

SUIT TO BLOCK DAM ARGUED

The Supreme Court yesterday heard both sides of the Boulder Dam case as attorneys for conflicting interests argued the constitutionality of the Congressional act authorizing building of the vast project on the Colorado River.

The main case of the Government and six Western States seeking dismissal of Arizona's suit to enjoin the project, was concluded by Attorney General James A. Greenwood, of Wyoming, and Arizona's defense was presented by Dean Acheson, this city.

At the outset Greenwood attempted to clear the atmosphere over the alleged threatened diversion of water from the Colorado River into southern California.

Greenwood said the six-State compact makes no provision for diversion of water.

Acheson, developing his argument on the basis of the entire flow of water in the Colorado River basin, maintained that Secretary of Interior Wilbur is infringing upon the constitutional right of Arizona in constructing the dam partly in Arizona.