No. 8, Orig.

State of Arizona, Plaintiff,	}	On Joint Motion to Enter Sup-
v.	}	plemental Decree and Mo-
State of California, et al.	}	tions for Leave to Intervene

[January 9, 1979]

PER CURIAM.

The United States of America. Intervenor, State of Arizona, Complainant, the California Defendants (State of California, Palo Verde Irrigation District, Imperial Irrigation District, Coachella Valley County Water District, The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, City of Los Angeles, City of San Diego, County of San Diego), and State of Nevada, Intervenor, pursuant to Art. VI of the Decree entered in the case on March 9, 1964, at 376 U.S. 340, and amended on February 28, 1966, at 383 U.S. 268, have agreed to the present perfected rights to the use of mainstream water in each State and their priority dates as set forth herein. Therefore, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the joint motion of the United States, the State of Arizona, the California Defendants, and the State of Nevada to enter a supplemental decree is granted and that said present perfected rights in each State and their priority dates are determined to be as set forth below; subject to the following:

- (1) The following listed present perfected rights relate to the quantity of water which may be used by each claimant and the list is not intended to limit or redefine the type of use otherwise set forth in said Decree.
- (2) This determination shall in no way affect future adjustments resulting from determinations relating to settlement of Indian reservation boundaries referred to in Art. II (D) (5) of said Decree.
- (3) Article IX of said Decree is not affected by this list of present perfected rights.
- (4) Any water right listed herein may be exercised only for beneficial uses.
- (5) In the event of a determination of insufficient mainstream water to satisfy present perfected rights pursuant to Art. II(B)(3) of said Decree, the Secretary of the Interior shall, before providing for the satisfaction of any of the other present perfected rights except for those listed herein as "MISCELLANEOUS PRESENT PER-FECTED RIGHTS" (rights numbered 7-21 and 29-80 below) in the order of their priority dates without regard to State lines, first provide for the satisfaction in full of all rights of the Chemehuevi Indian Reservation, Cocopah Indian Reservation, Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, Colorado River Indian Reservation, and Fort Mojave Indian Reservation as set forth in Art. II (D)(1)-(5) of said Decree, provided that the quantities fixed in paragraphs (1) through (5) of Art. II (D) of said Decree shall continue to be subject to appropriate adjustment by agreement or decree of this Court in the event that the boundaries of the respective reservations are finally determined. Additional present perfected rights so adjudicated by such adjustment shall be in annual quantities no to exceed the quantities of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of the practicably irrigable acres which are included within any area determined to be within a reservation by such final determination of boundary and for the satisfaction of related uses. The quantities of diversions are to be computed by determining net practicably irrigable acres

within each additional area using the methods set forth by the Special Master in this case in his Report to this Court dated December 5, 1960, and by applying the unit diversion quantities thereto, as listed below:

Unit Diversion

	Cint Biversion
	Quantity Acre-Feet
Indian Reservation	Per Irrigable Acre
Cocopah	6.37
Colorado River	6.67
Chemehuevi	5.97
Ft. Mojave	6.46
Ft Viima	6.67

The foregoing reference to a quantity of water necessary to supply consumptive use required for irrigation, and as that provision is included within paragraphs (1) through (5) of Art. II(D) of said Decree, shall constitute the means of determining quantity of adjudicated water rights but shall not constitute a restriction of the usage of them to irrigation or other agricultural application. If all or part of the adjudicated water rights of any of the five Indian Reservations is used other than for irrigation or other agricultural application, the total consumptive use, as that term is defined in Art. I(A) of said Decree, for said Reservation shall not exceed the consumptive use that would have resulted if the diversions listed in subparagraph (i) of paragraph (1) through (5) of Art. II(D) of said Decree and the equivalent portions of any supplement thereto had been used for irrigation of the number of acres specified for that Reservation in said paragraphs and supplement and for the satisfaction of related uses. Effect shall be given to this paragraph notwithstanding the priority dates of the present perfected rights as listed below. However, nothing in this paragraph (5) shall affect the order in which such rights listed below as "MISCELLANEOUS PRESENT PERFECTED RIGHTS" (numbered 7-21 and 29-80 below) shall be satisfied. Furthermore, nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to determine the order of satisfying any other Indian water rights claims not herein specified.

ARIZONA

A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights The Federal establishments named in Art II, subdivision (D), paragraphs (2), (4) and (5), of the Decree entered March 9, 1964, in this case, such rights having been decreed in Art. II:

	Annual		
	Diversions	Net	Priority
Defined Area of Land	(acre-feet)1	Acres	Date
1) Cocopah Indian Reservation	2,744	431	Sept. 27, 1917
2) Colorado River Indian	358,400	53,768	Mar. 3, 1865
Reservation	252,016	37,808	Nov. 22, 1873
	51,986	7,799	Nov. 16, 1874
3) Fort Mohave Indian	27,969	4,327	Sept. 18, 1890
Reservation	68,447	10,589	Feb. 2, 1911

B. Water Projects Present Perfected Rights

(4) The Valley Division, Yuma Project, in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 254,200 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 43,562 acres and the satisfaction of related uses, whichever

^{&#}x27;The quantity of water in each instance is measured by (i) diversion or (ii) consumptive use required for irrigation of the respective acreage and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less.

- of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of 1901.
- (5) The Yuma Auxiliary Project, Unit B, in annual quantities to exceed (i) 6,800 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 1,225 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or(ii) is less, with a priority date of July 8, 1905.
- (6) The North Gila Valley Unit, Yuma Mesa Division, Gila Project, in annual quantities not to exceed (i) 24,500 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 4,030 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of July 8, 1905.
 - C. Miscellaneous Present Perfected Rights

Annual

1) The following miscellaneous present perfected in Arizona in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed acre-feet of diversion from the mainstream to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation and the satisfaction of related uses within the boundaries of the land described and with the priority dates listed:

	Diversions	
Priority	21,01010	
Defined Area of Land	(acre-feet)	Date
7)	(33333)	
160 acres in Lots 21, 24, and 25, Sec. 29 and	960	1915
Lots 15, 16, 17, and 18, and the SW1/4 of the		
SE1/4, Sec. 30, T. 16S., R. 22E., San Bernardino		
Base and Meridian, Yuma County, Arizona		
(Powers) ²		
8)		
Lots 11, 12, 13, 19, 20, 22 and S½ of SW¼,	1,140	1915
Sec. 30, T. 16S., R. 22E., San Bernardino BAse		
and Meridian, Yuma County, Arizona. (United States ³)		
9)		
60 acres within Lot 2, Sec. 15 and Lots 1 and 2,	360	1910
Sec. 22, T.10N., R. 19W., G&SRBM.	500	1,710
(Graham) ²		
10)		
180 acres within the N1/2 of the S1/2 and the	1,080	1902
$S\frac{1}{2}$ of the $N\frac{1}{2}$ of Sec. 13 and the $SW\frac{1}{4}$ of the		
NE½ of Sec. 14, T. 18N., R. 22W., G&SRBM.		
(Hulet) ²		
11)		
45 acres within the NE¼ of the SW¼, the		
SW ¹ / ₄ of the SW ¹ / ₄ and the SE ¹ / ₄ of the		
SW ¹ / ₄ of the Sec. 1 1, T. 18N., R. 22W., G&S RBM.}		
80 acres within the N½ of the SW ¼ of Sec. } 11, T. 18N., R. 22W., G&SRBM.	}` 1,050	1902
10 acres within the NW¼ of the NE¼ of the		1902
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	; }	
40 acres w ithin the SE ¼ of the SE¼ of Sec. 15,	·	
T. 18N., R. 22W., G&S RBM. (Hursch ler) ²		
12)		
40 acres w ithin Sec. 13, T. 17N., R. 22W.,	240	1902
G&S RBM . (Miller) ²		
12)		
13) 120 acres within Sec . 27, T. 18 N., R. 21 W.,	}	
G&S RBM .	, }	
	810	1902
	}	
	}	

²The name in parentheses following the description of the "Defined A rea of Land" are used for identification of present perfected rights only; the name used is the first name appearing as the Claimants identified with a parcel in A rizona's 19 67 list submitted to this Court.

Defined Area of Land		Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Priorit Date
14) 180 aces within the NW¼ of the NE¼, the SW¼ of the NE½, the NE½ of the SW½, the NW¼ of the SE½, the NE½ of the SE½, and the SW¼ of the SE½, and the SE½ of the SE½, Sec. 31, T. 18N., R. 21W., G &SR BM. (Sherrill & Lafollette) [†]		1,080	1902
53.89 acres as follows: Beginning at a point 995.1 feet easterly of the NW corner of the NE¼ of Sec. 10, T. 8S., R. 22W., Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian; on the northerly boundary of the said NE¼, which is the true point of beginning, then in a southerly direction to a point on the southerly boundary of the said N E¼ which is 991.2 feet E. of the SW comer of said NE¼ thence ea sterly along the S. line of the NE¼, a distance of 807.3 ket to a point, thence N. 0°7' W., 768.8 feet to a point thence, E. 124.0 ket to a point, thence northerly 0°14'W., 1,067.6 feet to a point, thence E. 130 feet to a point, thence northerly 0°20'W., 405.2 feet to a point thence northerly 63' 10' W., 506.0 feet to a point, thence notherly 90°15W., 562.9 feet to a point on the northerly boundary of the said NE¼, thence ea sterly along the said northerly boundary of the said NE¼, 116.6 feetto the true point of the beginning containing 53.89 acres. All as more particularly described and set forth in that survey executed by Thomas A. Yowell, Land Surveyor on June 24, 1969. (Molina) ⁴		318	1928
16) 60 acres within the NW¼ of the NW¼ and the north ha If of the SW¼ of the N W¼ of Sec. 14, T. 8S., R. 22W., G&SRBM. 70 acres within the S½ of the SW¼, of the SW¼, and the W½ of the S W¼, Sec. 14, T. 8S., R. 22W., G&SRBM. (Sturges)²	} } }} } }	780	1925
17) 120 acres within the N½ NE¼, NE¼ NW¼, Section 23, T.18N., R22W, G&SRBM. (Zosaya) ⁴		720	1912
18) 40 acres in the $W\frac{1}{2}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 30 and 60 acres in the $W\frac{1}{2}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 30, and 60 acres in the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 31, compro mising a total of 160 acres all in Township 18 North, Range 21 West of the G&SRBM . (Swan) ⁴		960	1902
19) 7 acres in the East 300 feet of the W ½ of Lot 1 (Lot 1, being the SE½ SE½, 40 acres more or less), Section 28, Township 16 South, Range 22 East, San Bernardino Meridian, lying North of U. S. Bureau of Reclamation levee right of way, EXCEPT that portion conveyed to the United States of A merica by instrument recorded in Docket 417, page 150 EXCE PTING any portion of the East 300 feet of W½ of Lot 1 within the natural bed of the Colorado River below the line of ordinary high water and also EXC EPTIN G any artificial accretions waterward of said line of ordinary high water, all of which comprises approximately seve (7) acres. (Milton and Jean Phillips) ⁴		42	1900

⁴The names in parenthesis following the description of the "Defined Area of Land" are the names of claimants added since the 1967 list, upon whose water use these present perfected rights are predicated.

³Included as a part of the Powers' claim in Arizona's 1967 list submitted to this Court. Subsequently, the United States and Powers agreed to a Stipulation of Settlement on land ow nership w hereby title to this property was quieted in favor of the United States.

2. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in Arizona in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed number of acre-fect of (i) diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, for domestic, municipal, and industrial purposes within the boundaries of the land described and with the priority dates listed:

			Annual	
		Annual	Consumptive	
		Diversions	Use	Priority
Defi	ned Area of Land	(acre-feet)	(acre-feet)	_Use_
20)	City of Parker ²	630	400	1905
21)	City of Yuma ²	2,333	1,478	1893

II CALIFORNIA

A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights The Federal establishments named in Art. II, subdivision (D), paragraphs (1), (3), (4), and (5) of the decree of March 9, 1964, in this case such rights have been decreed by Art. II:

	nnual ersions	Net	Priority
	-feet)5	Acres ⁵	Use
22)			
Chemehuevi Indian Reservation	11,340	1,900	Feb. 2, 1907
23)			
Yuma Indian Reservation	51,616	7,443	Jan. 9, 1884
24)			
Colorado River Indian Reservation	10,745	1,612	Nov. 22, 1873
	40,241	6,037	Nov. 16, 1874
	3,760	564	May 15, 1876
25)			
Fort Mohave Indian Reservation 1890	13,698	2,119	Sept. 18,

B. Water Districts and Projects Present Perfected Rights 26)

The Palo Verde Irrigation District in annual quantities of water not to exceed (i) 219,780 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 33,604 acre and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of 1877.

27)

The Imperial Irrigation District in annual quantities of water not to exceed (i) 2,600,000 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 424,145 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less,, with a priority date of 1901.

The Reservation Division, Yuma Project, California (non-Indian portion) in annual quantities of water not to exceed (i) 38,270 acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of water necessary to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation of 6,294 acres and for the satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, with a priority date of July 8, 1905.

C. Miscellaneous Present Perfected Rights 1. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in California in annual quantities of water not to exceed the

⁵The quantity of waterin each instance is measured by (i) diversions or consumptive use required for imigation of the respective acreage and for satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less.

listed number of acre-feet of diversions from the mainstream to supply the consumptive use required for irrigation and the satisfaction of related uses within the boundaries of the land described and with the priority dates listed:

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Priority Date
29) 130 acres within Lots 1, 2, and 3, SE¼ of NE¼ of Section 27 T. 16S., R. 22E., S.B.B. (Wavers) ⁶	780	1856
30) 40 acres within W½, W½ of E½ of Section T. 9N., R. 22E., S.B.B. &M. (Stephenson) ⁶	1, 240	1923
31) 20 acres within Lots 1 and 2, Sec. 19, T. 13; R. 23E., and Lots 2, 3, and 4 of Sec. 24, T. R. 22E., S.B.B. & M. (Mendivil) ⁶		1893
32) 30 acres within NW¼ of SE¼, S½ of SE¼, Sec. 24, and NW¼ of NE¼ Sec. 25, all in T. 9S., R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (Grannis)	180	1928
33) 25 acres within Lot 6, Sec. 5; and Lots 1 and SW¼ of NE¼, and NE¼ of SE¼ of Sec. 8 and Lots 1 & 2 of Sec. 9, all in T. 13S., R. S.B.B. &M. (Morgan) ⁶		1913
34) 18 acres within E½ of NW¼ and W½ of NE¼ of Sec. 14, T. 10S, R. 21E., S.B.B. & (Milpitas) ⁶	108 M.	1918
35) 10 acres within N½ of NE¼, SE¼ of NE¼, and NE¼ of SE¼, Sec. 30, T. 9N., R. 23E., S.B.B. & M. (Simons)	60	1889
36) 16 acres within E½ of NW¼ and N½ 666 SW¼, Sec. 12, T. 9N., R. 22E., S.B.B. & M (Colo. R. Sportmen's League) ⁶	1921	
37) 11.5 acres within E½ of NW¼, Sec. 1, T. 10 R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (Milpitas) ⁶	08S., 69	1914
38) 11 acres within S½ of SW¼, Sec. 12, T. 9N R. 22E., S.B.B. & M. (Andrade) ⁶	ī., 66	1921
39) 6 acres within Lots 2, 3, and 7 and NE¼ of SW¼, Sec. 19, T. 9N, R. 23E., S.B.B. & M. (Reynolds) ⁶ 40)	36	1904
10 acres within N½ of NE¼, SE¼ of NE¼ and NE¼ of SE¼, Sec. 24 T. 9N., R. 22E., S.B.B. & M. (Cooper) ⁶	60	1905
20 acres wtihin SW¼ of SW¼, (Lot 8) Sec. T. 9N., R. 23E., S.B.B. & M. (Chagnon) ⁷ 42)	19, 120	1925
20 acres within NE½ of SW¼, N½ of SE¼, SE¼ of SE¼, Sec. 14, T. 9S., R. 21E., S.B.l & M. (Lawrence) ⁷		1915

2. The following miscellaneous present perfected rights in California in annual quantities of water not to exceed the listed number of acre-feet of (i) diversions from the mainstream or (ii) the quantity of mainstream water necessary to supply the consumptive use, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less, for domestic, municipal, and industrial purposes within the boundaries of the and described and with the priority dates listed:

⁷The names in parentheses following the description of the "Defined Area of Land" are used for identification of present perfected rights only; the name used is the first name appearing as the claimant identified with a parcel in C alifornia's 1967 list submitted to this Court.

Defined Area of Land	Annual (acre-feet)	Annual Consumptive (acre-feet)	Priority <u>Use</u>	Diversions	Annual nsumptive Use cre-feet)	Priority Use
43) City of Needles ⁶ 44)	1,500	950	1885	61) W½ SW¼, Sec. 23, T. 9S., R. 21E 1.0	0.6	1916
Portions of Sec 5, 6, 7 & 8 R. 24E.; Sec. 1 T.7N., R. 2 5, 9, 10, 15, 22, 23, 25, 26 T. 8N., R., 23E; Secs. 19, 3 33, T., 9N., R. 23E., S.B.E	23E,; Secs. 4, , 35, & 36, 29, 30, 32 &	273	1896	S.B.B. & M. (Grahman) ⁷ 62) S½ NW¼, NE¼ SW¼, SW¼ NE¼ 1.0 Sec. 23, T. 9S., R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (Cate) ⁷	0.6	1919
(Atcheson, Topeka and Sa way Co.) ⁶ 45) Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & SW ¹ / ₄	nta Fe Rail-	0.6	1921	63) SE¼ NE¼, NE¼ SW¼, SE¼ SE¼ 1.0 Sec. 23, T. 9S., R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (McGee) ⁷	0.6	1924
Sec. 5, T. 13S., R. 22E., S (Conger) ⁷ 46)	.B.B. & M.			64) SW¼ SE¼, SE¼ SW¼,Sec. 23,NE¼1.0 NW¼, NW¼ NE¼, Sec. 26; all in T. 9S., R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (Stallard) ⁷	0.6	1924
Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 of Sec 32 T.11 S.B.B. & M. (G. Draper) ⁷	s., R.22E 1.0	0.6	1923	65) W½ SE¼, SE¼ SE¼,Sec. 26, T. 9S 1.0 R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (Randolph) ⁷	0.6	1926
47) Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and SE½ S 20 T. 11S., R. 22E., S.B.B (McDonough) ⁷ 48)		0.6	1919	66) E½ NE¼, SW¼ NE¼, SE¼ NW¼, 1.0 Sec. 26, T. 9S., R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (Stallard) ⁷	0.6	1928
SW ¹ / ₄ of Sec 25, T. 8S., R.2. & M. (Faubion) ⁷ 49)		0.6	1925	67) S½ SW¼, Sec. 13, R½ NW¼, Sec. 1.0 24; all in T. 9S., R. 21E., S.B.B. & M (Keefe) ⁷	0.6	1926
W ¹ / ₂ NW ¹ / ₄ of sec. 12, T.9 ¹ S.B.B. &M. (Dudley) ⁷ 50) N ¹ / ₂ SE ¹ / ₄ and Lots 1 and 2	of Sec. 13 1.0	0.6	1922 1916	(Recie) 68) SE!/4 NW!/4, NW!/4 SE!/4, Lots 2, 3 & 1.0 4, Sec. 25, T. 13S., R. 23E., S.B.B. & M. (C. Ferguson) ⁷	0.6	1903
T. 8S., R. 22E., S.B.B. & I (Douglas) ⁷ 51) N½ SW ¹ / ₄ , NW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄ , Lo		0.6	1924	69) Lots 4, & 7, Sec. 6; Lots 1&2, Sec. 7 1.0 all in T. 14S., R. 24E., S.B.B. & M. (W.	0.6	1903
Sec. 5, T. 9S., R. 22E., S.I (Beauchamp) ⁷ 52) NE ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄ , SE ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄ , and Sec. 26, T. 8S., R. 22E., S	d Lot 1, 1.0	0.6	1916	Ferguson) ⁷ 70) SW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄ , Lots 2, 3, and 4, Sec. 24 1.0 T. 12S., R. 21E., Lot 2, Sec. 19, T. 12S., R. 22E., S.B.B. & M. (Vaulin) ⁷	0.6	1920
(Clark) ⁷ 53) N ¹ / ₂ SW ¹ / ₄ , NW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄ , SE		0.6	1915	71) Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4, Sec. 25 T.12S. 1.0 R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (Salisbury) ⁷	0.6	1920
Sec. 13, T. 9S., R. 21E., S (Lawrence) ⁷ 54)				72) Lots 2, 3, SE¼ SE¼, Sec. 25 NE¼ 1.0 NE¼, Sec. 22; all in T. 13S., R. 22E.	0.6	1924
N½ NE¼, E½ NW¼, Sec. R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (J. 055)		0.6	1914	S.B.B. & M. (Hadlock) ⁷ 73) SW¼ NE¼, SE¼ NW¼, and Lots 7 1.0	0.6	1903
SE½, Sec. 1, T. 9S., r21E. (Geiger) ⁷ 56)	, S.B.B.&MI.0	0.6	1910	& 8, Sec. 6, T. 9S., R.22E., S.B.B. & M. (Streeter) ⁷ 74)		
Fractional W½ of SW¼ (I T. 9S., R. 22E., S.B.B. & (Schneider) ⁷ 57)	· ·	0.6	1917	Lots 4, Sec, Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 7, Lots 1.0 1 & 2, Sec. 8, Lot 1, Sec. 18; all in T. 12S., R. 22E., S.B.B. & M. (J. Draper) ⁷	0.6	1903
Lot 1, Sec. 15; Lots 1 & 2. Lots 1 & 2, Sec. 23; all in R. 22E., S.B.B. & M. (Ma 58)	T. 13S.,	0.6	1895	75) SW¼ NW¼, Sec. 5, SE¼ NE¼ and 1.0 Lot 9, Sec. 6; all in T. 9S., R. 22E., S.B.B. & M. (Friz)	0.6	1912
NE ¹ / ₄ , Sec. 22, T. 9S., R21 M. (Earle) ⁷ 59)	1E, S.B.B.&1.0	0.6	1925	76) NW¼ NE¼, Sec. 26; Lots 2 & 3, 1.0 W½ SE¼, Sec. 23; all in T. 8S.,	0.6	1909
NE ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄ , Sec. 22,T9S., S.B.B. & M. (Diehl) ⁷ 60)		0.6	1928	R. 22E., S.B.B. & M. (Williams) ⁷ 77) Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5, Sec. 25 T. 8S, 1.0 P. 22E. S.B.B. & M. (Fetrodo) ⁷	0.6	1928
N½ NW¼, N½ NE¼, Sec R.21E., S.B.B. & M. (Reio		0.6	1912	R. 22E., S.B.B. & M. (Estada) ⁷ 78) S½ NW¼, Lot 1, frac. NE¼ SW¼, 1.0 Sec. 25, T. 9S., R. 21E., S.B.B. & M. (Whittle) ⁷	0.6	1925
⁷ The names in parentheses Land" are the names of the perfected rights, added sin	e homesteaders	upon whose water us	e these present	79) N½ NW¼, Sec. 25, S½,SW¼, Sec. 1.0 24; all in T. 9S, R. 21E.,S.B.B.& M. (Corington)	0.6	1928

perfected rights, added since 1967 list submitted to the Court, are predicated.

		Annual	
	Annual Diversions	Consumptive Use	Priority
Defined Area of Land	(acre-feet)	(acre-feet)	Use
80)			
S½ NW ¼, N½ SW ¼, Sec. 24	T. 9S 1.0	0.6	1928
R 21S S B B & M (Tolliver)		

III NEVADA

A. Federal Establishments Present Perfected Rights
The federal establishments named in Art. II, subdivision (D), paragraphs (5) and (6) of the Decree entered on
March 9, 1964, in this case, such rights having been decreed by
Art. II:

Defined Area of Land	Annual Diversions (acre-feet)	Net Acres	Priority Date
81)			<u> </u>
Fort Mohave Indian Reservation	12,5348	1,939 acres8	Sept. 18, 1890
82)			
Lake Mead National Recreation	500	30 acre feet9	May 3, 1929 ¹⁰
Area (The Overton Area	o f		
Lake Mead N.R.A. provi	ded		
in Executive Order 5105)		

It is ordered that Judge Elbert P. Tuttle be appointed Special Master in this case with authority to fix the time and conditions for the filing of additional pleadings and to direct subsequent proceedings and with authority to summon witnesses, issue subpoenas, and take such evidence as may be introduced and such as he may deem necessary to call for. The Master is directed to submit such reports as he may deem appropriate.

The Master shall be allowed his actual expenses. The allowances to him, the compensation paid to his technical, stenographic, and clerical assistants, the cost of printing his reports, and all other proper expenses shall be charged a gainst and borne by the parties in such proportion as the Court may hereafter direct.

It is further ordered that if the position of Special Master in this case becomes vacant during a recess of the Court, THE CHIEF JUSTICE shall have authority to make a new designation which shall have the same effect as if originally made by the Court.

It is further ordered that the motion of Fort Mojave Indian Tribe et al. for leave to intervene, insofar as it seeks intervention to oppose entry of the supplemental decree, is denied. In all other respects, this motion and the motion of Colorado River Indian Tribes et al. for leave to intervene are referred to the Special Master

MR. JUSTICE MARSHALL took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.

RALPH E. HUNSAKER, Phoenix, Ariz. for complainant; EVELLE J. YOUNGER, Attorney General, State of California (SANFORD N. GRUSKIN, Chief Assistant Attorney General, R.H. CONNETT and N. GREGORY TAYLOR, Assistant Attorneys General; EDWIN J. DUBIEL, DOUGLAS B. NOBLE, EMIL STIPANOVICH, JR., and ANITA E. RUUD, Deputy Attorneys General, ROBERT P. WILL, and RICHARD PAUL GERBER, with him on the brief) for defendant; LOUIS F. CLAIRBORNE, Assistant to the Solicitor General (WADE H. McCREE, JR., Solicitor General, JAMES W. MOOMAN, Assistant Attorney General, and MYLES E. FLINT, Justice Department attorney, with him on the brief) for intervenors; RAYMOND C. SIMPSON, Palo Verde Estates, Calif., as amicus curiae for Fort Mojave Indian Tribe; LAWRENCE D. ASCHENBRENNER, Washington, D.C. as amicus curiae for Cocopah Indian Tribe, and TERRY NOBLE FISKE, Denver, Colo., as amicus curiae for Colorado River Indian Tribes.

⁸The quantity of water in each instance is measured by (i) diversions or (ii) consumptive use required for irrigation of the respective acreage and for satisfaction of related uses, whichever of (i) or (ii) is less.

⁹Refers to acre-feet of annual consumptive use, not to net acres.

¹⁰Article II(D)(6) of said Decree specifies a priority date of March 3, 1928. Executive Order 5105 is dated may 3, 1929 (see C. F. R. 1964 Cumulative Pocket Supplement, p. 276, and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of the Spectial Master's Report in this case, pp. 294-295).