

1. Study to prove that selenium and nuclear chemicals have impacted the service area?
2. How this whole thing change the water flow in the Ark River from JMR to the state line?
3. Why not allow JMR storage in excess years for the area below the dam? (instead of Pueblo Res)- Effects McClave, Hasty, Eads, Lamar, Wiley – no mention of Granada or Holly
4. How this effect the tamarisks - Warblers love it, also cedar waxwing
5. Pg 29- Prairie chicken – short term – how short??
6. Will the costs be proportional to Useage? Or will lower ark users be paying for more and cleaner water for the 150,000 people growth up by Pueblo?? OUR population is getting SMALLER!
7. Pg 29- Vegetation – cottonwood and Tamarisk issues –what “mitigation “ are they referring to?
8. Why are Granada and Holly not included in this project?
9. Why is LaJunta so high on the graph – Pg 6?
10. Pg 9 – For future population growth needs?? We will help pay construction even though our population is DECREASING and we are not listed as a contaminated water supply (except for salts and selenium) – 1.5 million increase is NOT us. There has been NO environmental testing to determine that Lamar has a higher cancer rate compared to comparable areas.. and selenium has been around forever! Lamar 2000 census:- 8869 people 2010 census- 7804 Lost 2.57 % of population since 1990.
11. Aquatic impact – summary just says impact is negligible. DEFINE. We lose 10% and have a summer like this one, and the river will not even run!! – it will be dry.
12. What about Kansas. – if we loose river water, Kansas looses river water and the acquifer looses. Will there be another law suit? If there is, it will be SE Colorado that looses.
13. Pg. 31 Historic properties Major - are you ready to demolish those?
14. Pg 27 – Adverse effects @ Avondale – higher selenium – people play in the river!
15. 10,300 acre ft/year – Jill look up JMR capacity. “don’t get it (pg 25) “all alternatives
16. Pg 31 (10-20%) increase in water costs!!!
17. Pg 31 – Ag water negligible effect – explain! Ditches depend on river water.
18. Pg 24
19. Says upper river is reduced by 10% - what about lower Arkansas?? Says greater than 10% - HOW MUCH??

Dispose of Radio active Nucleide
Medical Study – or eliminat chemical cause!

Construct Conduct

2). 50 year – Repayment 35% of cost over 50 years
How will cost be redistributed (huge cost on connections)
No benefit

3) How will cost be distributed? per capita use.