSPA, 2008) interpolated for 2015/2035 and extrapolated past 2050 for 2060 22) Contracted amount from San Juan Chama contracts.

Contracted amount from San Juan Chama contracts for 2015.

24) Galculated from the sum of Hydrologic Basin (Consumptive) Demand and Adjacent Areas (Diversion) Demand.
 25) Adjacent areas: Potential Colorado River Demand is San Juan Chama Contract plus unsustainable portion of supply from Middle Rio Grande Regional Water Plan, 2004.

26) For Adjacent Area, 25,000 afy of Colorado River Demand is agricultural, per contracts. Assume full tribal is met by Colorado River. Remaining Colorado River demand is all M&I

999 From States 999 Calculated 999 From State Plans 999 From Study Team

TABLE C3-3 Total Demand within Study Area under Slow Growth (B) Scenario

		1																	From Current Projected Data Sheet
		N		0											1				Input Parameter
	Planning	Area		- San Juan			Southwest			Northwest		А	diacent Area	as		STATE TOTA	L	Source and	Computed
Hydrologic Basin	Ye	ar	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	comments	
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage ac	res	34,300	34,300	34,300	16,859	15,492	13,954	0	0	0				51,159	49,792	48,254	1)	-
Per-Acre	Water Delivery (Diversion) af/a	c/yr	5.08	5.08	5.08	5.89	5.89	5.89	0.00	0.00	0.00				5.34	5.33	5.31	2)	
	Consumptive factor	6	51%	51%	51%	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%				45%	45%	45%		
	Demand (Consumptive) af	/yr	88,805	88,805	88,805	33,167	30,478	27,450	0	0	0				121,972	119,283	116,255		
Municipal and Industrial	Population		186,300	188,612	199,933	10,149	8,911	7,266	0	0	0				196,449	197,523	207,199	3)	
Municipal and Industrial	Per Capita Use (Diversion) gp	cd	200	181	146	193	192	193	0	0	0				200	181	148	4)	
	Consumptive factor	6	44%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%				45%	50%	50%		
Municipal and Industri	ial Demand (Consumptive) af	/yr	18,450	19,096	16,350	1,096	957	785	0	0	0				19,546	20,053	17,135		
Self Served Industri	ial Demand (Consumptive) af	/yr	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0				100	100	100	5)	
	Demand (Consumptive) af	/vr	18.550	19.196	16.450	1.096	957	785	0	0	0				19.646	20.153	17.235		
Energy	Demand (Consumptive) af	/vr	40,000	41,500	41,500	640	640	640	0	0	0				40.640	42.140	42.140	6)	
Minerals	Demand (Consumptive) af	/yr	0	0	0	933	933	933	0	0	0				933	933	933	7)	
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Consumptive) af	/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	8)	
Tribal	Demand (Consumptive) af	/yr	287,615	338,730	340,595	0	0	0	4,350	13,500	15,100				291.965	352.230	355.695	9)	
	· ···· (······························															,		ľ	
Total Hydrologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive) af	/vr	434.970	488.231	487.350	35.836	33.007	29.808	4.350	13.500	15.100	0	0	0	475.156	534.738	532.258		
,		<i>`</i>							,										
Adjacent Areas			_					_		_								1	
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage ac	res										93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	10)	
Per-Acre	Water Delivery (Diversion) af/a	c/yr										6.45	6.59	6.77	6.45	6.59	6.77	11)	
	Consumptive factor 9	6										33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%		
	Demand (Diversion) af	/yr										601,391	614,742	631,460	601,391	614,742	631,460		
	Demand (Consumptive) af	/yr										195,932	200,282	205,729	195,932	200,282	205,729		
Municipal and Industrial	Population	ć –										1,277,435	1,562,000	1,814,000	1,277,435	1,562,000	1,814,000	12)	
Municipal and Industrial	Per Capita Use (Diversion) gp	cd										163	150	150	163	150	150	13)	
	Consumptive factor	6										40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	- /	
Municipal and Indu	ustrial Demand (Diversion) af	/vr										232 539	262 468	304 812	232 539	262 468	304 812		
Self Served Indi	ustrial Demand (Diversion) af	/vr										17	23	27	17	23	27	14)	
	Demand (Diversion) af	/vr										232 556	262 491	304 839	232 556	262 491	304 839	,	
	Demand (Consumptive) of	lyr l										03 022	104 006	121 036	02 022	10/ 006	121 026		
nergy	Demand (Diversion) af	/vr										17	23	29	17	23	29	15)	
Ainerals	Demand (Diversion) af	/vr										5 252	5 255	5 258	5 252	5 255	5 258	16)	
ich Wildlife and Pecception	Demand (Diversion) of	/yr										5,000	5,200	5,200	5,232	5,200	5,230	17)	
Trihal	Demand (Diversion) of	/yr										10 000	10 000	10 000	10 000	10 000	10 000	18)	
IIIDai	Demanu (Diversion) di,	y I										10,900	10,900	10,900	10,500	10,500	10,500	10)	
Total Adjacent Areas	Demand (Diversion) of	hur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	955 11F	808 /11	057 /84	955 115	808 /111	057 /84		
iotal Aujatent Areas	Demand (Diversion) at,	yı	U	U	U	0	U	U	0	U	U	000,110	898,411	957,460	855,115	898,411	957,460		
Total Demand in the Study Area	əf	/vr	434.970	488,231	487,350	35,836	33,007	29,808	4.350	13,500	15,100	855,115	898 411	957.486	1,330,270	1,433,149	1 489 744	1	
Total Demand In the Study Area	aı,	,.		100,201	107,330	33,033	33,007	25,000	4,550	13,300	13,103	555,115	550,411	557,400	2,000,270	1,400,140	-,,		
																		1	
Demand that may be met by Oth	ner Supplies af,	/yr	0	0	0	35,836	33,007	29,808	0	0	0	694,415	726,755	767,321	730,250	759,762	797,130	19)	
. ,																			
Potential Colorado River Deman	ld af,	/yr	434,970	488,231	487,350	0	0	0	4,350	13,500	15,100	160,700	171,655	190,165	600,020	673,386	692,615	1	
Agricultural	Colorado River Demand af	/yr	88,805	88,805	88,805	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,000	22,000	22,000	110,805	110,805	110,805	20)	
Municipal and Industrial	Colorado River Demand af	/vr	18.550	19.196	16.450	0	0	0	0	0	0	122,800	133,755	152.265	141.350	152,951	168,715	l í	
Energy	Colorado River Demand	/vr	40.000	41.500	41,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40.000	41.500	41.500	1	
Minerals	Colorado River Demand af	/vr	0	0	0	ő	0	õ	ő	0	õ	ő	õ	õ	0	0	.1,000	1	
Fish Wildlife and Recreation	Colorado River Demand of	/vr	0	0	0	ő	0	0	ő	0	0	5 000	5 000	5 000	5 000	5 000	5 000	1	
Tribal	Colorado River Demand	y I	0 207 615	0	240 505	0	0	0	4 250	12 500	15 100	10,000	10,000	10,000	202.965	3,000	3,000	1	
шыа	Colorado River Demand af,	yı	207,015	338,73U	340,595	U	U	U	4,350	13,500	15,100	10,900	10,900	10,900	302,805	303,130	300,595	L	

Source and Comments

I) No changes from current projected
2) No changes from current projected
3) Based on regional trends, assume 2060 population is 25% less than that of current projected.
4) No changes from current projected
5) No changes from current projected
6) No changes from current projected
7) No changes from current projected
8) No changes from current projected
8) No changes from current projected

8) No changes from current projected
9) Assumed 2035 demand from current projected is the new 2060 demand and interpolated for the 2035 demand

No changes from current projected in 2000, no changes from current projected in 2015, and interpolated for 2035
 Used 5% increase from current projected in 2000, no changes from current projected in 2015, and interpolated for 2035

12) Will come from BBER (1995)

13) No changes from current projected

14) No changes from current projected14) No changes from current projected15) No changes from current projected

16) No changes from current projected16) No changes from current projected17) No changes from current projected

18) San Juan Chama at full contract amount

19) No changes from current projected

20) For Adjacent Area, 25,000 afy of Colorado River Demand is agricultural, per contracts. Assume full tribal is met by Colorado River. Remaining Colorado River demand is all M&I

TABLE C3-4 Total Demand within Study Area under Rapid Growth (C1) Scenario

																			From Current Projected Data Sheet
			NEW MEXIC	0		_			_		-								Input Parameter
	Plannin	g Area		San Juan			Southwest			Northwest		A	djacent Area	as		STATE TOTA	L	Source and	Computed
Hydrologic Basin		Year	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	comments	
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage	acres	34,300	34,300	34,300	16,859	15,492	13,954	0	0	0				51,159	49,792	48,254	1)	
Per-Acre \	Vater Delivery (Diversion) af	/ac/yr	5.08	5.08	5.08	5.89	5.89	5.89	0.00	0.00	0.00				5.34	5.33	5.31	2)	
	Consumptive factor	%	51%	51%	51%	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%				45%	45%	45%		
	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	88,805	88,805	88,805	33,167	30,478	27,450	0	0	0				121,972	119,283	116,255		
Municipal and Industrial	Population		186,300	240,052	333,221	10,149	11,341	12,110	0	0	0				196,449	251,393	345,331	3)	
Municipal and Industrial	Per Capita Use (Diversion)	gpcd	200	181	146	193	192	193	0	0	0				200	181	148	4)	
	Consumptive factor	%	44%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%				45%	50%	50%		
Municipal and Industri	al Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	18,450	24,304	27,250	1,096	1,217	1,308	0	0	0				19,546	25,522	28,558		
Self Served Industri	al Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	100	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0				100	100	100	5)	
	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	18,550	24,404	27,350	1,096	1,217	1,308	0	0	0				19,646	25,622	28,658		
Energy	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	40,000	41,500	41,500	640	640	640	0	0	0				40,640	42,140	42,140	6)	
Minerals	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	0	0	0	933	933	933	0	0	0				933	933	933	7)	
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	8)	
Tribal	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	293,855	387,169	503,195	0	0	0	4,350	15,100	15,100				298,205	402,269	518,295	9)	
Total Underlands Desig	Demond (Communition)	-61	444 240	F 44 070	660.050	25.026	22.200	20.222	4 350	45 400	45 400	•	•	•	404 200	500 346	706 204		
Total Hydrologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive)	ar/yr	441,210	541,878	660,850	35,836	33,268	30,332	4,350	15,100	15,100	U	U	U	481,396	590,246	706,281		
Adjacent Areas																			
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage	acres										93 301	93 301	93 301	93 301	93 301	93 301	10)	
Per-Acre \	Vater Delivery (Diversion) af	lac/vr										6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45	11)	
	Consumptive factor	%										33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	11)	
	Demand (Diversion)	of/vr										601 301	601 301	601 301	601 201	601 301	601 301		
	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr										195 932	195 932	105 032	195 932	195 932	195 932		
Municipal and Industrial	Population	ui/ yi										1 277 435	1 816 000	2 666 000	1 277 435	1 816 000	2 666 000	12)	
Municipal and Industrial	Per Canita Use (Diversion)	ancd										163	150	150	163	150	150	13)	
Manaparana maastrar	Consumptive factor	%										40%	40%	40%	105	10%	10%	15)	
Municipal and Indu	strial Demand (Diversion)	of/vr										232 530	305 1/8	40 /0	222 520	205 1/18	4070		
Solf Sonied Inde	strial Demand (Diversion)	ai/yi of/ur										17	200, 140		17	203,140	447,570 27	14)	
Self Served Hut	Demand (Diversion)	ai/yi af/yr										232 556	205 171	Z1 448.003	222 556	205 171	4/18 003	14)	
	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr										03 022	122.068	170 201	93 022	122.068	170 201		
Fnergy	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										17	23	20	17	23	29	15)	
Minerals	Demand (Diversion)	af/vr										5.252	5.255	5.258	5.252	5.255	5.258	16)	
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Diversion)	af/vr										5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000	17)	
Tribal	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	18)	
		.,																	
Total Adjacent Areas	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	855,115	927,740	1,070,581	855,115	927,740	1,070,581		
Total Demand in the Study Area		af/yr	441,210	541,878	660,850	35,836	33,268	30,332	4,350	15,100	15,100	855,115	927,740	1,070,581	1,336,510	1,517,986	1,776,862	1	
																		-	
Demand that may be met by Oth	er Supplies	af/yr	0	0	0	35,836	33,268	30,332	0	0	0	694,415	726,755	767,321	730,250	760,023	797,653	19)	
Potential Colorado River Deman	b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b	af/yr	441,210	541,878	660,850	0	0	0	4,350	15,100	15,100	160,700	200,985	303,259	606,260	757,963	979,209	1	
Agricultural	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	88,805	88,805	88,805	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,000	22,000	22,000	110,805	110,805	110,805	20)	
Municipal and Industrial	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	18,550	24,404	27,350	0	0	0	0	0	0	122,800	163,085	265,359	141,350	187,489	292,709		
Energy	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	40,000	41,500	41,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40,000	41,500	41,500		
Minerals	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000		
Tribal	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	293,855	387,169	503,195	0	0	0	4,350	15,100	15,100	10,900	10,900	10,900	309,105	413,169	529,195		

Source and Comments

1) No changes from current projected 2) No changes from current projected Based on regional trends, assume 2060 population is 25% greater than that of current projected.
 No changes from current projected 5) No changes from current projected6) No changes from current projected7) No changes from current projected 8) No changes from current projected 9) Northwest: Assumed 2060 demand from current projected would be reached in 2035 demand and that no new claims are filed; San Juan: Personal communication, Navajo Nation, April 16, 2012. 10) No changes from current projected 11) No changes from current projected12) Will come from BBER (1995) 13) No changes from current projected 14) No changes from current projected 15) No changes from current projected 16) No changes from current projected 17) No changes from current projected 18) San Juan Chama at full contract amount 19) No changes from current projected 20) For Adjacent Area, 25,000 afy of Colorado River Demand is agricultural, per contracts. Assume full tribal is met by Colorado River. Remaining Colorado River demand is all M&I

TABLE C3-5 Total Demand within Study Area under Rapid Growth (C2) Scenario

Website																			
Haming the set of				NEW MEXI	co														
International methods of the series		Planni	ng Area		San Juan			Southwest			Northwest		4	Adjacent Are	as		STATE TOTA	NL .	Source and
Age contain Pre-Area Ventre Meter Ventre Meter Ventre	Hydrologic Basin		Year	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	comments
Per-Are Net Net Policy (Net Note) all	Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage	acres	34,300	34,300	34,300	16,859	15,492	13,954	0	0	0				51,159	49,792	48,254	1)
Consumptive factor 8 95%	Per-Acre V	Nater Delivery (Diversion)	af/ac/yr	5.08	4.96	4.82	5.89	5.76	5.60	0.00	0.00	0.00				5.34	5.21	5.05	2)
Anticipal and functual base and forward (becausingles) all volt 80.80 80.70		Consumptive factor	%	51%	51%	51%	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%				45%	45%	45%	
Manuplate Industrial Openant Openant Industrial Openant Industrial No.		Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	88,805	86,834	84,365	33,167	29,801	26,078	0	0	0				121,972	116,634	110,443	
Deck Deck <thdeck< th=""> Deck Deck</thdeck<>	Municipal and Industrial	Population		186,300	240,052	333,221	10,149	11,341	12,110	0	0	0				196,449	251,393	345,331	3)
Manual construction Mark Construction	Municipal and Industrial	Per Capita Use (Diversion)	gpca	199	E0%	139 E09/	19Z	509/	103 E09/	0%	08/	0%				199	1//	140	4)
Instrument law and low	Municipal and Industri	al Domand (Concumptive)	70 of lur	44 70	23 607	25,887	1 001	1 197	1 243	0%	0%	0%				45%	20%	5U%	
Decision (Conserging) off 1 <td>Self Served Industri</td> <td>al Demand (Consumptive)</td> <td>ai/yi af/yr</td> <td>10,000</td> <td>08</td> <td>95</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>19,440</td> <td>24,004</td> <td>27,150</td> <td>5a) 5b)</td>	Self Served Industri	al Demand (Consumptive)	ai/yi af/yr	10,000	08	95	0	0	0	0	0	0				19,440	24,004	27,150	5a) 5b)
Denset (consumple) July 48.00 14.00 14.00 0 0 0 0 44.640 42.140 0.2.140 Briedlik Benard (consumple) July 0	Sen Served madstr	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	18 457	23 794	25 982	1 091	1 187	1 243	ň	0	0				19 548	24 981	27 225	58), 56)
Marcha Demand (consumptive) J/y 0 0 0 0 </td <td>Energy</td> <td>Demand (Consumptive)</td> <td>af/yr</td> <td>40.000</td> <td>41.500</td> <td>41,500</td> <td>640</td> <td>640</td> <td>640</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>40.640</td> <td>42,140</td> <td>42,140</td> <td>6)</td>	Energy	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	40.000	41.500	41,500	640	640	640	0	0	0				40.640	42,140	42,140	6)
Decision decision mention arry 0 0 0 0<	Minerals	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	0	0	0	933	933	933	0	0	0				933	933	933	7)
Thick Demand (Consumptive) al/y 200, 300 90, 100 0 0 0 0 4,300 15,100 15,100 0 0 0 43,20 51,205 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 43,20 51,205 15,100 0 0 0 0 43,27 565,57 669,035 0	Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	8)
Otal Hydrologic Basin Demand (Consumptive) a/r 441,117 539,297 655,442 35,830 32,561 28,894 4,350 15,100 15,100 0 0 0 481,297 586,597 690,005 Agreen/Lansa Irrigated Acreage acres Subsect State <	Tribal	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	293,855	387,169	503,195	0	0	0	4,350	15,100	15,100				298,205	402,269	518,295	9)
Chain Munchage Demand [Consumptive] aff/ref 533,87 655,87 55,867 55,867 55,878 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>										1							·		1
Adjacent Arease Agricultural Demand (Diversion) Municipal and Industrial Demand (Diversion) al/y Demand	Total Hydrologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr	441,117	539,297	655,042	35,830	32,561	28,894	4,350	15,100	15,100	0	0	0	481,297	586,957	699,035	
Adjiacent Arease Agricultural Per-Ace Water Delivery (Diversion) Demand (Diversion) af/r result best best best best best best best bes																			1
Adjaced Hares Adjaced Hares Access																			4
Agricultural Image Acreage acr	Adjacent Areas																		10)
Pre-ray water univery (uterision) al/yr Pre-ray (uterision) al/yr	Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage	acres										93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	10)
Lonsumptive ration n Jobs	Per-Acre \	Nater Delivery (Diversion)	at/ac/yr										6.45	5.87	5.16	6.45	5.87	5.16	11)
Demand (Derivery 00) al/yr al/s		Consumptive factor	% 										33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	
Demand Lowissing weight weig		Demand (Diversion)	al/yr										105 022	047,007 179,404	401,113	105 022	547,807	461,115	
International and mutantial Per Capita Use (Diversion) Consumptive factor % Municipal and industrial Demana (Diversion) Demana (Diversion) af/yr International and	Municipal and Industrial	Bonulation	ai/yi										1 277 435	1 816 000	2 666 000	1 277 /25	1 816 000	2 666 000	12)
Immeripare and multication from the second secon	Municipal and Industrial	Per Canita Lise (Diversion)	ancd										1,211,400	1/6	2,000,000	162	1,010,000	1/12	13)
Municipal and Industrial Demand (Diversion) af/yr store sto	manicipal and maastral	Consumptive factor	%										40%	40%	40%	102	1-0	145	10)
Self Served Industrial Demand (Diversion) af/yr af/yr Demand (Diversion) af/yr Liste Served Industrial Demand (Div	Municipal and Indu	istrial Demand (Diversion)	af/vr										231 376	297 519	425 577	231 376	297 519	425 577	
Demand (Diversion) af/yr Lens Link Link	Self Served Indu	strial Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										17	23	27	17	23	27	14)
Demand (Consumptive) af/yr Image: Second Consumptive (Diversion) af/yr Image: Secon		Demand (Diversion)	af/vr										231.393	297.542	425.604	231.393	297.542	425.604	,
Energy Demand (Diversion) af/yr 17 23 20 17 23 29 15 Minerals Demand (Diversion) af/yr 6.252 6.256 5.256 5.255 5.255 5.255 5.256 17 23 29 15 Signed (Diversion) Demand (Diversion) af/yr 5.000 </td <td></td> <td>Demand (Consumptive)</td> <td>af/yr</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>92,557</td> <td>119,017</td> <td>170,242</td> <td>92,557</td> <td>119,017</td> <td>170,242</td> <td></td>		Demand (Consumptive)	af/yr										92,557	119,017	170,242	92,557	119,017	170,242	
Minerals Demand (Diversion) af/yr Image: Sign of the stand (Diversion) af/yr Image:	Energy	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										17	23	29	17	23	29	15)
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Demand (Diversion) af/yr U 5,000 </td <td>Minerals</td> <td>Demand (Diversion)</td> <td>af/yr</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5,252</td> <td>5,255</td> <td>5,258</td> <td>5,252</td> <td>5,255</td> <td>5,258</td> <td>16)</td>	Minerals	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										5,252	5,255	5,258	5,252	5,255	5,258	16)
Tribal Demand (Diversion) af/yr V <	Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	17)
Total Adjacent Areas Demand (Diversion) af/yr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 853,952 866,588 927,904 $853,952$ $866,588$ 927,904 $853,952$ $866,588$ 927,904 $853,952$ $866,588$ 927,904 $853,952$ $866,588$ 927,904 $853,952$ $866,588$ 927,904 $853,952$ $866,588$ 927,904 $853,952$ $866,588$ 927,904 $853,952$ $866,588$ $927,904$ $853,952$ $866,588$ $927,904$ $853,952$ $866,588$ $927,904$ $853,952$ $866,588$ $866,588$ $866,588$ <	Tribal	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr										10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	18)
Total Adjacent Areas Demand (Diversion) af/yr 0																			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Total Adjacent Areas	Demand (Diversion)	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	853,952	866,588	927,904	853,952	866,588	927,904	
Total Demand in the Study Area af/yr 441,117 539,297 655,042 35,830 32,561 28,894 4,350 15,100 15,100 866,588 927,904 1,335,249 1,453,545 1,626,939 Demand that may be met by Other Supplies af/yr 0 0 $35,830$ $32,561$ $28,894$ 0 0 $694,415$ $726,755$ $767,321$ $730,245$ $759,316$ $796,215$ $796,21$																			
Number Supplies af/yr 441,117 535,257 055,042 53,050 32,561 28,894 0 0 694,415 726,755 767,321 730,245 759,316 796,215 19 Demand that may be met by Other Supplies af/yr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 694,415 726,755 767,321 730,245 759,316 796,215 19 Potential Colorado River Demand af/yr 441,117 539,297 655,042 0 0 0 0 15,100 15,100 159,537 139,832 160,583 605,005 694,229 830,724 Agricultural Colorado River Demand af/yr 441,117 539,297 655,042 0 0 0 0 159,537 139,832 160,583 605,005 694,229 830,724 Agricultural Colorado River Demand af/yr 18,457 23,794 25,982 0 0 0 0 121,637 101,932 122,683 140,095 125,726 148,665 149,605 149,605 149,605 149,605 149,605	Total Demand in the Study Area		af/yr	441 117	520 207	655 042	25 820	22 561	28 80/	4 350	15 100	15 100	853.053	866 589	077 004	1 225 240	1 /52 5/5	1 626 020	4
Demand that may be met by Other Supplies af/yr 0 0 0 35,830 32,561 28,894 0 0 694,415 726,755 767,321 730,245 759,316 796,215 19 Potential Colorado River Demand Agricultural Colorado River Demand Colorado River Demand af/yr af/yr 441,117 539,297 655,042 0 0 0 0 159,537 139,832 160,563 694,229 830,724 Agricultural Colorado River Demand of/yr af/yr 48,805 86,834 84,365 0 0 0 0 22,000 22,000 22,000 108,834 106,365 20 Municipal and Industrial Colorado River Demand af/yr 18,457 23,794 25,982 0 0 0 0 121,637 101,932 122,683 140,095 125,726 148,665 20 Singly Colorado River Demand af/yr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Total Demand in the Study Area		ai/yr	441,117	539,297	055,042	35,830	32,301	28,894	4,350	15,100	15,100	853,952	800,588	927,904	1,335,249	1,400,045	1,020,939	
Demand that may be met by Other Supplies af/yr 0 0 35,830 32,561 28,894 0 0 694,415 726,755 767,321 730,245 759,316 796,215 796,215 767,321 730,245 759,316 796,215 796,215 767,321 730,245 759,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 759,316 796,215 796,215 767,321 730,245 759,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 759,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 750,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 750,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 750,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 750,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 750,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 750,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 750,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 750,316 796,215 767,321 730,245 750,316 730,245 750,316 730,245 750,316 730,245 750,316 730,245 750,316																			1
Potential Colorado River Demand af/yr 441,117 539,297 655,042 0 0 4,350 15,100 15,100 159,537 139,832 160,583 605,005 694,229 830,724 Agricultural Colorado River Demand af/yr 88,805 86,834 84,365 0 0 0 0 22,000 22,000 10,805 108,834 106,365 20) Municipal and Industrial Colorado River Demand af/yr 18,457 23,794 25,982 0 0 0 0 121,637 101,932 122,683 140,095 125,726 148,665 Energy Colorado River Demand af/yr 18,457 23,794 25,982 0 0 0 0 0 121,637 101,932 122,683 140,095 125,726 148,665 Energy Colorado River Demand af/yr 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Demand that may be met by Oth	er Supplies	af/yr	0	0	0	35,830	32,561	28,894	0	0	0	694,415	726,755	767,321	730,245	759,316	796,215	19)
Potential Colorado River Demand af/yr 441,117 539,297 655,042 0 0 4,350 15,100 159,537 139,832 160,583 605,005 694,229 830,724 Agricultural Colorado River Demand af/yr 88,805 86,834 84,365 0 0 0 0 22,000 22,000 22,000 10,805 108,834 106,365 20) Municipal and Industrial Colorado River Demand af/yr 18,457 23,794 25,982 0 0 0 0 0 101,932 122,683 140,095 125,726 148,665 Energy Colorado River Demand af/yr 40,000 41,500 0 0 0 0 0 0 40,000 41,500 44,500 0 0 0 0 0 0 40,000 41,500 44,500 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		P.P. S.S.							,				,,	.,	,			,	17
Agricultural Colorado River Demand af/yr 88,805 86,834 84,365 0 0 0 0 0 22,000 22,000 22,000 10,805 108,834 106,365 20 Municipal and Industrial Colorado River Demand af/yr 18,457 23,794 25,982 0 0 0 0 0 121,637 101,932 122,683 140,095 125,726 148,665 Energy Colorado River Demand af/yr 40,000 41,500 0 0 0 0 0 0 40,000 41,500 41,600 0	Potential Colorado River Deman	d	af/yr	441,117	539,297	655,042	0	0	0	4,350	15,100	15,100	159,537	139,832	160,583	605,005	694,229	830,724	1
Municipal and Industrial Colorado River Demand af/yr 18,457 23,794 25,982 0 0 0 0 121,637 101,932 122,683 140,095 125,726 148,665 Energy Colorado River Demand af/yr 40,000 41,500 41,500 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 40,000 41,500 41,500 Minerals Colorado River Demand af/yr 0	Agricultural	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	88,805	86,834	84,365	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,000	22,000	22,000	110,805	108,834	106,365	20)
Energy Colorado River Demand af/yr 40,000 41,500 41,500 41,500 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 40,000 41,500 41,500 Minerals Colorado River Demand af/yr 0	Municipal and Industrial	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	18,457	23,794	25,982	0	0	0	0	0	0	121,637	101,932	122,683	140,095	125,726	148,665	1
Minerals Colorado River Demand af/yr 0 <	Energy	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	40,000	41,500	41,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40,000	41,500	41,500	
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Colorado River Demand af/yr 0 0 0 0 0 5,000 5	Minerals	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tribal Colorado River Demand af/yr 293,855 387,169 503,195 0 0 4,350 15,100 15,100 10,900 10,900 309,105 413,169 529,195	Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	1
	Tribal	Colorado River Demand	af/yr	293,855	387,169	503,195	0	0	0	4,350	15,100	15,100	10,900	10,900	10,900	309,105	413,169	529,195	

Source and Comments

1) No changes from current projected

2) Used 5% growth from current projected in 2060, no change from current projected in 2015, and interpolated for 2035

3) Based on regional trends, assume 2060 population is 25% greater than that of current projected.
4) Assume 1% reduction per decade relative to current projected

5a) Used same percentage change as GPCD section for San Juan Area 5b) Assumed no increase from current projected in Southwest Area

6) No changes from current projected7) No changes from current projected

P) No changes from current projected
 S) No changes from current projected
 S) Northwest: Assumed 2060 demand from current projected would be reached in 2035 demand and that no new claims are filed; San Juan: Personal communication, Navajo Nation, April 16, 2012.

No changes from current projected
 Used 20% growth from current projected in 2060, no change from current projected in 2015, and interpolated for 2035

12) Will come from BBER (1995)

13) Assume 1% reduction per decade relative to current projected

14) No changes from current projected

15) No changes from current projected 16) No changes from current projected

17) No changes from current projected

18) San Juan Chama at full contract amount

19) No changes from current projected

20) For Adjacent Area, 25,000 afy of Colorado River Demand is agricultural, per contracts. Assume full tribal is met by Colorado River. Remaining Colorado River demand is all M&I

TABLE C3-6 Total Demand within Study Area under Enhanced Environment (D1) Scenario

														1				From Current Projected	Data Sheet	
		NEW MEX			1						i .							Input Parameter		
	Planning A	ea	San Juan	2050	2015	Southwest	2050	2015	Northwest	2050		Adjacent Area	S		STATE TOTAL	-	Source and	Computed		
Hydrologic Basin	Yea	r 2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	comments	_		
Agricultural Irri	igated Acreage acre	s 34,300	34,300	34,300	16,859	15,492	13,954	0	0	0				51,159	49,792	48,254	1)			
Per-Acre Water Delive	ery (Diversion) af/ac	yr 5.08	5.08	5.08	5.89	5.89	5.89	0.00	0.00	0.00				5.34	5.33	5.31	2)			
Consi	umptive factor %	51%	51%	51%	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%				45%	45%	45%				
Demand	(Consumptive) af/y	r 88,805	88,805	88,805	33,167	30,478	27,450	0	0	0				121,972	119,283	116,255	-).			
Municipal and Industrial	Population	186,300	214,332	266,577	10,149	10,126	9,688	0	0	0				196,449	224,458	276,265	3)			
Municipal and Industrial Per Capita C	Use (Diversion) gpc	196	172	131	191	172	140	00/	0	0				198	1/2	132	4)			
Consi Municipal and Industrial Demond	(Compared to a contractor %	44%	50%	50%	50%	50%	002	0%	0%	0%				45%	50%	50%				
Municipal and Industrial Demand ((Consumptive) af/	r 18,200	20,615	19,620	1,065	976	803	0	0	0				19,351	21,591	20,423				
Sell Served Industrial Demand ((Consumptive) ary	10.005	95	40 740	4.005	070	002	0	0	0				99	95	90	5d), 50)			
Energy Demand ((Consumptive) al/	1 18,365	20,710	37 350	1,065	976	576	0	0	0				19,450	21,080	20,515	c)			
Minorals Demand ((Consumptive) al/	1 40,000	0	0	040	033	033	0	0	0				40,040	022	022	0) 7)			
Eich Wildlife and Recreation Demand	(Consumptive) af/		0	0	0	0	333 0	0	0	0				- 5 55 - 0	333	933	2)			
Tribal Demand	(Consumptive) af/	287 615	338 730	340 595	0	0	0	4 350	13 500	15 100				201.065	252 220	355 695	a)			
	(consumptive) ar	201,010	000,100	040,000		•	•	4,000	10,000	10,100				291,905	332,230	333,033	5)			
Total Hydrologic Basin Demand ((Consumptive) af/	r 434.785	487 421	486.460	35,825	32,990	29,763	4.350	13,500	15,100	0	0	0	474,959	533,911	531.322				
	(consumptive) any		,	100,100	00,020	02,000	25,700	.,	20,000	10,100		•	•		000,011	551,522				
Adjacent Areas																				
Agricultural Irri	igated Acreage acre	s									93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	93,301	10)			
Per-Acre Water Delive	ery (Diversion) af/ac	/yr									6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45	11)			
Const	umptive factor %										33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%				
Dema	and (Diversion) af/y	r									601,391	601,391	601,391	601,391	601,391	601,391				
Demand	(Consumptive) af/y	r									195,932	195,932	195,932	195,932	195,932	195,932				
Municipal and Industrial	Population										1,277,435	1,802,403	2,326,427	1,277,435	1,802,403	2,326,427	12)			
Municipal and Industrial Per Capita L	Jse (Diversion) gpc	ł									161	143	125	161	143	125	13)			
Consi	umptive factor %										40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%				
Municipal and Industrial Dema	and (Diversion) af/	r									230,213	287,720	325,764	230,213	287,720	325,764				
Self Served Industrial Dema	and (Diversion) af/	r									17	23	27	17	23	27	14)			
Dema	and (Diversion) af/	r									230,230	287,743	325,791	230,230	287,743	325,791				
Demand ((Consumptive) af/	r									92,092	115,097	130,316	92,092	115,097	130,316				
Energy Dema	and (Diversion) af/	r									17	22	26	17	22	26	15)			
Minerals Dema	and (Diversion) af/y	r									5,252	5,255	5,258	5,252	5,255	5,258	16)			
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Dema	and (Diversion) af/	r									5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	17)			
Tribal Dema	and (Diversion) af/y	r									10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	18)			
Total Adjacent Areas Dema	and (Diversion) af/	r 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	852,789	910,311	948,366	852,789	910,311	948,366				
Total Demand in the Study Area	af/	r 434,785	487.421	486,460	35.825	32,990	29.763	4.350	13.500	15.100	852,789	910.311	948.366	1.327.749	1.444.222	1.479.688	ł			
	417		,	100,100	00,020	02,000	25,705	.,	10,000	10,100	002,705	510,011	5 10,000	2,027,715	_,,	2, 17 5,000				
Demand that may be met by Other Supplies	af/y	r O	0	0	35,825	32,990	29,763	0	0	0	694,415	726,755	767,321	730,239	759,745	797,084	19)			
Potential Colorado River Demand	af/y	r 434,785	487,421	486,460	0	0	0	4,350	13,500	15,100	158,375	183,556	181,044	597,509	684,477	682,604	l .			
Agricultural Colorado	River Demand af/	r 88,805	88,805	88,805	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,000	22,000	22,000	110,805	110,805	110,805	20)			
Municipal and Industrial Colorado	River Demand af/	r 18,365	20,710	19,710	0	0	0	0	0	0	120,475	145,656	143,144	138,839	166,366	162,854				
Energy Colorado	River Demand af/	r 40,000	39,176	37,350	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40,000	39,176	37,350				
Minerals Colorado	River Demand af/	r O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation Colorado	River Demand af/	r O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000				
Colorado	Kiver Demand af/	r 287,615	338,730	340,595	0	U	U	4,350	13,500	15,100	10,900	10,900	10,900	302,865	363,130	366,595	1			

Source and Comments

1) No changes from current projected

2) No changes from current projected

3) No changes from current projected

4) Assume 2% reduction per decade relative to current projected

5a) Used same percentage change as GPCD section for San Juan Area 5b) Assumed no increase from current projected in Southwest Area

6) No changes from current projected

7) No changes from current projected

7) No changes from current projected
8) No changes from current projected
9) No changes from current projected
10) No changes from current projected
11) No changes from current projected
12) Will come from BBER (1995)
13) Assume 2% reduction per decade relative to current projected
14) No changes from current projected
15) No changes from current projected
16) No changes from current projected
17) No changes from current projected
18) No changes from current projected
19) No changes from current projected
19) No changes from current projected
10) No changes from current projected
11) No changes from current projected
12) No changes from current projected
13) Assume 20 (Contado River Demand is agricultural, per contracts. Assume full tribal is met by Colorado River. Remaining Colorado River demand is all M&I

TABLE C3-7

Total Demand within Stud	y Area under Enhanced	Environment (D2) Scenario
--------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------	------------

																			From Current Projected Data Sheet
		N	IEW MEXIC	0								_							Input Parameter
	Planning	Area		San Juan			Southwest			Northwest		A	djacent Are	as		STATE TOTA	L	Source and	Computed
Hydrologic Basin	Y	'ear	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	2015	2035	2060	comments	
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage ac	cres	34,300	34,300	34,300	16,859	15,492	13,954	0	0	0				51,159	49,792	48,254	1)	
Per-Ac	cre Water Delivery (Diversion) af/a	'ac/yr	5.08	4.96	4.82	5.89	5.76	5.60	0.00	0.00	0.00				5.34	5.21	5.05	2)	
	Consumptive factor	%	51%	51%	51%	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%				45%	45%	45%		
	Demand (Consumptive) at	f/yr	88,805	86,834	84,365	33,167	29,801	26,078	0	0	0				121,972	116,634	110,443		
Municipal and Industrial	Population		186,300	240,052	333,221	10,149	11,341	12,110	0	0	0				196,449	251,393	345,331	3)	
Municipal and Indust	rial Per Capita Use (Diversion) g	pcd	198	172	131	191	182	174	0	0	0				198	172	133	4)	
	Consumptive factor	%	44%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%				45%	50%	50%		
Municipal and Indu	ustrial Demand (Consumptive) af	f/yr	18,266	23,089	24,525	1,085	1,157	1,177	0	0	0				19,351	24,246	25,702		
Self Served Indu	ustrial Demand (Consumptive) af	f/yr	99	95	90	0	0	0	0	0	0				99	95	90	5a), 5b)	
	Demand (Consumptive) at	f/yr	18,365	23,184	24,615	1,085	1,157	1,177	0	0	0				19,450	24,341	25,792		
Energy	Demand (Consumptive) at	f/yr	40,000	41,500	41,500	640	640	640	0	0	0				40,640	42,140	42,140	6)	
Minerals	Demand (Consumptive) at	f/yr	0	0	0	933	933	933	0	0	0				933	933	933	7)	
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	n Demand (Consumptive) at	f/yr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	8)	
Tribal	Demand (Consumptive) at	f/yr	293,855	387,169	503,195	0	0	0	4,350	15,100	15,100				298,205	402,269	518,295	9)	
Total Hydrologic Basin	Demand (Consumptive) at	f/yr	441,025	538,686	653,674	35,825	32,530	28,828	4,350	15,100	15,100	0	0	0	481,199	586,317	697,603		
Adjacent Areas		-																-	
Agricultural	Irrigated Acreage	cres										93,301	93.301	93.301	93.301	93.301	93.301	10)	
Per-Ar	cre Water Delivery (Diversion) af/a	ac/vr										6.45	5.87	5.16	6.45	5.87	5.16	11)	
	Consumptive factor	%										33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	/	
	Demand (Diversion) at	f/vr										601.391	547,867	481,113	601.391	547.867	481,113		
	Demand (Consumptive) at	f/vr										195,932	178,494	156,746	195.932	178.494	156,746		
Municipal and Industrial	Population	., ,.										1.277.435	1.816.000	2,666,000	1.277.435	1.816.000	2.666.000	12)	
Municipal and Indust	rial Per Capita Use (Diversion) g	bcd										160	139	128	160	139	128	13)	
	Consumptive factor	%										40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	40%	,	
Municipal and	Industrial Demand (Diversion) a	f/vr										229.051	282.262	380,780	229.051	282.262	380,780		
Self Served !	Industrial Demand (Diversion) af	f/yr										17	23	27	17	23	27	14)	
	Demand (Diversion) at	f/yr										229,068	282,285	380,807	229,068	282,285	380,807		
	Demand (Consumptive) a	f/vr										91.627	112.914	152.323	91.627	112.914	152.323		
Energy	Demand (Diversion) a	f/vr										17	23	29	17	23	29	15)	
Minerals	Demand (Diversion) at	f/yr										5,252	5,255	5,258	5,252	5,255	5,258	16)	
Fish, Wildlife, and Recreation	n Demand (Diversion) at	f/yr										5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	17)	
Tribal	Demand (Diversion) at	f/yr										10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	10,900	18)	
Total Adiana Amara	Demond (Discontin)	. , 6.1										054 625	054 222	002.405	054 605	054 335	002.465	1	
iotal Adjacent Areas	Demand (Diversion) a	ĭ/yr	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	851,627	851,330	883,106	851,627	851,330	883,106		
Total Demand in the Study A	rea aaf	f/yr	441,025	538,686	653,674	35,825	32,530	28,828	4,350	15,100	15,100	851,627	851,330	883,106	1,332,826	1,437,647	1,580,709	-	
																		4	
Demand that may be met by	Other Supplies af	f/yr	0	0	0	35,825	32,530	28,828	0	0	0	694,415	726,755	767,321	730,239	759,286	796,150	19)	
Potential Colorado River Der	mand af	f/yr	441,025	538,686	653,674	0	0	0	4,350	15,100	15,100	157,212	124,575	115,785	602,586	678,361	784,559	1	
Agricultural	Colorado River Demand af	f/yr	88,805	86,834	84,365	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,000	22,000	22,000	110,805	108,834	106,365	20)	
	Colorado Divor Domand of	f/vr	18.365	23 184	24 615	0	0	0	0	0	0	119 312	86 675	77.885	137,676	109,859	102,499		
Municipal and Industrial	Colorado River Demand al	·/ y·		20,201	2 1,010	-	-	-		0	0	110,012	00,075	,	,	,	,		
Municipal and Industrial Energy	Colorado River Demand af	f/yr	40,000	41,500	41,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40,000	41,500	41,500		
Municipal and Industrial Energy Minerals	Colorado River Demand al Colorado River Demand al Colorado River Demand al	f/yr f/yr	40,000 0	41,500 0	41,500 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	40,000 0	41,500 0	41,500 0		
Municipal and Industrial Energy Minerals Fish, Wildlife, and Recreatior	Colorado River Demand al Colorado River Demand al Colorado River Demand al n Colorado River Demand al	f/yr f/yr f/yr f/yr	40,000 0 0	41,500 0 0	41,500 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 5,000	0 0 5,000	0 0 5,000	40,000 0 5,000	41,500 0 5,000	41,500 0 5,000		

Source and Comments

1) No changes from current projected

2) Used 5% increase from current projected in 2060, no change from current projected in 2015, and interpolated for 2035

3) Based on regional trends, assume 2060 population is 25% greater than that of current projected.

4) Assume 3% reduction per decade relative to current projected

5a) Used same percentage change as GPCD section for San Juan Area

5b) Assumed no increase from current projected in Southwest Area

6) No changes from current projected

7) No changes from current projected

8) No changes from current projected

9) Northwest: Assumed 2060 demand from current projected would be reached in 2035 demand and that no new claims are filed; San Juan: Personal communication, Navajo Nation, April 16, 2012.

10) No changes from current projected

11) Used 20% increase from current projected in 2060, no change from current projected in 2015, and interpolated for 2035

12) Will come from BBER (1995)

13) Assume 3% reduction per decade relative to current projected

No changes from current projected
 No changes from current projected

16) No changes from current projected

17) No changes from current projected

18) San Juan Chama at full contract amount

19) No changes from current projected

20) For Adjacent Area, 25,000 afy of Colorado River Demand is agricultural, per contracts. Assume full tribal is met by Colorado River. Remaining Colorado River demand is all M&I

4.0 References

- Daniel B. Stephens & Associates (DBSA), 2005. Southwest New Mexico Regional Water Plan. Prepared for Southwest New Mexico Regional Water Plan Steering Committee, City of Deming, New Mexico, Fiscal Agent. Accepted by New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, 2005.
- Daniel B. Stephens & Associates (DBSA), 2008. *Taos Regional Water Plan*. Prepared for Taos Regional Water Planning Steering Committee. Accepted by New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission, 2008.

San Juan Water Commission, 2003. San Juan Regional Water Plan.

S.S. Papadopoulos and Associates, 2000. Middle Rio Grande Water Supply Study. August.