#### **Yellowtail Reallocation Study**

#### **Travis D. Yonts**

Water Resource Engineer Omaha District 29 Sept 2009





US Army Corps of Engineers BUILDING STRONG<sub>®</sub>

### **Presentation Overview**

- Project background
- Reservoir simulation information
- Summary of results
- Questions





# **Project Objective**

 Evaluate the change in flood reduction benefits due to reallocation of flood control storage to joint use storage for Yellowtail Dam.



#### **BIGHORN LAKE STORAGE ALLOCATION**



#### **PROPOSED STORAGE ALLOCATION**

Elev. 3660.0 Surcharge - 52,829 Acre-Feet **3657** (1,328,360 AF) Exclusive Flood Control - 190,846 Acre - Feet Joint Use - 307,827 Acre - Feet **3614** (897,172 Acre - Feet) Active Conservation - 336,103 Acre - Feet Top of Conservation Elev. 3547.00 (493,584 Acre - Feet) ſ Inactive Conservation - 477,576 Acre - Feet Top of Dead Elev. 3296.50 (16,008 Acre - Feet)

Dam Crest

#### HEC-ResSim (Reservoir Evaluation System-Simulation)

- Single or multiple reservoir systems
- Flood control
- Hydropower
- Water supply (municipal, irrigation, etc)
- Diversions
- Navigation
- Flow targets (max & min)
- Period of record or event simulation





# Data Requirements

- Daily stream flow 1967-2006
- Daily reservoir inflow, outflow, storage
- Daily precipitation, evaporation
- Elevation-area-capacity relationships
- Spillway & outlet rating curves
- Downstream discharge-damage functions
- Reservoir operating criteria/storage zones
- Project design floods
- Local flow calculations



# **ResSim Modeling Process**

- Gather input data
- Construct and calibrate models
  - Period of record, inflow design flood, project design flood, 1923 event
  - Establishment of a baseline condition
- Develop reallocated condition
  - Increase top of joint use pool to 3645 ft msl
- Evaluate change in net flood benefits between the baseline and reallocated simulations.



## Period of Record Model Results





#### **BUILDING STRONG**®

# Summary of Analysis

- Comparison of elevation and outflow data
- Pool and flow duration relationships
  - Annual and seasonal
- Pool probability
- Flow frequency
- Change in flood benefits
  - Yearly and period of record



# Summary of Analysis

- Two period of record models created
  - ► Fixed guide curve
    - Drafts to elevation 3600 ft msl each spring
    - Reallocation of joint use storage to 3645 ft msl
    - Based on historical operations
  - ► Time series guide curve
    - Drafts to an elevation based on spring inflow conditions
    - Reallocation of joint use storage to 3643 ft msl
    - Not based on historical operations, but potential future operations

- Detailed write-up of all period of record results included in the Yellowtail Dam Reallocation Study report.
  - Information presented is only a portion of all period of record results.





#### Fixed Guide Curve



**Fixed Guide Curve** 



**Fixed Guide Curve** 

16

Reach	Difference in Average Annual (\$1000)	% of Baseline
Reach 1 – Miles City	-1.8	1.1
Reach 2 – Miles City	-0.3	1.1
Reach 3 – Sidney	0.3	1.5
Reach 5 – Hardin	0.0	0.0
Reach 6 – Bighorn	0.0	0.0

Baseline vs. reallocated change in flood benefits (average annual). Difference is reallocated – baseline.





Time Series Guide Curve



Time Series Guide Curve

19



**Time Series Guide Curve** 

20

Reach	Difference in Average Annual (\$1000)	% of Baseline
Reach 1 – Miles City	2.2	1.4
Reach 2 – Miles City	0.4	1.5
Reach 3 – Sidney	0.2	1.0
Reach 5 – Hardin	0.2	50.0
Reach 6 – Bighorn	0.6	1.9

Baseline vs. reallocated change in flood benefits (average annual). Difference is reallocated – baseline.



Time Series Guide Curve

# Inflow Design Flood Results





22

# **Comparison of Outflow Data**



# **Comparison of Elevation Data**



## **Results Summary**

- When comparing the baseline and reallocated simulations, increased pool elevation could be categorized as a dam safety issue.
  - Pool elevation in the reallocated scenario is only 1.1 ft from the top of the dam.
    - Changes in operations could create additional dam safety concerns.



# **Project Design Flood Results**





# **Comparison of Outflow Data**



## **Comparison of Elevation Data**



## **Results Summary**

- When comparing the baseline and reallocated simulations, increased outflow could be categorized as a dam safety concern.
  - Outflow is 1,150 cfs above the listed Yellowtail Afterbay capacity.
    - Changes in operations could create additional dam safety concerns.



## **1923 Flood Results**



# **Comparison of Outflow Data**



## **Comparison of Elevation Data**



## **Results Summary**

- When comparing the baseline and reallocated simulations, increased outflow could be categorized as a dam safety concern.
  - Outflow is 8,050 cfs above the listed Yellowtail Afterbay capacity.
    - Changes to operations could create additional dam safety concerns.



# Next Steps

- Detailed study addressing the impacts presented
  - Identify appropriate mitigation measures
  - Update and sensitivity analysis of downstream flood damage curves
  - Analysis of downstream river capacity
- Is environmental assessment needed?
- Update flood control manual/Field Working Agreement
  - Approved by both the Bureau of Reclamation and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers



