
Click Next to begin the Comment Form.

Commenter Information (Optional)

Before including your address, telephone number, electronic mail address, or other personally identifiable information in your comments, please be aware that because of federal disclosure requirements your entire comment (including your personally identifiable information) may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us to withhold your personally identifiable information from public review, we will comply with all applicable disclosure requirements, and cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

1. Name

2. Email

3. Please let us know if you would like to:

- Be added to the 7.D. email list (enter email in Question 2)
- Provide feedback regarding your experience using this Form (enter email in Question 2)

4. Organization/Entity

Southern Nevada Water Authority

5. Please identify the sector that most closely describes your entity:

- Local Government
- State Government
- Tribal Government
- Federal Government
- Agricultural Water Provider/Association
- Municipal Water Provider/Association
- Non-Governmental Organization
- Academic Institution
-

General Comments on the Draft Report

Please provide your overall feedback on the Draft Report
 (https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf
 (https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

6. Please respond to the following statements:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| The Draft Report is understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| The Draft Report's conclusions are supported. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

7. Please provide general comments on the Draft Report:

(4,000 character limit)

The Southern Nevada Water Authority appreciates the opportunity to provide feedback and supports the finding of the Bureau of Reclamation's review of the Colorado River Interim Guidelines for Lower Basin Shortages and Coordinated Operations for Lake Powell and Lake Mead. We have a few minor concerns we hope Reclamation will address prior to final publication, particularly our position that any conservation benefits of Brock Reservoir will be recognized by Reclamation in their presentation on impacts to Lake Mead elevation from conservation activities.

Section 1: Introduction

Refer to Section 1, page 1 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

8. Please respond to the following statement:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section 1 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

9. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 1?

- Yes
- No

10. Please provide comments on Section 1 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

Section 2: Background on the Development of the Guidelines

Refer to Section 2, pages 2-3 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

11. Please respond to the following statement:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section 2 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

12. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 2?

Yes

No

13. Please provide comments on Section 2 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

Section 3: Purpose of the Guidelines and Common Themes

Refer to Section 3, pages 4-5 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

14. Please respond to the following statement:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
|--|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|

Section 3 is clear and understandable.

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

15. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 3?

Yes

No

16. Please provide comments on Section 3 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

Section 4: Complementary Activities Since Adoption of the Guidelines

Refer to Section 4, pages 5-9 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

17. Please respond to the following statement:

Section 4 is clear and understandable.

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | <input type="radio"/> |

18. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 4?

Yes

No

19. Please provide comments on Section 4 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

1. First paragraph of section 4.2, "...specifically the DCPs,..." this is the first time DCP is use in the text body. Consider spelling out Drought Contingency Plans and adding parenthesis around DCP. 2. First sentence in the third paragraph of section 4.2, change "...which identified framework for..." to "...which identified a framework for..." 3. Page 9, change "BSWCP" to "BWSCP" (This also occurs on page 38)

Section 5: Approach to the Review of the Guidelines

Refer to Section 5, page 10 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

20. Please respond to the following statement:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section 5 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

21. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 5?

- Yes
- No

22. Please provide comments on Section 5 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

Section 6: Significant Considerations Based on Scope and Approach Comments

Refer to Section 6, pages 10-13 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

23. Please respond to the following statement:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section 6 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

24. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 6?

Yes

No

25. Please provide comments on Section 6 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

1. Page 11, second paragraph – We suggest adding “process” following “decision-making” to be consistent with other federal reports.

Section 7: Implementation of the Guidelines

Refer to Section 7, pages 13-39 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

26. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.1 - Overview of Lake Powell and Lake Mead Conditions:

Section 7.1 is clear and understandable.

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

27. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.1?

- Yes
- No

28. Please provide comments on Section 7.1 that support your responses above:
(4,000 character limit)

1. Last paragraph of page 15, the reference to 35 feet in Lake Mead elevation gains would be closer to 40 feet if Brock Reservoir were included in the calculation. 2. Figure 2 should include the conservation benefits of Brock Reservoir.

29. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.2 -
Determination of Lake Powell and Lake Mead Operations:

Section 7.2 is clear and understandable.

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

30. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.2?

- Yes
- No

31. Please provide comments on Section 7.2 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

32. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.3 - Coordinated Operation of Lake Powell and Lake Mead:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section 7.3 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

33. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.3?

Yes

No

34. Please provide comments on Section 7.3 that support your responses above:
(4,000 character limit)

1. Last sentence of the first paragraph of page 23, suggest removing discussion of WY2020 since the report only covers through 2019.

35. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.4 - Lake Mead Operations:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section 7.4 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

36. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.4?

Yes

No

37. Please provide comments on Section 7.4 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

38. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.5 - Intentionally Created Surplus:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section 7.5 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

39. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.5?

Yes

No

40. Please provide comments on Section 7.5 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

1. Second paragraph of page 36, the reference to 35 feet in Lake Mead elevation gains would be closer to 40 feet if Brock Reservoir were included in the calculation.

41. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.6 - Process and Consultation:

| Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|

Section 7.6 is clear and understandable.

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

42. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.6?

- Yes
- No

43. Please provide comments on Section 7.6 that support your responses above:
(4,000 character limit)

1. Page 38 - Bullet 4 and Bullet 8 BSWCP need to be changed to BWSCP. 2. Page 39, section 7.6.4.2 – Second sentence describing MWD ICS volume, consider removing the duplicate acre-foot units, i.e. kaf or AF.

Section 8: Effectiveness of the Guidelines

Refer to Section 8, pages 39-42 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

44. Please respond to the following statement:

Section 8 is clear and understandable.

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

45. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 8?

- Yes

No

46. Please provide comments on Section 8 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

Section 9: Summary

Refer to Section 9, page 42 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

47. Please respond to the following statement:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section 9 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

48. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 9?

Yes

No

49. Please provide comments on Section 9 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

Appendix A - Operational Documentation

Refer to Appendix A of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftAppendixA_10-23-2020.pdf (https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftAppendixA_10-23-2020.pdf)).

50. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section A.2 - 24-Month Study Background:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section A.2 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

51. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section A.2?

- Yes
- No

52. Please provide comments on Section A.2 that support your responses above:
(4,000 character limit)

53. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section A.3 - Review of Operations:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section A.3 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

54. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section A.3?

Yes

No

55. Please provide comments on Section A.3 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

56. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section A.4 - 24-Month Study Accuracy:

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Section A.4 is clear and understandable. | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

57. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section A.4?

Yes

No

58. Please provide comments on Section A.4 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)