Letter #: 619

**Date Received:** 12/20/2022

Sender Names: 215: David Jovin

**Emails:** 215:

**Organizations:** 

Fw: Please Make Lake Powell Recreation a Priority Subject:

From: mailagent@thesoftedge.com <mailagent@thesoftedge.com&gt; on behalf of David Jovin

<mailagent@thesoftedge.com&gt;

Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2022 6:12 AM

To: CRB-Info, BOR <bor-sha-LCB-Info@usbr.gov&gt;

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please Make Lake Powell Recreation a Priority

This email has been received from outside of DOI - Use caution before clicking on links, opening attachments, or responding.

## Dear Bureau of Reclamation:

I enjoy recreating on Lake Powell, and some of my fondest memories are the times I've spent on Lake Powell.

I encourage the Bureau of Reclamation to consider the recreation interests Lake Powell and the other reservoirs in the Colorado River Basin as BOR reconsiders the 2007 Colorado River Interim Guidelines for Lower Basin Shortages and Coordinated Operations for Lake Powell and Lake Mead, the 2019 Drought Contingency Plans, and the international agreements between the United States and Mexico.

Economic benefits from recreation on Lake Powell are larger than the economic impact of the power generation. The economic impact of Lake Powell-based tourism is the lifeblood of towns such as Page, Arizona. Of course this impact reaches throughout the states of Utah, Arizona, Nevada, Colorado, California, and beyond. National Park Service recognizes Glen Canyon National Recreation Area contributed \$495 million to gateway communities in 2019. This number doesn't account for the money visitors spend buying boats and supplies in the surrounding states in order to recreate on Lake Powell. It is likely that recreation on Lake Powell results in economic activity that is measured in billions of dollars. Water use and energy production are also critical services provided by Lake Powell and the Glen Canyon Dam, but it can't be ignored that recreation is as economically important as these other uses. Recreation shouldn't be an afterthought or ignored altogether.

I am aware the "target" elevation is 3,525 feet and the minimum elevation to operate the hydropower, or power pool, is 3,490. Although 3,525 allows you to continue to run hydropower operations, this level restricts numerous recreation opportunities. We have seen numerous closures of marinas and facilities throughout Lake Powell in recent years. Because there are so many variables affecting the lake's elevation such as precipitation, snowpack, runoff, release volumes, and other reservoir elevations the Bureau needs to consider changing the "target" elevation. Once the lake gets to the target elevation, because of the numerous variables it could be too late to keep the hydrology operations going. In the long run, I think 3588 feet is a better target elevation for the lake to meet the demand for recreation in a way that also protects the power and water right interests.

I support the Path to 3588 plan developed by BlueRibbon Coalition that provides a way forward to meet this historic challenge we are currently facing. This plan involves a combination of equitably reducing water use

among the affected states and Mexico, reimagining the volume and timing of water releases through the major dams, and having enough flexibility built in so that if the reservoirs begin to fill sufficiently, restrictions on water use can ease. The BlueRibbon Coalition Plan also maintains viable lake levels in Lake Mead, and it should be a preferred alternative to any plan that would suggest draining Lake Powell to fill Lake Mead.

While maintaining Lake Powell at higher elevation levels will require tradeoffs elsewhere in the Colorado Basin, Lake Powell should be given attention equal to its economic impact. It is a national treasure for outdoor recreation and vitally important for local economies at the same time the reservoir and dam provide clean energy and water certainty for downstream users based on the actual amount of water available in the system.

I recognize that the Bureau of Reclamation and water users in the basin are facing difficult choices. I also recognize that many of the recreation users of Lake Powell are also users of the water and power. We are all important stakeholders in this discussion, and we all have a role to play. Please consider recreation as an important voice in the effort to Fill Lake Powell!

Sincerely,

**David Jovin**