

# RECLAMATION

*Managing Water in the West*

## DRAFT Annual Operating Plan for Colorado River Reservoirs 2019

*Edits, in red, indicate changes from the Draft 2019 AOP posted on Reclamation's website for the 2019 AOP Second Consultation.*

*Hydrologic projections in this draft document of the 2019 AOP are based on the **August 2018 24-Month Study**. Subsequent drafts will be updated with contemporary projections of hydrology.*

*Text and values **highlighted in blue** are provisional and subject to change.*



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Background .....	1
Authority .....	2
Purpose .....	3
Summary of Projected 2019 Operations .....	4
Upper Basin <del>Delivery</del> .....	4
Lower Basin <del>Delivery</del> .....	4
1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty <del>Delivery</del> .....	5
<b>2018 HYDROLOGY SUMMARY AND RESERVOIR STATUS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>SYSTEM CONSERVATION .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2019 WATER SUPPLY ASSUMPTIONS .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>SUMMARY OF RESERVOIR OPERATIONS IN 2018 AND PROJECTED 2019</b>	
<b>RESERVOIR OPERATIONS .....</b>	<b>12</b>
Fontenelle Reservoir .....	13
Flaming Gorge Reservoir .....	13
Blue Mesa, Morrow Point, and Crystal Reservoirs (Aspinall Unit) .....	15
Navajo Reservoir .....	17
Lake Powell .....	18
Lake Mead .....	21
Lakes Mohave and Havasu .....	22
Bill Williams River .....	23
Senator Wash and Laguna Reservoirs .....	24
Imperial Dam .....	24
Gila River Flows .....	25
Warren H. Brock Reservoir .....	25
Yuma Desalting Plant .....	25
Off-stream Storage Agreements .....	25
Intentionally Created Surplus .....	26
Extraordinary Conservation ICS .....	26
System Efficiency ICS .....	27
Tributary Conservation ICS .....	27
Imported ICS .....	27
Binational ICS .....	27
Delivery of Water to Mexico .....	27
<b>2019 DETERMINATIONS .....</b>	<b>29</b>
Upper Basin <del>Reservoirs</del> .....	29
Lower Basin <del>Reservoirs</del> .....	30
1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty .....	32
<b>DISCLAIMER .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>34</b>

**LIST OF TABLES**

**Table 1. Reservoir Conditions on October 1, 2018 (English Units) ..... 7**  
**Table 2. Reservoir Conditions on October 1, 2018 (Metric Units)..... 7**  
**Table 3. Projected Unregulated Inflow into Lake Powell for Water Year 2019  
(English Units) ..... 11**  
**Table 4. Projected Unregulated Inflow into Lake Powell for Water Year 2019  
(Metric Units)..... 11**

DRAFT

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 3 Background

4  
5 Each year's Annual Operating Plan (AOP) for Colorado River Reservoirs reports on both the  
6 past operations of the Colorado River reservoirs for the completed year as well as projected  
7 operations and releases from these reservoirs for the current (i.e., upcoming) year.  
8 Accordingly, this 2019 AOP reports on 2018 operations as well as projected operations for  
9 2019. In recent years, additions to the Law of the River such as operational rules, guidelines,  
10 and decisions have been put into place for Colorado River reservoirs including the 1996 Glen  
11 Canyon Dam Record of Decision<sup>1</sup> (ROD), the Operating Criteria for Glen Canyon Dam,<sup>2</sup> the  
12 1999 Off-stream Storage of Colorado River Water Rule (43 CFR Part 414),<sup>3</sup> the 2001 Interim  
13 Surplus Guidelines<sup>4</sup> addressing operation of Hoover Dam, the 2006 Flaming Gorge Dam  
14 ROD,<sup>5</sup> the 2006 Navajo Dam ROD<sup>6</sup> to implement recommended flows for endangered fish, the  
15 2007 Interim Guidelines for the operations of Lake Powell and Lake Mead,<sup>7</sup> the 2012 Aspinall  
16 ROD,<sup>8</sup> the 2016 Glen Canyon Dam Long-Term Experimental and Management Plan (LTEMP)  
17 ROD,<sup>9</sup> and Minute No. 323 of the International Boundary and Water Commission (IBWC).<sup>10</sup>  
18 Each AOP incorporates these and other rules, guidelines, and decisions, and reports on how the  
19 criteria contained in the applicable decision document or documents are implemented. Thus,  
20 the AOP makes projections and reports on how the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) will  
21 implement these decisions in response to changing water supply conditions as they unfold  
22 during the upcoming year, when conditions become known. Congress has charged the

---

<sup>1</sup> ROD for the Operation of Glen Canyon Dam, October 9, 1996. Available online at:  
[https://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/pdfs/sp\\_appndxG\\_ROD.pdf](https://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/pdfs/sp_appndxG_ROD.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> The 1997 Operating Criteria for Glen Canyon Dam (62 *Federal Register* 9447, March 3, 1997) were in effect in  
water year 2017 (available online at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-1997-03-03/pdf/97-5144.pdf>).  
Following the implementation of the LTEMP ROD, the Glen Canyon Dam operating criteria were revised and are  
in effect in water year 2019 (available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/uc/water/crsp/studies/GCOC.pdf>).

<sup>3</sup> Off-stream Storage of Colorado River Water; Development and Release of Intentionally Created Unused  
Apportionment in the Lower Division States: Final Rule (43 CFR Part 414; 64 *Federal Register* 59006,  
November 1, 1999). Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/contracts/FinalRule43cfr414.pdf>.

<sup>4</sup> ROD for the Colorado River Interim Surplus Guidelines, January 16, 2001 (67 *Federal Register* 7772, January  
25, 2001). Available online at: [https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/surplus/surplus\\_rod\\_final.pdf](https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/surplus/surplus_rod_final.pdf).

<sup>5</sup> ROD for the Operation of Flaming Gorge Dam, February 16, 2006. Available online at:  
<https://www.usbr.gov/uc/envdocs/rod/fgFEIS/final-ROD-15feb06.pdf>.

<sup>6</sup> ROD for Navajo Reservoir Operations, Navajo Unit – San Juan River, New Mexico, Colorado, Utah, July 31,  
2006. Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/uc/envdocs/eis/navajo/pdfs/NavWaterOpsROD2006.pdf>.

<sup>7</sup> ROD for Colorado River Interim Guidelines for Lower Basin Shortages and the Coordinated Operations for Lake  
Powell and Lake Mead (73 *Federal Register* 19873, April 11, 2008). The ROD adopting the 2007 Interim  
Guidelines was signed by the Secretary on December 13, 2007. Available online at:  
<https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/programs/strategies/RecordofDecision.pdf>.

<sup>8</sup> ROD for the Aspinall Unit Operations, Final Environmental Impact Statement, April 2012. Available online at:  
<https://www.usbr.gov/uc/envdocs/eis/AspinallEIS/ROD.pdf>.

<sup>9</sup> ROD for the Glen Canyon Dam Long-Term Experimental and Management Plan Final Environmental Impact  
Statement, December 2016. Available online at: [http://ltempeis.anl.gov/documents/docs/LTEMP\\_ROD.pdf](http://ltempeis.anl.gov/documents/docs/LTEMP_ROD.pdf).

<sup>10</sup> IBWC Minute No. 323, Extension of Cooperative Measures and Adoption of a Binational Water Scarcity  
Contingency Plan in the Colorado River Basin dated September 21, 2017. Available online at:  
<https://www.ibwc.gov/Files/Minutes/Min323.pdf>.

1 Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) with stewardship and responsibility for a wide range of  
2 natural, cultural, recreational, and tribal resources within the Colorado River Basin. The  
3 Secretary has the authority to operate and maintain Reclamation facilities within the Colorado  
4 River Basin addressed in this AOP to help manage these resources and accomplish their  
5 protection and enhancement in a manner fully consistent with applicable provisions of Federal  
6 law including the Law of the River, applicable provisions of State law, and other project-  
7 specific operational limitations.  
8

9 The Secretary recognized in the 2007 Interim Guidelines that the AOP provides an integrated  
10 report on reservoir operations affected by numerous federal policies: *"The AOP is used to*  
11 *memorialize operational decisions that are made pursuant to individual federal actions (e.g.,*  
12 *ISG [the 2001 Interim Surplus Guidelines], 1996 Glen Canyon Dam ROD, this [2007 Interim*  
13 *Guidelines] ROD). Thus, the AOP serves as a single, integrated reference document required*  
14 *by section 602(b) of the CRBPA of 1968 [Colorado River Basin Project Act of September 30,*  
15 *1968 (Public Law 90-537)]<sup>11</sup> regarding past and anticipated operations."  
16*

## 17 Authority

18  
19 This 2019 AOP was developed in accordance with the processes set forth in: Section 602 of  
20 the CRBPA; the Criteria for Coordinated Long-Range Operation of Colorado River  
21 Reservoirs Pursuant to the Colorado River Basin Project Act of September 30, 1968  
22 (Public Law 90-537) (Operating Criteria), as amended, promulgated by the Secretary;<sup>12</sup> and  
23 Section 1804(c)(3) of the Grand Canyon Protection Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-575).<sup>13</sup>  
24

25 Section 602(b) of the CRBPA requires the Secretary to prepare and *"transmit to the Congress*  
26 *and to the Governors of the Colorado River Basin States a report describing the actual*  
27 *operation under the adopted criteria [i.e., the Operating Criteria] for the preceding compact*  
28 *water year and the projected operation for the current year."*  
29

30 This AOP has been developed consistent with: the Operating Criteria; applicable Federal laws;  
31 the Utilization of Waters of the Colorado and Tijuana Rivers and of the Rio Grande, the Treaty  
32 Between the United States of America and Mexico, signed February 3, 1944 (1944 United  
33 States-Mexico Water Treaty);<sup>14</sup> interstate compacts; court decrees; the Colorado River Water  
34 Delivery Agreement;<sup>15</sup> the 2007 Interim Guidelines; and other documents relating to the use of  
35 the waters of the Colorado River, which are commonly and collectively known as the Law of  
36 the River.  
37

38 The 2019 AOP was prepared by Reclamation on behalf of the Secretary, working with other  
39 Interior agencies and the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA). Reclamation

---

<sup>11</sup> Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/pao/pdf/crbproj.pdf>.

<sup>12</sup> Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/lroc/frmar2905.pdf>.

<sup>13</sup> Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/legal/gcpa1992.html>.

<sup>14</sup> Available online at: <https://www.ibwc.gov/Files/1944Treaty.pdf>.

<sup>15</sup> Colorado River Water Delivery Agreement: Federal Quantification Settlement Agreement for Purposes of Section 5(B) of Interim Surplus Guidelines, October 10, 2003 (69 *Federal Register* 12202, March 15, 2004). Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/crwda/crwda.pdf>.

1 consulted with: the seven Colorado River Basin States Governors’ representatives;  
2 representatives from Mexico; the Upper Colorado River Commission; Native American tribes;  
3 other appropriate Federal agencies; representatives of academic and scientific communities;  
4 environmental organizations; the recreation industry; water delivery contractors; contractors for  
5 the purchase of Federal power; others interested in Colorado River operations; and the general  
6 public through the Colorado River Management Work Group.  
7

8 Article I(2) of the Operating Criteria allows for revision of the projected plan of operation to  
9 reflect current hydrologic conditions with notification to the Congress and the Governors of the  
10 Colorado River Basin States of any changes by June of each year. The process for revision of  
11 the AOP is further described in Section 7.C of the 2007 Interim Guidelines. Any revision to  
12 the final AOP may occur only through the AOP consultation process as required by applicable  
13 Federal law.  
14

## 15 **Purpose**

16  
17 The purpose of the AOP is to report on the past year’s operations and illustrate the potential  
18 range of reservoir operations that might be expected in the upcoming water year, and to  
19 determine or address: (1) the quantity of water considered necessary to be in storage in the  
20 Upper Basin reservoirs as of September 30, 2019, pursuant to Section 602(a) of the CRBPA;  
21 (2) water available for delivery pursuant to the 1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty and  
22 Minutes No. 242,<sup>16</sup> 322,<sup>17</sup> and 323 of the IBWC; (3) whether the reasonable consumptive use  
23 requirements of mainstream users in the Lower Division States will be met under a “Normal,”  
24 “Surplus,” or “Shortage” Condition as outlined in Article III of the Operating Criteria and as  
25 implemented by the 2007 Interim Guidelines; and (4) whether water apportioned to, but unused  
26 by one or more Lower Division States, exists and can be used to satisfy beneficial consumptive  
27 use requests of mainstream users in other Lower Division States as provided in the  
28 Consolidated Decree of the Supreme Court of the United States in *Arizona v. California*, 547  
29 U.S. 150 (2006) (Consolidated Decree).<sup>18</sup>  
30

31 Consistent with the above determinations and in accordance with other applicable provisions of  
32 the Law of the River, the AOP was developed with “appropriate consideration of the uses of  
33 the reservoirs for all purposes, including flood control, river regulation, beneficial consumptive  
34 uses, power production, water quality control, recreation, enhancement of fish and wildlife, and  
35 other environmental factors” (Operating Criteria, Article I(2)).  
36

37 Since the hydrologic conditions of the Colorado River Basin can never be completely known in  
38 advance, the AOP presents projected operations resulting from three different hydrologic  
39 scenarios: the minimum probable, most probable, and maximum probable reservoir inflow  
40 conditions. Projected reservoir operations are modified during the water year as runoff

---

<sup>16</sup> IBWC Minute No. 242, Permanent and Definitive Solution to the International Problem of the Salinity of the Colorado River dated August 30, 1973. Available online at: <https://www.ibwc.gov/Files/Minutes/Min242.pdf>.

<sup>17</sup> IBWC Minute No. 322, Extension of the Temporary Emergency Delivery of Colorado River Water for use in Tijuana, Baja California dated January 19, 2017. Available online at: [https://www.ibwc.gov/Files/Minutes/Minute\\_322\\_1.pdf](https://www.ibwc.gov/Files/Minutes/Minute_322_1.pdf).

<sup>18</sup> Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/pao/pdfiles/scconsolidateddecree2006.pdf>.



1 forecasts are adjusted to reflect existing snowpack, basin storage, flow conditions, and as  
2 changes occur in projected water deliveries.  
3

#### 4 **Summary of Projected 2019 Operations**

5  
6 **Upper Basin-Delivery.** Taking into account (1) the existing water storage conditions in the  
7 basin, (2) the August 2018 24-Month Study<sup>19</sup> projection of the most probable near-term water  
8 supply conditions in the basin, and (3) Section 6.B of the 2007 Interim Guidelines, the Upper  
9 Elevation Balancing Tier will govern the operation of Lake Powell for water year 2019. The  
10 August 2018 24-Month Study of the most probable inflow scenario projects the water year  
11 2019 release from Glen Canyon Dam to be 9.00 million acre-feet (maf) (11,100 million cubic  
12 meters [mcm]). Given the hydrologic variability of the Colorado River System and based on  
13 actual 2018 water year operations, the projected water year release from Lake Powell in 2019 is  
14 likely to be in the estimated range of 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm) to 9.0 maf (11,100 mcm) or  
15 greater.  
16

17 For further information about the variability of projected inflow into Lake Powell, see the 2019  
18 Water Supply Assumptions section and the Lake Powell section within the Summary of  
19 Reservoir Operations in 2018 and Projected 2019 Reservoir Operations, and  
20 Tables 3 and 4.  
21

22 **Lower Basin-Delivery.** Taking into account (1) the existing water storage conditions in the  
23 basin, (2) the most probable near-term water supply conditions in the basin, and (3) Section  
24 2.B.5 of the 2007 Interim Guidelines, the Intentionally Created Surplus (ICS) Surplus  
25 Condition will govern the operation of Lake Mead for calendar year 2019 in accordance with  
26 Article III(3)(b) of the Operating Criteria and Article II(B)(2) of the Consolidated Decree.  
27

28 No unused apportionment for calendar year 2019 is anticipated. If any unused apportionment  
29 becomes available after adoption of this AOP, Reclamation, on behalf of the Secretary, may  
30 allocate any such available unused apportionment for calendar year 2019. Any such allocation  
31 shall be made in accordance with Article II(B)(6) of the Consolidated Decree, the Lower  
32 Colorado Region Policy for Apportioned but Unused Water<sup>20</sup> (Unused Water Policy), and  
33 giving further consideration to the water conservation objectives of the July 30, 2014  
34 agreement for a pilot system conservation program (PSCP)<sup>21</sup> and the December 10, 2014  
35 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for Lower Basin Pilot Drought Response Actions.<sup>22</sup>  
36

37 In calendar year 2019, Colorado River water may be stored off-stream pursuant to individual  
38 Storage and Interstate Release Agreements (SIRAs) and 43 CFR Part 414 within the Lower

---

<sup>19</sup> The 24-Month Study refers to the operational study conducted by Reclamation to project future reservoir operations. The most recent 24-Month Study report is available on Reclamation's Water Operations websites and is updated each month. Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/uc/water/crsp/studies/index.html> and <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/24mo/index.html>.

<sup>20</sup> Lower Colorado Region Policy for Apportioned but Unused Water, February 11, 2010. Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/UnusedWaterPolicy.pdf>.

<sup>21</sup> Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/programs/PilotSysConsProg/PilotSCPFundingAgreement7-30-2014.pdf>.

<sup>22</sup> Available online at: [https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/LB\\_DroughtResponseMOU.pdf](https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/LB_DroughtResponseMOU.pdf).

1 Division States. The Secretary shall make Intentionally Created Unused Apportionment  
2 (ICUA) available to contractors in Arizona, California, or Nevada pursuant to individual SIRAs  
3 and 43 CFR Part 414.  
4

5 The Inadvertent Overrun and Payback Policy (IOPP),<sup>23</sup> which became effective January 1,  
6 2004, will be in effect during calendar year 2019.  
7

8 Conserved Colorado River water is anticipated to be added to system reservoirs pursuant to  
9 system conservation agreements in calendar year 2019.  
10

11 The 2007 Interim Guidelines adopted the ICS mechanism that among other things encourages  
12 the efficient use and management of Colorado River water in the Lower Basin. ICS may be  
13 created and delivered in calendar year 2019 pursuant to the 2007 Interim Guidelines and  
14 applicable forbearance and delivery agreements.  
15

16 **1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty Delivery**. A volume of 1,500 maf (1,850 mcm) of  
17 water will be available to be scheduled for delivery to Mexico during calendar year 2019 in  
18 accordance with Article 15 of the 1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty and Minutes No.  
19 242 and 322 of the IBWC. In accordance with IBWC Minute No. 323, Mexico may create  
20 water for or take delivery of water from Mexico's Water Reserve pursuant to Section V of  
21 IBWC Minute No. 323.

---

<sup>23</sup> ROD for Implementation Agreement, Inadvertent Overrun and Payback Policy, and Related Federal Actions, Final Environmental Impact Statement, October 10, 2003 (69 *Federal Register* 12202, March 15, 2004). Available online at: [https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/crwda/crwda\\_rod.pdf](https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/crwda/crwda_rod.pdf).



## 2018 HYDROLOGY SUMMARY AND RESERVOIR STATUS

Below average stream flows were observed throughout much of the Colorado River Basin during water year 2018. Unregulated<sup>24</sup> inflow to Lake Powell in water year 2018 was 4.76 maf (5,870 mcm), or 44 percent of the 30-year average<sup>25</sup> which is 10.83 maf (13,360 mcm). Unregulated inflow to Flaming Gorge, Blue Mesa, and Navajo Reservoirs was 114, 35, and 21 percent of average, respectively.

Precipitation in the Upper Colorado River Basin was below average<sup>26</sup> during water year 2018. On September 30, 2018, the cumulative precipitation received within the Upper Colorado River Basin for water year 2018 was 44 percent of average.

Snowpack conditions trended below average<sup>27</sup> across most of the Colorado River Basin throughout the snow accumulation season. The basin-wide snow water equivalent measured 73 percent of average on April 1, 2018. Total seasonal accumulation peaked at approximately 73 percent of average on March 30, 2018. On April 1, 2018, the snow water equivalents for the Green River, Upper Colorado River Headwaters, and San Juan River Basins were 107, 88, and 56 percent of average, respectively.

During the 2018 spring runoff period, inflows to Lake Powell peaked on May 29, 2018 at approximately 23,320 cubic feet per second (cfs) (660 cubic meters per second [cms]). The April through July unregulated inflow volume for Lake Powell was 2.60 maf (3,210 mcm) which was 36 percent of average.

Lower Basin tributary inflows above Lake Mead were below average for water year 2018. Tributary inflow from the Little Colorado River for water year 2018 totaled 0.039 maf (48 mcm), or 27 percent of average. Tributary inflow from the Virgin River for water year 2018 totaled 0.093 maf (115 mcm), or 51 percent of average.

Tributary inflows in the Lower Colorado River Basin below Hoover Dam were below average during water year 2018. Total tributary inflow for water year 2018 from the Bill Williams River was 0.050 maf (62 mcm), and total tributary inflow from the Gila River was 0.013 maf (16 mcm).<sup>28</sup>

The Colorado River total system storage experienced a net decrease of 4.51 maf (5,570 mcm) in water year 2018. Reservoir storage in Lake Powell decreased during water year 2018 by

---

<sup>24</sup> Unregulated inflow adjusts for the effects of operations at upstream reservoirs. It is computed by adding the change in storage and the evaporation losses from upstream reservoirs to the observed inflow. Unregulated inflow is used because it provides an inflow time series that is not biased by upstream reservoir operations.

<sup>25</sup> Inflow statistics throughout this document will be compared to the mean of the 30-year period 1981-2010, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>26</sup> Precipitation statistics throughout this document are provided by the National Weather Service's Colorado Basin River Forecast Center and are based on the mean for the 30-year period 1981-2010, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>27</sup> Snowpack and snow water equivalent statistics throughout this document are provided by the Natural Resources Conservation Service and are based on the median for the 30-year period 1981-2010, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>28</sup> Tributary inflows from the Bill Williams River and Gila River to the mainstream are very sporadic. These flows occur very seldom and when they do they are typically of high magnitude.

1 3.37 maf (4,160 mcm). Reservoir storage in Lake Mead decreased during water year 2018 by  
 2 0.264 maf (330 mcm). At the beginning of water year 2018 (October 1, 2017), Colorado River  
 3 total system storage was 55 percent of capacity. As of September 30, 2018, total system  
 4 storage was 48 percent of capacity.

5

6 Tables 1 and 2 list the October 1, 2018, reservoir vacant space, live storage, water elevation,  
 7 percent of capacity, change in storage, and change in water elevation during water year 2018.  
 8

8

9

**Table 1. Reservoir Conditions on October 1, 2018 (English Units)**

Reservoir	Vacant Space	Live Storage	Water Elevation	Percent of Capacity	Change in Storage*	Change in Elevation*
	(maf)	(maf)	(ft)	(%)	(maf)	(ft)
Fontenelle	0.046	0.299	6,500.0	87	0.036	4.8
Flaming Gorge	0.350	3.40	6,031.3	91	-0.091	-2.3
Blue Mesa	0.553	0.276	7,443.2	33	-0.456	-65.2
Navajo	0.737	0.958	6,024.8	56	-0.331	-30.5
Lake Powell	13.03	11.29	3,595.1	46	-3.37	-33.2
Lake Mead	16.20	9.92	1,078.9	38	-0.264	-3.2
Lake Mohave	0.246	1.56	638.0	86	-0.039	-1.5
Lake Havasu	0.049	0.571	447.5	92	0.006	0.3
Totals	31.21	28.28		48	-4.51	

10

\* From October 1, 2018, to September 30, 2019.

11

12

**Table 2. Reservoir Conditions on October 1, 2018 (Metric Units)**

Reservoir	Vacant Space	Live Storage	Water Elevation	Percent of Capacity	Change in Storage*	Change in Elevation*
	(mcm)	(mcm)	(m)	(%)	(mcm)	(m)
Fontenelle	57	369	1,981.2	87	44	1.5
Flaming Gorge	431	4,190	1,838.3	91	-112	-0.7
Blue Mesa	682	340	2,268.7	33	-563	-19.9
Navajo	910	1,180	1,836.4	56	-409	-9.3
Lake Powell	16,070	13,900	1,095.8	46	-4,160	-10.1
Lake Mead	20,000	12,200	328.8	38	-326	-1.0
Lake Mohave	303	1,930	194.5	86	-48	-0.4
Lake Havasu	61	704	136.4	92	8	0.1
Totals	38,510	34,880		48	-5,570	

13

\* From October 1, 2018, to September 30, 2019.

## 1 SYSTEM CONSERVATION

2  
3 The Colorado River Basin is experiencing its worst drought in recorded history. Based on  
4 natural flow<sup>29</sup> on the Colorado River at Lees Ferry, Arizona, the period from 2000 through  
5 2018 was the driest 19-year period in more than 100 years of record keeping. During this time,  
6 storage in Colorado River system reservoirs has declined from nearly full to about half of  
7 capacity. Entities that rely on Colorado River water are concerned with the ongoing drought  
8 and declining reservoir levels at Lake Powell and Lake Mead. In response, several programs  
9 are being implemented to help mitigate the impact of the ongoing drought.

10  
11 System conservation agreements allow water users to participate in pilot projects designed to  
12 determine whether voluntary, temporary, and compensated programs to conserve or reduce  
13 consumptive use of Colorado River water can benefit the entire Colorado River system by  
14 mitigating the effect on declining storage levels in Colorado River reservoirs.

15  
16 An agreement for system conservation (Funding Agreement) was executed in 2014 among  
17 Reclamation, the Central Arizona Water Conservation District (CAWCD), The Metropolitan  
18 Water District of Southern California (MWD), Denver Water, and the Southern Nevada Water  
19 Authority (SNWA) (collectively, the funding partners). ~~This agreement~~The Funding  
20 Agreement established the PSCP (also referred to in the Upper Basin as the System  
21 Conservation Pilot Program or SCPP)<sup>30</sup> to fund the creation of Colorado River system water  
22 through voluntary water conservation actions and reductions in water use. Pilot projects have  
23 been funded by the funding partners with additional support from private philanthropic  
24 foundations and California's Six Agency Committee. For the period from 2015 through 2018,  
25 agreements for 64 projects were implemented in the Upper Basin resulting in approximately  
26 47,000 acre-feet (58 mcm) of system water, and agreements for 11 projects were implemented  
27 in the Lower Basin resulting in approximately 147,000 acre-feet (181 mcm) of system water.  
28 Additional agreements may be implemented in 2019 in the Lower Basin.

29  
30 The Funding Agreement was amended on July 6, 2018 to provide that entities other than  
31 funding partners may directly participate in both funding PSCP costs and reviewing proposals  
32 under the PSCP in the Lower Basin, and in the Upper Basin subject to approval of the Upper  
33 Colorado River Commission (UCRC). The UCRC is the contracting entity for the SCPP in the  
34 Upper Basin. In June 2018, the UCRC decided to temporarily cease to act as the contracting  
35 entity after fulfilling its commitments for 2018.<sup>31</sup>

36  
37 Pursuant to Public Law 113-235,<sup>32</sup> a report from the Secretary submitted to Congress a report  
38 evaluating the effectiveness of the water conservation pilot projects is due to Congress. ~~on~~

---

<sup>29</sup> Natural flow represents the flow that would have occurred at the location had depletions and reservoir regulation not been present upstream of that location.

<sup>30</sup> More information about the PSCP in the Lower Basin and the SCPP in the Upper Basin can be found at:  
<https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/programs/PilotSysConsProg/pilotsystem.html> and  
<http://www.ucrcommission.com/RepDoc/SCPilotP.html>.

<sup>31</sup> June 20, 2018 Resolution of the UCRC, available at:  
<http://www.ucrcommission.com/RepDoc/SCPPDocuments/DemandMgmtResolution062018.pdf>.

<sup>32</sup> Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015 (Public Law 113-235, Div. D., Secs. 204-206) (December 16, 2014).

1 ~~September XX, 2018~~, including a recommendation on whether the activities undertaken by the  
2 pilot projects should be continued.

3  
4 In addition to the PSCP, Reclamation, CAWCD, MWD, SNWA, and the Lower Division States  
5 signed an MOU on December 10, 2014 to use best efforts to implement further voluntary  
6 measures designed to add to storage in Lake Mead.

7

DRAFT

## 2019 WATER SUPPLY ASSUMPTIONS

For 2019 operations, three reservoir unregulated inflow scenarios were developed and analyzed: minimum probable, most probable, and maximum probable.

There is considerable uncertainty associated with streamflow forecasts and projections of reservoir operations made a year in advance. The National Weather Service's Colorado Basin River Forecast Center (CBRFC) forecasts the inflow for the minimum probable (90 percent exceedance), most probable (50 percent exceedance), and maximum probable (10 percent exceedance) inflow scenarios using an Ensemble Streamflow Prediction model. Based upon the August CBRFC forecast, the range of unregulated inflows is projected to be as follows:

- The forecasted minimum probable unregulated inflow to Lake Powell in water year 2019 is 4.8 maf (5,920 mcm), or 44 percent of average.
- The forecasted most probable unregulated inflow to Lake Powell in water year 2019 is 8.1 maf (9,990 mcm), or 75 percent of average.
- The forecasted maximum probable unregulated inflow to Lake Powell in water year 2019 is 15.6 maf (19,240 mcm), or 144 percent of average.

Projected unregulated inflow volumes into Lake Powell for specific time periods for these three forecasted inflow scenarios are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Inflows to the mainstream from Lake Powell to Lake Mead, Lake Mead to Lake Mohave, Lake Mohave to Lake Havasu, and below Lake Havasu are projected using historic data over the five-year period of January 2013 through December 2017, inclusive. These five years of historic data are representative of the most recent hydrologic conditions in the Lower Basin. The most probable side inflows into each reach are estimated as the arithmetic mean of the five-year record. The maximum probable and minimum probable projections for each reach are the 10 percent and 90 percent exceedance values, respectively, of the five-year record. For the reach from Lake Powell to Lake Mead, the minimum probable inflow during water year 2019 is 0.701 maf (865 mcm), the most probable inflow is 0.796 maf (982 mcm), and the maximum probable inflow is 0.878 maf (1,080 mcm).

The projected monthly volumes of inflow were input into the 24-Month Study and used to project potential reservoir operations for 2019. Starting with the August 2018 24-Month Study projection of the October 1, 2018 reservoir storage conditions, the projected monthly releases for each reservoir were adjusted until release and storage levels best accomplished project purposes and applicable operational objectives.

For the latest monthly projections for the major reservoirs in the Colorado River system, please see the most recent 24-Month Study report available on these Reclamation websites:

<https://www.usbr.gov/uc/water/crsp/studies/index.html>, or  
<https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/24mo/index.html>.

1  
2

**Table 3. Projected Unregulated Inflow into Lake Powell for Water Year 2019  
(English Units)<sup>33</sup>**

Time Period	Minimum Probable (maf)	Most Probable (maf)	Maximum Probable (maf)
10/2018 – 12/2018	0.98	1.01	1.31
1/2019 – 3/2019	1.04	1.02	1.62
4/2019 – 7/2019	2.50	5.36	11.28
8/2019 – 9/2019	0.29	0.71	1.39
10/2019 – 12/2019	1.01	1.27	1.67
WY 2019	4.80	8.10	15.60
CY 2019	4.83	8.35	15.96

3  
4  
5

**Table 4. Projected Unregulated Inflow into Lake Powell for Water Year 2019  
(Metric Units)**

Time Period	Minimum Probable (mcm)	Most Probable (mcm)	Maximum Probable (mcm)
10/2018 – 12/2018	1,210	1,250	1,620
1/2019 – 3/2019	1,280	1,260	2,000
4/2019 – 7/2019	3,080	6,610	13,910
8/2019 – 9/2019	360	880	1,710
10/2019 – 12/2019	1,240	1,570	2,060
WY 2019	5,930	10,000	19,240
CY 2019	5,960	10,310	19,680

6

<sup>33</sup> All values in Tables 3 and 4 are projected inflows based upon the August 2018 CBRFC forecast with the exception of the values for 10/2019-12/2019. The values for 10/2019-12/2019 are based upon average unregulated inflow from 1981-2010. The calendar year totals in Tables 3 and 4 also reflect average values for the 10/2019-12/2019 time period. The CBRFC Most Probable forecast is issued as monthly values. The CBRFC Minimum and Maximum Probable forecasts are issued as water year totals, which Reclamation disaggregates to monthly values using monthly proportions of the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles, respectively, of the 1981-2010 unregulated inflow.

## **SUMMARY OF RESERVOIR OPERATIONS IN 2018 AND PROJECTED 2019 RESERVOIR OPERATIONS**

The operation of the Colorado River reservoirs has affected some aquatic and riparian resources. Controlled releases from dams have modified temperature, sediment load, and flow patterns, resulting in increased productivity of some riparian and non-native aquatic resources and the development of economically significant sport fisheries. However, these same releases can have detrimental effects on endangered and other native species. Operating strategies designed to protect and enhance aquatic and riparian resources have been established after appropriate National Environmental Policy Act compliance at several locations in the Colorado River Basin.

In the Upper Basin, public stakeholder work groups have been established at Fontenelle Dam, Flaming Gorge Dam, the Aspinall Unit, and Navajo Dam. These work groups provide a public forum for dissemination of information regarding ongoing and projected reservoir operations throughout the year and allow stakeholders the opportunity to provide information and feedback with respect to ongoing reservoir operations. Additionally, the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group (AMWG)<sup>34</sup> was established in 1997 as a chartered committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-463).

Modifications to projected operations are routinely made based on changes in forecasted conditions or other relevant factors. Within the parameters set forth in the Law of the River and consistent with the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program (UCRIP),<sup>35</sup> the San Juan River Basin Recovery Implementation Program (SJ RIP),<sup>36</sup> Section 7 consultations under the Endangered Species Act, and other downstream concerns, modifications to projected monthly operations may be based on other factors in addition to changes in streamflow forecasts. Decisions on spring peak releases and downstream habitat target flows may be made midway through the runoff season. Reclamation will conduct meetings with Recovery Program participants, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), other Federal agencies, representatives of the Basin States, and with public stakeholder work groups to facilitate the discussions necessary to finalize site-specific projected operations.

The following paragraphs discuss reservoir operations in 2018 and the range of probable projected 2019 operations of each of the reservoirs with respect to applicable provisions of compacts, the Consolidated Decree, statutes, regulations, contracts, and instream flow needs for maintaining or improving aquatic and riparian resources where appropriate.

---

<sup>34</sup> Information on the AMWG can be found at: <https://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/amp/index.html>.

<sup>35</sup> Information on the UCRIP can be found at: <http://coloradoriverrecovery.org>.

<sup>36</sup> Information on the SJ RIP can be found at: <https://www.fws.gov/southwest/sjrip>.



1 **Fontenelle Reservoir**

2  
3 Reservoir storage in Fontenelle increased during water year 2018. At the beginning of water  
4 year 2018, Fontenelle storage was 76 percent of live capacity at elevation 6,495.21 feet  
5 (1979.74 meters), with 0.263 maf (324 mcm) in storage. The unregulated inflow to Fontenelle  
6 during water year 2018 was 1.44 maf (1,780 mcm) which is 131 percent of average. At the end  
7 of the water year, September 30, 2018, Fontenelle storage was at 80 percent of live capacity at  
8 elevation 6,500.01 feet (1,981.20 meters), with 0.299 maf (369 mcm) resulting in a net increase  
9 during water year 2018 of 0.036 maf (44 mcm).

10  
11 Hydrologic conditions in the Upper Green River Basin above Fontenelle were above average in  
12 water year 2018. Snowpack development tracked above median with wet fall conditions  
13 increasing soil moisture resulting in above average runoff forecasts. Peak snow water  
14 equivalent reached 133 percent of seasonal median on April 10, 2018. The June forecast for the  
15 April through July inflow into Fontenelle Reservoir was 0.98 maf (1,209 mcm), or 135 percent  
16 of average. The observed inflow during the April to July season was 0.997 maf (1,230 mcm),  
17 or 137 percent of average.

18  
19 Fontenelle Reservoir filled in water year 2018. The reservoir elevation peaked at 6,504.22 feet  
20 (1,982.49 meters) on July 24, 2018, which was 1.28 feet (0.39 meters) below the spillway crest.  
21 Inflow peaked at 10,600 cfs (300 cms) on June 21, 2018. Reservoir releases were made to  
22 balance downstream water resources needs and power production, while also allowing for  
23 filling the reservoir to maintain sufficient water in storage for use through the fall and winter  
24 months. Releases peaked at 7,000 cfs (198 cms) on June 6, 2018 and were reduced to X,XXX  
25 cfs (XX.X cms) in September.

26  
27 Based on the August 2018 24-Month Study, the most probable April through July inflow  
28 scenario for Fontenelle Reservoir during water year 2019 is 0.675 maf (833 mcm) or 90 percent  
29 of average. This volume exceeds the 0.345 maf (426 mcm) storage capacity of Fontenelle  
30 Reservoir. For this reason, the most probable and maximum probable inflow scenarios would  
31 require releases during the spring that exceed the capacity of the powerplant to avoid  
32 uncontrolled spills from the reservoir. It is likely that Fontenelle Reservoir will fill during  
33 water year 2019. In order to minimize high spring releases and to maximize downstream water  
34 resources and power production, the reservoir will most likely be drawn down to about  
35 elevation 6,468.00 feet (1,971.45 meters) by early April 2019, which is 5.00 feet (1.52 meters)  
36 above the minimum operating level for power generation, and corresponds to a volume of  
37 0.111 maf (137 mcm) of live storage.

## 1 Flaming Gorge Reservoir

2  
3 Reservoir storage in Flaming Gorge decreased during water year 2018. At the beginning of  
4 water year 2018, Flaming Gorge storage was 93 percent of live capacity at elevation 6033.63  
5 feet (1839.05 meters), with 3.49 maf (4,300 mcm) in storage. The unregulated inflow to  
6 Flaming Gorge during water year 2018 was 1.66 maf (2,050 mcm) which is 114 percent of  
7 average. At the end of the water year, Flaming Gorge storage was at 91 percent of live capacity  
8 at elevation 6,031.33 feet (1,838.35 meters), with 3.40 maf (4,190 mcm) resulting in a net  
9 decrease during water year 2018 of 0.091 maf (112 mcm).

10  
11 Flaming Gorge Dam operations in 2018 were conducted in compliance with the 2006 Flaming  
12 Gorge ROD. Reclamation convened the Flaming Gorge Technical Working Group (FGTWG)  
13 comprised of Service, WAPA, and Reclamation personnel. The FGTWG proposed that  
14 Reclamation manage releases to the Green River to meet the commitments of the 2006 Flaming  
15 Gorge ROD and, to the extent possible, meet the experimental design parameters outlined in  
16 the UCRIP Larval Trigger Study Plan (LTSP) for the endangered razorback sucker.<sup>37</sup> Larvae  
17 were detected on May 18, 2018. After public notification, releases from Flaming Gorge Dam  
18 were increased to full powerplant capacity on May 22, 2018. Bypass releases were utilized to  
19 bring the total release from Flaming Gorge Dam to 6,600 cfs (187 cms) for one day, starting on  
20 May 29, 2018, to enhance floodplain operations in the middle Green River for the benefit of  
21 endangered species.

22  
23 In total, Flaming Gorge Dam released at or above powerplant capacity releases of 4,600 cfs  
24 (130 cms) for 9 days during the April through July runoff period. Yampa River flows at the  
25 Deerlodge gage peaked at 8,690 cfs (246 cms) on May 13, 2018. The peak release from  
26 Flaming Gorge Dam occurred after the Yampa River peak to support larval entrainment and  
27 reservoir management during the high spring inflows. Flows measured on the Green River at  
28 the Jensen, Utah gage reached levels at or above 10,000 cfs (283 cms) for 9 days between May  
29 23 and May 31, 2018 with a peak of 12,100 cfs (342 cms) on May 30, 2018.

30  
31 Hydrologic conditions in the Upper Green River Basin above Flaming Gorge were above  
32 average in water year 2018. Snowpack development tracked above median with wet fall  
33 conditions increasing soil moisture resulting in above average runoff forecasts. Peak snow  
34 water equivalent reached 116 percent of seasonal median on April 11, 2018. The June forecast  
35 for the April through July inflow into Flaming Gorge Reservoir was 1.12 maf (1,380 mcm), or  
36 114 percent of average. The observed inflow during the April to July season was 1.12 maf  
37 (1,380 mcm), or 114 percent of average.

38  
39 Projected flow volumes from the Yampa River Basin were significantly different than projected  
40 flow volumes from the Upper Green River Basin and fell into the moderately dry hydrology  
41 classification. The 2006 Flaming Gorge ROD hydrologic classification for the Upper Green  
42 was characterized as average (below median). The flexibility in the ROD allows for a change  
43 in hydrology classification two higher and one lower than that designated by the unregulated  
44 inflow volume on May 1 depending upon Yampa River conditions. It was determined that,

---

<sup>37</sup> The LTSP's primary objective is to determine the effects of timing of Flaming Gorge spring release on razorback sucker larvae in the reach below the confluence of the Green and Yampa Rivers. The LTSP Report is available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/uc/water/crsp/wg/fg/twg/twgSummaries.html>.

1 with the drier conditions in the Yampa River Basin, the hydrologic classification was  
2 moderately dry and the LTSP hydrologic classification was moderately dry based on the May 1  
3 forecast. Flows at Jensen did meet or exceed 2006 Flaming Gorge ROD flow targets in Reach  
4 2 for the ROD Flow Recommendation of at least 8,300 cfs (235 cms) for 14 days.

5  
6 Consistent with the 2006 Flaming Gorge ROD, considering information provided to the  
7 FGTWG, moderately dry hydrologic conditions and in response to the Recovery Program's  
8 request, Reclamation operated Flaming Gorge Dam to produce flows in Reach 2 to assist in the  
9 recovery of Colorado Pikeminnow during the summer of 2018. The 2006 Flaming Gorge ROD  
10 base flow period hydrologic classification was moderately dry as of August 2018. Daily base  
11 flows fluctuated during the summer to meet or exceed 2,100 cfs (59.5 cms) on the Green River  
12 at Jensen, Utah through September 30, 2018.

13  
14 Under the August 2018 most probable inflow scenario, winter base flow releases are projected  
15 to be in the average classification range with a 16 percent increase above the average daily base  
16 flows calculated through the base flow period. Winter releases are projected to be  
17 approximately 2,100 cfs (59.5 cms). Daily base flows will likely fluctuate during the winter in  
18 response to hydropower needs during November through February and meet the average-year  
19 reservoir upper level drawdown elevation target of 6,027.00 feet (1,837.03 meters) by May 1,  
20 2019. A spring peak release is projected to occur in May or June 2019, and will be timed to  
21 coincide with either the peak flows of the Yampa River or emergence of razorback sucker  
22 larvae. Reclamation is considering long-term implementation strategies for the Recovery  
23 Program LTSP.

24  
25 The UCRIP, in coordination with Reclamation, the Service, and WAPA, will continue  
26 conducting studies associated with floodplain inundation. Such studies may result in  
27 alternatives for meeting flow and temperature recommendations at lower peak flow levels  
28 where feasible.<sup>38</sup>

### 30 **Blue Mesa, Morrow Point, and Crystal Reservoirs (Aspinall Unit)**

31  
32 Reservoir storage in Blue Mesa decreased during water year 2018. At the beginning of water  
33 year 2018, Blue Mesa storage was 83 percent of live capacity at elevation 7,508.43 feet  
34 (2,288.57 meters), with 0.732 maf (903 mcm) in storage. The unregulated inflow to Blue Mesa  
35 during water year 2018 was 0.445 maf (549 mcm) which was 47 percent of average. At the end  
36 of the water year, Blue Mesa storage was 33 percent of live capacity at elevation 7,443.22 feet  
37 (2,268.69 meters), with 0.276 maf (340 mcm) resulting in a net decrease during water year  
38 2018 of 0.456 maf (562 mcm).

39  
40 Below average snowpack conditions occurred during the winter months of water year 2018 in  
41 the Gunnison River Basin. Snow measurement sites in the basin reported below average  
42 seasonal snow water equivalent levels throughout the winter and into the spring of 2018

---

<sup>38</sup> Flow and Temperature Recommendations for Endangered Fishes in the Green River Downstream of Flaming Gorge Dam, September 2000. Available online at:  
[http://ulpeis.anl.gov/documents/dpeis/references/pdfs/Muth\\_et\\_al\\_2000.pdf](http://ulpeis.anl.gov/documents/dpeis/references/pdfs/Muth_et_al_2000.pdf).

1 resulting in an April 1, 2018 snow water equivalent for the Gunnison River Basin that was 67  
2 percent of average.

3  
4 The fall through winter releases from Crystal Dam varied between approximately 600 cfs (17.0  
5 cms) and 1,600 cfs (45.3 cms) from the beginning of November 2017 through the end of March  
6 2018. On March 14, 2018, releases from Crystal Dam were increased for operation of the  
7 Gunnison Tunnel. Flows through the Black Canyon were maintained within the range of  
8 approximately 450 cfs (12.7 cms) to approximately 650 cfs (18.4 cms) until May 14, 2018  
9 when releases from Crystal Dam were increased to full powerplant capacity for one day. The  
10 2012 Aspinall ROD flow targets and Black Canyon Decree<sup>39</sup> targets were met by these releases  
11 through the powerplant consistent with the 2012 Aspinall ROD flow targets.

12  
13 The May 2018 final forecast for the unregulated inflow to Blue Mesa for the April through July  
14 runoff period was 0.350 maf (432 mcm) which was 52 percent of average. This forecast was  
15 used to establish the hydrologic category of Dry as described in the 2012 Aspinall ROD. In  
16 years that fall within the Dry and Moderately Dry hydrologic category, if Blue Mesa falls  
17 below a storage level of 0.600 maf (740 mcm), there is no recommended peak flow target and  
18 the recommended baseflow target through the Whitewater reach of the Gunnison River is  
19 reduced from 1,050 cfs (29.7 cms) to 900 cfs (25.5 cms) until the storage level in Blue Mesa  
20 Reservoir recovers to a level above 0.600 maf (740 mcm). an initial peak flow target for the  
21 Gunnison River for the spring of 2018. The peak flow target was established to be a peak flow  
22 in the Gunnison River in the Whitewater Reach with a magnitude of no less than 900 cfs (25.5  
23 cms) for a duration of no less than one day. Reclamation operated the Aspinall Unit ~~consistent~~  
24 ~~with attempting to meet this peak flow target~~ by increasing total releases from Crystal Dam  
25 through the powerplant to 1,910 cfs (54.1 cms) for one day on May 14, 2018. These releases  
26 resulted in the flows in the Gunnison River, measured at the streamgage located near Grand  
27 Junction, Colorado,<sup>40</sup> ~~reaching flows resulted in one day with flows above 2,030 cfs (57.4 cms)~~  
28 ~~ending~~ on May 14, 2018.

29  
30 Releases from Crystal Dam during ~~Mayspring operation, consistent with the 2012 Aspinall~~  
31 ~~ROD peak flow target,~~ resulted in a 24-hour average peak flow through the Black Canyon and  
32 the Gunnison River Gorge of 1,010 cfs (28.6 cms) as measured at the streamgage located on the  
33 Gunnison River below the Gunnison Tunnel<sup>41</sup> ~~for 24 hours on May 14 and 15, 2018, and~~  
34 ~~Gunnison River flows in the Black Canyon exceeded the flows described in the Black Canyon~~  
35 ~~Water Right Decree.~~

36  
37 The actual April through July unregulated inflow into Blue Mesa Reservoir in 2018 was 0.237  
38 maf (292 mcm), which was 35 percent of average.

39  
40 For water year 2019, the Aspinall Unit will be operated in accordance with the 2012 Aspinall  
41 ROD, including all required consultations and consistent with applicable law, while  
42 maintaining and continuing to meet its Congressionally-authorized purposes.

43  

---

<sup>39</sup> Decree quantifying the Federal Reserved Water Right for Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park (State of Colorado District Court, Water Division Four, Case Number 01CW05), signed on December 31, 2008.

<sup>40</sup> United States Geological Survey (USGS) streamgage #09152500.

<sup>41</sup> USGS streamgage #09128000.

1 Based on the August 2018 24-Month Study, the projected most probable unregulated inflow for  
2 water year 2019 into Blue Mesa Reservoir is 0.760 maf (937 mcm), or 80 percent of average.  
3 The reservoir is expected to reach a seasonal low elevation of 7,420.88 feet (2,261.88 meters)  
4 by late May 2019. The peak elevation is expected to be approximately 7,462.24 feet (2,274.49  
5 meters) near the end of July 2019. By the end of water year 2019, Blue Mesa Reservoir is  
6 projected to be at elevation 7,450.56 feet (2,270.93 meters), with a storage of 0.316 maf (390  
7 mcm), or 38 percent of capacity.  
8

## 9 **Navajo Reservoir**

10  
11 Storage in Navajo Reservoir decreased during water year 2018. At the beginning of water year  
12 2018, Navajo storage was 77 percent of live capacity at elevation 6,055.28 feet (1,845.65  
13 meters), with 1.29 maf (1,590 mcm) in storage. The modified unregulated inflow<sup>42</sup> to Navajo  
14 during water year 2018 was 0.284 maf (350 mcm) which is 26 percent of average. At the end  
15 of the water year, Navajo storage was at 56 percent of live capacity at elevation 6,024.83 feet  
16 (1,836.37 meters), with 0.958 maf (1,180 mcm) resulting in a net decrease during water year  
17 2018 of 0.331 maf (408 mcm).  
18

19 Reservoir storage in Navajo largely declined throughout water year 2018 with the exception of  
20 a small spring peak elevation of 6,050.38 feet (1,844.16 meters) that occurred on May 19,  
21 2018. This was 34.6 feet (10.5 meters) below full pool. The April through July modified  
22 unregulated inflow into Navajo Reservoir in water year 2018 was 0.155 maf (191 mcm), or 21  
23 percent of average.  
24

25 The San Juan Flow Recommendations,<sup>43</sup> completed by the SJRIP in May 1999, provide flow  
26 recommendations that promote the recovery of the endangered Colorado pikeminnow and  
27 razorback sucker, maintain important habitat for these two species as well as the other native  
28 species, and provide information for the evaluation of continued water development in the  
29 basin. The flow recommendations are currently under review by the SJRIP.  
30

31 In water year 2018, Navajo Reservoir operated under the SJRIP and Reclamation's interim  
32 operations. Under the interim operations, releases for SJRIP recovery purposes are dependent  
33 on annual hydrology and available water may be released as a spring peak release, an  
34 augmentation of existing target base flows, or for some other SJRIP purposes. The interim  
35 operations specify that the reservoir releases will be calculated to target an End of Water Year  
36 Storage Target elevation of 6,063.00 feet (1,848.00 meters). The interim operations also  
37 specify a minimum elevation of 6,050.00 feet (1,844.04 meters) for the purposes of calculating  
38 water available to release as a spring peak release. All available water over this target, minus  
39 the water required for minimum releases and contracts, will be available to be released as a  
40 spring peak hydrograph if the SJRIP requests. The available water must equate to at least 21  
41 days at 5,000 cfs (142 cms) to be released.  
42

---

<sup>42</sup> Modified unregulated inflow into Navajo Reservoir is calculated as the observed inflow adjusted for the San Juan Chama diversions and change in storage at Vallecito Reservoir.

<sup>43</sup> Flow Recommendations for the San Juan River, May 1999. Available online at:  
[https://www.fws.gov/southwest/sjrip/pdf/DOC\\_Flow\\_recommendations\\_San\\_Juan\\_River.pdf](https://www.fws.gov/southwest/sjrip/pdf/DOC_Flow_recommendations_San_Juan_River.pdf).



1 Navajo Reservoir was operated in compliance with the 2006 Navajo Reservoir ROD in 2018,  
2 including the SJRIP’s target base flows. Based on the SJRIP and Reclamation’s interim  
3 operations for water year 2018, there was no spring peak release at Navajo Reservoir.  
4

5 During water year 2019, Navajo Reservoir will be operated in accordance with the 2006  
6 Navajo Reservoir ROD. Navajo Reservoir storage levels are expected to be **below average** in  
7 2019 under the most probable inflow forecast. Base releases from the reservoir will likely  
8 range from **250 cfs (7.1 cms)** to **600 cfs (17.0 cms)** through the winter. Based on the August  
9 2018 most probable April through July modified unregulated inflow forecast of 0.550 maf (678  
10 mcm) in 2019, the August 2018 24-Month Study projects no spring peak release would be  
11 recommended by the anticipated SJRIP and Reclamation’s interim operations for water year  
12 2019, though a short “maintenance release” may be conducted by Reclamation if conditions  
13 allow. The reservoir is projected to reach a peak elevation of 6,047.4 feet (1,843.3 meters) in  
14 June 2019. The reservoir is projected to reach a minimum elevation of 6,018.6 feet (1,834.5  
15 meters) in February 2019.  
16

17 Under the minimum probable 2019 April through July inflow forecast of 0.268 maf (330 mcm),  
18 there will be no spring peak release during the spring of 2019. Under the maximum probable  
19 2019 April through July inflow forecast of 1.01 maf (1,250 mcm), a 40-day spring peak release  
20 will be recommended as described by the anticipated SJRIP and Reclamation’s interim  
21 operations for water year 2019.  
22

23 In ~~2012~~2016, a four-year agreement on recommendations for San Juan River operations and  
24 administration was developed among major users to limit their water use in years ~~2013-~~  
25 ~~2016~~2017-2020 to the rates and volumes indicated in the agreement.<sup>44</sup> The agreement includes  
26 limitations on diversions for ~~2013-2016~~2017-2020, criteria for determining a shortage, and  
27 shortage-sharing requirements in the event of a water supply shortfall, including sharing of  
28 shortages between the water users and the flows for endangered fish habitat. This agreement is  
29 currently awaiting final signatures from the New Mexico State Engineer’s office, currently  
30 being revised for 2017-2020.  
31

## 32 **Lake Powell**

33  
34 Reservoir storage in Lake Powell decreased during water year 2018. At the beginning of water  
35 year 2018, Lake Powell storage was 60 percent of live capacity at elevation 3,628.31 feet  
36 (1,105.91 meters), with 14.66 maf (18,080 mcm) in storage. The unregulated inflow to Lake  
37 Powell during water year 2018 was **4.98 maf (6,140 mcm)** which is **46** percent of average. At  
38 the end of the water year, Lake Powell storage was at **48** percent of live capacity at elevation  
39 **3,595.13 feet (1,095.80 meters)**, with **11.29 maf (14,300 mcm)** resulting in a net decrease  
40 during water year 2018 of **3.37 maf (4,160 mcm)**.  
41

42 The August 2017 24-Month Study was run to project the January 1, 2018, elevations of Lake  
43 Powell and Lake Mead and determine the water year 2018 operating tier for Lake Powell.

---

<sup>44</sup> ~~Recommendations for San Juan River Operations and Administration for 2013-2016, July 2, 2012. Available  
online at: [https://www.fws.gov/southwest/sjrip/DR\\_SS03.cfm](https://www.fws.gov/southwest/sjrip/DR_SS03.cfm).~~

1 Using the most probable inflow scenario, and with an 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm) annual release  
2 pattern for Lake Powell, the January 1, 2018, reservoir elevations of Lake Powell and Lake  
3 Mead were projected to be 3,627.34 feet (1,105.61 meters) and 1,083.46 feet (330.24 meters),  
4 respectively. Given these projections, the annual release volume from Lake Powell during  
5 water year 2018 was consistent with the Upper Elevation Balancing Tier (Section 6.B of the  
6 2007 Interim Guidelines) and under Section 6.B.1, the annual release would be 8.23 maf  
7 (10,150 mcm).  
8

9 The Upper Elevation Balancing Tier provides for the possibility of adjustments to the operation  
10 of Lake Powell based on the projected end of water year condition of Lake Powell and Lake  
11 Mead from the April 24-Month Study. The April 2018 24-Month Study was run with an 8.23  
12 maf (10,150 mcm) annual release volume to project the September 30, 2018, elevations of Lake  
13 Powell and Lake Mead. Under the most probable inflow scenario, and with an 8.23 maf  
14 (10,150 mcm) annual release volume, the projected end of water year elevation at Lake Powell  
15 was 3,605.64 feet (1,099.00 meters) and Lake Mead was 1,070.07 feet (326.16 meters). Since  
16 the projected end of water year elevation at Lake Powell was below the 2018 Equalization  
17 elevation of 3,654.00 feet (1,113.74 meters) and above 3,575.00 feet (1,089.66 meters) and the  
18 projected Lake Mead elevation was below 1,075.00 feet (327.66 meters), Section 6.B.4 of the  
19 2007 Interim Guidelines governed for the remainder of water year 2018. Under Section 6.B.4,  
20 the Secretary shall balance the contents of Lake Mead and Lake Powell, but shall release not  
21 more than 9.00 maf (11,100 mcm) and not less than 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm) from Lake Powell.  
22 The annual release volume during water year 2018 was 9.00 maf (11,100 mcm).  
23

24 The April through July unregulated inflow to Lake Powell in water year 2018 was 2.60 maf  
25 (3,210 mcm) which was 36 percent of average. Lake Powell's water surface elevation  
26 decreased throughout most of water year 2018 starting the year at its peak value of 3,628.31  
27 feet (1,105.91 meters), which was 71.59 feet (21.82 meters) below full pool. This peak  
28 elevation corresponds to a live storage content of 14.66 maf (18,080 mcm).  
29

30 In December 2016, the Secretary signed the LTEMP ROD. In water year 2018, Glen Canyon  
31 Dam operations followed the LTEMP ROD.  
32

33 The ten-year total flow of the Colorado River at Lee Ferry<sup>45</sup> for water years 2009 through 2018  
34 is 91.63 maf (113,020 mcm). This total is computed as the sum of the flow of the Colorado  
35 River at Lees Ferry, Arizona, and the Paria River at Lees Ferry, Arizona, surface water  
36 discharge stations which are operated and maintained by the United States Geological Survey.  
37

38 **2019 Operating Tier and Projected Operations for Glen Canyon Dam.** The January 1,  
39 2019 reservoir elevations of Lake Powell and Lake Mead are projected under the most probable  
40 inflow scenario to be 3,586.55 feet (1,093.18 meters) and 1,079.50 feet (329.03 meters),  
41 respectively, based on the August 2018 24-Month Study. Given these projections, the  
42 operating tier and annual release volume from Lake Powell during water year 2019 will be  
43 consistent with the Upper Elevation Balancing Tier (Section 6.B of the 2007 Interim  
44 Guidelines) and, under Section 6.B.1, the annual release would be 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm).  
45 The Upper Elevation Balancing Tier provides for the possibility of adjustments to the operation

---

<sup>45</sup> A point in the mainstream of the Colorado River one mile below the mouth of the Paria River.



1 of Lake Powell based on the projected end of water year conditions of Lake Powell and Lake  
2 Mead from the April 24-Month Study.

3  
4 If the April 2019 24-Month Study, with a water year release volume of 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm)  
5 projects the September 30, 2019, Lake Powell elevation to be greater than 3,655.00 feet  
6 (1,114.04 meters), operations will be adjusted and the Equalization Tier will govern the  
7 operation of Lake Powell for the remainder of the water year consistent with Section 6.B.3. If  
8 this condition occurs, and an adjustment is made, the water year release volume will likely be  
9 greater than 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm) and will be determined based on the Equalization Tier as  
10 described in Section 6.A of the 2007 Interim Guidelines.

11  
12 If the April 2019 24-Month Study, with a water year release volume of 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm),  
13 projects the September 30, 2019, Lake Powell elevation to be at or above 3,575.00 feet  
14 (1,089.66 meters) and below the 2019 Equalization level of 3,655.00 feet (1,114.04 meters),  
15 and the September 30, 2019, Lake Mead elevation to be below 1,075.00 feet (327.66 meters),  
16 the Secretary shall balance the contents of Lake Mead and Lake Powell, but shall release not  
17 more than 9.00 maf (11,100 mcm) and not less than 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm) from Lake Powell  
18 in water year 2019 consistent with Section 6.B.4 of the 2007 Interim Guidelines.

19  
20 Under the minimum probable inflow scenario, the August 2018 24-Month Study, with a  
21 projected water year release volume of 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm) in water year 2019, projects that  
22 the elevations of Lake Powell and Lake Mead on September 30, 2019, would be 3562.18 feet  
23 (1,085.75 meters) and 1060.12 feet (323.12 meters), respectively. Based on these projections,  
24 an April adjustment to balancing is not projected to govern Lake Powell operations under the  
25 minimum probable inflow scenario and the water year release for 2019 is projected to be 8.23  
26 maf (10,150 mcm). The end of water year elevation and storage of Lake Powell is projected to  
27 be 3562.18 feet (1,085.75 meters) and 8.49 maf (10,472 mcm), respectively, based on the  
28 minimum probable inflow scenario.

29  
30 Under the most probable inflow scenario, the August 2018 24-Month Study, with a projected  
31 water year release volume of 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm) in water year 2019, projects that the  
32 elevations of Lake Powell and Lake Mead on September 30, 2019, would be 3,589.49 feet  
33 (1,094.08 meters) and 1,063.53 feet (324.16 meters), respectively. Based on these projections,  
34 under the most probable inflow scenario, an April adjustment to balancing is projected to occur  
35 during water year 2019. Consistent with Section 6.B.4, the 2019 water year release volume  
36 projected under the most probable inflow scenario is 9.00 maf (11,100 mcm) and the end of  
37 water year elevation and storage of Lake Powell is projected to be 3,581.52 feet (1,091.65  
38 meters) and 10.07 maf (12,421 mcm), respectively.

39  
40 Under the maximum probable inflow scenario, the August 2018 24-Month Study, with a  
41 projected water year release volume of 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm) in water year 2019, projects that  
42 the elevation of Lake Powell on September 30, 2019, would be 3,646.96 feet (1,111 meters).  
43 This elevation is below the Equalization Level for water year 2019 of 3,655 feet (1,114.04  
44 meters). Based on this projection, an April adjustment to balancing is projected to occur under  
45 the maximum probable inflow scenario and the water year release for 2019 is projected to be  
46 9.0 maf (11,100 mcm). The end of water year elevation and storage of Lake Powell is

1 projected to be 3,641.11 feet (1,110 meters) and 16.13 maf (19,896 mcm), respectively, based  
2 on the maximum probable inflow scenario.

3  
4 Maintenance of the eight generating units at Glen Canyon Dam requires them to be taken out of  
5 service, in pairs, once each year for approximately one month. Additionally, in water year  
6 2019, a unit is undergoing a rotor rebuild and another is undergoing a stator rewind both of  
7 which will be completed during the spring of 2019. Outages for annual maintenance and unit  
8 replacements are coordinated between Reclamation offices in Salt Lake City, Utah, and Page,  
9 Arizona, and WAPA to minimize impacts to operations.

10  
11 Because of less than full storage conditions in Lake Powell resulting from drought in the  
12 Colorado River Basin, releases from Glen Canyon Dam for dam safety purposes are highly  
13 unlikely in 2019. If implemented, releases greater than powerplant capacity would be made  
14 consistent with the 1956 Colorado River Storage Project Act,<sup>46</sup> the CRBPA, the LTEMP ROD,  
15 and the Glen Canyon Dam Operating Criteria.

16  
17 Releases from Lake Powell in water year 2019 will continue to reflect consideration of the uses  
18 and purposes identified in the authorizing legislation for Glen Canyon Dam. Monthly releases  
19 will also be consistent with the LTEMP ROD and applicable Secretarial decisions, and are  
20 updated to be consistent with annual volumes determined pursuant to the 2007 Interim  
21 Guidelines.

22  
23 For the latest monthly projections for Lake Powell, please see the most recent 24-Month Study  
24 report available on Reclamation's Upper Colorado Region Water Operations website:  
25 <https://www.usbr.gov/uc/water/crsp/studies/index.html>.

26  
27 Daily and hourly releases in 2019 will be made according to the parameters of the Glen Canyon  
28 Dam Operating Criteria. These parameters set the maximum and minimum flows and ramp  
29 rates within which reservoir releases must be made. Exceptions to these parameters will be  
30 made in accordance with the Emergency Exception Criteria as described in the Glen Canyon  
31 Dam Operating Criteria.

32  
33 During water year 2019, the Department of the Interior will coordinate planning for  
34 experimental flows from Glen Canyon Dam in accordance with the 2016 Glen Canyon Dam  
35 LTEMP ROD.

## 36 37 **Lake Mead**

38  
39 For calendar year 2018, the ICS Surplus Condition was the criterion governing the operation of  
40 Lake Mead in accordance with Article III(3)(b) of the Operating Criteria, Article II(B)(2) of the  
41 Consolidated Decree, and Section 2.B.5 of the 2007 Interim Guidelines. Delivery of water to  
42 Mexico was scheduled in accordance with Article 15 of the 1944 United States-Mexico Treaty  
43 and Minutes No. 242, 322, and 323 of the IBWC.

44  

---

<sup>46</sup> Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/pao/pdfiles/crspuc.pdf>.

1 Lake Mead began water year 2018 on October 1, 2017, at elevation 1,082.05 feet (329.81  
2 meters), with 10.18 maf (12,560 mcm) in storage, which is 39 percent of the conservation  
3 capacity<sup>47</sup> of 26.12 maf (32,220 mcm). Lake Mead ended water year 2018 at elevation  
4 1,078.87 feet (328.84 meters) with 9.92 maf (12,240 mcm) in storage (38 percent of capacity)  
5 on September 30, 2018.

6  
7 The total release from Lake Mead through Hoover Dam during water year 2018 was 9.23 maf  
8 (11,390 mcm). The total release from Lake Mead through Hoover Dam during calendar year  
9 2018 is projected to be 9.24 maf (11,400 mcm).

10  
11 The total inflow into Lake Mead is a combination of water released from Glen Canyon Dam  
12 plus inflows in the reach between Glen Canyon and Hoover Dams. In water year 2018, inflow  
13 into Lake Mead was 9.75 maf (12,030 mcm), consisting of 9.00 maf (11,100 mcm) of water  
14 released from Glen Canyon Dam and 0.748 maf (923 mcm) of inflows between Glen Canyon  
15 and Hoover Dams. For water year 2019, under the most probable inflow scenario, total inflow  
16 into Lake Mead is anticipated to be 9.80 maf (12,090 mcm).

17  
18 Based on the August 2018 24-Month Study, Lake Mead's elevation on January 1, 2019, is  
19 projected to be 1,079.50 feet (329.03 meters). In accordance with Section 2.B.5 of the 2007  
20 Interim Guidelines, the ICS Surplus Condition will govern the releases and diversions from  
21 Lake Mead in calendar year 2019. Releases from Lake Mead through Hoover Dam for water  
22 year and calendar year 2019 are anticipated to be approximately the same as 2018 releases.

23  
24 Under the most probable inflow scenario, Lake Mead is projected to end water year 2019 at  
25 elevation 1,072.61 feet (326.93 meters), with 9.41 maf (11,610 mcm) in storage (36 percent of  
26 capacity). Lake Mead is projected to decrease to elevation 1,070.35 feet (326.24 meters) with  
27 9.23 maf (11,390 mcm) in storage (35 percent of capacity) at the end of calendar year 2019.

28  
29 For the latest monthly projections for Lake Mead, please see the most recent 24-Month Study  
30 report available on Reclamation's Lower Colorado Region Water Operations website:  
31 <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/24mo/index.html>.

### 32 33 **Lakes Mohave and Havasu**

34  
35 Lake Mohave started water year 2018 at an elevation of 639.47 feet (194.91 meters) with 1.60  
36 maf (1,970 mcm) in storage. The water level of Lake Mohave was regulated between elevation  
37 636.00 feet (193.85 meters) and 644.29 feet (196.38 meters) during the water year, ending at an  
38 elevation of 638.00 feet (194.46 meters), with 1.56 maf (1,920 mcm) in storage. During water  
39 year 2018, 8.97 maf (11,060 mcm) was released from Davis Dam. The calendar year 2018  
40 total release is projected to be 9.00 maf (11,100 mcm).

41  

---

<sup>47</sup> Conservation capacity is the amount of space available for water storage between Lake Mead's water surface elevations 895 feet (272.8 meters) and 1,219.6 feet (371.7 meters), the start of the exclusive flood control space as defined in the Field Working Agreement Between Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation and Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers for Flood Control of Hoover Dam and Lake Mead, Colorado River, Nevada-Arizona, February 8, 1984.

1 For water and calendar years 2019, Davis Dam is projected to release approximately the same  
2 amount of water as in 2018, and the water level in Lake Mohave will be regulated between an  
3 elevation of approximately 633 feet (193 meters) and 645 feet (197 meters).  
4

5 Lake Havasu started water year 2018 at an elevation of 447.17 feet (136.30 meters) with 0.564  
6 maf (696 mcm) in storage. The water level of Lake Havasu was regulated between elevation  
7 446.27 feet (136.02 meters) and 448.51 feet (136.71 meters) during the water year, ending at an  
8 elevation of 447.50 feet (136.40 meters), with 0.570 maf (703 mcm) in storage. During water  
9 year 2018, 6.50 maf (8,020 mcm) was released from Parker Dam. The calendar year 2018 total  
10 release is projected to be 6.49 maf (8,010 mcm).  
11

12 For water and calendar years 2019, Parker Dam is expected to release approximately the same  
13 amount of water as in 2018, and the water level in Lake Havasu will be regulated between an  
14 elevation of approximately 446 feet (136 meters) and 450 feet (137 meters).  
15

16 Lakes Mohave and Havasu are scheduled to be drawn down in the late summer and fall months  
17 to provide storage space for local storm runoff and will be filled in the winter to meet higher  
18 summer water needs. This drawdown also corresponds with normal maintenance at both Davis  
19 and Parker powerplants scheduled for September through March.  
20

## 21 **Bill Williams River**

22  
23 Alamo Lake elevation and storage decreased during water year 2018. Alamo Lake started  
24 water year 2018 at elevation 1,113.58 feet (339.42 meters) with 0.120 maf (148 mcm) in  
25 storage, and ended water year 2018 at elevation 1,095.28 feet (333.84 meters) with 0.069 maf  
26 (85 mcm) in storage.  
27

28 In coordination with Reclamation and the Service, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)  
29 released additional water to lower the elevation of Alamo Lake by about 10 feet to facilitate  
30 required maintenance activities at Alamo Dam. The additional release began on March 12,  
31 2018, peaked at approximately 4,930 cfs (140 cms) on March 15, 2018, and gradually  
32 decreased until the completion of the release on April 1, 2018. Approximately 0.028 maf (35  
33 mcm) of water was released from Alamo Lake from March 12 through April 1, 2018. Of this  
34 volume, approximately 0.007 maf (8.6 mcm) reached Lake Havasu.  
35

36 Other than the period from March 12 through April 1, 2018 noted above, average daily releases  
37 from Alamo Lake in water year 2018 ranged from about 1.4 to 50 cfs (0.04 to 1.42 cms).  
38 Water released from Alamo Lake totaled 0.050 maf (69 mcm) for water year 2018.  
39

1 **Senator Wash and Laguna Reservoirs**

2  
3 Senator Wash Reservoir is an off-stream regulating storage facility below Parker Dam  
4 (approximately 142 river miles downstream) and has a storage capacity of 0.014 maf (17 mcm)  
5 at full pool elevation of 251.00 feet (76.50 meters). The reservoir is used to store excess flows  
6 from the river caused by water user cutbacks, side wash inflows due to rain, and other factors.  
7 Stored waters are utilized to meet the water demands in Arizona and California and the delivery  
8 obligation to Mexico.

9  
10 Since 1992, elevation restrictions have been in place on Senator Wash Reservoir due to  
11 potential piping and liquefaction of foundation and embankment materials at West Squaw Lake  
12 Dike and Senator Wash Dam. Senator Wash Reservoir is restricted to an elevation of 240.00  
13 feet (73.15 meters) with 0.009 maf (11 mcm) of storage, a loss of about 0.005 maf (6.2 mcm)  
14 of storage from its original capacity. Senator Wash Reservoir must not exceed an elevation of  
15 238.00 feet (72.54 meters) for more than 10 consecutive days. This reservoir restriction is  
16 expected to continue in 2019.

17  
18 Laguna Reservoir is a regulating storage facility located approximately five river miles  
19 downstream of Imperial Dam and is primarily used to capture sluicing flows from Imperial  
20 Dam. The storage capability of Laguna Reservoir has diminished from about 0.0015 maf (1.9  
21 mcm) to approximately 0.0004 maf (0.5 mcm) due to sediment accumulation and vegetation  
22 growth. Sediment accumulation in the reservoir has occurred primarily due to flood releases  
23 that occurred in 1983 and 1984, and flood control or space building releases that occurred  
24 between 1985 and 1988 and from 1997 through 1999.

25  
26 Sediment removal at Laguna Reservoir ~~has begun so that to reestablish~~ operational sluicing ~~can~~  
27 ~~be reestablished~~ began in 2013 and is nearing completion. ~~In total, the~~ The Laguna Basin  
28 Dredging project will dredge approximately 2.25 million cubic yards (1.73 mcm) of sediment,  
29 reestablishing 140 acres (0.57 square kilometers) of open water. As of August 2018,  
30 approximately 2.11 million cubic yards (1.61 mcm) of material have been removed. All  
31 dredged material ~~will be~~ has been disposed of in a designated area adjacent to the project site.  
32 The project ~~has~~ incorporated the use of both land-based and waterborne heavy equipment.  
33 The project permit was obtained from the USACE in May 2013 and is valid through May 2019.  
34

35 **Imperial Dam**

36  
37 Imperial Dam is the last diversion dam on the Colorado River for United States water users.  
38 From the head works at Imperial Dam, water is diverted into the All-American Canal on the  
39 California side of the dam and into the Gila Gravity Main Canal on the Arizona side of the  
40 dam. These diversions provide water to the Gila Project, the Yuma Project, the Imperial  
41 Irrigation District (IID), the Coachella Valley Water District, and the City of Yuma, and  
42 through Siphon Drop and Pilot Knob to the Northerly International Boundary (NIB) for  
43 diversion at Morelos Dam in Mexico. Flows arriving at Imperial Dam for calendar year 2018  
44 are projected to be 5.59 maf (6,900 mcm). The flows arriving at Imperial Dam for calendar  
45 year 2019 are projected to be 5.45 maf (6,720 mcm).  
46

1 **Gila River Flows**

2  
3 During water year 2018, there was below average snowfall in the Gila River Basin, including  
4 the Salt and Verde River watersheds. The Salt River Project did not release water from its  
5 system in excess of diversion requirements at Granite Reef Diversion Dam in water year 2018;  
6 therefore, no water reached or was released from Painted Rock Dam by the USACE in water  
7 year 2018.  
8

9 **Warren H. Brock Reservoir**

10  
11 The Warren H. Brock (Brock) Reservoir is located near the All-American Canal in Imperial  
12 County, California. The purpose of the 0.008 maf (9.9 mcm) Brock Reservoir is to reduce  
13 nonstorable flows and to enhance beneficial use of Colorado River water within the United  
14 States. The reservoir reduces the impact of loss of water storage at Senator Wash due to  
15 operational restrictions and provides additional regulatory storage, allowing for more efficient  
16 management of water below Parker Dam.  
17

18 **Yuma Desalting Plant**

19  
20 The Yuma Desalting Plant (YDP) was authorized in 1974 under the Colorado River Basin  
21 Salinity Control Act (Public Law 93-320)<sup>48</sup> which authorized the federal government to  
22 construct the YDP to desalt the drainage flows from the Wellton-Mohawk Division of the Gila  
23 Project. This would allow the treated water to be delivered to Mexico as part of its 1944  
24 United States-Mexico Water Treaty allotment. The United States has met salinity requirements  
25 established in IBWC Minute No. 242 primarily through use of a canal to bypass Wellton-  
26 Mohawk drain water to the Ciénega de Santa Clara (Ciénega), a wetland of open water,  
27 vegetation, and mudflats within a Biosphere Reserve in Mexico. In calendar year 2018, the  
28 amount of water discharged from the Wellton-Mohawk Division through the bypass canal is  
29 anticipated to be 0.101 maf (125 mcm) measured at station 0+00 and 0.126 maf (155 mcm)  
30 measured at the Southerly International Boundary (SIB), at an approximate concentration of  
31 total dissolved solids of 2,417 parts per million (ppm).  
32

33 **Off-stream Storage Agreements**

34  
35 Colorado River water may be stored off-stream pursuant to individual SIRAs and 43 CFR Part  
36 414 within the Lower Division States. The Secretary shall make ICUA available to contractors  
37 in Arizona, California, or Nevada pursuant to individual SIRAs and 43 CFR Part 414. SNWA  
38 plans to make 0.0135 maf (16.7 mcm) of unused Nevada basic apportionment available for  
39 storage by the Arizona Water Banking Authority (AWBA)<sup>49</sup> in calendar year 2018. SNWA

---

<sup>48</sup> Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/pao/pdf/files/crbsalct.pdf>.

<sup>49</sup> Storage and Interstate Release Agreement among The United States of America, acting through the Secretary of the Interior; The Arizona Water Banking Authority; the Southern Nevada Water Authority; and the Colorado



1 may propose to make unused Nevada basic apportionment available for storage by MWD<sup>50</sup> in  
2 calendar years 2018 and 2019 and/or by AWBA in calendar year 2019.  
3

#### 4 **Intentionally Created Surplus**

5

6 The 2007 Interim Guidelines included the adoption of the ICS mechanism that, among other  
7 things, encourages the efficient use and management of Colorado River water in the Lower  
8 Basin. ICS may be created through several types of activities that include improvements in  
9 system efficiency, extraordinary conservation, tributary conservation, and the importation of  
10 non-Colorado River System water into the Colorado River mainstream over the course of a  
11 calendar year. Several implementing agreements<sup>51</sup> were executed concurrent with the issuance  
12 of the ROD for the 2007 Interim Guidelines. ICS credits may be created and delivered in  
13 calendar years 2018 and 2019 pursuant to the 2007 Interim Guidelines and the implementing  
14 agreements. ICS balances by state, user, and type of ICS may be found in the annual Colorado  
15 River Accounting and Water Use Report, Arizona, California, and Nevada.<sup>52</sup>  
16

17 IBWC Minute No. 319<sup>53</sup> identified cooperative measures that the United States and Mexico  
18 would take through December 31, 2017, including a pilot program for Intentionally Created  
19 Mexican Allocation (ICMA)/ICS Exchange. Consistent with Section III.6.e.iii of IBWC  
20 Minute No. 319, a total of 0.124 maf (153 mcm) of water was converted from water deferred  
21 under Section III.1 of IBWC Minute No. 319 for use in the United States on December 14,  
22 2017.  
23

24 IBWC Minute No. 323 identified cooperative measures that the United States and Mexico  
25 would take through December 31, 2026, including water conservation projects in Mexico.  
26 Consistent with Section IX.A of IBWC Minute No. 323, these water conservation projects shall  
27 generate or conserve a total of 0.109 maf (135 mcm) to be converted to Binational ICS for use  
28 in the United States and a total of 0.050 maf (62 mcm) to be allocated to the system for the  
29 benefit of all users.  
30

31 **Extraordinary Conservation ICS.** IID has an approved plan to create up to 0.025 maf (31  
32 mcm) of Extraordinary Conservation ICS in 2018 and ~~is anticipated to submit~~ **has submitted** a  
33 plan to create up to 0.025 maf (31 mcm) in 2019. MWD has an approved plan to create up to  
34 0.374 maf (461 mcm) of Extraordinary Conservation ICS in 2018 and is anticipated to submit a

---

River Commission of Nevada, December 18, 2002. Available online at:  
<https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/contracts/SIRAFinal.pdf>

<sup>50</sup> Storage and Interstate Release Agreement among The United States of America, acting through the Secretary of the Interior; The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California; the Southern Nevada Water Authority; and the Colorado River Commission of Nevada, October 21, 2004. Available online at:  
[https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/contracts/SNWA\\_MWDSIRAFinal.pdf](https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/contracts/SNWA_MWDSIRAFinal.pdf)

<sup>51</sup> Information on forbearance and delivery agreements related to the creation and delivery of ICS can be found at:  
<https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/programs/strategies/documents.html>

<sup>52</sup> Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/wtracct.html>.

<sup>53</sup> IBWC Minute No. 319, Interim International Cooperative Measures in the Colorado River Basin Through 2017 and Extension of Minute 318 Cooperative Measures to Address the Continued Effects of the April 2010 Earthquake in the Mexicali Valley, Baja California dated November 20, 2012. Available online at:  
[https://www.ibwc.gov/Files/Minutes/Minute\\_319.pdf](https://www.ibwc.gov/Files/Minutes/Minute_319.pdf)



1 plan to create up to 0.299 maf (369 mcm) in 2019. Contractors with available Extraordinary  
2 Conservation ICS may request delivery of ICS credits in 2018 and 2019.

3  
4 **System Efficiency ICS.** In 2018 and 2019, CAWCD, MWD, and SNWA may request delivery  
5 of Brock Reservoir System Efficiency ICS credits. The annual maximum delivery of Brock  
6 Reservoir System Efficiency ICS is 0.065 maf (80 mcm). In 2018 and 2019, CAWCD, MWD,  
7 and SNWA may request delivery of YDP Pilot Run System Efficiency ICS credits.

8  
9 **Tributary Conservation ICS.** SNWA has an approved plan to create up to 0.042 maf (52  
10 mcm) of Tributary Conservation ICS in 2018 and ~~is anticipated to submit~~ has submitted a plan  
11 to create up to 0.043 maf (53 mcm) in 2019. Any Tributary Conservation ICS not delivered for  
12 use by SNWA in the calendar year created will, at the beginning of the following year, be  
13 converted to Extraordinary Conservation ICS pursuant to the 2007 Interim Guidelines.

14  
15 **Imported ICS.** SNWA may submit plans to create Imported ICS in 2018 and 2019. Any  
16 Imported ICS not delivered for use by SNWA in the calendar year created will, at the  
17 beginning of the following year, be converted to Extraordinary Conservation ICS pursuant to  
18 the 2007 Interim Guidelines.

19  
20 **Binational ICS.** Parties to a funding agreement for the IBWC Minute No. 319 ICMA/ICS  
21 Exchange pilot program (CAWCD, IID, MWD, and SNWA) received Binational ICS credits in  
22 proportion to each party's net capital contributions and may request delivery of Binational ICS  
23 in 2018 and 2019 subject to any applicable provisions in the delivery agreements.

## 24 25 **Delivery of Water to Mexico**

26  
27 Delivery to Mexico pursuant to the 1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty and IBWC  
28 Minute No. 323 is anticipated to be 1.493 maf (1,840 mcm) in calendar year 2018, reflecting  
29 the creation of approximately 0.0066 maf (8.16 mcm) for Mexico's Water Reserve pursuant to  
30 Section V of IBWC Minute No. 323 in calendar year 2018. Balances of Mexico's Water  
31 Reserve in previous years may be found in the annual Colorado River Accounting and Water  
32 Use Report, Arizona, California, and Nevada.<sup>54</sup>

33  
34 Of the scheduled delivery to Mexico in calendar year 2018, approximately 1.354 maf (1,670  
35 mcm) is projected to be delivered at NIB and approximately 0.139 maf (171 mcm) is projected  
36 to be delivered at SIB. Under IBWC Minute No. 322 and the Emergency Delivery  
37 Agreement,<sup>55</sup> water may be delivered to Tijuana, Baja California through MWD, the San Diego  
38 County Water Authority, and the Otay Water District's respective distribution system facilities  
39 in California. In calendar year 2018, approximately 315 acre-feet (0.389 mcm) is scheduled to  
40 be delivered to Tijuana, Baja California.

41  

---

<sup>54</sup> Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/wtracct.html>.

<sup>55</sup> Agreement for Temporary Emergency Delivery of a Portion of the Mexican Treaty Waters of the Colorado River to the International Boundary in the Vicinity of Tijuana, Baja California, Mexico and for Operation of Facilities in the United States, dated January 18, 2017.

1 Of the total delivery at SIB projected in calendar year 2018, approximately 0.110 maf (136  
2 mcm) is projected to be delivered from the Yuma Project Main Drain and approximately 0.030  
3 maf (37 mcm) is expected to be delivered by the Protective and Regulatory Pumping Unit  
4 (Minute No. 242 wells242 well field).

5  
6 Excess flows arriving at the NIB are anticipated to be approximately 0.004 maf (4.9 mcm) in  
7 calendar year 2018. Excess flows result from a combination of factors, including heavy rain  
8 from winter-seasonal storms, water ordered but not delivered to United States users  
9 downstream of Parker Dam, inflows into the Colorado River below Parker Dam, and spills  
10 from irrigation facilities below Imperial Dam.

11  
12 Pursuant to the 1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty, a volume of 1.500 maf (1,850 mcm)  
13 will be available to be scheduled for delivery to Mexico in calendar year 2019. In accordance  
14 with IBWC Minute No. 323, Mexico may create water for or take delivery of water from  
15 Mexico's Water Reserve pursuant to Section V of IBWC Minute No. 323. Approximately  
16 0.140 maf (173 mcm) is projected to be delivered at SIB and the remainder of the water to be  
17 scheduled for delivery to Mexico in 2019 will be delivered at NIB. Mexico, through IBWC,  
18 may request water to be delivered to Tijuana in calendar year 2019, consistent with IBWC  
19 Minute No. 322 and the Emergency Delivery Agreement.

20  
21 Drainage flows to the Colorado River from the Yuma Mesa Conduit and South Gila Drain  
22 Pump Outlet Channels are projected to be 0.0148 maf (18 mcm) and 0.034 maf (42 mcm),  
23 respectively, for calendar year 2018. This water is available for delivery at NIB in satisfaction  
24 of the 1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty.

25  
26 As stated in Minute No. 242, the maximum allowable salinity differential is 145 ppm by the  
27 United States' measurement or count and 151 ppm by the Mexican count. The salinity  
28 differential for calendar year 2018 is projected to be 140 ppm by the United States' count.

29  
30 Mexico has identified four critical months for agriculture, September through December,  
31 regarding improving the quality of water delivered at SIB. Consistent with Section VI.B of  
32 IBWC Minute No. 323, the United States has improved the water quality delivered at the SIB  
33 to approximately 1,200 ppm during this four-month period.  
34

1 **2019 DETERMINATIONS**

2  
3 The AOP provides projections regarding reservoir storage and release conditions during the  
4 upcoming year, based upon Congressionally-mandated and authorized storage, release, and  
5 delivery criteria and determinations. After meeting these criteria and determinations, specific  
6 reservoir releases may be modified within these requirements as forecasted inflows change in  
7 response to climatic variability and to provide additional benefits coincident to the projects’  
8 multiple purposes.  
9

10 **Upper Basin Reservoirs**

11  
12 Section 602(a) of the CRBPA provides for the storage of Colorado River water in Upper Basin  
13 reservoirs and the release of water from Lake Powell that the Secretary finds reasonably  
14 necessary to assure deliveries to comply with Articles III(c), III(d), and III(e) of the 1922  
15 Colorado River Compact without impairment to the annual consumptive use in the Upper  
16 Basin. The Operating Criteria provide that the annual plan of operation shall include a  
17 determination of the quantity of water considered necessary to be in Upper Basin storage at the  
18 end of the water year after taking into consideration all relevant factors including historic  
19 streamflows, the most critical period of record, the probabilities of water supply, and estimated  
20 future depletions. Water not required to be so stored will be released from Lake Powell:

- 21  
22 • to the extent it can be reasonably applied in the States of the Lower Division to the uses  
23 specified in Article III(e) of the 1922 Colorado River Compact, but these releases will  
24 not be made when the active storage in Lake Powell is less than the active storage in  
25 Lake Mead;
- 26  
27 • to maintain, as nearly as practicable, active storage in Lake Mead equal to the active  
28 storage in Lake Powell; and
- 29  
30 • to avoid anticipated spills from Lake Powell.

31  
32 Taking into consideration all relevant factors required by Section 602(a)(3) of the CRBPA and  
33 the Operating Criteria, it is determined that the active storage in Upper Basin reservoirs  
34 projected for September 30, 2019, under the most probable inflow scenario would be below the  
35 threshold required under Section 602(a) of the CRBPA.  
36

37 Taking into account (1) the existing water storage conditions in the basin, (2) the August 2018  
38 24-Month Study projection of the most probable near-term water supply conditions in the  
39 basin, and (3) Section 6.B of the 2007 Interim Guidelines, the Upper Elevation Balancing Tier  
40 will govern the operation of Lake Powell for water year 2019. The August 2018 24-Month  
41 Study of the most probable inflow scenario projects the water year 2019 release from Glen  
42 Canyon Dam to be 9.00 maf (11,100 mcm). Given the hydrologic variability of the Colorado  
43 River System and based on actual 2018 water year operations, the projected water year release  
44 from Lake Powell in 2019 is likely to be in the estimated range of 8.23 maf (10,150 mcm) to  
45 9.0 maf (11,100 mcm) or greater.

1 **Lower Basin Reservoirs**

2  
3 Pursuant to Article III of the Operating Criteria and consistent with the Consolidated Decree,  
4 water shall be released or pumped from Lake Mead to meet the following requirements:

- 5  
6 (a) 1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty obligations;  
7 (b) Reasonable beneficial consumptive use requirements of mainstream users in the Lower  
8 Division States;  
9 (c) Net river losses;  
10 (d) Net reservoir losses;  
11 (e) Regulatory wastes; and  
12 (f) Flood control.  
13

14 The Operating Criteria provide that after the commencement of delivery of mainstream water  
15 by means of the Central Arizona Project, the Secretary will determine the extent to which the  
16 reasonable beneficial consumptive use requirements of mainstream users are met in the Lower  
17 Division States. Reasonable beneficial consumptive use requirements are met depending on  
18 whether a Normal, Surplus, or Shortage Condition has been determined. The Normal  
19 Condition is defined as annual pumping and release from Lake Mead sufficient to satisfy 7.500  
20 maf (9,250 mcm) of consumptive use in accordance with Article III(3)(a) of the Operating  
21 Criteria and Article II(B)(1) of the Consolidated Decree. The Surplus Condition is defined as  
22 annual pumping and release from Lake Mead sufficient to satisfy in excess of 7.500 maf (9,250  
23 mcm) of consumptive use in accordance with Article III(3)(b) of the Operating Criteria and  
24 Article II(B)(2) of the Consolidated Decree. An ICS Surplus Condition is defined as a year in  
25 which Lake Mead's elevation is projected to be above elevation 1,075.0 feet (327.7 meters) on  
26 January 1, a Flood Control Surplus has not been determined, and delivery of ICS has been  
27 requested. The Secretary may determine an ICS Surplus Condition in lieu of a Normal  
28 Condition or in addition to other operating conditions that are based solely on the elevation of  
29 Lake Mead. The Shortage Condition is defined as annual pumping and release from Lake  
30 Mead insufficient to satisfy 7.500 maf (9,250 mcm) of consumptive use in accordance with  
31 Article III(3)(c) of the Operating Criteria and Article II(B)(3) of the Consolidated Decree.  
32

33 The 2007 Interim Guidelines are being utilized in calendar year 2019 and serve to implement  
34 the narrative provisions of Article III(3)(a), Article III(3)(b), and Article III(3)(c) of the  
35 Operating Criteria and Article II(B)(1), Article II(B)(2), and Article II(B)(3) of the  
36 Consolidated Decree for the period through 2026. The 2007 Interim Guidelines will be used  
37 annually by the Secretary to determine the quantity of water available for use within the Lower  
38 Division States.  
39

40 Consistent with the 2007 Interim Guidelines, the August 2018 24-Month Study was used to  
41 forecast the system storage as of January 1, 2019. Based on a projected January 1, 2019 Lake  
42 Mead elevation of 1,079.50 feet (329.03 meters) and consistent with Section 2.B.5 of the 2007  
43 Interim Guidelines, the ICS Surplus Condition will govern releases for use in the states of  
44 Arizona, Nevada, and California during calendar year 2019 in accordance with Article III(3)(b)  
45 of the Operating Criteria and Article II(B)(2) of the Consolidated Decree. Water deliveries in  
46 the Lower Basin during calendar year 2019 will be limited to 7.500 maf (9,250 mcm) plus or  
47 minus any credits for ICS.

1  
2 Article II(B)(6) of the Consolidated Decree allows the Secretary to allocate water that is  
3 apportioned to one Lower Division State but is for any reason unused in that state to another  
4 Lower Division State. This determination is made for one year only, and no rights to recurrent  
5 use of the water accrue to the state that receives the allocated water. No unused apportionment  
6 for calendar year 2019 is anticipated. If any unused apportionment becomes available after  
7 adoption of this AOP, Reclamation, on behalf of the Secretary, may allocate any such available  
8 unused apportionment for calendar year 2019 in accordance with Article II(B)(6) of the  
9 Consolidated Decree, the Unused Water Policy, and giving further consideration to the water  
10 conservation objectives of the July 30, 2014 agreement for the PSCP and the December 10,  
11 2014 MOU for Lower Basin Pilot Drought Response Actions.

12  
13 In calendar year 2019, water may be stored off-stream pursuant to individual SIRAs and 43  
14 CFR Part 414 within the Lower Division States. The Secretary shall make ICUA available to  
15 contractors in Arizona, California, or Nevada pursuant to individual SIRAs and 43 CFR Part  
16 414. SNWA may propose to make unused Nevada basic apportionment available for storage  
17 by MWD and/or AWBA in calendar year 2019.

18  
19 The IOPP, which became effective January 1, 2004, will be in effect during calendar year 2019.  
20 Payback balances by state and user may be found in the annual Colorado River Accounting and  
21 Water Use Report, Arizona, California, and Nevada.<sup>56</sup>

22  
23 In calendar year 2019, conserved Colorado River water is anticipated to be added to system  
24 reservoirs pursuant to system conservation agreements.

25  
26 The 2007 Interim Guidelines included the adoption of the ICS mechanism that among other  
27 things encourages the efficient use and management of Colorado River water in the Lower  
28 Basin. The ICS Surplus Condition will govern Lower Basin operations in calendar year 2019  
29 and ICS credits will be created and delivered pursuant to the 2007 Interim Guidelines and  
30 appropriate forbearance and delivery agreements.

31  
32 Given the limitation of available supply and recent low inflow amounts within the Colorado  
33 River Basin, the Secretary, through Reclamation, will continue to review Lower Basin  
34 operations to assure that all deliveries and diversions of mainstream water are in strict  
35 accordance with the Consolidated Decree, applicable statutes, contracts, rules, and agreements.

36  
37 As provided in Section 7.C of the 2007 Interim Guidelines, the Secretary may undertake a mid-  
38 year review to consider revisions of the current AOP. For Lake Mead, the Secretary shall  
39 revise the determination in any mid-year review for the current year only to allow for additional  
40 deliveries from Lake Mead pursuant to Section 7.C of the 2007 Interim Guidelines.

41  
42  

---

<sup>56</sup> Available online at: <https://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/wtracct.html>.

1 **1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty**

2  
3 Under the minimum probable, most probable, and maximum probable inflow scenarios, water  
4 in excess of that required to supply uses in the United States and the guaranteed quantity of  
5 1.500 maf (1,850 mcm) allotted to Mexico will not be available, subject to any increased  
6 amounts delivered consistent with Section V of IBWC Minute No. 323. Vacant storage space  
7 in mainstream reservoirs is substantially greater than that required by flood control regulations.  
8 Therefore, a volume of 1.500 maf (1,850 mcm) of water will be available to be scheduled for  
9 delivery to Mexico during calendar year 2019 subject to and in accordance with Article 15 of  
10 the 1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty and Minutes No. 242 and 322 of the IBWC. In  
11 accordance with IBWC Minute No. 323, Mexico may create water for or take delivery of water  
12 from Mexico’s Water Reserve pursuant to Section V of IBWC Minute No. 323.

13  
14 Calendar year schedules of the monthly deliveries of Colorado River water are formulated by  
15 the Mexican Section of the IBWC and presented to the United States Section before the  
16 beginning of each calendar year. Pursuant to the 1944 United States-Mexico Water Treaty, the  
17 monthly quantity prescribed by those schedules may be increased or decreased by not more  
18 than 20 percent of the monthly quantity, upon 30-day notice in advance to the United States  
19 Section. Any change in a monthly quantity is offset in another month so that the total delivery  
20 for the calendar year is unchanged, subject to the provisions of the 1944 United States-Mexico  
21 Water Treaty (which contains specific provisions regarding adjustment of delivery schedules)  
22 and IBWC Minute No. 323.

1 **DISCLAIMER**

2  
3 Nothing in this AOP is intended to interpret the provisions of the Colorado River Compact (45  
4 Stat. 1057); the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact (63 Stat. 31); the Utilization of Waters  
5 of the Colorado and Tijuana Rivers and of the Rio Grande, Treaty Between the United States of  
6 America and Mexico (Treaty Series 994, 59 Stat. 1219); the United States/Mexico agreements  
7 in Minute No. 242 of August 30, 1973 (Treaty Series 7708; 24 UST 1968), Minute No. 322 of  
8 January 19, 2017, or Minute No. 323 of September 21, 2017; the Consolidated Decree entered  
9 by the Supreme Court of the United States in *Arizona v. California* (547 U.S 150 (2006)); the  
10 Boulder Canyon Project Act (45 Stat. 1057; 43 U.S.C. 617); the Boulder Canyon Project  
11 Adjustment Act (54 Stat. 774; 43 U.S.C. 618a); the Colorado River Storage Project Act (70  
12 Stat. 105; 43 U.S.C. 620); the Colorado River Basin Project Act (82 Stat. 885; 43 U.S.C. 1501);  
13 the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Act (88 Stat. 266; 43 U.S.C. 1951); the Hoover  
14 Power Plant Act of 1984 (98 Stat. 1333); the Hoover Power Allocation Act of 2011 (125 Stat.  
15 777); the Colorado River Floodway Protection Act (100 Stat. 1129; 43 U.S.C. 1600); the Grand  
16 Canyon Protection Act of 1992 (Title XVIII of Public Law 102-575, 106 Stat. 4669); the  
17 Decree Quantifying the Federal Reserved Right for Black Canyon of the Gunnison National  
18 Park (Case No. 01CW05, District Court, Colorado Water Division No. 4, 2008); or the rules,  
19 criteria, guidelines, and decisions referenced within this AOP.

DRAFT



## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

1		
2		
3	AMWG	Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group
4	AOP	Annual Operating Plan
5	AWBA	Arizona Water Banking Authority
6	CAWCD	Central Arizona Water Conservation District
7	CBRFC	National Weather Service's Colorado Basin River Forecast Center
8	cfs	cubic feet per second
9	cms	cubic meters per second
10	CRBPA	Colorado River Basin Project Act of 1968
11	FGTWG	Flaming Gorge Technical Working Group
12	IBWC	International Boundary and Water Commission, United States and Mexico
13	ICMA	Intentionally Created Mexican Allocation
14	ICS	Intentionally Created Surplus
15	ICUA	Intentionally Created Unused Apportionment
16	IID	Imperial Irrigation District
17	IOPP	Inadvertent Overrun and Payback Policy
18	LTEMP	Long-Term Experimental and Management Plan
19	LTSP	Larval Trigger Study Plan
20	maf	million acre-feet
21	mcm	million cubic meters
22	MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
23	MWD	The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
24	NIB	Northerly International Boundary
25	ppm	parts per million
26	PSCP	Pilot System Conservation Program
27	Reclamation	Bureau of Reclamation
28	ROD	Record of Decision
29	Secretary	Secretary of the U.S. Department of the Interior
30	Service	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
31	<u>SCPP</u>	<u>System Conservation Pilot Program</u>
32	SIB	Southerly International Boundary
33	SIRA	Storage and Interstate Release Agreement
34	SJRIP	San Juan River Basin Recovery Implementation Program
35	SNWA	Southern Nevada Water Authority
36	<u>UCRC</u>	<u>Upper Colorado River Commission</u>
37	USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
38	<u>USGS</u>	<u>United States Geological Survey</u>
39	UCRIP	Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program
40	WAPA	Western Area Power Administration
41	YDP	Yuma Desalting Plant