RECLAMATION

Managing Water in the West

Lower Colorado River Accounting System Evapotranspiration and Evaporation Calculations

Calendar Year 2004



U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation

December 2005

Lower Colorado River Accounting System Evapotranspiration and Evaporation Calculations

Calendar Year 2004

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation
Lower Colorado Regional Office
Boulder City, Nevada

December 2005

LCRAS Study Area
NEVADA
Las Vegas
Lake Mead
Hoover Dam
Boulder City
Colorado River
VICINITY MAP
NEVADA
UTAH
Colorado River Basin
COLORADO
CALIFORNIA
Las Vegas
NEW MEXICO
ARIZONA
Los Angeles
San Diego
Phoenix
Tucson
Mexico
Lake Mohave
Davis Dam
Kingman
Needles
ARIZONA
Lake Havasu
Parker Dam
Bill Williams River
Alamo Lake
Alamo Dam
CALIFORNIA
Colorado River Aqueduct
Colorado River
Parker
CAP Canal
Blythe
Senator Wash Dam
Imperial Dam
Wellton-Mohawk Canal
All American Canal
Morelos Dam (Mex.)
Yuma
Gila Main Gravity Canal
N
W
E
S
MEXICO
0 10 20 40 60 80 Miles
Legend
Major Dams
Study Area
Agricultural Areas within Study Area

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION 5
RESULTS 5
KEY LCRAS ET COMPONENTS 8
IDENTIFYING CROP GROUPS, RIPARIAN VEGETATION GROUPS, AND OPEN WATER 9
Collecting and Analyzing Remotely Sensed Data 10
Collecting ground reference data 11
Delineating Cropped Areas 13
Delineating Riparian Vegetation Areas 14
Delineating Open Water Areas 14
Open water of the mainstream 14
Open water in major delivery canals 14
CALCULATING ET FOR CROP GROUPS AND RIPARIAN VEGETATION GROUPS 15
Calculating Reference ET 15
Refining ET Coefficients for Crop and Riparian Vegetation Groups 16
Calculating the Number of Acres of Each Crop and Riparian Vegetation Group 17
Calculating Effective Precipitation 17
Calculating Crop ET 18
Calculating ET from Riparian Vegetation 19
CALCULATING EVAPORATION 19
Calculating evaporation from the mainstream 19
Calculating evaporation from major delivery canals serving irrigation districts and Indian reservations 20
LCRAS IMPROVEMENTS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2004 20
Improving ET estimates for Riparian Vegetation 21
Adjusting Diverter Boundaries 21
Determining Accuracies of ET Estimates based on Remote Sensing and GIS Procedures 22
ET Coefficients for the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District 22
ET Coefficients for Imperial Irrigation District and the Coachella Valley Water District 22
BIBLIOGRAPHY 28

List of Tables

Table 1 — Riparian Vegetation and Crop ET by Water User 6
Table 3 — TM Image path-row designations and acquisition dates used in calendar year 2004 10
Table 4 — Crop groups sampled in calendar year 2004 12
Table 5 — Riparian Vegetation Groups 12
Table 6 — Crop Classes Identified by LCRAS and Crop Types identified by Jensen. 22

List of Figures

Figure 1 — Reference ET and Precipitation..... 16

Introduction

The lower Colorado River is the principal source of water for irrigation and domestic[1] use in Arizona, southern California, and southern Nevada. Reclamation must understand the disposition of water once it is released from Hoover Dam in order to effectively manage the lower Colorado River. The Lower Colorado River Accounting System (LCRAS) provides the following information for Reclamation to use as water management tools:

1. estimates of evapotranspiration (ET) from irrigated areas for monitoring of agricultural water use;
2. estimates of ET from riparian vegetation for environmental resources assessment and management; and
3. estimates of evaporation from the channel and reservoirs of the lower Colorado River, and evaporation from canals and ponds (including lakes, lagoons, and other open-water areas along the river) for river system resource assessment and management.

Reclamation uses these tools to monitor the current state of the river system, to assess potential impacts of changes to the river system, and as inputs to management decisions involving the administration of the laws, compacts, and U.S. Supreme Court decree which govern the operation of the lower Colorado River.

Results

Table 1 shows the ET from riparian vegetation and agriculture, and evaporation from open water surfaces within the service areas of water users along the lower Colorado River between Davis Dam and Mexico for calendar year 2004. Table 1 also shows the previously mentioned categories of water use along the Bill Williams River from Alamo Dam to Lake Havasu, for the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District on the Gila River in Arizona, and for the Imperial Irrigation and the Coachella Valley Water districts in California for calendar year 2004. Detailed calculations and values used to develop the results presented in Table 1 can be found in, "Lower Colorado River Accounting System Appendix: Part 1, Evapotranspiration-Rate Calculations, and Part 2, Evapotranspiration Calculations" for calendar year 2004.

[1] Domestic use of Colorado River water is defined by the U.S. Supreme Court Decree in *Arizona* v *California* dated March 9, 1964 in Article I.(I), which states, "'Domestic use' shall include the use of water for household, stock, municipal, mining, milling, industrial, and other like purposes, but shall exclude the generation of electrical power."

Table 1 — Riparian Vegetation and Agricultiral ET, and Evaporation by Water User			
Diverter Name	Riparian Vegetation ET (Colorado River Floodplain)	Agricultural ET	Evaporation (Canal + Ponds)
Nevada (below Davis Dam)			
Fort Mohave Indian Reservation, NV	5,980	2,048	0
Lake Mead National Recreation Area, NV	98	0	0
State of Nevada	10,401	0	0
Nevada Totals	16,479	2,048	0
California			
Chemehuevi Indian Reservation	122	74	0
Fort Mohave Indian Reservation	5,313	12,842	0
Havasu National Wildlife Refuge	6,100	266	0
Moabi Park	228	0	0
Bernal Farm	1,204	0	0
Cibola National Wildlife Refuge	13,449	0	0
Clark Farm	130	196	0
Colorado River Indian Reservation	33,719	0	0
Imperial National Wildlife Refuge (Parker Dam to Imperial Dam)	22,814	0	0
Imperial National Wildlife Refuge (Imperial Dam to Mexico)	41	0	0
Imperial National Wildlife Refuge and Yuma Proving Ground	51	0	0
North Lyn-De Farm[2]	1	796	0
Palo Verde Irrigation District, CA.	8,416	357,831	3,551
Palo Verde Irrigation District, AZ.	568	630	0
Picacho State Recreation Area (Parker Dam to Imperial Dam)	5,068	0	0
Picacho State Recreation Area (Imperial Dam to Mexico)	1,075	0	0
South Lyn-De Farm	2	547	0
Imperial Irrigation District	0	1,711,737	1,690
Coachella Valley Water District	0	212,298	1
Fort Yuma Indian Reservation	12,090	2,736	0
Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, Indian Unit	1,400	17,794	109
Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, Bard Unit	867	24,181	157
Fort Yuma Indian Reservation and Picacho State Recreation Area	42	0	0
Fort Yuma Indian Reservation and Yuma Proving Ground	865	0	0
Yuma Proving Ground	8285	19	0
State of California (Other uses, Davis Dam to Parker Dam)	17,885	1,006	0
State of California (Other uses, Parker Dam to Imperial Dam)	47,688	1,018	0
State of California (Other uses Imperial Dam to Mexico)	2,727	5,873	0

[2]A portion of North Lyn-De farm is within Colorado River Indian Reservation diverter boundary.

Table 1 — Riparian Vegetation and Agricultiral ET, and Evaporation by Water User			
Diverter Name	Riparian Vegetation ET (Colorado River Floodplain)	Agricultural ET	Evaporation (Canal + Ponds)
California Totals	190,150	2,349,844	5,508
Arizona			
Lake Mead National Recreation Area (Davis Dam to Parker Dam)	361	0	0
Fort Mojave Indian Reservation	32,902	38,031	0
Havasu National Wildlife Refuge	50,455	0	14,186
Havasu State Park (Windsor Beach)	3,519	0	0
Mohave Valley Irrigation and Drainage District	33,274	21,053	0
Arkelian Farms	2,502	953	0
Bill Williams National Wildlife Refuge (Bill Williams River)	10,824	0	0
Bill Williams River (Alamo Dam to Bill Williams National Wildlife Refuge)	24,899	1,388	252
Cibola Valley Irrigation and Drainage District	7,688	14,064	0
Cibola National Wildlife Refuge	46,292	7,230	0
Colorado River Indian Reservation	136,253	321,253	1,392
Ehrenberg Farm	0	2,236	0
Imperial National Wildlife Refuge (Parker Dam to Imperial Dam)	35,489	0	0
Imperial National Wildlife Refuge (Imperial Dam to Mexico)	6,171	204	0
Fort Yuma Indian Reservation and Homesteads	3,014	1,468	0
Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, Mittry Lake State Wildlife Area and Yuma Proving Ground	894	0	0
Hillander “C” Irrigation District	0	6,576	0
Mittry Lake State Wildlife Area	10,448	189	0
North Cocopah Indian Reservation	753	654	0
West Cocopah Indian Reservation	6,406	5,304	0
North Gila Valley Irrigation District	2,070	20,447	49
Sturges Gila Monster Ranch	841	6,132	19
Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District	0	207,201	816
Unit “B” Irrigation and Drainage District	0	5,974	108
University of Arizona Agricultural Station	0	200	0
Yuma Irrigation District	1,106	30,176	356
Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District	0	61,192	966
Yuma Proving Ground	644	0	0
Yuma County Water Users Association	11	130,016	1,701
State of Arizona (Other users, Davis Dam to Parker Dam)	3,836	0	0
State of Arizona (Other users, Parker Dam to Imperial Dam)	22,524	0	0
State of Arizona (Other users, Imperial Dam to Mexico)	17,590	8,453	0
State of Arizona – Limitrophe Section	4,640	2,841	0

Table 1 — Riparian Vegetation and Agricultiral ET, and Evaporation by Water User			
Diverter Name	Riparian Vegetation ET (Colorado River Floodplain)	Agricultural ET	Evaporation (Canal + Ponds)
State of Arizona – Down gradient of the Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District	0	25,737	0
Arizona Totals	465,406	918,972	19,845
Colorado River Channel and Reservoir Evaporation, Davis Dam to Parker Dam	0	0	94,891
Colorado River Channel and Reservoir Evaporation, Parker Dam to Imperial Dam	0	0	65,878
Colorado River Channel and Reservoir Evaporation, Imperial Dam to Mexico	0	0	10,148
Davis Dam to Mexico Totals	672,035	3,270,864	196,270

Table 2 provides a Summary of ET and evaporation results along the lower Colorado River from Davis Dam to Mexico; the Bill Williams River from Alamo Dam to Lake Havasu (included in the Davis Dam to Parker Dam reach); and the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District, the Imperial Irrigation District, and the Coachella Valley Water District (included in the Imperial Dam to Mexico reach).

ET Category/Evaporation	Davis Dam to Parker Dam	Parker Dam to Imperial Dam	Imperial Dam to Mexico	Davis Dam to Mexico
Agricultural ET	76,708	706,754	2,487,402	3,270,864
Riparian Vegetation ET	206,197	383,807	82,031	672,035
Evaporation (river channel, reservoirs, canals, & ponds)	109,329	70,821	16,119	196,269

Key LCRAS ET Components

The key components of LCRAS ET and evaporation calculations are these:

1. identifying crop and riparian vegetation groups, and open-water areas;
2. calculating ET for crop groups and riparian vegetation groups; and
3. calculating evaporation from open-water areas (the channel and reservoirs of the lower Colorado River, evaporation from major delivery canals, ponds, and other open-water areas).

The following sections present a description of the key components of LCRAS

ET calculations.

Identifying Crop Groups, Riparian Vegetation Groups, and Open-Water Areas

This section provides an overview of the image classification processes and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technologies Reclamation used to identify and map crop and riparian vegetation groups, and open-water areas along the lower Colorado River below Davis Dam, in the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District on the Gila River, the Imperial Irrigation and Coachella Valley Water districts in California, and along the Bill Williams River below Alamo Dam in calendar year 2004.

Overview

Remote sensing is the process of acquiring information using indirect measurements. One example of remote sensing is the interpretation of features on the Earth's surface using imagery acquired by satellites orbiting the Earth. Image classification is the assignment of objects, features or areas based upon their appearance, using image processing programs in digital satellite imagery. Reclamation uses these techniques with GIS technologies, to determine the location and acreages of crop groups, riparian vegetation groups, and open-water areas along the lower Colorado River below Davis Dam, in the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District on the Gila River, the Imperial Irrigation and Coachella Valley Water districts in California, and along the Bill Williams River from Alamo Dam to Lake Havasu on the Colorado River. This procedure is also referred to as 'mapping' in this report. The spatial extent (location and area of coverage) of the crop groups, riparian vegetation groups, and open-water areas are stored in digital spatial databases collectively referred to as a GIS database. Reclamation uses the data generated from these processes to accurately calculate ET from crops and riparian vegetation, and evaporation from open-water areas.

When remote sensing processes are insufficient to map crop and riparian vegetation groups, or open-water areas, data collected on the ground (ground reference surveys) is also used. For example, orchards are mapped from ground survey data due to the difficulty of correctly identifying those features using remote sensing processes alone. Once the data is entered into a GIS database, programs are used to calculate the number of acres of each crop group and riparian vegetation group for each diverter, as well as the open-water areas. Acreage calculations are completed for areas located within the flood plain along the mainstream of the lower Colorado River from Davis Dam to Mexico; cropped areas upon the Palo Verde and Yuma Mesas, within the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District, the Imperial Irrigation District, the Coachella Valley Water District; and for the Bill Williams River from Alamo Dam to Lake Havasu.

Once Reclamation maps the crop and riparian vegetation groups, and open-water areas (discussed in the following sections), Reclamation calculates the ET from crops and riparian vegetation for each diverter and evaporation from open-water areas. Currently, this analysis does not include estimates of ET or evaporation within the boundaries of domestic water users.

Collecting and Analyzing Remotely-Sensed Data

Satellite imagery is acquired by Thematic Mapper (TM) sensors mounted on the Landsat 5 and Landsat 7 satellites and sensors mounted on the Indian Remote Sensing (IRS) 1-C or 1-D satellites. For its analysis, Reclamation selects satellite images that adequately cover the study area, are cloud-free, and capture the variation in crop planting practices during the year. Table 3 shows the dates, and path and row designations for which Reclamation purchased TM image data for analysis during calendar year 2004. Path and row designations refer to image locations based on the World Reference System[3].

Table 3 — TM Image acquisition dates and path-row designations used in calendar year 2004

Acquisition Date	Path, Row Designation
January 31, 2004	Path 39, Row 37
February 9, 2004	Path 38, Rows 36 and 37
March 12, 2004	Path 38, Row 37
April 29, 2004	Path 38, Row 37
April 20, 2004	Path 39, Row 37
April 29, 2004	Path 38, Row 36
May 6, 2004	Path 39, Row 36
June 23, 2004	Path 39, Row 36
July 2, 2004	Path 38, Rows 36 and 37
July 23, 2004	Path 39, Row 37
December 9, 2004	Path 38, Rows 36 and 37
December 16, 2004	Path 39, row 37

[3] The World Reference System (WRS) catalogues Landsat 5 and 7 images by location (path and row) and date. The WRS for Landsat has 233 paths corresponding to the number of orbits required to cover the Earth every 16 days. The Landsat 5 and Landsat 7 satellite orbits are offset so any site on the Earth can be revisited every 8 days. Paths are numbered 001 to 233, east to west. The rows are numbered so that row 60 coincides with the equator on an orbit's descending node.

Collecting Ground Reference Data

Correctly identifying and mapping crop and riparian vegetation groups using remotely-sensed data requires a thorough understanding of the spectral characteristics of vegetation types for representative (ground reference survey) sites throughout the study area. The term “spectral characteristics” refers to the amount of spectral reflectance from the Earth's surface recorded by the satellite sensors in different portions of the electromagnetic spectrum (ERDAS, 1999) for different vegetative types (i.e. crop types or riparian vegetation types). TM satellite image data contain digital values that represent the spectral reflectance values of these crop and riparian vegetation groups. Reclamation analyzes these digital values within ground reference survey sites to generate spectral statistics, or signatures (ERDAS, 1999) for specific crop and riparian vegetation groups.

Reclamation collects ground reference survey data for approximately 12% of the irrigated fields in the study area. Reclamation uses 60 to 65 percent of the ground reference survey data for image classification processing (to identify crop groups) and the remaining 35 to 40 percent to assess the accuracy of the image classifications. Reclamation selects ground reference survey sites in each major irrigated area involved in this analysis. To provide a statistically valid data set for image classification procedures, Reclamation selects irrigated fields randomly from a GIS database and adds additional fields to the random sample, where necessary, to ensure representation of all major crop groups. This sample is also stratified based upon an analysis of agricultural field size. The variability in planting and harvesting times for each crop group is a critical factor in selecting optimum image dates.

Reclamation purchases satellite images five times a year, and collects ground reference survey data four times a year to coincide with four of the image acquisition dates. Table 4 shows the crop groups sampled in calendar year 2004.

Table 4 — Crop groups sampled in calendar year 2004			
Alfalfa (Alfalfa, Alfalfa – Unwatered (dry and senescent)	**Melons** – Spring and Fall (Watermelon, Honeydew, Cantaloupe, Squash, Strawberries)	**Tomatoes**	**Small Vegetables** (Carrots, Cilantro, Celery, Garlic, Dry Onions, Onions, Parsley, Radishes, Commercial flowers)
Cotton	**Grapes**	**Sudan** (includes Timothy Grass, Sunflower, Sesbania)	**Root Vegetables** (Table Beets, Parsnip, Turnip and Rutabaga)
Small Grain (Oats, Rye, Barley, Millet, Wheat)	**Grass** (Bermuda, Bermuda with Rye, Klein, Clover)	**Legume and Solanum Vegetables** (Green, Dry and Garbanzo Beans; Peas, Peanuts, Fresh Peppers, Potatoes)	**Perennial Vegetables** (Artichoke, Asparagus, Guayule)
Field Grain (Field Corn, Sorghum, Milo)	**Citrus** (Young, mature, Declining)	**Crucifers** (Broccoli, Cauliflower, Cabbage, Bok-Choy, Mustard, Kale, Okra)	**Sugar Beets**
Lettuce - Spring and Fall (Head, Leaf [Red], Leaf [Green], Spinach, Other Lettuce)	**Idle** (Fields currently not in production, includes bare cultivated soil)	**Dates**	**Fallow**
Cane/Bamboo	**Jojoba Beans**	**Safflower**	**Moist Soil Unit**[4]
Miscellaneous Herbs (Anise, Mint, other herb)	**Nursery or Greenhouse** (Citrus Nursery, Native Nursery, Greenhouse, Other Nursery)	**Deciduous Orchards** (Pecans, Peaches, Almonds, Irrigated Native orchard)	**Seasonal Wetland**[5]
Rice			**Open Water** (Fish Pond, Duck Pond, Off-Stream Lake or Reservoir)

Table 5 shows how Reclamation groups riparian vegetation types.

Table 5 — Riparian Vegetation Groups	
Group Name	Description
Marsh	40% cattail, bulrush, and phragmites
Barren	Less than 10% vegetation
Sc_low	11% to 60% salt cedar and less than 25% arrowweed
Sc_high	61% to100% salt cedar and less than 25% arrowweed

[4] An area gradually flooded in winter to develop migratory waterfowl forage and not irrigated in summer.
[5] An area flooded in winter but not irrigated in summer to maintain a wetland.

Table 5 — Riparian Vegetation Groups	
Sc/ms	11% to 60% salt cedar, 11% to 60% mesquite, and less than 25% arrowweed
Sc/aw	Less than 75% salt cedar and 25% or more arrowweed
Sc/ms/aw	15% to 45% salt cedar, 15% to 45% mesquite, and 20% to 40% arrowweed
Ms-low	11% to 60% screwbean and honey mesquite, and less than 25% arrowweed
Ms-high	61% to 100% screwbean and honey mesquite, and less than 25% arrowweed
Ms/aw	21% to 60% mesquite, 31% to 60% arrowweed, and less than 20% salt cedar
Aw	51% to 100% arrowweed and less than 10% any trees
Cw	61% to 100% cottonwood and willow
Low veg	Greater than 10% and less than 30% any riparian vegetation

Delineating Cropped Areas

Reclamation has developed a spatial relational database (GIS database, ESRI, 1995) that delineates the field borders in all irrigated areas involved in this analysis (field border database). Reclamation has linked all ground reference survey data collected for image classification to this field border database. Reclamation originally created the GIS field border database for the lower Colorado River by digitizing field boundaries using 10-meter Systemme Pour l'Observation de la Terre (SPOT) satellite image data as a reference. This imagery was acquired in June and August of 1992. Digitizing is the process of creating a graphical representation of a feature such as a road or agricultural field within a digital (computer) environment. Points representing the corners or center line of a feature are stored according to a real-world coordinate system and then are displayed or analyzed within the computer environment.

Since 1995, Reclamation has updated changes in field borders on the basis of ground reference survey data collected throughout the year. Reclamation also uses 5-meter Indian Remote Sensing (IRS) satellite images (1-C or 1-D sensors) to both update and create new field border databases. For calendar year 2004, Reclamation updated existing field border databases for Imperial Irrigation District and the Coachella Valley Water District, and created a new field border database for the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District. Reclamation will continue to use these two methods to update field borders.

Delineated cropped areas include all areas known by Reclamation to divert or pump water along the mainstream of the lower Colorado River from Davis Dam to Mexico, the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District, the Imperial Irrigation District, the Coachella Valley Water District, and irrigated areas along the Bill Williams River from Alamo Dam to Lake Havasu. Exhibits 4 through 13 show these areas. Exhibit 1 is a map index for Exhibits 4 through 13, Exhibit 2 is

an index of diverter boundaries, and Exhibit 3 is an example of digitized field borders.

Using the analysis of remotely-sensed data, discussed previously, in conjunction with the GIS field border database, Reclamation identified the crop groups (Table 4) which were grown in each agricultural field throughout the calendar year. Post-classification accuracy assessment shows that, overall, the crop groups were mapped with an average accuracy of greater than 90 percent for each of the five image classification dates used in 2004.

Delineating Riparian Vegetation Areas

Reclamation updates riparian vegetation areas by comparing the current year Landsat TM summer satellite images to the previous year's images (change detection methods). Reclamation field checks areas of spectral change to confirm that the change is actually due to change in land cover. Reclamation then remaps areas of land cover change and uses these maps to update the riparian vegetation database. Reclamation compared images from July 16, 2003, to images from July 2, 2004, to update riparian vegetation areas for calendar year 2004.

Delineating Open-Water Areas

Open water of the mainstream

An open-water GIS database contains the spatial boundaries of open-water surfaces such as reservoirs, rivers, and canals. Reclamation initially developed an open-water GIS database for calendar year 2000 and has updated this database annually using change detection techniques (described previously). For calendar year 2004, Reclamation compared TM satellite images acquired on July 2 and December 9, 2004, to the calendar year 2003 open-water GIS database to identify significant (greater than 90 m^2) changes in open-water acreage that may have occurred over the calendar year. Included in this analysis for the first time was the Bill Williams River east of the bridge crossing the river at the southern end of Lake Havasu. Analysis along the lower Colorado River found minor changes in open-water acreage between calendar years 2004 and 2003.

Open water in major delivery canals

LCRAS calculates evaporation from major canals that serve districts and Indian reservations. Reclamation initially identified bank-to-bank canal area (in acres) by digitizing canal banks using 5 meter IRS panchromatic satellite imagery as a reference for calendar year 2000. This information was added to the open-water GIS database. From this, Reclamation calculated the acreage of open water within each canal. This information is updated annually. For calendar year 2004, Reclamation compared July 2, 2004, 30-meter TM imagery to the open-water GIS

LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACCOUNTING SYSTEM MAP INDEX



Exhibit 4 - Davis Dam to Parker Dam Reach (Map 1 of 2)
Exhibit 5 - Davis Dam to Parker Dam Reach (Map 2 of 2)
Exhibit 6 - Parker Dam to Imperial Dam Reach (Map 1 of 3)
Exhibit 7 - Parker Dam to Imperial Dam Reach (Map 2 of 3)
Exhibit 8 - Parker Dam to Imperial Dam Reach (Map 3 of 3)
Exhibit 9 - Imperial Dam to Mexico Reach
Exhibit 10 - Bill Williams River Reach
Exhibit 11 - Coachella Valley Water District
Exhibit 12 - Imperial Irrigation District
Exhibit 13 - Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District

Exhibit 1


LCRAS Diverter Boundaries
Miles
0 10 20 40
(1) Lake Mead National Recreation Area, NV
(2) Lake Mead National Recreation Area, AZ
(3) State of Nevada
(4) Fort Mohave Indian Reservation, NV
(5) Fort Mohave Indian Reservation, AZ
(6) Fort Mohave Indian Reservation, CA
(7) Mohave Valley Irrigation and Drainage District, AZ
(8) Havasu National Wildlife Refuge, AZ
(9) Havasu National Wildlife Refuge, CA
(10) Park Moabi, CA
(11) State of California
(12) State of Arizona
(13) Chemehuevi Indian Reservation, CA
(14) Lake Havasu State Park
(15) Bill Williams National Wildlife Refuge, AZ
(16) Colorado River Indian Reservation, CA
(17) Colorado River Indian Reservation, AZ
(18) North Lyn-De Farm, CA
(19) Bernal Farm, CA
(20) South Lyn-De Farm, CA
(21) Clark Farm, CA
(22) Palo Verde Irrigation District, CA
(23) Ehrenberg Farm, AZ
(23) Ehrenberg Farm, AZ
(24) Arkelian Farm, AZ
(25) Palo Verde Irrigation District, AZ
(26) Cibola Valley Irrigation and Drainage District, CA
(27) Cibola National Wildlife Refuge, AZ
(28) Cibola National Wildlife Refuge, CA
(29) Yuma Proving Ground, AZ
(30) Imperial National Wildlife Refuge, AZ
(31) Imperial National Wildlife Refuge, CA
(32) Picacho State Recreation Area, CA
(33) Imperial National Wildlife Refuge and Yuma Proving Ground, AZ
(34) Mittry Lake State Wildlife Area, AZ
(35) Yuma Proving Ground, CA
(36) Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, Mittry Lake State Wildlife Area and Yuma Proving Ground, AZ
(37) Fort Yuma Indian Reservation and Yuma Proving Ground, AZ
(38) Fort Yuma Indian Reservation and Picacho State Recreation Area, CA
(39) Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, CA
(40) Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, Bard Unit, CA
(41) Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, Indian Unit, CA
(42) North Gila Valley Irrigation District, AZ
(43) Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, AZ
(44) North Cocopah Indian Reservation, AZ
(45) Sturges Gila Monster Ranch, AZ
(46) Yuma Irrigation District, AZ
(47) Yuma County Water Users Association, AZ
(48) Desert Lawn Memorial
(49) Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District, AZ
(50) East Cocopah Indian Reservation, AZ
(51) University of AZ- Ag Test Station, AZ
(52) Unit B Irrigation and Drainage District
(53) West Cocopah Indian Reservation, AZ
(54) Hillander "C", AZ
(55) State of Arizona - Limotrophe Section
(56) State of Arizona - Downgradient of Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District
Mexico
Mexico

Exhibit 2


LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACOUNTING SYSTEM
FIELD BORDER EXAMPLE
FORT MOHAVE INDIAN RESERVATION
California
Arizona
Colorado River
IRS Satellite imagery - Aquired 10/97
Panchromatic - Needles NW, H6-34114
Map Projection - UTM, Zone 11, NAD83
Field border
Flood Plain Boundary
Miles
0 0.5 1 2
Kilometers
0 0.5 1 2

Exhibit 3


LOWER COLORADO RIVER
ACOUNTING SYSTEM
DAVIS DAM TO PARKER
DAM REACH (Map 1 of 2)
Davis
Dam
Laughlin
Arizona
Nevada
Bullhead City
California
Interstate 40
Needles
Havasu
National
Wildlife
Refuge
Topock
Marsh
Interstate 40
Landsat 5 TM imagery -
p39 r36 aquired July 2, 2004
p39r35 aquired August 13th, 1999
Color Composite - Bands 4,3,2 (R,G,B).
Map Projection - UTM Zone 11, NAD83
Ft Mohave Indian Reservation, AZ
Ft Mohave Indian Reservation, CA
Ft Mohave Indian Reservation, NV
Mohave Valley Irrigation and
Drainage District
Flood Plain Boundary
Diverter Boundary
Reach Boundary
Miles
0 1 2 4
Kilometers
0 1.5 3 6

Exhibit 4


LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACOUNTING SYSTEM
DAVIS DAM TO PARKER DAM REACH (Map 2 of 2)
Landsat 5 TM imagery - p38 r36-37. Aquired July 2, 2004
Color Composite - Bands 4,3,2 (R,G,B).
Map Projection - UTM Zone 11, NAD83
Flood Plain Boundary
Diverter Boundary
Reach Boundary
Miles
0 2.5 5 10
Kilometers
0 4 8 16
Interstate 40
Havasu National Wildlife Refuge
Chemehuevi Indian Reservation
Lake Havasu City
Lake Havasu
California
Arizona
Chemehuevi Indian Reservation
Lake Havasu State Park
Parker Dam
Bill Williams NWR
Colorado River Indian Reservation
Earp
Parker

Exhibit 5


LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACOUNTING SYSTEM
PARKER DAM TO IMPERIAL DAM REACH (Map 1 of 3)
Lake Havasu State Park
Bill Williams NWR
California
Earp
Parker
Big River
Colorado
River
Poston
North Lyn-De Farm
Bernal Farm
Indian
Arizona
South Lyn-De Farm
Reservation
Clark Farm
Palo Verde Diversion Dam
Palo Verde Irrigation District
Blythe
Landsat 5 TM imagery - p38 r36-37. Aquired July 2, 2004
Color Composite - Bands 4,3,2 (R,G,B).
Map Projection - UTM Zone 11, NAD83
Flood Plain Boundary
Diverter Boundary
Reach Boundary
Miles
0 2 4 8
Kilometers
0 2 4 8

Exhibit 6


LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACOUNTING SYSTEM
PARKER DAM TO IMPERIAL DAM REACH (Map 2 of 3)
Palo Verde Diversion Dam
Colorado River Indian Reservation
Ehrenberg Farm
Interstate 10
Blythe
California
Arkelian Farms
Palo
Verde
Ripley
Irrigation
District
Arizona
Palo Verde
Cibola Valley Irrigation and Drainage District
Cibola National Wildlife Refuge
Landsat 5 TM imagery - p38 r36-37. Aquired July 2, 2004
Color Composite - Bands 4,3,2 (R,G,B).
Map Projection - UTM Zone 11, NAD83
Flood Plain Boundary
Diverter Boundary
Reach Boundary
Miles
0 2.5 5 10
Kilometers
0 2.5 5 10

Exhibit 7


LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACOUNTING SYSTEM
PARKER DAM TO IMPERIAL DAM REACH (Map 3 of 3)
Cibola National Wildlife Refuge
Arizona
Imperial
Yuma Proving Ground
National
Wildlife
Refuge
Martinez Lake
California
Imperial Dam
Picacho State Recreation Area
Mittry Lake State Wildlife Area
Yuma Proving Ground
Landsat 5 TM imagery - p38 r36-37.
Aquired July 2, 2004
Color Composite - Bands 4,3,2 (R,G,B).
Map Projection - UTM Zone 11, NAD83
Flood Plain Boundary
Diverter Boundary
Reach Boundary
Miles
0 1 2 4
Kilometers
0 2 4 8

Exhibit 8


LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACOUNTING SYSTEM
IMPERIAL DAM TO MEXICO REACH
California
Imperial National Wildlife Refuge
Imperial Dam
Picacho State Recreation Area
Mittry Lake State Wildlife Area
Yuma Proving Ground
Laguna Dam
Bard Unit
Indian Unit
Winterhaven
North Gila Valley Irrigation District
Sturges Gila Monster Ranch
Desert Lawn Memorial
Yuma Irrigation District
Morelos Dam
Yuma
MEXICO
Yuma County Water Users Association
University of AZ Ag Test Station
Fort Yuma Indian Reservation / Homesteads
Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District
Somerton
Arizona
West Cocopah Indian Reservation
Unit B Irrigation and Drainage District
State of Arizona Limotrophe Section
State of Arizona Downgradient of Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District
East Cocopah Indian Reservation
San Luis
Hillander "C"
UNITED STATES
MEXICO
Landsat 5 TM imagery - p38 r36-37.
Aquired July 2, 2004
Color Composite - Bands 4,3,2 (R,G,B).
Map Projection - UTM Zone 11, NAD83
Flood Plain Boundary
Diverter Boundary
Reach Boundary
Miles
0 2 4 8
Kilometers
0 3 6 12

Exhibit 9


LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACOUNTING SYSTEM
BILL WILLIAMS RIVER REACH
Hualapai Mountains
Big Sandy River
Arizona
Lake Havasu State Park
Bill Williams National Wildlife Refuge
Santa Maria River
Alamo Reservoir
Parker Dam
California
Bill Williams River
Landsat 5 TM imagery - p38 r36-37.
Aquired July 2, 2004
Color Composite - Bands 4,3,2 (R,G,B).
Map Projection - UTM Zone 11, NAD83
Flood Plain Boundary
Diverter Boundary
Reach Boundary
Miles
0 3 6 12
Kilometers
0 5 10 20

Exhibit 10


LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACOUNTING SYSTEM
COACHELLA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT
Salton
Sea
Landsat 5 TM imagery - p39 r37.
Aquired December 16th, 2004
Color Composite - Bands 4,3,2 (R,G,B).
Map Projection - UTM Zone 11, NAD83
0 2.5 5 10
Miles
0 2.5 5 10
Kilometers

Exhibit 11


LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACOUNTING SYSTEM
IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT
Salton
Sea
UNITED STATES
MEXICO
Landsat 5 TM imagery - p39 r37.
Aquired December 16th, 2004
Color Composite - Bands 4,3,2 (R,G,B).
Map Projection - UTM Zone 11, NAD83
0 3 6 12
Miles
0 5 10 20
Kilometers

Exhibit 12


LOWER COLORADO RIVER ACOUNTING SYSTEM
WELLTON-MOJAWK IRRIGATION AND DRAINAGE DISTRICT
Landsat 5 TM imagery - p38 r36-37.
Aquired July 2, 2004
Color Composite - Bands 4,3,2 (R,G,B).
Map Projection - UTM Zone 11, NAD83
0
2.5
5
10
Miles
0
4
8
16
Kilometers

Exhibit 13

database used for calendar year 2003. This analysis did not identify any additional open-water areas.

Calculating ET for Crop Groups and Riparian Vegetation Groups

Reclamation calculates ET from crop and riparian vegetation groups found along the lower Colorado River flood plain, on the Palo Verde and Yuma Mesas, within the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District, the Imperial Irrigation District, the Coachella Valley Water District, and the flood plain of the Bill Williams River from Alamo Dam to Lake Havasu.

ET calculations require the following items:

1. Reference ET;
2. ET coefficients for each crop and riparian vegetation group;
3. Number of acres covered by each crop and riparian vegetation group; and
4. Effective precipitation (used to calculate crop ET only).

The following sections describe how Reclamation calculates the four items mentioned above.

Calculating Reference ET

The first step in calculating ET is securing or calculating a reference-ET value for the area of interest. Reference ET represents a fundamental measure of the rate of water use by vegetation (in linear units, such as inches) to which the rate of water use of all types of vegetation (as well as the rate of evaporation from a water body) can be related. Along the lower Colorado River, Reclamation uses reference-ET values calculated with the standardized equation derived from the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) Penman Monteith equation (standardized equation) and climatological data provided by the California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS) and Arizona Meteorological Network (AZMET) stations located in irrigated areas along the Colorado River from Davis Dam to Mexico. The standardized equation is currently recognized by the ET community of scientists as the most accurate representation of a fundamental measure of water use by vegetation available.

The AZMET and CIMIS networks report reference-ET values directly; however, Reclamation has noticed a disparity in the reference-ET values reported by each network for the lower Colorado River. Upon investigation, Reclamation discovered that the AZMET and CIMIS networks do not use exactly the same equation to calculate reference ET. Calculating reference ET using the standardized equation and the climatological data provided by the AZMET and

CIMIS networks eliminates this disparity in reference ET values reported by the networks along the lower Colorado River. The use of the standardized equation leaves only site conditions, equipment calibration, and micro-climatic differences as sources of site-to-site variations in reference-ET values. Reclamation currently uses the reference ET values provided by the CIMIS network for the Imperial and Coachella valleys, and reference ET values from the AZMET network for the Wellton-Mohawk area.

Reclamation develops area-specific reference-ET values for the Mohave Valley, the Parker/ Palo Verde Valleys, the Imperial/Coachella valleys, the Wellton-Mohawk area, and the Yuma Area by averaging reference-ET values from sites within these areas. Figure 1 shows the reference-ET and precipitation values used to develop the ET-rate estimates used by this report to calculate ET from crop and riparian vegetation groups.



Figure 1 — Reference ET and Precipitation

Refining ET Coefficients for Crop and Riparian Vegetation Groups

ET coefficients are the values that relate reference ET to the ET rate of specific crop and riparian vegetation groups (as well as the evaporation rate from a water body). Jensen (1998) presents the rationale used to develop the original crop and riparian vegetation groups and ET coefficients for each group along the lower Colorado River and the Bill Williams River used by the LCRAS program. Jensen (2002) presents the adjustments made to the crop and riparian vegetation groups and the ET and evaporation coefficients used in this report. The ET coefficients developed for the Yuma area are used to calculate crop ET for the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District.

The evapotranspiration-rate (ET-rate) coefficients used for crops grown by the Imperial irrigation district (IID) and the Coachella Valley Water District (CVWD) are derived from coefficients reported in, "Assessment of 1987-1996 Water Use by the Imperial Irrigation District using Water Balance and Cropping Data Special Report June 1977" by Marvin E. Jensen and Ivan A Walter (Jensen, 1997). These ET-rate coefficients were compared with crop ET-rate coefficients developed by J.M. Lord for the CVWD (provided by M.E. Jensen), and found to be similar, therefore the same ET-rate coefficients are used for the IID and CVWD.

Calculating the Number of Acres of Each Crop and Riparian Vegetation Group

The number of acres of each crop and riparian vegetation group are required to calculate ET. Reclamation calculated the number of acres of each crop and riparian vegetation group by applying the analysis previously described in "Identifying Crop Groups, Riparian Vegetation Groups, and Open Water."

Calculating Effective Precipitation

Effective precipitation is a correction to the ET rate of crop groups, required to remove the impact of precipitation so the ET calculated is that of Colorado River water. Reclamation calculates effective precipitation as the product of recorded precipitation and an effective-precipitation coefficient. Precipitation gauges at CIMIS and AZMET stations and those operated by the National Weather Service (NWS) along the lower Colorado River record precipitation. Reclamation developed a single daily precipitation value for the Mohave Valley, the Parker/Palo Verde valleys, the Wellton-Mohawk area, the Imperial and Coachella valleys, and the Yuma area by averaging precipitation measured at the AZMET, CIMIS, and NWS stations in each area. Jensen (1993) contains the documentation for the effective precipitation coefficients used in this report. Reclamation uses the following equation to calculate effective precipitation:

Effective Precipitation = Daily Precipitation H Monthly Effective Precipitation Coefficient

The amount of precipitation the lower Colorado River Valley received in calendar year 2004 ranged from zero, measured by the Ehrenberg 2E NWS station, to 7.40 inches, measured by the Bullhead City NWS station. The correction to the ET rate for precipitation is very small, as can be discerned from an examination of Figure 1 which shows annual reference ET and annual precipitation.

Calculating Crop ET

To calculate ET from crops in the study area, Reclamation must calculate an ET rate for each crop group. To calculate an ET rate (inches) for each crop group, Reclamation multiplies the average daily reference-ET values (inches) by each group's unique daily ET coefficient (dimensionless). Reclamation considers the effect of rainfall on crop water use by subtracting effective precipitation (inches) from the ET rate for each crop group to yield a net ET rate (inches). Reclamation sums the daily ET rates for each crop group to produce a monthly ET rate (inches) for each crop group.

In parallel with the calculations of ET rate, Reclamation must determine the number of acres covered by each crop group within each diverter boundary. Reclamation determines this acreage by using GIS technologies, remote sensing, and field survey data described previously.

With the ET rates for, and number of acres covered by, each crop group described previously, Reclamation calculates the ET (in acre-feet) of each crop group grown within each diverter boundary, by multiplying the ET rate for each crop group by the area covered by each crop group (acres) within each diverter boundary, and divides by 12 (inches/foot). These calculations are performed monthly and the results summed to produce annual agricultural ET values within each diverter boundary. Table 4, previous, lists the crop groups used for this report.

The following example illustrates an ET calculation for cotton:

ET cotton = n [(ET_0 H K cotton) - Effective PPT] AC cotton) 12

Where:

ET cotton = Monthly or annual ET by cotton for the diverter in question (acre-feet)

n = Summation for n time (monthly)

ET_0 = Daily reference ET (inches)

K cotton = Daily ET coefficient specific to cotton (dimensionless)

AC cotton = Acreage of cotton for the diverter in question (acres)

Effective PPT = Effective precipitation (inches)

Calculating ET from Riparian Vegetation

Reclamation calculates ET from riparian vegetation for this report the same way it calculates agricultural ET, except that Reclamation makes no correction to the ET rates of riparian vegetation for effective precipitation. The sum of the ET from all riparian vegetation groups within a diverter boundary yields the riparian vegetation ET for an individual diverter.

Reclamation analyzed remotely-sensed data and aerial photography to develop the original acreage values for each riparian vegetation group used to calculate ET from riparian vegetation for 1995. Beginning with calendar year 1996, and continuing through calendar year 2004, Reclamation has updated riparian vegetation acreage values using change detection methods (described previously) and by field verifying major changes (usually due to fire or development). Table 5 (previous) lists the riparian vegetation groups used in this report.

Calculating Evaporation

Calculating evaporation from the mainstream

Reclamation calculates mainstream evaporation from Lakes Mohave and Havasu, Senator Wash reservoir, and the open water of the Colorado River and adjacent backwaters (such as Topock Marsh and Mittry Lake) from Davis Dam to Mexico. Reclamation calculates open-water evaporation as follows:

1. Sum the average daily reference ET (inches) for a month;
2. Multiply the monthly sum of daily reference ET by a monthly evaporation coefficient (dimensionless);
3. From the product in 2, subtract the precipitation recorded at precipitation gages nearest the area of open water for each month of the year (inches);
4. Divide the result in (3) by 12 inches per foot to yield units of feet;
5. Multiply the result in (4) by the open-water area in acres to yield the monthly open-water evaporation in acre-feet;
6. Perform the calculations previously described in (1) through (5) for all months of the year; and
7. Sum the monthly evaporation for all months of the year to yield an annual evaporation in acre-feet.

Reclamation verified the open-water area for this report by analyzing satellite images acquired on July 2, 2004.

Calculating evaporation from major delivery canals serving irrigation districts and Indian reservations

Reclamation calculates evaporation from major delivery canals using the same technique used to calculate evaporation from the mainstream, except that the open-water area is that of the major delivery canals. Reclamation categorized major delivery canals into two groups: those that provide water to a single irrigation district or Indian reservation (single user canals) and those that provide water to two or more irrigation districts or Indian reservations (shared canals). The Colorado River Indian Reservation Main Canal is an example of a single user canal, and the All American Canal is an example of a shared canal.

Reclamation calculates the proportionate use of a shared canal using a process described by the following paragraphs:

1. Calculate a single diversion point distance from the canal headworks for each irrigation district or Indian reservation by calculating the average distance of each district's or Indian reservation's points of diversion from the canal headworks and weighing these distances by the diversion amount through each point (these values have units of miles).
2. Multiply the value from (1) for each irrigation district or Indian reservation by the total diversion of each irrigation district or Indian reservation (these values have units of acre-foot miles).
3. Divide the acre-foot-mile values for each irrigation district and Indian reservation by the sum of acre-foot-mile values for all irrigation districts and Indian reservations that receive water from the canal. The proportionate use of the canal can be expressed as fractions or percentages.

Once Reclamation assigns the portion of the open-water area of the shared canal to each irrigation district or Indian reservation, each district's or Indian reservation's assignment of the evaporation is calculated as the proportion of open-water area of the shared canal assigned to each district or Indian reservation multiplied by the monthly evaporation coefficients previously described for evaporation from the mainstream.

LCRAS Improvements for Calendar Year 2004

Reclamation reviews each application of LCRAS and incorporates "lessons learned" into subsequent reports. Reclamation also modifies each application of LCRAS in response to information provided by water users and as modified processes become available after analysis of long-term questions and issues. A major improvement for calendar year 2004 is the addition of evapotranspiration estimates for the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District in Arizona;

and the Imperial Irrigation District and Coachella Valley Water District, both in California.

The following paragraphs describe improvements to crop and riparian vegetation identification and ET calculations made since the issuance of the 2003 LCRAS evapotranspiration report, and potential improvements under active consideration during the past year.

Improving ET Estimates for Riparian Vegetation

Reclamation continues a cooperative study initiated in fiscal year 2001 with the Nevada Water Science Center of the US Geological Survey to improve estimates of ET from riparian vegetation. The study's objective is to refine ET estimates for the most common riparian vegetation communities found along the lower Colorado River using parameters measured by three micro-meteorological stations placed above riparian vegetation stands in Topock Marsh. The micro-meteorological stations have collected data for at least 2 years. The study plan includes,

1. Producing estimates of ET from riparian vegetation,

2. Comparing these estimates with estimates of ET from riparian vegetation calculated using ET coefficients and reference ET currently used by LCRAS, and

3. Assessing adjustments that may be needed to the riparian vegetation ET coefficients used by the LCRAS program.

Reclamation expects a final report documenting this study by the end of the first quarter of calendar year 2006.

Adjusting Diverter Boundaries

Reclamation consults with irrigation districts, Indian reservations, and other diverters within defined service areas to resolve discrepancies between Reclamation's understanding of diverter boundaries and diverter's understandings of their boundaries. Reclamation uses this information and other information that may become available to update diverter boundaries used by LCRAS. Such information sharing and gathering is an ongoing process. No adjustments were made to diverter boundaries in 2004.

Determining Accuracies of ET Estimates based on Remote Sensing and GIS Procedures

Reclamation continues a study with an independent statistician to quantify the effects of remote-sensing-based crop classification error on accuracies of ET estimates. Results of this study will be available in 2006.

ET Coefficients for the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District

The ET coefficients used for the Yuma area are used for the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District.

ET Coefficients for the Imperial Irrigation District and the Coachella Valley Water District

The ET coefficients used in this report for crops grown by the Imperial irrigation district (IID) and the Coachella Valley Water District (CVWD) are derived from coefficients reported in, "Assessment of 1987-1996 Water Use by the Imperial Irrigation District using Water Balance and Cropping Data Special Report June 1977" by Marvin E. Jensen and Ivan A Walter (Jensen, 1997). These ET coefficients were compared with crop ET coefficients developed by J.M. Lord for the CVWD (provided by M.E. Jensen), and found to be similar, therefore the same ET coefficients are used for the IID and CVWD. ET coefficients for riparian vegetation were not available specifically for IID and CVWD, therefore the ET coefficients used by LCRAS for the Yuma area were used for IID and CVWD[6].

The crop and riparian vegetation classes identified by LCRAS and the crop types identified by Jensen and Lord which were used to calculate ET for IID and CVWD are shown in table 6.

Table 6 — Crop Classes Identified by LCRAS and Crop Types identified by Jensen.

Crop/Riparian Vegetation Classes Identified by LCRAS	Crop Types Identified by Jensen, 1997, Unless Otherwise Noted
Alfalfa-perennial	Alfalfa (J.M. Lord)
Alfalfa-annual	Alfalfa (same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Alfalfa-seed	Alfalfa Seed

[6] Reclamation acknowledges that the ET coefficients used by LCRAS for the Yuma area are referenced to a reference ET based upon the FAO Standard Equation, not the reference ET provided by the CIMIS network. The result of this disparity is a somewhat lower estimate of water use by riparian vegetation in IID and CVWD than would be calculated had a reference ET calculated by the FAO Standard Equation been used.

Crop/Riparian Vegetation Classes Identified by LCRAS	Crop Types Identified by Jensen, 1997, Unless Otherwise Noted
Cotton	Cotton
Small Grains (includes oats, rye, barley, millet, and wheat)	Wheat
Field Grain (includes field & sweet corn, sorghum, and milo)	Field Corn
Lettuce-Fall (Sept 15 - Nov 28)	Lettuce (Fall)
Lettuce-Spring (Dec 5 - Feb 17)	Lettuce (Spring)
Melons - Spring (Feb 1 - May 31)	Cantaloupes/Honeydew (Spring)
Melons -Fall (Sep 1 - Dec 29)	Cantaloupes/Honeydew (Fall)
Bermuda Grass	Bermuda Grass
Bermuda Grass overseeded with rye grass in winter	Combined Bermuda and Rye Grass
Citrus (young, 1 to 2 meters tall)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Citrus (mature, 3+ meters tall)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Citrus - declining	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Tomatoes	Tomatoes (Spring)
Sudan	Sudan Grass & Seed
Legumes (includes Solanum Vegetables [green beans, dry beans, garbanzo beans, peas, peanuts, fresh peppers, & potatoes])	Peppers, Bell
Crucifers (broccoli, cauliflower, cabbage, bok-choy, mustard, kale, & okra)	Broccoli & Seed
Dates	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Safflower	Misc. Permanent Crops
Deciduous Orchards (pecans & peaches)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Small Vegetables (carrots, celantro, celery, garlic, onions, parsley, & radishes)	Carrots & Seed
Root Vegetables (tables beets, parsnips, turnips, & rutabagas)	Rapini
Perennial Vegetables (artichokes & asparagus)	Asparagus
Sugar Beets	Sugar Beets (Winter)
Grapes	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Herbs-masc.	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Marsh (40% cattail, bullrush, phragmites [bamboo])	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Barren (<= 10% vegetation)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Sc-low (11-60% salt cedar & <= 25% arrowweed)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)

Crop/Riparian Vegetation Classes Identified by LCRAS	Crop Types Identified by Jensen, 1997, Unless Otherwise Noted
Sc-high (61-100% salt cedar & <= 25% arrowweed)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Sc/ms (11-60% salt cedar & 11-60% mesquite & <= 25% arrowweed)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Sc/aw (<= 75% salt cedar & >= 25% arrowweed)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Sc/ms/aw (15-45% salt cedar & 15-45% mesquite & 20-40% arrowweed)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Ms-low (11-60% screwbean/honey mesquite & <= 25% arrowweed)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Ms-high (61-100% screwbean/honey mesquite & <= 25% arrowweed)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Ms/aw (21-60% mesquite & 31-60% arrowweed & <= 20% salt cedar)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Aw (51-100% arrowweed & <= 10% any trees)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Cw (61-100% cottonwood and willow trees)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)
Low Vegetation (> 10% and <= 30% any riparian vegetation)	Same as LCRAS (Yuma)

The ET coefficients found in Jensen, 1997 are 1/3-monthly values. Daily ET coefficients, used by LCRAS, were derived by straight line interpolation between each 1/3-monthly value, and a value of zero prior to the beginning and after the end of each set of 1/3 monthly values.

This report calculates the evapotranspiration of crops and riparian vegetation identified in the IID and CVWD using the reference ET provided by the CIMIS network stations located within the Imperial and Coachella valleys.

Graphs of the ET-rate coefficients used for IID and CVWD, which differ from the ET coefficients used for irrigators along the lower Colorado River, are shown below.


Alfalfa
Daily Kc
1.4
1.2
1
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
1 27 53 79 105 131 157 183 209 235 261 287 313 339 365
Julian Day


Alfalfa Seed
Daily Kc
1.400
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 28 55 82 109 136 163 190 217 244 271 298 325 352
Julian Day


Cotton
Daily Kc
1.400
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Wheat
Daily Kc
1.400
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Field Corn
Daily Kc
1.400
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Lettuce (Fall)
Daily Kc
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Lettuce (Spring)
Daily Kc
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Cantaloupes (Spring)
Daily Kc
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Cantaloupes (Fall)
Daily Kc
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Bermuda Grass
Daily Kc
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Combined Bermuda & Rye Grass
Daily Kc
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Tomoatoes (Spring)
Daily Kc
1.400
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Sudan Grass and Seed
Daily Kc
1.400
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Peppers, Bell
Daily Kc
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Broccoli and Seed
Daily Kc
1.400
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Misc. Permanent Crops
Daily Kc
1.400
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Carrots and Seed
Daily Kc
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Rapini
Daily Kc
1.400
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Asparagas
Daily Kc
1.000
0.900
0.800
0.700
0.600
0.500
0.400
0.300
0.200
0.100
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day


Sugar Beets (Winter)
Daily Kc
1.200
1.000
0.800
0.600
0.400
0.200
0.000
1 25 49 73 97 121 145 169 193 217 241 265 289 313 337 361
Julian Day

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bureau of Reclamation, 1997. Report, “Lower Colorado River Accounting System Demonstration of Technology Calendar Year 1995,” Bureau of Reclamation, Lower Colorado Region, Boulder City, NV.

ERDAS, Inc. 1995. ERDAS Field Guide, Third Ed., ERDAS Inc., Atlanta, GA.

ESRI, Inc. 1994. Understanding GIS: The ARC/INFO Method, Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, CA.

Jensen, Marvin E., 1993. "Evaluating Effective Rainfall in CVWD," October 1, 1993. Appendix 3 of "Appendix Prepared for Water Use Assessment, Coachella Valley Water District and Imperial Irrigation District," Phase I Report from the Technical Work Group, Stephen M. Jones, Charles M. Burt, Albert J. Clemmens, Marvin E. Jensen, Joseph M. Lord, Jr., Kenneth H. Solomon, Draft April 1994 (copies of appendix 3 are available from the Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder Canyon Operations Office, Boulder City, Nevada) (see page A3-13).

Jensen, Marvin E., 1998. "Coefficients for Vegetative Evapotranspiration and Open-Water Evaporation for the Lower Colorado River Accounting System," October 1998, Fort Collins, CO (available from the Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder Canyon Operations Office, Boulder City, Nevada).

Jensen, Marvin E., 2002. "Coefficients for Vegetative Evapotranspiration and Open Water Evaporation for the Lower Colorado River Accounting System (LCRAS) Addendum to the 1998 Report Prepared for the Bureau of Reclamation," April 2002, Marvin E. Jensen, Consultant, 1207 Springwood Drive, Fort Collins, CO 80525-2850 (available from the Bureau of Reclamation Boulder Canyon Operations Office in Boulder City, Nevada).