*Letter #:* 966

Date Received: 12/16/2022 Sender Names: 368: Bill Lock

**Emails:** 368:

**Organizations:** 

Subject: Comments on Colorado River plan

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding. 

②

Hello,

A general comment is that we need to plan for a range of scenarios from worst case to current forecast. Agreement needs to be developed now because if water availability is lower than planned for, then there won't be time to come to a new consensus. All parties need to come to the table understanding that they need to have measures at the ready to deal with the possibility of continued drought and a continued decline in available water.

In addition, parties need to realize that unconstrained growth is not helping. We are just digging ourselves into a deeper hole. Like some areas with severe water shortages, growth needs to be predicated on availability of water to support the growth. Managing industrial and residential growth must be on the table.

Sincerely, Bill Lock