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Steve Murphy, Review Appraiser
Office of Valuation Services
1340 Financial Boulevard
Reno, NV 89502

Re: Market Rent Survey
   Heart Butte Reservoir Cabin and Trailer Sites
   Grant County, ND

Dear Mr. Murphy:

Per the request of the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) via the Office of Valuation Services, we have prepared this market rent survey.

The purpose of this survey was to gather sufficient information to opine a base market rent conclusion (rental valuation) for Reclamation’s cabin/trailer sites as identified and located along Heart Butte Reservoir (aka Lake Tschida) in Grant County, North Dakota. The intended use is to assist Reclamation in establishing cabin/trailer site rents for use in issuing new permits for the cabin/trailer sites. As per the license/permit, the sites identified as cabin/trailer sites are rented for terms not to exceed five years and twelve years, respectively, with rents being paid annually by tenants. The trailer and cabin sites are limited to seasonal use; cabin sites are allowed occupancy from April 1st to November 1st while trailer sites are allowed occupancy from April 1st to October 15th. Permanent residence is prohibited on both the cabin sites and the trailer sites along Heart Butte Reservoir.

The client is the Office of Valuation Services and the intended users are the Office of Valuation Services and the Bureau of Reclamation. There are no other authorized users of this report.
This report conforms to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) as well as the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Practice of the Appraisal Institute. The analyses and conclusions are subject to the assumptions and limiting conditions described in this report. We inspected the subject property on October 9, 2014. The effective date of value is September 10, 2015.

We have concluded that the current market rent for a typical trailer/cabin sites surrounding Heart Butte Reservoir and better, described herein, is $2,350 per year for cabin sites and $2,225 per year for trailer sites.

Respectfully submitted,

Adam Bradshaw

Charles W. Laflamme, MAI
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SUMMARY OF SALIENT FACTS & CONCLUSION

IVIS Number R14025
Agency Case ID 00065190
Property Address T 136 N, R 89 W, SEC. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15 & 16
County Grant County, ND
Assessor’s Parcel Number No APN assigned
Property Owner USA, administrated by the BOR
Property Description The Heart Butte Reservoir Market Survey focuses on 115 trailer sites and 110 cabin sites located on Reclamation land surrounding Heart Butte Reservoir, also known as Lake Tschida. Cabin sites differ from trailer sites in their terms of lease; cabin sites are leased on terms not to exceed 5 years while trailer sites are currently leased on terms not to exceed 12 years. Tenants of both cabin and trailer sites pay rents annually. There are four separate areas in which cabin sites are located along the reservoir. There are three separate areas in which trailer sites are located.

Purpose of the Appraisal The purpose of this survey was to gather market information as to rental amounts in RV parks and/or other housing options similar to the trailer/cabin sites at Heart Butte Reservoir in order to estimate an annual rental for the typical cabin/trailer sites.

Client The client is the U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of Valuation Services.

Intended User The intended user is the Bureau of Reclamation on behalf of the United States of America.

Intended Use The intended use is to assist Reclamation in establishing a new annual rental rate for renewing the permits of each of the 225 sites surrounding the reservoir.

Effective Date of Report September 10, 2015
Date of Report September 30, 2015
Extraordinary Assumptions None.
Hypothetical Conditions None
Base Annual Market Permit Fee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Each Trailer Site</td>
<td>$2,225 per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each Cabin Site</td>
<td>$2,350 per year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROPERTY
The 225 cabin/trailer sites that surround Heart Butte Reservoir, located in Grant County, North Dakota, comprise the subject of this report. There are 115 trailer sites and 110 cabin sites along Heart Butte Reservoir; the cabin and trailer areas are respectively grouped into four separate cabin areas and three separate trailer areas. The cabin sites are currently available for seasonal occupancy via five year permit issued by the Tri-Cities Joint Job Development Authority (JDA), who administers the sites for Reclamation. The trailer sites are also currently available for seasonal occupancy but, as evidenced by a redacted permit provided by JDA, were issued on a 12 year term. The terms in the future are to align with the term of the agreement between Reclamation and the JDA. Both cabin and trailer site permits call for an annual fee to be paid for use of the individual sites.

PURPOSE OF THE APPRAISAL
The purpose of this survey was to collect sufficient information to estimate an annual market rent for the typical cabin and typical trailer site located along Heart Butte Reservoir as of the effective date of our analysis and under the terms of permit given to us by the client.

INTENDED USE OF THE APPRAISAL
The opinion of the typical annual market rent is meant for use by Reclamation as a basis for their determination of permit fees for the 225 individual cabin/trailer sites.

CLIENT IDENTIFICATION
The client is the Office of Valuation Services.

INTENDED USER(S)
The intended user is the Office of Valuation Services and the Bureau of Reclamation on behalf of the United States of America.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF VALUE
The Heart Butte Reservoir was physically inspected by the appraisers in October 2014. The effective date of the opinion is September 10, 2015, which was the date when the analysis was
completed. The date of the report is September 30, 2015, the date that the report was published.

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION**
Not Applicable

**INTEREST APPRAISED**
Possessor interest by virtue of an annual use permit

**OWNER OF RECORD**
The owner of record is the United States of America; the fee simple interest is administrated by the Bureau of Reclamation. The 225 permitted trailer/cabin site occupants hold permittee owner interests in their respective sites.

**DEFINITIONS**

**Market Rent**
The Office of Valuation Services (OVS) has opined that the appropriate definition for use in market rental determinations for rights-of-way (ROWs) is the definition of market rent as found in the Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal as follows:

> The most probable rent that a property should bring in a competitive and open market reflecting all conditions and restrictions of the specified lease agreement including term, rental adjustment and revaluation, permitted uses, use restrictions, and expense obligations; the lessee and lessor each acting prudently and knowledgably, and assuming consummation of the lease contract as of a specified date.

**EXTRAORDINARY ASSUMPTIONS**
None

**HYPOTHETICAL CONDITIONS**
None

**JURISDICTIONAL EXCEPTIONS**
None
ASSIGNMENT CONDITIONS

The report is expressly subject to the following assumptions and/or limiting conditions:

- In this analysis, we assume conditions in which the property would be available for lease under prevailing market conditions.
- We assume the cabin/trailer sites are under responsible ownership and competent management. Furthermore, there are no hidden or undisclosed conditions of the land or of the improvements that would affect market rent.
- We assume that the subject property is not affected by any adverse environmental conditions that would have an impact on the market rent analysis. Adverse environmental conditions unknown to us could impact the final rent conclusion.
- The conclusions stated in the appraisal apply only as of the date of valuation and no representation is made as to the effect of subsequent events.
- Although we conducted cursory inspection of the subject property, we did not conduct a formal survey of the property and assume no responsibility in connection with such matters. The spatial data, including sketches and/or surveys included in this report, have been supplied by the BOR and are assumed to be correct.
- We are not responsible for considerations requiring expertise in other fields, including but not limited to: legal descriptions and other legal matters, geologic considerations such as soils and seismic stability, engineering, or environmental and toxic contaminants.
- We assume the property is in compliance with all applicable building, environmental, zoning, and other federal, state and local laws, regulations, and codes, unless otherwise noted in this appraisal.
- All facts set forth in this report are true and accurate to the best of our knowledge. However, it should be noted that the appraisal process inherently requires information from a wide variety of sources. The appraiser has relied on information provided by other individuals and in good faith believes that information to be reliable. We do not accept responsibility for erroneous information provided by others.
- Our analyses, opinions and conclusions are limited by the Scope of Work as defined herein.
- We are not qualified to detect hazardous or toxic materials. Such determination would require investigation by a qualified expert. Unless so stated, our value conclusion assumes there are no hazardous or toxic materials on or in (subsurface) the appraised property and/or adjacent properties that would have a negative impact on the value of the subject property.
- Neither all nor any part of this report, or copy thereof, shall be used for any purpose by anyone but the client and intended users specified in the report without my written consent. This report was prepared for the client’s use at their sole discretion within the framework of the intended use stated in this report. Its use for any other purpose or use by any party not identified as an intended user of this report is beyond the scope of work of this assignment.
CERTIFICATION

We certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief:

- the statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct;
- the reported analyses, opinions and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are our personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions;
- we have no present or prospective interest in the appraised property and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved;
- we have performed no services, as appraisers or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment;
- we have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment;
- our compensation or status with the Department of the Interior is not contingent on an action or event resulting from the analyses, opinions, or conclusions in the report or from its use;
- our compensation or status with the Department of the Interior for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of predetermined assignment results or assignment results that favors the Department of the Interior or any other governmental agency, the attainment of a stipulated result, or this occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this report;
- our analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed, and the report was prepared in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP);
- we personally inspected the subject property on October 9, 2014;
- no one provided significant appraisal assistance to us in the completion of this assignment;
- the use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives (Charles Laflamme);
- as of the date of this review report, I (Charles Laflamme) have completed the continuing education program for designated members of the Appraisal Institute;
- the report analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute (Charles Laflamme);
- Charles Laflamme is a State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser licensed by the State of California (License No. AG002325)
- Adam Bradshaw is a State of Georgia Licensed Real Estate Appraiser (License No. 355805)
PERMIT SYNOPSIS

This report involves the estimation of an appropriate fee to be paid on an annual basis for a site at Heart Butte Reservoir. The fee is subject to the terms of a permit that is to be issued by the Tri-Cities Joint Job Development Authority (JDA), who administers the sites for Reclamation. Two redacted permits—one representative of those issued for cabin sites and one representative of those issued for trailer sites—were provided to the appraisers by a Reclamation representative. The cabin site permit had an effective date of December 9, 2010. The trailer site permit had an effective date of April 13, 2010. The permit fees assessed are summarized in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application fee:</th>
<th>$100</th>
<th>Nonrefundable for initial review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative fee</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>Processing the Permit, NEPA compliance, annual field reviews, reviewing requests to construct buildings, decks, erosion control structures, etc.- initial estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Total</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>To be paid upon issuance of Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual rental fee:</td>
<td>$710</td>
<td>To be paid as stated above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual disposal fee:</td>
<td>$79.86</td>
<td>To be paid as stated above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fees</strong></td>
<td>$989.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The permit indicates that beginning in 2011 the annual base rent fee—a line item of $710 in the preceding table—is escalated according to Reclamation’s “land index rate.”

The trailer site permit had an effective date of April 13, 2010. The permit fees assessed to trailer site tenants are outlined as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application fee:</th>
<th>$100</th>
<th>Non-refundable for initial review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative fee</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>Processing the Permit, NEPA compliance, annual field reviews, reviewing requests to construct buildings, decks, erosion control structures, etc.- initial estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual rental fee:</td>
<td>$630</td>
<td>To be paid as stated above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual disposal fee:</td>
<td>$79.86</td>
<td>To be paid as stated above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fees</strong></td>
<td>$909.86</td>
<td>Without off-site vehicle parking and storage site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-site vehicle parking and storage site by application.</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>To be paid as stated above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Per the permit, trailer site fees are subject to the same stipulation that cabin site fees are regarding escalation: The base rent is adjusted according to Reclamation’s “land index rate” beginning in 2011.

**The basic terms/conditions of a permit upon which our analysis is based is as follows:**

**Issuer** - Tri-Cities Joint Job Development Authority (under the Management Agreement renewed and dated November 18, 2013 between JDA and the Bureau of Reclamation wherein JDA administers the site program for Reclamation)

**Permittee** – To be identified

**Term of Permit** – Per the permits provided to the appraisers, permit terms differ for cabin and trailer sites: **Cabin site permits** are issued on terms not to exceed 5 years beginning from the date indicated on the permit. Permit terms indicate, however, that following December 31, 2014, permit term limits “shall mirror the term limit provided by the management agreement between Reclamation and JDA which can be for a period of up to 20 years.” **Permit terms for trailers**, as indicated by the redacted permit provided to the appraisers, are issued for a period not to exceed 12 years; the permit provided specified a permit term ending on December 31, 2021. There was no language within the trailer permit indicating a change in term limits.

Subsection 6 of Section G, Article 8 in the governing Management Agreement entered into with JDA, however, states that “the length of the permit must be restricted to no more than 5 years.” **This market rent survey, reflects the fact that from this point forward permits for trailer/cabin sites are issued on 5 year terms.**

**Purpose of Permit** – Maintaining a seasonal cabin/trailer for personal use. This permit is not for permanent residence, and is not intended in any way to cause development that will increase local government or state expenditures.

**General** - The Permittee’s rights under the permit are limited, are not guaranteed in perpetuity, and may be canceled at any time to meet the needs of the United States or JDA. Permits do not convey title to the land being used by individual permittees. Cabins/trailers and other private improvements at Heart Butte Reservoir are permitted on public lands in designated areas.
Permittees assume all risks associated with their use of Federal land at Heart Butte Reservoir. The cabin/trailer site permit program may be wholly or partially discontinued if JDA or Reclamation determines that the public need for the area has grown to a point where continued cabin/trailer use is no longer in the public interest. In such an event, or if cabin/trailer site(s) are needed under emergency conditions, permittees may be required to vacate the cabin/trailer site(s) and remove their improvements.

Description of Authorized Use of Land Permitted - The cabin sites may be occupied continuously from April 1 to November 1 each year. The trailer sites may be occupied continuously from April 1 through October 15 each year. Off-season use of trailer/cabin sites by their respective tenants is limited to a stay of no more than 14 days within any 30 day period.

Permit Rates and Administrative Fees – The permittee will pay to JDA an annual permit fee of $_____ per year on or before December 1; the payment is for the subsequent calendar year’s seasonal use. During the term of the permit, JDA may adjust annual permit fee to reflect current fair market values for similar properties. Additionally, cabin/trailer site tenants are required to pay an annual disposal fee. The redacted permits indicate an annual rate of $79.86 (which can be adjusted to the bid price after 2012). Administrative fees are only incurred upon initial issuance of the permit and incidental to permittee trailer/cabin site activities requiring oversight activity.

Transfer of Permit, Assignments and Subleases – The permit(s) and the rights and privileges granted therein may not be sold, bartered, assigned, or transferred by the permittee. The site and improvements may not be sublet to 3rd parties. The permittee may not hold an interest in any other site locations managed by the JDA or Reclamation. Individual permits are for one staked site, and no more than one trailer/cabin site is allowed. The permit may not be held in the name of a corporation or trust.
AREA, CITY & NEIGHBORHOOD DATA

Heart Butte Reservoir is located in Grant County, North Dakota. Grant County sits in the southwest quadrant of North Dakota and encompasses an area of 1,666 square miles. The county is sparsely populated, as is the surrounding area of neighboring counties. The U.S. census bureau estimated Grant County’s 2014 population at 2,361, a 1.4% decrease from 2010 figures. The marginal decline appears to be part of a larger trend: The number of inhabitant’s within the county has decreased by over 50% since 1970. Since 2003, Grant County’s population has decreased every year with the exception of 2013 when it saw a 1.5% increase.\(^1\) Per the census, 642 of the county’s 2014 population resided in Elgin, the county’s largest town.

Another indicator of the lack of growth within the county is the number of residential building permits that have been issued. A single residential permit was issued in 2014, a multi-family dwelling with an estimated construction cost of $265,000. In years prior, no residential permits had been issued going back to 2010\(^2\).

The small economic base that the subject neighborhood does have, although not conducive to growth, does appear to be economically stable. The Labor Market Information Center of Job Service North Dakota indicates that roughly one quarter of the county’s employed work for the government; another quarter work in health care; and the remaining fifty percent are dispersed among various industries. Unemployment in Grant County has consistently been below both the national and state rates. 2013’s unemployment rate was 2.9%\(^3\). The average earnings per job in Grant County only amounted to $28,292 but the per capita income came in at $43,630.

Both Bismarck and Dickinson, cities with substantial population and economic base, lie within an hour and a half of the reservoir. While the distant population bases may not behoove Grant


\(^2\) “Area Summary: Grant County Area Profile” Labor Market Information Center, Job Service North Dakota. Web. 29 May 2015. (A separate, telephone inquiry of the Grant County Recorder confirmed that no other vacant residential lots have sold in recent years.)

\(^3\) “Grant County Area Profile” Labor Market Information Center, Job Service North Dakota. 4 November 2014.
County’s real property market, they contribute to the steady seasonal influx of guests to the reservoir and its surrounding facilities.

**AREA CONCLUSION**

The subject region is remote and sparsely populated. It possesses a stable economic base but hosts a stagnant market for residential real property. Heart Butte Reservoir attracts campers and others seeking recreation during the summer but there is precious little else in the area to attract visitors. Further, the location of the reservoir is remote. Without exception, neighboring counties either have economic and population profiles similar to Grant County or have their economic base geographically located far from the contiguous boundary or boundaries shared with Grant County. The outlook for the market area is that of little demand for residential property. The continued occupancy of the cabin sites, trailer sites, and short-term camping sites surrounding the reservoir demonstrates a demand for recreational use—although perhaps limited to the confines of the lake—that is expected to continue.
PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The market survey focuses on the 115 trailer sites and the 110 cabin sites surrounding Heart Butte Reservoir. Most of the sites encompass about 0.5 acres each with none of the sites being larger than 1.1 acres. The permits for both the cabin sites and the trailer sites are paid annually by their respective leaseholders, but the permits do not need to be renewed every year. Cabin site tenants renew their permits once every 5 years—typical of Reclamation’s cabin site terms within the Great Plains Region. Trailer site tenants have in the past renewed on 12 year terms. This is unusual for Reclamation trailer sites within the Great Plains Region; typically, trailer site permits are renewed on terms of less than 5 years. The November, 2013 Management Agreement entered into between JDA and Reclamation limits future cabin/trailer site permit terms to the standard 5 years. It should be noted that the trailer permit that expire at the end of 2021 calls for all trailers to be removed from the sites and that in the years beginning 2022 all trailers will be RV type trailers (as opposed to single wide mobile homes) and will have to be removed from the sites at the end of the season.

Although tenants of trailer sites and cabin sites pay annually for their use, tenants do not derive a benefit from the use of the sites for the entirety of the year; permanent residence is prohibited on the sites. Cabin site permits allow seven months of continued occupancy, from April 1st to November 1st, while trailer site permits allow the six and a half months from April 1st to October 15th.

Electricity to the sites is fed through Mou Gran Sou Electric Cooperative, Inc. and both cabin and trailer site tenants are responsible for paying their own electricity bills. Tenants are also responsible for maintaining their own wells and septic systems. JDA provides litter control and trash removal and passes the cost on to the tenants by assessing an annual disposal fee. The disposal fee indicated on the redacted permits was just short of $80.

The 110 cabin sites are scattered among four separate areas surrounding the reservoir. The 115 trailer sites are consolidated into three separate trailer areas. The maps and aerial photographs that follow show how the cabin/trailer sites are laid out around Heart Butte Reservoir.
Illustrated above are the areas in which the cabin sites and the trailer sites are located.
This is an aerial view of Cabin Area 1.
This is an aerial view of Cabin Area 2.
This is an aerial view of Cabin Area 3. In this picture due north points to the right (west is up).
This is an aerial view of Cabin Area 4.
This is an aerial view of Northside Trailer Area 1 and Northside Trailer Area 2.
This is an aerial of the Southside Trailer Area.
SCOPE OF THE ASSIGNMENT

This assignment involves a survey and analysis of recreational housing options in the area of the Heart Butte Reservoir. The valuation problem is to solve for current market rent for the typical cabin/trailer site as previously identified under the conditions set forth in the permit.

The methodology normally used to solve this problem would be to undertake a survey of sites along other lakes/reservoirs within the region in order to ascertain rents/leases charged and their associated terms of rent/lease. This methodology was not employed inasmuch as no reservoirs/lakes were identified, other than Reclamation facilities, which had cabin/trailer sites available for lease. With absence of data for this methodology, the valuers necessarily depended upon alternate methodologies which, although less than desirable, were necessary to achieve some form of opinion. The alternate methodologies identified were a survey of RV Parks, a survey of mobile home parks and a lot/rate of return analysis that depended upon market lease data relative to private lots.

RV Parks are similar to the subject, particularly to the trailer sites, in that they are occupied by trailers or motor homes (both allowed on the subject sites), they are often resort oriented, and they are rented on a daily, weekly or monthly basis. Some parks are rented by the season but it is not typical within the region and only one park of this type was encountered in our survey. Mobile home parks (trailer courts) were thought to be somewhat similar in that their sites allow homes similar to the trailers and some of the cabins found along Heart Butte Reservoir; additionally, the sites are typically leased on a monthly, annual or fixed term basis. The parks, however, are more often than not found in urban/suburban environments and are not destination oriented like the subject. Leased lots were a third alternative (the term is somewhat of a misnomer in that lots are typically not leased); a market lease rate can be solved for by estimating the market value of a lot and applying an annual rate of return (found in the market place), which then results in an estimate of annual rent. This methodology would be appropriate and helpful if lot sales in the vicinity of a lake/reservoir could be found.

Heart Butte’s location is remote and there are no mobile home parks in the immediate area. Lot sales were found within the market area but none were located around lakes or reservoirs;
they were all in the local “urban” area. The demand for residential lots within the market area is low due to the area’s stagnant population growth. The price of the lots is also very low, again, due to minimal demand. Additionally, the adjustment necessitated by the cabin/trailer sites’ lake amenity was unable to be developed. The valuers, under the scope of work performed, undertook an analysis that employed area sales and rates of return in hopes of procuring supportable, ideally quantifiable, adjustments; however, an absence of supportable market data with which to base an adjustment rendered potential valuations using such methods unsupportable. Ultimately, of the methodologies considered, only the RV Park comparison was deemed suitable for sites along Heart Butte Reservoir.

We have inspected Heart Butte Reservoir to the extent necessary to understand the cabin/trailer sites but also have relied on the Bureau of Reclamation and its managing agency and/or concessionaire for certain property information, tenancy information, expenses—if available, and other pertinent information. Rental information was gleaned from a number of RV parks that spanned a large geographic area inclusive of other Reclamation administrated reservoirs. Rental rates and other pertinent information were either obtained from or confirmed by a managing party or other office staff at each of the RV Parks.
RV PARK – MARKET OVERVIEW
The comprehensive RV Park Rent Survey (covering North and South Dakota, Eastern Montana, and Eastern Wyoming) shows decipherable market trends as they pertain to location. Where the subject sits in relation to these geographic trends remains for analysis further on in the report. In general, RV parks situated within close proximity to areas traditionally patronized by tourists can charge more for site rent. The limited demand in more remote locations typically renders low rents.

RV PARK – MARKET DATA SURVEY
A large geographical area was surveyed for RV parks to be used in our analysis. The rental survey conducted covered North and South Dakota, Southeastern Montana, and Eastern Wyoming. The survey included parks that bordered well known tourist destinations. The initial survey was intended to be fairly comprehensive; Google, the 2014 Woodall’s Campground Guide, and the 2014 Good Sam RV & Travel Guide were all scoured for any privately-operated RV Parks located within the geographical breadth of the rental survey. In all, 82 RV parks were surveyed for rental information. Most parks were found along arterial highways but others were destination oriented. We selected four parks from the overall survey to compare to Heart Butte Reservoir sites. The individual parks were selected because of either proximity to the subject or location near another lake/reservoir.

Each RV Park included in the survey was contacted in order to determine or, as the case may have been, confirm rates. When reported, seasonal and monthly rates were used for our analysis. These rates included hookups for water, sewer, and electrical service. When rates differed between sites offering a 30 amp electrical service and a 50 amp electrical service, the 50 amp rate was used (although 30 amp service has been typical for RV parks in the past, 50 amp service is becoming more commonly available as parks compete for new more electricity intense RVs).

We inquired and used seasonal rates (summer) as this is most applicable to Heart Butte’s cabin/trailer sites’ seasonal use. In general, parks located within a reasonable proximity to popular tourist destinations were more likely to have intra-season rate changes; among parks
with variable summer rates, those near popular destinations were also found to introduce more frequent changes in rates. The busiest—and thus, most expensive—weeks or months centered around popular travel dates sometimes precipitated by specific events, e.g. South Dakota’s Sturgis Motorcycle Rally, Fourth of July weekend, Labor Day weekend. These increased rates for “peak” times were excluded from the survey, even though a spike in demand and rate for certain weeks or weekends sometimes effected rate changes for the entirety of the associated month(s). The comprehensive survey of RV Parks yielded only one RV Park within the subject’s market area; the RV Park will be referenced but not relied on solely to establish an indication of rental value.

**RV PARK – ELEMENTS OF COMPARISON**

Market area, tenant draw, distance to tourist attraction, distance to regional population center, the inclusion or exclusion of electricity, the presence or lack of varying amenities including stores and restaurants, Good Sam⁴ ratings, land/water features which might render a given park more or less appealing, and reputation were all considered for their importance to value. Not all potential elements of comparison shared equal importance. Some elements interacted with each other or encroached on what other elements took into account; in some cases, assigning adjustments to multiple sets of elements—say, Good Sam ratings and amenities—would be outright redundant. It follows that separating and quantifying each individual element of comparison would prove impossible. Ultimately, those elements deemed to hold the most importance to value were used for the selection of rental properties that could be compared to the subject.

Elements of comparison thought to be of some importance, but for which no adjustments could reasonably be inferred, are merely represented in the included adjustment analysis charts. The inclusion or exclusion of electricity in the park rents is accounted for quantitatively, as is water and sewer service. Elements which obviously have some bearing on rent value, but for which

---

⁴ Good Sam is an organization of recreational vehicle owners. Once a year, the organization releases a directory of RV parks that are located within North America. The directory publishes ratings for individual parks. Specific details of the ratings are proprietary but based on cleanliness, amenities, landscape, privacy offered, etc.
no adjustment can be quantified, are accounted for qualitatively. Characteristics of private RV parks that compare favorably to the corresponding element of the subject are noted as superior with a minus symbol (-). Characteristics of private RV parks or mobile home courts that are inferior are denoted with a plus symbol (+).

Location
In the comprehensive survey of the greater region, rent for RV sites and mobile home sites generally correlated positively with desirability of location. RV parks in locations central to tourism benefited from high demand and generally charged more rent than their more remotely located peers.

Only one park offering RV sites was found within the county, making quantification of most adjustments impossible. One park did not constitute sufficient data with which to reliably gauge rent so parks located next to other remote reservoirs were considered. The reservoirs at which the parks were located are similar in their remoteness and their hosted activities but draw from different sized population bases.

“Distance decay” is an effect on travel and tourism frequently studied by researchers in those fields. Distance decay is premised on the observation that the percentage of population drawn as visitors to a destination tends to decline as the distance from population to destination grows; in other words, those living close to a given destination are more apt to visit it than those living further away. Distance decay is not a linear correlation and is affected by variables such as type and desirability of destination and the socioeconomic status’ of the populations from which potential visitors are attracted. The three reservoirs at which the comparable RV parks and the subject sites are located share similar characteristics which, in turn, should draw similar types of visitors; Lake Sakakawea, the Glendo, and Shadehill reservoirs all offer camping, fishing, swimming, and motorized boating.
Using 2010 U.S. Census data, the Missouri Census Data Center offers tools for estimating population based upon geographic constraints\(^5\); the number of people residing within radii of 30, 50, and 100 miles from the respective reservoirs is shown in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of residents living within:</th>
<th>Heart Butte Reservoir</th>
<th>Shadehill Reservoir</th>
<th>Glendo Reservoir</th>
<th>Lake Sakakawea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 miles</td>
<td>7,560</td>
<td>4,187</td>
<td>14,154</td>
<td>14,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 miles</td>
<td>47,565</td>
<td>8,992</td>
<td>28,620</td>
<td>28,890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 miles</td>
<td>194,442</td>
<td>129,027</td>
<td>296,654</td>
<td>279,927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research suggests that the majority of visitors to such outdoor recreation areas live within a 100 mile radius\(^6\); the majority of those visitors drawn by “non-viewing” recreational activities such as those hosted by each of the reservoirs (camping, fishing, swimming, and boating). The larger numbers from which both Glendo Reservoir and Lake Sakakawea likely draw their visitors is apparent in the preceding table. The effect of the numbers can’t be quantified because there may be other variables in play; however, the substantial numerical differences between the subject and each of the other destinations suggest that an adjustment is in order. Subsequently, the locational advantage or disadvantage of parks located on reservoirs other than Heart Butte Reservoir will be recognized with a qualitative adjustment on the rent chart.

**Dates of Operation**

Dates of operation are for informational purposes. Since the rates obtained for the survey were non-peak monthly rates for the recreational season, an RV park’s dates of operation should have no bearing on rent charged.

---


Utilities

In most instances, the monthly rate charged by an RV park included electricity and access to any of the complementary on-site amenities which the daily and weekly tenants enjoyed. It was the policy of a minority of RV Parks, however, that tenants renting on a monthly basis be responsible for their own power consumption.

Different locations were served by different utility companies so the rates paid for power naturally varied. In order to account for the fact that the monthly rates of Heart Butte Reservoir’s sites did not include electricity in their annual permit fees, a quantitative adjustment was made in order to yield the effective monthly rate (different electricity rates prevailed among the respective locales so adjustments differed by location and were based upon tenants’ plausible power consumption). Park managers indicated that, for two inhabitants of one recreational vehicle, the consumption of about 600 kWh of electricity over the course of a summer month was reasonable. The presumed use of 600 kWh yielded adjustments from $50 to around $90, depending upon which of the utility companies served the respective parks. 600 kWh of electricity within the subject’s power district costs an estimated $84.78. This consumption of power is only assumed for the six and a half to seven months during which the tenant is allowed to occupy the site. Maintaining service for the remaining months, assuming no consumption of power (although there may be a marginal amount used to prevent freezing), incurs a minimum monthly fee of $34 per month. If the fee is assessed for the cabin sites’ five unoccupied months of the year and its total cost allocated to the seven occupied months, the resulting monthly adjustment during seasonal residence is $109—or an extra $24 per month. If the fee is assessed for the five and a half unoccupied months limited by the trailer use permits, the resulting monthly adjustment during seasonal residence is $114 (rounded)—or an extra $28 per month. While occupation of a permitted cabin site for six and a half months—rather than the allowable seven months—is a plausible actual use for a cabin site permittee, the converse is legally prohibited; a trailer site permittee can’t continually occupy his or her site after the six and a half months ending October 15th. The difference between adjustments is marginal so, for the sake of simplicity and the purpose of this report, the latter adjustment of $114—based on six and a half months use—will be used.
Other Utilities

In all cases, water, sewer, and garbage were included in a tenant’s rent at RV parks. Garbage incurs an extra fee from tenants of Heart Butte Reservoir’s cabin and trailer sites. The fee is paid to the JDA but is listed as a separate line item from annual rental fee. The individual charge for trailer/cabin site permittees is $79.86, say $80, annually. Assuming garbage service for all seven months of cabin site tenants’ allowed occupancy, the total would be equivalent to prorated monthly charges of about $11; prorating for six and a half months results in a $12 adjustment—a nominal difference. Garbage is considered to be a nominal cost in an RV park but Heart Butte Reservoir’s permittees may glean especially low charges because of the superior number of permittees splitting an overall cost (garbage is not collected in individual containers but from large dumpsters in which individual permittees must deposit their trash). Typical residential services vary from $35 to $55 per month. Since garbage is listed as a separate line item for Heart Butte’s trailer/cabin site permittees, the garbage costs included in the private market’s RV rents must be accounted for; subsequently an estimated cost of $25 will be deducted from the monthly rates of the comparable RV parks’ rents.

The cabin/trailer sites are commonly on septic systems and have domestic wells and pumps. The wells and septic systems were developed at the cost of the individual permittees.

The average cost of a small septic system with leach field is estimated by Septichog.org to run $4,500.00. A domestic well will run $15.00 to $30.00 per foot to drill; the pump, pressure tank, piping, electrical etc., can add another $2,500 to $8,000 (Costhelper.com). An average system (100 feet) costs approximately $5,000. The valuers estimate that the total cost of a well/septic system to be say, $10,000.00. The systems have a life of 30 year to 40 years; if amortized at 3% (mortgage rate higher than reinvestment rate) for 30 years the monthly cost would be $42.00. Spreading the annual cost out over seven months results in a $72 adjustment; spreading the cost out over six and a half months results in a $78 adjustment (rounded). As with the cost of electrical services, we will defer to the adjustment representing six and a half months: In this case, the combined water and sewer adjustment will amount to $78 per month.
Included Amenities

RV Parks include a number of amenities for the comfort and enticement of potential guests. Among the most common were Wi-Fi, cable television, and swimming pools/hot tubs. Wi-Fi was offered free of charge almost universally among RV Parks in the greater regional survey. The presence of any or all of these amenities likely proves of importance in the selection of an RV park by some guests so the amenities are accounted for qualitatively. The importance of such amenities is likely dwarfed by the setting of the campground sites themselves however. Subsequently, qualitative adjustments to included amenities are not weighed heavily in the comparison of market rents.

Site Amenities

The layout and setting of the sites within the respective RV park(s) were compared to the layout and setting of the sites located near Heart Butte Reservoir. Settings considered desirable for recreational purposes, like nearness to a body of water or commonly used recreation site, compared favorably to sites lacking such a setting. Larger sites and sites with privacy were also considered superior to smaller sites or sites which lacked privacy.

RV Park Market Analysis

The quantitative and qualitative analyses of the four RV parks selected for comparison to Heart Butte Reservoir’s trailer/cabin sites are represented in the summary adjustment chart shown on the following page.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RV Park</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Comparable 1</th>
<th>Comparable 2</th>
<th>Comparable 3</th>
<th>Comparable 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>Glen Ullin, ND</td>
<td>Shadehill, SD</td>
<td>Glen Ullin, ND</td>
<td>Glendo, WY</td>
<td>Garrison, ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tenant Draw</strong></td>
<td>Heart Butte Reservoir</td>
<td>Grand River/ Shadehill Reservoir</td>
<td>Next to Heart Butte Reservoir</td>
<td>Next to Glendo Lake</td>
<td>Next to Lake Sakakawea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dates of Operation</strong></td>
<td>Apr 1 – Oct 15 or Nov 1</td>
<td>May - November</td>
<td>May 1 - Nov 15</td>
<td>Apr 1 - Nov 15</td>
<td>May 1 - Nov 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Rate</strong></td>
<td>$395.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$575.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electricity Included</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elec. Adjustment</strong></td>
<td>109.00</td>
<td>$(114.00)</td>
<td>$(114.00)</td>
<td>$(114.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Garbage Included</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Garbage Adjustment</strong></td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>$(12.00)</td>
<td>$(12.00)</td>
<td>$(12.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water/Sewer Included</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Adjustment</strong></td>
<td>$(39.00)</td>
<td>$(39.00)</td>
<td>$(39.00)</td>
<td>$(39.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sewer Adjustment</strong></td>
<td>$(39.00)</td>
<td>$(39.00)</td>
<td>$(39.00)</td>
<td>$(39.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effective Non-Peak Monthly Rate for Recreational Season</strong></td>
<td>$191.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>$404.00</td>
<td>$410.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Included Amenities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internet</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Wi-Fi incl.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Wi-Fi incl.</td>
<td>Wi-Fi incl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cable TV</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pool/Spa</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Available Amenities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laundry</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Showers</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Store</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amenities Adjustment</strong></td>
<td>0-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Layout &amp; Setting (adjustment)</strong></td>
<td>Most sites front Heart Butte Reservoir</td>
<td>Walking distance to Grand River; one-half mile to Shadehill Reservoir.</td>
<td>Adjacent to Heart Butte Reservoir. Most sites do not front reservoir. Typical RV layouts. (75' x 50')</td>
<td>Adjacent to Glendo Lake. Sites do not front reservoir. Typical RV layouts.</td>
<td>Adjacent to Lake Sakakawea. Most sites do not front reservoir but have shade and views.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location Draw (adjustment)</strong></td>
<td>Heart Butte Reservoir, ND</td>
<td>Shadehill Reservoir, SD</td>
<td>Heart Butte Reservoir, ND</td>
<td>Glendo Lake, WY</td>
<td>Lake Sakakawea, ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0+)</td>
<td>(0+)</td>
<td>(0-)</td>
<td>(0-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value Indication</strong></td>
<td>&gt; $191.00</td>
<td>&gt; $110.00</td>
<td>&lt; $410.00</td>
<td>&lt; $410.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Dumping station only
The subject sites have a destination resort location and include frontage and direct access to the reservoir. The subject sites are also much larger in size than the typical RV resort site. The unadjusted rentals from the comparables ranged from a low of $200 to a high of $575 on a monthly basis. Following quantified adjustments, the comparable rentals ranged from a low of $110 to a high of $410 on a monthly basis. On a qualified basis, Comps 1 and 2 were considered inferior to the subject while Comps 3 and 4 were considered superior overall. Following adjustment, the comps indicate a value range between $191 and $410 for the subject.

Comp 2 is the only park within the neighborhood of the subject and its value indication is $110 per month; however, it has neither the water frontage nor the superior size of the subject sites. When comparing Comp 2’s nominal fee of $200 against individual RV park fees ascertained from the regional survey, it is apparent that Comp 2’s reported fees sit well below market—even for parks not located near destinations. As perhaps further evidence of Comp 2’s below market fees, its 2015 rates were obtained from Comp 2 prior to the start of the season and the sites were already booked up.

Comp 1, while possessing the same lake influence as the subject sites, has neither the water frontage nor the superior size of the latter. In addition, the population base from which Comp 1 likely draws its visitors is substantially smaller than that of Heart Butte Reservoir. All things considered, Comparable 1’s indicated value of $191.00 sets the low end for the subject sites’ indicated rent range.

Comp 3, located next to the Glendo Reservoir, has a destination resort location like the subject’s. Although its sites do not front the reservoir and are much smaller in size when compared to the subject, Comp 3’s location at Glendo Reservoir offers superior amenities and draws from a larger population—rendering it overall superior.

Comp 4, located within 100 miles of Bismarck—the capital and largest city of the state—is a destination resort located next to the popular Lake Sakakawea. Comp 4’s sites are smaller than those along Heart Butte Reservoir’s but, overall, compare favorably and are considered significantly superior.
Although Comp 2 is located within the neighborhood of the subject and possesses lake influence, its small site sizes and lack of reservoir fronting lots mean that the comparable is inferior overall. Comp 2’s value indication is given consideration but, because of how Comp 2’s rental fees compare to others within the region, neither its nominal rent fees nor their concomitant value indication can be afforded much weight.

The minimum indicated rental value for the subject, then, will be represented by the only other comparable represented as qualitatively inferior: Comparable 1, which draws its visitors from a slightly inferior market to inferior sized sites with inferior influences, sets the low end of the range at $191. Comp 3, drawing from a slightly superior market size and providing superior amenities, overcomes its lack of lakeside lots to be considered slightly superior overall and set the ceiling for the subject sites’ indicated value at $410. None of the comparables are perfect model matches for the subject but the lake influences of each and the degree of qualitative differences between the subject and either Comparable 1 or Comparable 3 suggests that an indicated value roughly midway between the values assigned to the comparables would be appropriate. Given everything, we have concluded that $300 per month is a reasonable estimate of market rent for the subject typical site.

The length of occupancy that is allowed at the subject is currently six and a half months for trailer sites and seven months for cabin sites; the indicated rental for the season then is $1,950 and $2,100, respectively. Trailers occupying RV parks must be stored in the off months. At the subject, the occupying cabin/trailer just sits there. A trailer patronizing one of the comparable parks, however, must either be removed and stored elsewhere or incur an extra monthly cost for storage. Self-storage facilities within the region generally charge about $30 per month for outdoor RV storage. RV Parks charge about $50 a month for off-season storage and have the added benefit of convenience to the tenant. We have concluded that $50 per month is a reasonable estimate for a storage rent. Applying the going monthly rate to the off-season months adds a nominal charge of $250 for cabins or trailers occupying cabin sites and $275 for trailers occupying trailer sites. The total estimated market rent then that must be paid in a year’s time is $2,350 ($2,100 + $250) for cabin sites and $2,225 ($1,950 + $275) for trailer sites.
EXHIBITS & ADDENDA

SUBJECT PHOTOS

This picture was taken looking northwest at Cabin Site 1 within Cabin Area 1.

Pictured is Site 2 of Cabin Area 1. The picture was taken looking north. The cabin sitting atop Site 4 can be seen in the background, as can one of the numerous structures occupying Site 7.
This picture looks north at Cabin Sites 27 and 32 in Cabin Area 2. Cabin Site 30 can be seen in the background.

Pictured is the cabin occupying Site 42 of Cabin Area 2. The shot was taken looking south.
Looking west at Trailer Site 33 within Northside Trailer Area 1. The waterfront setting and the perpendicular positioning of the trailer is fairly typical for the narrow lots within this trailer area.

This picture was taken at the entrance to Northside Trailer Area 1. At the bottom of the hill, from left to right, are Trailer Sites 38-40. The picture was taken looking west.
This picture was taken looking east from a public area within Northside Trailer Area 2. On the right side of the road, from far to near, are glimpses of lots in ascending order from Site 34 to Site 39.

This picture was taken looking Southeast from within Northside Trailer Area 2. From left to right are the cabins occupying Sites 1 through 5.
This picture was taken while looking Northwest at Cabin Site 1 within Cabin Area 1.
## COMPARABLE RENTAL DATA SHEETS

### Rent Comparable 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Type</th>
<th>RV Park</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Shadehill Grove RV Park &amp; Bait Shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>11254 Shadehill Rd., Lemmon, SD 57638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant Draw</td>
<td>Shadehill Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dates of Operation</td>
<td>May to November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Units</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting &amp; Location</td>
<td>Shadehill Grove is located off of Shadehill Road, accessed off of S.D. 73. The small RV park is less than one and a half miles east of Shadehill Reservoir as the bird flies. Shadehill Grove is within walking distance to the Grand River, commonly frequented by anglers. The main draw for the park is fishing. The park offers grass, shade, and full hookups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>Shadehill Grove offers a bait shop on site and free Wi-Fi. There are no laundromats or showers on site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>All utility hookups are available and electricity is included with rent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Rent is $395 per month; offseason RV storage is $30 per month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source/Confirmation</td>
<td>Manager at 1-605-374-4146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Rent Comparable 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Type</th>
<th>RV Park</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Red Rock Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>5425 71st Ave., Glen Ullin, ND 58631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant Draw</td>
<td>Heart Butte Reservoir (Lake Tschida)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dates of Operation</td>
<td>May 1 – October 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Units</td>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting &amp; Location</td>
<td>Red Rock Resort is located on the north side of Heart Butte Reservoir. The aerial photography shown encompasses only a part of Red Rock Resort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>There are no amenities beyond access to the lake included with rent at Red Rock Resort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>All utility hookups are available but tenants are responsible for the utility costs that they incur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Rent is seasonal and averages out to $200 per month. 600 kWh of electricity costs the tenant another estimated $84.78.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms</td>
<td>The resort <strong>only leases seasonally</strong>; the current rate is $1,000 per season.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source/Confirmation</td>
<td>Staff at 1-701-220-7169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Rent Comparable 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Type</th>
<th>RV Park</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Glendo Lakeside RV Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>631 Lakeshore Drive, Glendo, WY 82213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant Draw(s)</td>
<td>Glendo Reservoir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dates of Operation</td>
<td>April 1 through November 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Units</td>
<td>&gt; 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Description</td>
<td>Glendo Lakeside RV Park is located adjacent to Glendo Lake in Eastern Wyoming. The closest town, Glendo, only has a population of about 200 but the lake—and the RV park—is convenient to Interstate 25 which connects Casper and Cheyenne. Both population centers are a little over an hour from the lake.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenities</td>
<td>The campground has laundry facilities, shower facilities, a rec hall, and offers free Wi-Fi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>Water and sewer are included. Electricity is billed separately for long term tenants. The provider is Wheatland REA. 600 kWh in the Wheatland REA costs an estimated $97.00.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Peak Summer Rate</td>
<td>$500 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source / Confirmation</td>
<td>Staff at 1-307-735-4161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Indian Hills Resort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Type</th>
<th>RV Park</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name</strong></td>
<td>Indian Hills Resort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>7276 14th NW, Garrison, ND 58540-9601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tenant Draw(s)</strong></td>
<td>Lake Sakakawea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dates of Operation</strong></td>
<td>May 1 – November 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Units</strong></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Park Description</strong></td>
<td>Indian Hills Resort and Campground is located on Lake Sakakawea in North Dakota. The resort is roughly two hours from Bismarck, ND. In addition to RV sites, the resort rents condos, cabins, tent sites, and boat slips.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amenities</strong></td>
<td>The campground has shower facilities, a shop, a free Wi-Fi connection, and boat docks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utilities</strong></td>
<td>All utilities are included with the monthly rental fee but there are no direct sewer hookups; only a dump station. 50 amp connections are limited and are available for monthly tenants but tenants renting at a cheaper, seasonal rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Peak Summer Rate</strong></td>
<td>$575 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Terms</strong></td>
<td>Monthly or Seasonal (50 amp connections are not available for seasonal renters)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source / Confirmation</strong></td>
<td>Staff at 1-701-743-4122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPRAISER QUALIFICATION

Charles W. LaFlamme, MAI
Actively engaged as a real estate analyst and consulting appraiser since 1974.
Review Appraiser - Office of Valuation Services - Department of the Interior
2180 Harvard Street, Suite 380
Sacramento, CA 95815
(916) 566-7036
claflamme@ios.doi.gov

Professional Background
Owner - North Valley Land Services, Chico, CA (2002-09)
Independent appraiser – Sacramento, CA (1998-02)
Owner - Urban Pacific Services Corp, Newport Beach/Irvine, CA (1982-98)
Staff Appraiser - Foreman, Thompson & Associates/REAN, Newport Beach, CA (1975-82).
Staff Appraiser - State Mutual Savings, Newport Beach, CA (1973-75)

Educational Activities
BA Degree, Business and Economics, Chapman University (1976)
Certificate, Suburban Agriculture, Sierra College (2002)
Has successfully completed the following courses:
SREA 101 - An Introduction to Appraising Real Property
SREA 201 - Principals of Income Property Appraisal
AIREA II - Urban Property
AIREA VI - Investment Analysis
AIREA VIII - Litigation Analysis
AI - Valuation of Conservation Easements
AI - Uniform Appraisal Standards of Federal Land Acquisitions
AI - Advanced Market Analysis and Highest & Beast Use
AI – Review Theory - General
IRWA Skills of Expert Testimony
IRWA Course 101, Sec. 3 Real Estate Acquisition Law
IRWA Course 901 Engineering Plan Development
IRWA Course 902 Property Descriptions
IRWA Course 802 Legal Aspects of Easements
ASFMRA Ranch Appraisal I & II
Also has taken numerous graduate courses in business, finance, mathematics and statistics, and attended seminars in valuation problems, real estate economics, and mineral economics.

**Licenses and Certifications**
MAI member of the Appraisal Institute, Certificate No. 6585 (1982)
Certified General RE Appraiser, California - Bureau of RE Appraisers - AG002325 Exp. 2/2016

**Professional Work**
Member, Assessment Appeals Board, Sacramento County (2000-2002)
Past President - Sacramento-Sierra Chapter - Appraisal Institute (2010)
Chair, Region 1 - National Board of Directors – Appraisal Institute (2012-2014)

**Expert Testimony**
Superior Court - Orange County, Los Angeles County, Butte County
Federal Bankruptcy Court - Orange County, Los Angeles County, Sacramento County
Adam Bradshaw
Appraiser Trainee
401 W. Peachtree St. NW, Ste. 1705
Atlanta, GA 30308
(404) 526-0091
adam_bradshaw@ios.doi.gov

Professional Background
State of Georgia Registered Real Property Appraiser (No. 355805)
Appraiser Trainee – Department of Interior, Office of Valuation Services (Sep. 2013 – Present)

Educational Activities
BA Degree, English, Washington State University (2011)

Has successfully completed the following courses:
Comparative Analysis, Appraisal Institute (AI), 2013
Basic Appraisal Principles, AI, 2013
Basic Appraisal Procedures, AI, 2013
15-Hour National USPAP, AI, 2013
Residential Report Writing & Case Studies, McKissock Institute, 2013
Best in Business Ethics, American Society of Farm Managers & Rural Appraisers (ASFMRA), 2014
Sales Comparison Approach, ASFMRA, 2014
Market Analysis/Highest & Best Use, ASFMRA, 2014
Cost Approach, ASFMRA, 2014
Income Approach 1, AI, 2015
Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions (UASFLA), ASFMRA, 2015
A Market Rent Survey for typical cabin and trailer sites situated around the Heart Butte Reservoir in Grant County, North Dakota
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IVIS Number: P14025  Date: October 7, 2015

Agency Case ID: 00065190 / Heart Butte Reservoir Cabin and Trailer Site Market Rent Survey

To: U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
   Attention: Alex Morgan
   Great Plains Region
   2121 4th Avenue, North
   Billings, Montana 59101

Subject: Appraisal Review
A Market Rent Survey for typical cabin and trailer sites situated around the Heart Butte Reservoir in Grant County, North Dakota

My review report concerning the appraisal of the above captioned property follows this letter, comprised in these sections:

Executive Summary ................................................................. 4
Review Scope of Work ............................................................ 5
Review Analysis ......................................................................... 7
Review Conclusion .................................................................. 12

This appraisal involves the estimation of annual market rent for the cabin / trailer sites found around Heart Butte Reservoir in Grant County, North Dakota. The rent estimates were prepared by Adam Bradshaw and Charles W. LaFlamme, MAI of the Office of Valuation Services. The rents are reflective of the terms in permit agreements that are associated with the sites.

The cabin / trailer sites are on federal land that surrounds the Heart Butte Reservoir. The reservoir and the land surrounding the reservoir are administered by the Bureau of Reclamation on behalf of the United States of America. The cabin / trailer sites are not legal lots, rather are sites defined by site plans and which are used by permittees in accordance to the terms of a permit agreement, which include the annual payment of a permit fee / rental.
As the intended use of the assignment result was to opine to a current market rent for a typical cabin / trailer site surrounding the reservoir, specific property rights were not appraised. Further, the assignment was not based on any extraordinary assumptions or hypothetical conditions.

Based on my review dated October 7, 2015, I APPROVE the appraisal for your use. The appraisers concluded to an annual cash equivalent market rental rate as of September 10, 2015 of $2,350 for the cabin sites and $2,225 for the trailer sites.

Steven G. Murphy
# Executive Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Appraisal</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appraiser(s)</strong></td>
<td>Adam Bradshaw and Charles W. LaFlamme, MAI, appraisers with the Department of the Interior’s Office of Valuation Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property Owner</strong></td>
<td>United States of America, as administered by the Bureau of Reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property Appraised</strong></td>
<td>None; the assignment was to opine to an annual rental for the typical cabin / trailer site located on land surrounding the Heart Butte Reservoir.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Real Property Interest</strong></td>
<td>As specific individual cabin / trailer sites were not part of the assignment, a specific real property interest was not appraised. The annual rents opined are characteristic of a Permit Agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extraordinary Assumptions</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypothetical Conditions</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highest &amp; Best Use</strong></td>
<td>Not applicable – valued per the terms of the permit agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of Value</strong></td>
<td>September 10, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Market Rental Value</strong></td>
<td>The appraisers opined to $2,350 per year for a typical cabin site and $2,225 per year for a typical trailer site.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Review of the Appraisal

| **Client** | U.S. Bureau of Reclamation  
Attention: Alex Morgan  
Great Plains Region  
2121 4th Avenue, North  
Billings, Montana 59101 |
| **Intended User(s)** | The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation’s Great Plans Region (Reclamation) and the Office of Valuation Services (OVS), both on behalf of the United States. |
Intended Use: To establish a current annual rental / permit fee for a typical cabin / trailer site situated around the Heart Butte Reservoir. Reclamation intends to use the assignment results to establish the current annual permit fee for each of the 115 trailer sites and the 110 cabin sites surrounding the reservoir that are under the jurisdiction of Reclamation.

Date of Review: October 7, 2015

Review Conclusion: APPROVE

**Review Scope of Work**

**Regulatory Compliance**

My review development and this review report comply with:

1. USPAP, Standard 3
2. OVS Valuation Policy Manual (602 DM 1)

**Purpose of the Assignment**

This review concludes the appraisal report’s credibility by analyzing the:

1. Completeness of the material presented within the applicable scope of work
2. Accuracy, adequacy, and relevance of the data and propriety of adjustments to the data
3. Appropriate appraisal methods and techniques
4. Appropriateness and reasonableness of the analysis, opinions, and conclusions
5. Current usefulness to the intended users of my review report

**Extent of Review**

*Appraisal Report:* The reviewer’s opinion about the quality of the work under review encompasses the completeness, adequacy, relevance, appropriateness, and reasonableness of the data and analyses presented based on the assignment’s scope of work, purpose of the assignment, regulatory reporting requirements, and the valuation definition relied upon. Relative to these parameters, the review appraiser received a first submission of the appraisal report on September 24, 2015, with a reading of the report and items identified for discussion with the appraiser conducted on September 29. The same day, Chuck LaFlamme and review appraiser discussed the appraisal in detail and the final report was submitted for review on September 30. This review report was finalized on October 7, 2015.

*Subject Property:* The extent of the review process concerning the subject assignment primarily consisted of reviewing of all the materials provided for the assignment. In addition, the review appraiser viewed
the subject Heart Butte Reservoir and the areas surrounding the Reclamation cabin / trailer sites via the Google Earth aerial application.

*Market Research:* The primary market research performed by the reviewer for the assignment consisted of the review of the market rental survey report and the reviewing of the property specific materials provided by the Reclamation. In addition, to the extent reasonable, the reviewer viewed the rental comparables through Google Earth aerial photographs. The reviewer did not inspect the Heart Butte Reservoir cabin / trailer sites nor the rental comparables and did not otherwise verify any of the non-subject information presented in the work under review or research any additional data relating to the assignment.

**Limiting Conditions**
- I do not authorize the out-of-context quoting from, or partial reproducing of, this review report.
- I base this review report on information and data contained in the market rental survey report.
- I assume that the data contained in the market rental survey report are factual and accurate.
- I reserve reconsidering my review conclusions should information become available that contradicts the data relied on in the market rental survey report.

**Extraordinary Assumptions**
None.

**Hypothetical Conditions**
None.

**Consult with Appraiser**
*Instructions:* The level of consulting with the appraisers relative to the assignment problem was based on the assignment instructions in the Statement of Work. In addition, the review appraiser was available to answer questions from the appraisers during the course of the review process.

*Pre-Work Meeting:* Due to the relative straightforward nature of the rental survey assignment, a pre-appraisal meeting was not performed; the appraisers worked in tandem to establish credible assignment results.

*Requests for Revision:* On September 24, 2015 the appraisal report was submitted to OVS for review. On September 29, items of clarification were shared with the appraisers for consideration in a final report. On September 30, the final appraisal report was submitted to review appraiser for final review.
Review Analysis

**Certification**  Adequate

All USPAP certification items are present.

**Limiting Conditions**  Adequate

The general assumptions and limiting conditions are generally appropriate to the assignment. The extraneous qualifications did not detract from the credibility of the assignment results.

**Extraordinary Assumptions**  Not applicable

The intended use of the assignment was to opine to a current annual rent for cabin and trailer sites situated around the Heart Butte Reservoir. In that no specific property was appraised and to the extent that current market data was relied on, no extraordinary assumptions were deemed applicable to the assignment.

**Hypothetical Conditions**  Not applicable

**Scope of Work**  Adequate

The scope of work necessary for a credible assignment results is generally subjective to the appraisers and it is sometimes difficult to ascertain unless the appraisers specifically provide in detail concerning what due diligence was and was not undertaken. Relative to scope of work for the subject assignment, certain scope activities were cited, but said was somewhat generic in nature, requiring the overall scope of work to be gleaned from the references of other due diligence performed elsewhere in the report. Overall, based on the complexity of the assignment problem and the due diligence that is evident from within the report, the scope of work for the assignment was adequate.

**Client**  Adequate

OVS was appropriately identified as the client for the appraisal assignment.

**Intended Users**  Adequate

Reclamation and OVS were appropriately identified as the intended users of the appraisal report. No other intended users of the appraisal
The assignment results will be used by Reclamation to establish to establish the current annual permit fee for each of the 115 trailer sites and the 110 cabin sites surrounding the Heart Butte Reservoir. The intended use of the market rent survey report by OVS is to review for compliance with the requirements set in the assignment’s SOW. The report and its opinions of market rent are not intended for any other use or purpose.

The subject assignment reflects a September 10, 2015 date of value that is within 30 days of the date of the first report submission of September 24, 2015.

The date of the report appropriately corresponds to the final date of the last revision of the report per the requirements of USPAP.

The introductions to the definition of market rent references the determination of right-of-ways, which are not relevant to the assignment. In that the definition of market rent is appropriate, this deficiency does not detract from the credibility of the assignment results.

As specific individual cabin and trailer sites were not part of the assignment, a specific real property interest was not appraised. The annual rent opined is characteristic of a Permit Agreement.

A specific Summary of the Assignment Problem was not presented, however, the report sufficiently identifies the assignment problem through the various descriptions provided in other sections of the report.
Specific cabin and trailer sites were not appraised; the assignment consists of a market rent survey for a typical cabin and a typical trailer site around the Heart Butte Reservoir.

**Contact with Owner**
Not applicable

Specific cabin and trailer sites were not appraised; the assignment consists of a market rent survey for a typical cabin and a typical trailer site around the Heart Butte Reservoir.

**Property Inspection**
Adequate

The appraisers inspected a sampling of the cabin and trailer sites around the Heart Butte Reservoir on October 9, 2014.

**Location and Neighborhood**
Adequate

**Property Description**
Adequate

**Legal and Physical Access**
Adequate

**Use, Rent, and Sale History and Analysis**
Not applicable

**Tax Assessment Analysis**
Not applicable

**Zoning and Land Use Restrictions**
Not applicable

**Other**
Adequate

The market rental survey report reasonably summarized the characteristics of the Reclamation cabin and trailer sites and provided a credible market overview.

**Valuation**

**Highest and Best Use Estimate**
Not applicable
## Comparable Rentals Selection

The report draws to the intended users’ attention that there was a dearth of comparable rental data in the market to analyze and that no comparables with identical characteristics to the Heart Butte Reservoir cabin and trailer sites were identified. Accordingly, the analyses expanded the search outside the subject’s immediate neighborhood with a selection of four comparables. Although the comparables considered had varying physical characteristics when compared to the Heart Butte Reservoir cabin and trailer sites, the comparables were considered to be the most relevant available for the assignment.

## Comparable Rentals Description

### Adjustment Characteristics

The appraisal reasonably considered the primary factors that influenced the rental fees charged by the comparable properties.

## Adjustment Methodology

Due to the limitations of the available market data, there were no matched paired analyses to obtain adjustments. The appraisal methodology relied used the available data to the extent possible and ultimately employed a bracketing technique. Although the overall adjustment methodology employed seemed somewhat contrived and definitely qualitative, the technique is a reasonable methodology when insufficient comparable rentals are available. Essentially the analyses relied on the limited market data available in conjunction with the appraiser’s experience and judgment.

## Unit of Measurement (GBA/NRA/UA) Selection

## Expense Basis (NNN, Full Service, Gross, etc.)

Although not specifically cited, the analyses clearly inferred the characteristics of the rent per year conclusion.

## Lease Terms

Adequate
Conditions of Lease  Adequate
Tenant Improvement Allowance  Not applicable
Market Conditions  Adequate
Location  Adequate
Physical Characteristics  Adequate
Economic Characteristics  Adequate
Use  Adequate
Other  Adequate

The analyses presented reasonably took each of the comparables into consideration and correlated their rental characteristics to a typical cabin / trailer site rental.

Rental Value Reconciliation  Adequate

In the final analyses, the appraisal reasonably correlated the annual rental per cabin and annual rental per trailer space indicators from the comparables through a bracketing technique. The appraisal considered the characteristics of the adjustments made to each comparable as well as considered the weighing of the comparable indicators to opine to an annual market rental conclusion.
Review Conclusion

Completeness and Accuracy of the Material Presented

In developing an opinion about the completeness of the material under review, the initial rental survey report submitted included a few items needing clarifications. Such items required the reviewer to discuss the issues with the appraisers to obtain clarification and as appropriate, the enhancement to the report to facilitate understanding and completeness. To the clarifications required, the appraisers were responsive to the discussion and desiring to improve the quality and clarity of the work product. Overall, given the nature of the assignment problem and the scope of work performed, it is the reviewer’s opinion that the completeness of the material under review is reasonable for use by Reclamation.

Adequacy and Relevance of the Data and Propriety of Adjustments

It is the reviewer’s opinion that relative to the constraints of the market, the data contained in the rental survey report was adequate and relevant to solving the assignment problem. However, it is also the reviewer’s opinion that enhancements to the adjustment discussions could benefit intended users’ understanding relative to the assignment process. Still, the assignment analyses presented appeared to conform to the appraisers’ peers’ perspectives and as such, the analyses were deemed credible for use.

Overall, it is the reviewer’s opinion that the adjustments were reasonable relative to establishing the propriety and credibility of the adjustments made or not made. In addition, the reviewer is of the opinion that the assignment reasonably relied on qualitative considerations and a bracketing technique applied such that the adequacy and relevance of the data and propriety of adjustments were reasonable.

Appropriateness of Appraisal Methods and Techniques

The typical cabin / trailer sites located around the Heart Butte Reservoir are considered lakefront properties. The use of the sites are restricted to a six-month period during the year reflective of seasonal vacation rental; year round occupancy is not allowed. Other reservoirs in the state area also government owned. No private lakes were encountered where similar seasonal vacation sites could be found that would be considered market driven. The appraisers had to survey alternate forms of rental housing to measure the reasonable rent that could be achieved. To that end the appraisers surveyed RV Parks and mobile home or trailer parks. RV parks were surveyed because they cater to vacation travelers and because they are often rented on a monthly or seasonal basis. Additionally, mobile home parks were surveyed because many of the homes on the cabin / trailer sites are mobile homes and park rents are either on a monthly or annual basis.

Overall both surveyed sources were deemed relevant and adequate method to form the opinion of market rental value. The method and technique applied were found to be indicative of the market in the analyses of properties similar to the subject assignment and as such, it is the reviewer’s
opinion that the methods and techniques used in the report were sufficient to reasonably support the opinion of market rental value for the intended use of the report.

**Appropriateness and Reasonableness of the Analysis, Opinions, and Conclusions**

The rental survey analyses performed was deemed relevant and adequate to form the opinion of market rent for the subject assignment; the analyses performed were both applicable and relevant to the assignment. The method and technique applied were found to be indicative of the market in the analyses of rental sites and as such, it is the reviewer’s opinion that the methods and techniques used were sufficient to reasonably support the market rentals opined for the intended use of the report.

**Date of Review Report**

October 7, 2015

**Reliability of Value Conclusion as of the Date of Review Report**

Overall, the work under review is deemed to be credible as of the date of this review report and may be relied on and used by Reclamation.

**Conclusion**

**APPROVE**

**Final Comments**

- This review report recognizes that perfection is impossible to attain and competency does not require perfection. Errors and clarification items discovered in the accompanying appraisal report were generally minor in nature and did not impact the overall reliability of the analyses presented. Accordingly, the intended users of this review report and the corresponding appraisal report should be aware that the reports may contain some uncorrected calculations, incorrect verbiage, and typographical errors.

- This review document is an integral part of, and should be included with each copy of the appraisal report retained by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation or any other agency of the United States Government. The information, opinions, and conclusions presented in this review document, if different than those cited in the appraisal report, should be relied on over those expressed in the appraisal report.
Reviewer’s Certification

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions of the appraiser.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this work under review and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved. Although the United States Department of the Interior is my employer, I am acting without prejudice towards that party.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved in this assignment.
- My engagement on this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of predetermined assignment results or assignment results that favors the cause of the client, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal review.
- My analysis, opinions, and conclusions were developed and this report was prepared in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of the work under review within a three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.
- No one provided me significant appraisal review assistance.
- I have not made a personal inspection of the subject of the work under review.
- I have not made a personal inspection of the comparables cited in the work under review.
- I have not verified the factual data presented in the work reviewed.
- As of the date of the report, I have completed the continuing education program of the Appraisal Institute.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to the review by its duly authorized representatives.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusion were developed, and this report has been prepared in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Practice of the Appraisal Institute.

[Signature]

Steven G. Murphy

October 7, 2015
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- Review Appraiser, Office of Valuation Services - Department of the Interior
  Reno, Nevada
  May 2010 to Present

- Appraiser, Appraisal Services Directorate - Department of the Interior
  Reno, Nevada
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STATEMENT OF WORK (SOW)
Office of Valuation Services

Agency Case ID: [N/A]
IVIS Number: [R13023/00040504]

Appraiser Instructions:
1. Perform and complete a Market Rent Survey of residential/recreational lots similar to those located at the Heart Butte Reservoir located in Grant County, North Dakota. The survey should only consider the rental data of unimproved land. The rent survey will be used to aid the Bureau of Reclamation in determining a yearly fee for the seasonal recreational lots surrounding the Heart Butte Reservoir. These lots are currently being managed by the Bureau of Reclamation of the Dept. of Interior, USA.

2. The Office of Valuation Services review appraiser for this appraisal assignment will provide contacts for this assignment as needed.

SECTION 1 – SUBJECT IDENTIFICATION & GENERAL INFORMATION

Identification:  
- Project Name: Heart Butte Reservoir
- Location: Grant County, North Dakota
- Acreage: 3 acre or less sites
- Number of Parcels: 226 mixed seasonal sites
- Property Type: Recreational/Residential Sites
- Case Type: Market Rent Survey

Client: U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of Valuation Services (OVS)

Intended Users: The market survey will be used by the Bureau of Reclamation on behalf of the United States of America.

Intended Use: The intended use of the analysis is to research the local rental market and report it in a summary manner. This data will assist the Bureau of Reclamation in determining a yearly use fee for the recreational sites surrounding the Heart Butte Reservoir. It is not intended for any other use.
SECTION 2 – APPRAISAL REQUIREMENTS & INSTRUCTIONS

Appraisal Standards: Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP).

Extraordinary Assumptions: None.

Hypothetical Conditions: None

Jurisdictional Exceptions: None

Property Inspection: The appraiser must inspect the subject property area and all of the market properties used in survey, unless the OVS Review Appraiser has approved other conditions in writing.

This is a USPAP compliant report. The portions of USPAP that apply generally to appraisal practice include the DEFINITIONS, PREAMBLE, CONDUCT, MANAGEMENT, and CONFIDENTIALITY sections of the ETHIC RULE, the COMPENTENCY RULE, and the JURISDICTIONAL EXCEPTION RULE. (USPAP: Advisory Opinion 21)

The appraiser will be required to attend a pre-work meeting with the assigned OVS Review Appraiser, and/or other agency representative, and other interested parties.

Controversies/Issues: Should other controversies or issues be identified by the appraiser during the course of the assignment, the OVS Review Appraiser identified in Section 3 of this document must be immediately notified. The following issues have been identified:

Legal Instructions: None.
Special Appraisal Instructions:

1. The appraiser may not communicate assignment results to any party except OVS until authorized to do so in writing by OVS.

2. Any communication (verbal or written) with the Client Agency (Bureau of Reclamation) shall include the assigned OVS Review Appraiser.

General Appraisal Requirements & Instructions:

1. The appraiser must hold a valid license as a Certified General Appraiser for the jurisdiction in which the subject property is located. (Valid credentials include those obtained directly from the jurisdiction, those issued under a reciprocity agreement, and/or those characterized as “temporary” under the jurisdiction’s licensing and certification statutes.)

2. The OVS Statement of Work must be included within the Addenda to the appraisal report.

3. This appraisal assignment does not need to comply with UASFLA. It must confirm to USPAP.

4. This is a market survey report as directed under USPAP. This report is not required to be in a Self-Contained or Summary report.

5. Color photographs and maps of comparable properties shall be included in the appraisal report. OVS will accept aerial photographs for comparable properties, unless the aerial photographs do not accurately represent the property as of the date of inspection. The appraiser must photograph any unusual property features from the ground.

6. The report will be reviewed for compliance with the terms of this Statement of Work. Any findings of inadequacy will require clarification and/or correction.

7. The appraiser shall consider the Market Survey and all DOI internal documents furnished to the appraiser to be confidential. Refer all requests for information concerning the appraisal to the OVS Review Appraiser. While the public is not an intended user of the appraisal report, the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Agency policy may result in the release of all or part of the appraisal report to others.

8. OVS will not normally accept custody of confidential information. Should appraiser find it necessary to rely on confidential information, he/she will contact the OVS Review Appraiser for instructions. The Review Appraiser will view the information and provide further instruction to the appraiser regarding handling and storage of the confidential information.

9. If including any proprietary information in the appraisal, appraiser must gain concurrence from OVS Review Appraiser and deliver the proprietary information in a separate binder.
SECTION 3 – PERFORMANCE & SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

The appraiser must address any questions regarding appraisal instructions and/or technical requirements for the appraisal to the OVS Review Appraiser. The contract award will identify the OVS Review Appraiser and his/her contact information.

The Target Period of Performance for the delivery of the initial appraisal report to the OVS Review Appraiser is 60 calendar days from the Date of Award. Contractors must provide the appraisal services within the performance period specified, and/or otherwise state an alternative Period of Performance with justification.

Deliverable/Task Schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REQUIRED DELIVERABLES</th>
<th>DELIVERY DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Work Meeting</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Report</td>
<td>60 Days, or less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review Period</td>
<td>15 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Market Survey Report</td>
<td>30 Days after Initial Report Delivery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provided Subject Property Exhibits:

The following Subject Property Exhibits will be provided as attachments to the solicitation:

1. Sample market survey report
2. Location Map
3. Aerial Photo / Overlay
4. Copy of typical site permit