

Table of Contents

SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Introduction and Background.....	S-1
S.1.1 Introduction	S-1
S.1.2 Proposed Federal Action	S-1
S.1.3 Background.....	S-1
S.1.4 Purpose of and Need for the Action	S-2
S.1.5 Related and Ongoing Actions.....	S-3
S.2 Alternatives	S-4
S.2.1 No Action Alternative and Baseline Condition.....	S-4
S.2.2 Flood Control Alternative.....	S-5
S.2.3 Six States Alternative	S-5
S.2.4 California Alternative.....	S-5
S.2.5 Shortage Protection Alternative.....	S-6
S.2.6 Monitoring.....	S-6
S.3 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences	S-6
S.3.1 Introduction to Impact Analysis	S-6
S.3.2 Potentially Affected Area	S-6
S.3.3 Analysis of River System Operation	S-7
S.3.4 Water Supply	S-8
S.3.5 Water Quality	S-9
S.3.6 Riverflow Issues	S-9
S.3.7 Aquatic Resources	S-10
S.3.8 Special-Status Species	S-10
S.3.9 Recreation.....	S-12
S.3.10 Energy Resources	S-12
S.3.11 Air Quality.....	S-13
S.3.12 Visual Resources	S-13
S.3.13 Cultural Resources.....	S-14
S.3.14 Environmental Justice	S-14
S.3.15 Indian Trust Assets	S-14
S.3.16 Transboundary Impacts	S-15
S.4 Other NEPA Considerations	S-15
S.4.1 Introduction	S-15
S.4.2 Cumulative Impacts.....	S-15
S.4.3 Relationship Between Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity	S-15
S.4.4 Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitments of Resources.....	S-16

S.5	Consultation and Coordination	S-16
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND.....		1-1
1.1	Introduction	1-1
1.1.1	Proposed Federal Action.....	1-2
1.1.2	Background.....	1-2
1.1.3	Purpose of and Need for Action	1-3
1.1.4	Relationship with U.S.-Mexico Water Treaty	1-4
1.1.5	Lead and Cooperating Agencies	1-4
1.2	Summary of Contents of This DEIS	1-5
1.3	Water Supply Management and Allocation	1-6
1.3.1	Colorado River System Water Supply.....	1-6
1.3.2	Apportionment of Water Supply.....	1-8
1.3.2.1	The <i>Law of the River</i>	1-8
1.3.2.2	Apportionment Provisions	1-11
1.3.3	Long-Range Operating Criteria	1-14
1.3.4	Annual Operating Plan	1-15
1.3.4.1	Normal, Surplus and Shortage Determinations	1-15
1.3.5	System Reservoirs and Diversion Facilities	1-16
1.3.6	Flood Control Operation.....	1-19
1.3.7	Hydropower Generation	1-20
1.4	Related and Ongoing Actions	1-21
1.4.1	California Colorado River Water Use Plan (4.4 Plan).....	1-21
1.4.2	Glen Canyon Dam Operations.....	1-22
1.4.2.1	Adaptive Management Program	1-23
1.4.2.2	Beach/Habitat-Building Flows and Beach/Habitat- Maintenance Flows	1-24
1.4.2.3	Temperature Control at Glen Canyon Dam.....	1-24
1.4.3	Actions Related to the Biological and Conference Opinion on Lower Colorado River Operations and Maintenance	1-25
1.4.4	Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program....	1-25
1.4.5	Documents Incorporated by Reference.....	1-26
CHAPTER 2 – DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES.....		2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Development of Alternatives	2-1
2.2.1	Operating Strategies for Surplus Determination.....	2-1
2.2.1.1	The R Strategy	2-1
2.2.1.2	The A Strategy	2-2
2.2.1.3	The P Strategy.....	2-2

2.2.1.4	Flood Control Strategy	2-2
2.2.2	Origins of the California and Six States Alternatives.....	2-2
2.2.3	Pacific Institute Proposal	2-3
2.2.4	Formulation of Alternatives	2-4
2.2.5	No Action Alternative and Baseline Condition.....	2-4
2.3	Description of Alternatives	2-5
2.3.1	No Action Alternative and Baseline Condition.....	2-6
2.3.1.1	Approach to Surplus Water Determination	2-6
2.3.1.2	75R Baseline Surplus Triggers	2-6
2.3.2	Flood Control Alternative.....	2-7
2.3.2.1	Approach to Surplus Water Determination	2-7
2.3.2.2	Flood Control Alternative Surplus Triggers.....	2-8
2.3.2.3	Draft Guidelines	2-9
2.3.3	Six States Alternative	2-9
2.3.3.1	Approach to Surplus Water Determination	2-9
2.3.3.2	Six States Alternative Surplus Triggers.....	2-9
2.3.4	California Alternative.....	2-11
2.3.4.1	Approach to Surplus Water Determination	2-11
2.3.4.2	California Alternative Surplus Triggers	2-11
2.3.5	Shortage Protection Alternative.....	2-13
2.3.5.1	Approach to Surplus Water Determination	2-13
2.3.5.2	Surplus Triggers	2-14
2.3.5.3	Draft Guidelines	2-15
2.4	Summary Table of Impacts	2-15
CHAPTER 3 – AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL		
CONSEQUENCES		
3.1	Introduction.....	3.1-1
3.1.1	Structure of Resource Sections.....	3.1-1
3.1.2	Use of Modeling to Identify Potential Future Colorado River System Conditions.....	3.1-1
3.1.3	Baseline Conditions.....	3.1-2
3.1.4	Impact Determination.....	3.1-2
3.1.5	Period of Analysis	3.1-2
3.2	Potentially Affected Area.....	3.2-1
3.2.1	Colorado River Segments and Issues Addressed	3.2-1
3.2.1.1	Lake Powell	3.2-3
3.2.1.2	Colorado River from Glen Canyon Dam to Lake Mead.....	3.2-3
3.2.1.3	Lake Mead.....	3.2-3

3.2.1.4	Colorado River from Hoover Dam to the Northerly International Boundary.....	3.2-4
3.2.2	Adaptive Management Program Influence on Glen Canyon Dam Releases	3.2-4
3.3	River System Operations.....	3.3-1
3.3.1	Operation of the Colorado River System.....	3.3-1
3.3.1.1	Operation of Glen Canyon Dam	3.3-2
3.3.1.2	Operation of Hoover Dam	3.3-3
3.3.2	Natural Runoff and Storage of Water	3.3-6
3.3.3	Modeling and Future Hydrology	3.3-8
3.3.3.1	Model Configuration	3.3-8
3.3.3.2	Interim Surplus Criteria Modeled.....	3.3-8
3.3.3.3	General Modeling Assumptions	3.3-9
3.3.3.4	Lake Mead Water Level Protection Assumptions	3.3-10
3.3.3.5	Computational Procedures.....	3.3-11
3.3.3.6	Post-Processing and Data Interpretation Procedures.....	3.3-12
3.3.4	Modeling Results	3.3-13
3.3.4.1	General Observations Concerning Modeling Results.....	3.3-13
3.3.4.2	Lake Powell Water Levels.....	3.3-14
3.3.4.3	River Flows Between Lake Powell and Lake Mead	3.3-21
3.3.4.4	Lake Mead Water Levels.....	3.3-22
3.3.4.5	Comparison of River Flows Below Hoover Dam.	3.3-32
3.4	Water Supply.....	3.4-1
3.4.1	Introduction.....	3.4-1
3.4.2	Methodology.....	3.4-1
3.4.3	Affected Environment	3.4-1
3.4.3.1	Water Use Projection Process.....	3.4-3
3.4.3.2	State of Arizona	3.4-3
3.4.3.3	State of California.....	3.4-6
3.4.3.4	State of Nevada.....	3.4-10
3.4.3.5	Upper Basin States.....	3.4-11
3.4.3.6	Mexico	3.4-11
3.4.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.4-13
3.4.4.1	State of Arizona	3.4-14
3.4.4.2	State of California.....	3.4-19
3.4.4.3	State of Nevada.....	3.4-23
3.4.4.4	Upper Basin States.....	3.4-29
3.4.4.5	Mexico	3.4-29

3.5	Water Quality	3.5-1
3.5.1	Introduction	3.5-1
3.5.2	Colorado River Salinity	3.5-1
3.5.2.1	Methodology.....	3.5-1
3.5.2.2	Affected Environment	3.5-2
3.5.1.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.5-7
3.5.3	Lake Mead Water Quality and Las Vegas Water Supply.....	3.5-10
3.5.3.1	Methodology.....	3.5-10
3.5.3.2	Affected Environment	3.5-11
3.5.3.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.5-18
3.6	Riverflow Issues.....	3.6-1
3.6.1	Introduction	3.6-1
3.6.2	Beach/Habitat-Building Flows	3.6-1
3.6.2.1	Methodology.....	3.6-2
3.6.2.2	Affected Environment	3.6-2
3.6.2.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-3
3.6.3	Low Steady Summer Flow	3.6-5
3.6.3.1	Affected Environment	3.6-5
3.6.3.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-5
3.6.4	Flooding Downstream of Hoover Dam	3.6-6
3.6.4.1	Affected Environment	3.6-6
3.6.4.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-8
3.7	Aquatic Resources.....	3.7-1
3.7.1	Introduction	3.7-1
3.7.2	Lake Habitat	3.7-1
3.7.2.1	Methodology.....	3.7-1
3.7.2.2	Affected Environment	3.7-1
3.7.2.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.7-5
3.7.3	Sport Fisheries	3.7-5
3.7.3.1	Methodology.....	3.7-5
3.7.3.2	Affected Environment	3.7-6
3.7.3.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.7-7
3.8	Special-Status Species.....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Introduction	3.8-1
3.8.2	Potential Effects on Special-Status Species.....	3.8-1
3.8.2.1	Methodology.....	3.8-2
3.8.2.2	Affected Environment	3.8-2
3.8.2.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.8-22

3.9	Recreation.....	3.9-1
3.9.1	Introduction.....	3.9-1
3.9.2	Reservoir Marinas, Boat Launching and Shoreline Access.....	3.9-1
3.9.2.1	Methodology.....	3.9-1
3.9.2.2	Affected Environment	3.9-2
3.9.2.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-13
3.9.3	Reservoir Boating/Navigation	3.9-22
3.9.3.1	Methodology.....	3.9-23
3.9.3.2	Affected Environment	3.9-23
3.9.3.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-27
3.9.4	River and Whitewater Boating	3.9-33
3.9.5	Reservoir Fishing.....	3.9-34
3.9.5.1	Methodology.....	3.9-34
3.9.5.2	Affected Environment	3.9-35
3.9.5.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-36
3.9.6	Recreational Facilities Operational Costs.....	3.9-37
3.9.6.1	Methodology.....	3.9-37
3.9.6.2	Affected Environment	3.9-37
3.9.6.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-40
3.10	Energy Resources.....	3.10-1
3.10.1	Introduction.....	3.10-1
3.10.2	Hydropower	3.10-1
3.10.2.1	Methodology.....	3.10-1
3.10.2.2	Affected Environment	3.10-2
3.10.2.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-4
3.10.3	Southern Nevada Water System Lake Mead Intake Energy Requirements.....	3.10-8
3.10.3.1	Methodology.....	3.10-9
3.10.3.2	Affected Environment	3.10-9
3.10.3.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-9
3.11	Air Quality.....	3.11-1
3.11.1	Introduction.....	3.11-1
3.11.2	Fugitive Dust from Exposed Shoreline.....	3.11-1
3.11.2.1	Methodology.....	3.11-1
3.11.2.2	Affected Environment	3.11-2
3.11.2.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-3
3.12	Visual Resources	3.12-1
3.12.1	Introduction.....	3.12-1
3.12.2	Methodology.....	3.12-1
3.12.3	Affected Environment	3.12-1
3.12.3.1	Lake Powell	3.12-2

3.12.3.2	Lake Mead	3.12-3
3.12.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.12-4
3.12.4.1	Baseline Conditions.....	3.12-4
3.12.4.2	Flood Control Alternative.....	3.12-6
3.12.4.3	Six States Alternative	3.12-6
3.12.4.4	California Alternative.....	3.12-7
3.12.4.5	Shortage Protection Alternative.....	3.12-8
3.13	Cultural Resources	3.13-1
3.13.1	Introduction	3.13-1
3.13.2	Approach to Analysis	3.13-1
3.13.3	Affected Environment	3.13-2
3.13.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.13-3
3.14	Indian Trust Assets.....	3.14-1
3.14.1	Introduction	3.14-1
3.14.2	Ten Tribes Partnership	3.14-1
3.14.2.1	Northern Ute Indian Tribe – Uintah and Ouray Reservation.....	3.14-2
3.14.2.2	Jicarilla Apache Indian Reservation.....	3.14-4
3.14.2.3	Navajo Indian Reservation	3.14-5
3.14.2.4	Southern Ute Reservation.....	3.14-6
3.14.2.5	Ute Mountain Ute Indian Reservation.....	3.14-6
3.14.2.6	Fort Mojave Indian Reservation.....	3.14-7
3.14.2.7	Chemehuevi Indian Reservation.....	3.14-8
3.14.2.8	Colorado River Indian Reservation	3.14-8
3.14.2.9	Quechan Indian Reservation (Fort Yuma).....	3.14-9
3.14.2.10	Cocopah Indian Tribe	3.14-10
3.14.3	Tribes Served by Central Arizona Project.....	3.14-11
3.14.3.1	Water Rights Setting.....	3.14-11
3.14.3.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3.14-20
3.15	Environmental Justice	3.15-1
3.16	Transboundary Impacts.....	3.16-1
3.16.1	Introduction	3.16-1
3.16.2	Methodology.....	3.16-1
3.16.3	Consultation with Mexico	3.16-1
3.16.4	Affected Environment	3.16-3
3.16.4.1	Historical Colorado River Between the Southerly International Boundary and the Gulf of California.....	3.16-3
3.16.4.2	Present Status of the Colorado River Between the NIB and the Gulf of California.....	3.16-4

3.16.5 Flows in Mexico3.16-7

 3.16.5.1 Baseline Conditions 3.16-7

 3.16.5.2 Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to
 Baseline Conditions 3.16-11

3.16.6 Preliminary Summary of Effects to Special-Status Species
and Habitat in Mexico3.16-13

 3.16.6.1 Potential Effects to Habitat in Mexico..... 3.16-13

 3.16.6.2 Special Status-Species of the Colorado River
Between the Southerly International Boundary
and the Gulf of Mexico..... 3.16-14

CHAPTER 4 – OTHER NEPA CONSIDERATIONS 4-1

 4.1 Introduction 4-1

 4.2 Cumulative Impacts..... 4-1

 4.3 Relationship Between Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Long-
Term Productivity 4-2

 4.4 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources 4-2

CHAPTER 5 – CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION 5-1

 5.1 Introduction 5-1

 5.2 Scoping Activities 5-1

 5.3 Federal Agency Coordination 5-1

 5.3.1 National Park Service5-1

 5.3.2 United States Section of the International Boundary and
Water Commission5-2

 5.3.3 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Endangered Species Act
Compliance5-2

 5.3.4 Water Resources Stakeholder5-3

 5.3.5 National Historic Preservation Act Compliance.....5-3

 5.4 Native American Communities..... 5-3

 5.5 International Coordination 5-4

GLOSSARY GL-1

REFERENCES CITED REF-1

LIST OF PREPARERS LOP-1

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTIONDIST-1

ATTACHMENTS

- A Long Range Operating Criteria
- B Dams and Reservoirs Along the Lower Colorado River
- C Glen Canyon Dam Operations Record of Decision
- D Surplus Criteria Proposal by Six States
- E Surplus Criteria Proposal by California
- F Surplus Criteria Proposal by Pacific Institute
- G Lower Basin Depletion Schedule
- H Draft Interim Surplus Guidelines
- I Description of Upper Basin Reservoir Operations Pursuant to Sec. 602(a)
- J Upper Basin Depletion Schedule
- K Sensitivity Analysis of 80P1050 Shortage Protection Criteria
- L Comparison of Colorado River Flows
- M Lower Basin Depletions by State for Alternatives
- N Energy Analysis Worksheets
- O Ten Tribes Demand Point Spreadsheet
- P Public Scoping Process
- Q Report Submitted by Mexico

List of Tables

Table S-1	Modeled End-of-Calendar Year Lake Mead and Lake Powell Water Levels	S-8
Table 1-1	Documents Included in the <i>Law of the River</i>	1-10
Table 1-2	Colorado River Storage Facilities and Major Diversions from Lake Powell to Morelos Dam	1-18
Table 2-1	Summary of Potential Effects of Implementing Interim Surplus Criteria	2-16
Table 3.3-1	Glen Canyon Dam Release Restrictions	3.3-3
Table 3.3-2	Minimum Required Colorado River System Storage Space.....	3.3-4
Table 3.3-3	Minimum Flood Control Releases at Hoover Dam	3.3-5
Table 3.3-4	Lake Powell End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives and Baseline Conditions, 50th and 10th Percentile Values	3.3-20

Table 3.3-5	Lake Powell End of July Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives and Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 3695 Feet.....	3.3-21
Table 3.3-6	Lake Powell End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives and Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 3590 Feet.....	3.3-21
Table 3.3-7	Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives and Baseline Conditions, 50 th and 10 th Percentile Values.....	3.3-30
Table 3.3-8	Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives and Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 1200 Feet.....	3.3-31
Table 3.3-9	Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives and Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 1083 Feet.....	3.3-31
Table 3.3-10	Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives and Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 1050 Feet.....	3.3-31
Table 3.3-11	Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives and Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 1000 Feet.....	3.3-32
Table 3.3-12	Colorado River Flow Locations Identified for Evaluation.....	3.3-32
Table 3.3-13	Comparison of Mean Monthly Flow Data – Baseline to Surplus Alternatives, Colorado River Downstream of Havasu NWR (River Mile = 242.3).....	3.3-37
Table 3.3-6	Comparison of Mean Monthly Flow Data - Baseline to Surplus Alternatives, Colorado River Upstream of Colorado Indian Reservation (River Mile = 180.8).....	3.3-41
Table 3.3-15	Comparison of Mean Monthly Flow Data – Baseline to Surplus Alternatives, Colorado River Below PVID (River Mile = 133.8).....	3.3-44
Table 3.3-16	Comparison of Mean Monthly Flow Data – Baseline to Surplus Alternatives, Below Mexico Diversion at Morelos Dam (~River Mile = 23.10)	3.3-47
Table 3.4-1	Summary of Arizona Modeled Annual Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions...	3.4-17
Table 3.4-2	Summary of California Modeled Annual Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions...	3.4-23

Table 3.4-3	Summary of Nevada Modeled Annual Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions....	3.4-29
Table 3.4-4	Summary of Mexico Modeled Annual Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions....	3.4-34
Table 3.5-1	Estimated Colorado River Salinity in 2015	3.5-8
Table 3.5-2	Estimated Colorado River Salinity in 2050	3.5-9
Table 3.5-3	Morphometric Characteristics of Lake Mead	3.5-12
Table 3.5-4	Chemical Characteristics of Colorado River	3.5-15
Table 3.5-5	Hydraulic Inputs for Lake Mead.....	3.5-16
Table 3.5-6	Modeled Characteristics of Lake Mead Under Baseline and Alternative Conditions	3.5-21
Table 3.5-7	Modeled Comparisons of Alternatives to Baseline Conditions	3.5-21
Table 3.6-1	BHBF Release Probabilities from Glen Canyon Dam.....	3.6-4
Table 3.6-2	Probability of Minimum Glen Canyon Dam Releases (Annual Releases of 8.23 maf).....	3.6-6
Table 3.6-3	Discharge Probabilities from Hoover, Davis and Parker Dams.....	3.6-8
Table 3.7-1	Fish Species Present in the Project Area.....	3.7-3
Table 3.8-1	Special-Status Plant Species Potentially Occurring Within the Area of Analysis.....	3.8-3
Table 3.8-2	Special-Status Wildlife Species Potentially Occurring Within the Area of Analysis.....	3.8-7
Table 3.8-3	Special-Status Fish Species Potentially Occurring Within the Area of Analysis.....	3.8-18
Table 3.9-1	Glen Canyon National Recreation Area Visitation.....	3.9-3
Table 3.9-2	Lake Powell Shoreline Public Use Facilities	3.9-6
Table 3.9-3	Lake Mead Marina Public Use Facilities.....	3.9-10
Table 3.9-4	Comparison of Lake Powell Avoidance Probabilities of Elevation 3670 Feet	3.9-15
Table 3.9-5	Comparison of Lake Powell Avoidance Probabilities of Elevation 3612 Feet	3.9-16
Table 3.9-6	Comparison of Lake Mead Elevation Avoidance Probabilities for Elevation 1180 Feet.....	3.9-20

Table 3.9-7	Lake Powell Important Elevations for Boating Navigation	3.9-24
Table 3.9-8	Lake Powell Safe Boating Capacity at Water Surface Elevations	3.9-25
Table 3.9-9	Lake Mead Safe Boating Capacity at Water Surface Elevations	3.9-27
Table 3.9-10	Comparison of Probabilities of Avoidance of Lake Powell Surface Elevation of 3626 Feet	3.9-29
Table 3.9-11	Comparison of Probabilities of Avoidance of Lake Mead Surface Elevation of 1170 Feet	3.9-31
Table 3.9-12	Costs Associated with Adjustments to Lake Powell Recreation Facilities	3.9-38
Table 3.9-13	Costs Incurred to Recreational Facilities from Lake Mead Pool Fluctuations (Year 2000 Price Level)	3.9-39
Table 3.9-14	Costs Associated with Potential Relocation of Lake Powell Recreational Facilities Under Alternatives Compared to Baseline Conditions (Year 2000 Price Level)	3.9-40
Table 3.9-15	Costs Associated with Potential Relocation of Lake Mead Recreational Facilities Under Alternatives Compared to Baseline Conditions	3.9-41
Table 3.11-1	Median Lake Mead Surface Elevation, Surface Area and Exposed Shoreline Area Under Baseline Conditions and Alternative Projections	3.11-5
Table 3.11-2	Median Lake Powell Surface Elevation, Surface Area and Exposed Shoreline Area Under Baseline Conditions and Alternative Projections	3.11-6
Table 3.14-1	Central Arizona Project Indian Water Allocations	3.14-12
Table 3.14-2	Traditional Reclamation Priorities for Central Arizona Project Water	3.14-15
Table 3.14-3	Reductions in Indian CAP Water Supplies During Times of Shortage on Colorado River	3.14-17
Table 3.14-4	Reductions in Indian CAP Water Supplies During Times of Shortage on Colorado River	3.14-18
Table 3.16-1	Colorado River Flows to Mexico, Frequency of Occurrence of Flood Flows	3.16-11
Table 3.16-2	Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for 75 th Percentile Values, Potential Magnitude of Excess Flow Below Morelos Dam	3.16-12

Table 3.16-3 Yellow-Billed Cuckoos Survey Results.....3.16-25

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Locations of Lee Ferry and Lees Ferry1-6

Figure 1-2 Schematic of Colorado River Releases and Diversions.....1-13

Figure 2-1 Baseline Surplus Trigger Elevations.....2-7

Figure 2-2 Flood Control Alternative Surplus Trigger Elevations2-8

Figure 2-3 Six States Alternative Surplus Trigger Elevations.....2-10

Figure 2-4 California Alternative Surplus Trigger Elevations2-12

Figure 2-5 Shortage Protection Alternative Trigger Elevations2-14

Figure 3.3-1 Natural Flow at Lees Ferry Stream Gage.....3.3-7

Figure 3.3-2 Historic Annual Flow at Lees Ferry Stream Gage.....3.3-7

Figure 3.3-3 Lake Powell and Glen Canyon Dam, Important Operating Elevations.....3.3-15

Figure 3.3-4 Historic Lake Powell Water Levels3.3-16

Figure 3.3-5 Lake Powell End of Year Water Elevations Under Baseline Conditions, 90th, 50th and 10th Percentile Values and Representative Traces3.3-17

Figure 3.3-6 Lake Powell End of Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, 90th, 50th and 10th Percentile Values.....3.3-18

Figure 3.3-7 Lake Powell Month of July Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 3695 Feet3.3-19

Figure 3.3-8 Lake Powell End of Year Water Elevations Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 3590 Feet3.3-20

Figure 3.3-9 Histogram of Modeled Lake Powell Annual Releases (Water Years) 2001 to 2015 (85 Traces)3.3-22

Figure 3.3-10 Lake Mead and Hoover Dam Important Operating Elevations 3.3-23

Figure 3.3-11 Historic Lake Mead Water Levels (Annual Highs and Lows)..3.3-25

Figure 3.3-12 Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations Under Baseline Conditions, 90th, 50th and 10th Percentile Values and Representative Traces3.3-25

Figure 3.3-13 Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives and Baseline Conditions, 90th, 50th and 10th Percentile Values..... 3.3-27

Figure 3.3-14 Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives and Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 1200 Feet..... 3.3-27

Figure 3.3-15 Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 1083 Feet..... 3.3-28

Figure 3.3-16 Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 1050 Feet..... 3.3-29

Figure 3.3-17 Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to Elevation 1000 Feet..... 3.3-30

Figure 3.3-18a Colorado River Seasonal Flows Downstream of Havasu NWR, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015..... 3.3-35

Figure 3.3-18b Colorado River Seasonal Flows Downstream of Havasu NWR, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015..... 3.3-35

Figure 3.3-18c Colorado River Seasonal Flows Downstream of Havasu NWR, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015..... 3.3-36

Figure 3.3-18d Colorado River Seasonal Flows Downstream of Havasu NWR, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015..... 3.3-36

Figure 3.3-19a Colorado River Seasonal Flows Upstream of Colorado River Indian Reservation, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015..... 3.3-39

Figure 3.3-19b Colorado River Seasonal Flows Upstream of Colorado River Indian Reservation, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015..... 3.3-39

Figure 3.3-19c Colorado River Seasonal Flows Upstream of Colorado River Indian Reservation, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015..... 3.3-40

Figure 3.3-19d Colorado River Seasonal Flows Upstream of Colorado River Indian Reservation, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015..... 3.3-40

Figure 3.3-20a Colorado River Seasonal Flows Downstream of Palo Verde Irrigation District, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015.....3.3-42

Figure 3.3-20b Colorado River Seasonal Flows Downstream of Palo Verde Irrigation District, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015.....3.3-42

Figure 3.3-20c Colorado River Seasonal Flows Downstream of Palo Verde Irrigation District, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015.....3.3-43

Figure 3.3-20d Colorado River Seasonal Flows Downstream of Palo Verde Irrigation District, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015.....3.3-43

Figure 3.3-21a Colorado River Seasonal Flows Below Mexico Diversion at Morelos Dam, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015.....3.3-45

Figure 3.3-21b Colorado River Seasonal Flows Below Mexico Diversion at Morelos Dam, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015.....3.3-45

Figure 3.3-21c Colorado River Seasonal Flows Below Mexico Diversion at Morelos Dam, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015.....3.3-46

Figure 3.3-21d Colorado River Seasonal Flows Below Mexico Diversion at Morelos Dam, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015.....3.3-46

Figure 3.4-1 Arizona Projected Colorado River Water Demand Schedules (Full Surplus, Normal and Shortage Water Supply Conditions).....3.4-4

Figure 3.4-2 California Projected Colorado River Water Demand Schedules (Full Surplus, Normal and Shortage Water Supply Conditions).....3.4-8

Figure 3.4-3 Nevada Projected Colorado River Water Demand Schedules (Full Surplus, Normal and Shortage Water Supply Conditions).....3.4-11

Figure 3.4-4 Upper Basin Depletion Projections (Based on 1998 Depletion Schedule).....3.4-12

Figure 3.4-5 Arizona Modeled Annual Depletions Under Baseline Conditions, 90th, 50th and 10th Percentile Values3.4-14

Figure 3.4-6	Arizona Modeled Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Years 2001 to 2015	3.4-16
Figure 3.4-7	Arizona Modeled Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Years 2016 to 2050	3.4-16
Figure 3.4-8	Arizona Modeled Annual Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, 90th, 50th and 10th Percentile Values	3.4-18
Figure 3.4-9	California Modeled Annual Depletions Under Baseline Conditions, 90 th , 50 th and 10 th Percentile Values	3.4-19
Figure 3.4-10	California Modeled Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Years 2001 to 2015	3.4-20
Figure 3.4-11	California Modeled Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Years 2016 to 2050	3.4-21
Figure 3.4-13	Nevada Modeled Annual Depletions Under Baseline Conditions, 90th, 50th and 10th Percentile Values	3.4-24
Figure 3.4-14	Nevada Modeled Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Years 2001 to 2015	3.4-25
Figure 3.4-15	Nevada Modeled Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Years 2016 to 2050	3.4-26
Figure 3.4-16	Nevada Modeled Annual Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, 90th, 50th and 10th Percentile Values	3.4-28
Figure 3.4-17	Mexico Modeled Annual Depletions Under Baseline Conditions, 90 th , 50 th and 10 th Percentile Values	3.4-30
Figure 3.4-18	Mexico Modeled Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Years 2001 to 2015	3.4-31
Figure 3.4-19	Mexico Modeled Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Years 2016 to 2050	3.4-32
Figure 3.4-20	Mexico Modeled Annual Depletions, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, 90th, 50th and 10th Percentile Values	3.4-33
Figure 3.5-1	Historical Monthly Salinity Concentrations Below Glen Canyon Dam (1940-1995).....	3.5-3
Figure 3.5-2	Historical Glen Canyon Dam and Imperial Dam Releases	3.5-4
Figure 3.5-3	Historical Salinity Concentrations of Releases from Glen Canyon, Hoover, and Imperial Dams	3.5-4

Figure 3.5-4 Estimated Cost of Damages Associated with Increased Salinity Concentrations3.5-7

Figure 3.5-5 Lake Mead End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, 50th Percentile Values.....3.5-19

Figure 3.9-1 Lake Powell End of Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, 50th Percentile Values.....3.9-14

Figure 3.9-2 Lake Powell End-of-Year Data Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Percent of Values Greater than or Equal to 3670 Feet msl3.9-15

Figure 3.9-3 Lake Powell End of Year Data Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Percent of Values Greater than or Equal to 3612 Feet msl3.9-16

Figure 3.9-4 Lake Mead End-of-Year Data Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternative to Baseline Conditions, 50th Percentile Values.....3.9-21

Figure 3.9-5 Lake Mead End-of-Year Data Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater Than or Equal to 1180 Feet.....3.9-21

Figure 3.9-6 Lake Powell End-of-Year Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to 3626 Feet.....3.9-29

Figure 3.9-7 Lake Mead End-of-Year Data Water Elevations, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, Percentage of Values Greater than or Equal to 1170 Feet.....3.9-32

Figure 3.16-1 Frequency of Excess Flows Below Morelos Dam, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions....3.16-8

Figure 3.16-2 Colorado River Flows Below Mexico Diversion at Morelos Dam, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2005.....3.16-9

Figure 3.16-3 Colorado River Flows Below Mexico Diversion at Morelos Dam, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2015.....3.16-9

Figure 3.16-4 Colorado River Flows Below Mexico Diversion at Morelos Dam, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2025.....3.16-10

Figure 3.16-5 Colorado River Flows Below Mexico Diversion at Morelos Dam, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions for Modeled Year 2050..... 3.16-10

Figure 3.16-6 Potential Magnitude of Excess Flows Below Morelos Dam, Comparison of Surplus Alternatives to Baseline Conditions, 90th and 75th Percentile Values..... 3.16-11

List of Maps

Map 1-1 Colorado River Drainage Basin 1-7

Map 1-2 Upper and Lower Basins of the Colorado River 1-11

Map 1-3 Upper and Lower Division States of the Colorado River 1-12

Map 1-4 Lower Colorado River Dams 1-17

Map 3.2-1 Area of Potential Effect..... 3.2-2

Map 3.3-1 Colorado River Locations Selected for Modeling..... 3.3-33

Map 3.4-1 Colorado River Water Service Areas in the Lower Basin 3.4-2

Map 3.5-1 Las Vegas Wash and SNWA Lake Mead Intake Facilities at Saddle Island 3.5-13

Map 3.9-1 Lake Powell and Associated Shoreline Recreation Facilities 3.9-4

Map 3.9-2 Lake Mead and Associated Shoreline Recreation Facilities 3.9-11

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

4.4 Plan	California 4.4 Plan	CDFG	Colorado Department of Fish and Game
AAC	All-American Canal	CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
AAQS	ambient air quality standards	CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
ADEQ	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality	cfs	cubic feet per second
ADWR	Arizona Department of Water Resources	Corps	United States Army Corps of Engineers
af	acre-feet	Court	United States Supreme Court
afy	acre-feet per year	CRFWLS	Colorado River Front Work and Levee System
AGFD	Arizona Game and Fish Department	CRIR	Colorado River Indian Reservation
ALP	Animas-La Plata Project	CRIT	Colorado River Indian Tribes
AMP	Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program	CRMWG	Colorado River Management Work Group
AMWG	Adaptive Management Work Group	CRSP	Colorado River Storage Project
AOP	Annual Operating Plan	CRSPA	Colorado River Storage Project Act of 1956
AWBA	Arizona Water Banking Authority	CRSS	Colorado River Simulation System
BA	Biological Assessment	CUP	Central Utah Project
BCO	Biological and Conference Opinion	CVWD	Coachella Valley Water District
BCPA	Boulder Canyon Project Act of 1928	DEIS	Draft Environmental Impact Statement
BHBF	Beach/Habitat-Building Flow	DO	dissolved oxygen
BIA	Bureau of Indian Affairs	DOE	United States Department of Energy
BLM	Bureau of Land Management	EA	Environmental Assessment
BMI	Basic Management, Inc.	EIR	Environmental Impact Report
°C	degrees Celsius	EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
CAP	Central Arizona Project		
CAWCD	Central Arizona Water Conservation District		

EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	LMNRA	Lake Mead National Recreation Area
ESA	Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended	LROC	Long-Range Operating Criteria
°F	degrees Fahrenheit	M&I	municipal and industrial
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement	maf	million acre-feet
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Mexico	United Mexican States
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact	mg/g	micrograms per gram
Forum	Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Forum	mg/l	milligram per liter
ft	feet	mg/m³	milligrams per cubic meter
FWCA	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act of 1934	MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
GCNRA	Glen Canyon National Recreation Area	MOC	Memorandum of Clarification
GRIC	Gila River Indian Community	MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
Gulf	Gulf of California	mph	miles per hour
GWh	gigawatt-hour	MSCP	Multi-Species Conservation Program for Lower Colorado River
HAVFISH	Lake Havasu Fishery Improvement Project	msl	mean sea level
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan	MW	megawatts
IBWC	International Boundary and Water Commission	MWD	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
IID	Imperial Irrigation District	MWh	megawatt-hours
Indian	American Indian	NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
Interior	U.S. Department of the Interior	NDOW	Nevada Division of Wildlife
ISM	Indexed Sequential Method	NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended
ITA	Indian Trust Asset	NFWG	Native Fish Work Group
kV	kilovolt(s)	NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act of 1966
LCRAS	Lower Colorado River Accounting System	NHWZ	New High Water Zone
LCRMSCP	Lower Colorado River Multi-Species Conservation Program	NIB	Northerly International Boundary
		NIIP	Navajo Indian Irrigation Project

NPS	National Park Service	SIB	Southerly International Boundary
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge	SNWA	Southern Nevada Water Authority
O&M	operation and maintenance	SNWS	Southern Nevada Water System
P.L.	Public Law	SRPMIC	Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community
PM	particulate matter	SWP	California State Water Project
ppm	parts per million	TDS	total dissolved solids
PPR	present perfected rights	Treaty	U.S.-Mexico Water Treaty of 1944
PVID	Palo Verde Irrigation District	UIIP	Uintah Indian Irrigation Project
Reclamation	United States Bureau of Reclamation	Umho/cm²	micromhos per centimeter squared
RM	river mile	USGS	United States Geological Survey
RMP	Resource Management Plan	USIBWC	United States Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission
ROD	Record of Decision	Western	Western Area Power Administration
San Carlos	San Carlos Apache Tribe	WSCC	Western States Coordinating Council
SCP	Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Program		
SDCWA	San Diego County Water Authority		
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974		
Secretary	United States Secretary of the Interior		
Section 7	Section 7 of the Federal Endangered Species Act		
Section 10	Section 10 of the Federal Endangered Species Act		
Service	United States Fish and Wildlife Service		