
Click Next to begin the Comment Form.

Commenter Information (Optional)

Before including your address, telephone number, electronic mail address, or other personally identifiable information in your comments, please be aware that because of federal disclosure requirements your entire comment (including your personally identifiable information) may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us to withhold your personally identifiable information from public review, we will comply with all applicable disclosure requirements, and cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

1. Name

2. Email

3. Please let us know if you would like to:

- Be added to the 7.D. email list (enter email in Question 2)
- Provide feedback regarding your experience using this Form (enter email in Question 2)

4. Organization/Entity

Colorado River Commission of Nevada (CRC)

5. Please identify the sector that most closely describes your entity:

- Local Government
- State Government
- Tribal Government
- Federal Government
- Agricultural Water Provider/Association
- Municipal Water Provider/Association
- Non-Governmental Organization
- Academic Institution
-

General Comments on the Draft Report

Please provide your overall feedback on the Draft Report
 (https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf
 (https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

6. Please respond to the following statements:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The Draft Report is understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The Draft Report's conclusions are supported.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. Please provide general comments on the Draft Report:

(4,000 character limit)

The CRC appreciates the efforts Reclamation made to track its operational experience and evaluate the effectiveness of the 2007 Guidelines in meeting the stated purpose and need of the Guidelines as set forth in the Record of Decision.

Section 1: Introduction

Refer to Section 1, page 1 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

8. Please respond to the following statement:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 1 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 1?

- Yes
- No

10. Please provide comments on Section 1 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

No additional comments

Section 2: Background on the Development of the Guidelines

Refer to Section 2, pages 2-3 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

11. Please respond to the following statement:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 2 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

12. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 2?

Yes

No

13. Please provide comments on Section 2 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

Section 2 provides sufficient background on why the Guidelines were needed.

Section 3: Purpose of the Guidelines and Common Themes

Refer to Section 3, pages 4-5 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

14. Please respond to the following statement:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 3 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

15. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 3?

Yes

No

16. Please provide comments on Section 3 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

The CRC agrees that evaluating the Guidelines effectiveness using the stated purpose and common themes is an acceptable method.

Section 4: Complementary Activities Since Adoption of the Guidelines

Refer to Section 4, pages 5-9 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

17. Please respond to the following statement:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 4 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

18. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 4?

Yes

No

19. Please provide comments on Section 4 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

The CRC agrees with Reclamation that the expanded stakeholder involvement, including the Tribes and NGOs, and binational cooperative effort over the course of the implementation of the Guidelines was an important factor in the operational success of the guidelines and helped set the stage for additional collaborative projects such as the Pilot System Conservation Program, new Minutes to the Mexican Water Treaty and ultimately the Drought Contingency Plan. The CRC agrees that the Guidelines laid the important groundwork for understanding how to address and respond to the increasing pressures presented by the length and severity of the ongoing drought. Second paragraph, first line insert "a" at the end of the sentence.

Section 5: Approach to the Review of the Guidelines

Refer to Section 5, page 10 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

20. Please respond to the following statement:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 5 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

21. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 5?

- Yes
- No

22. Please provide comments on Section 5 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

The CRC agrees with Reclamation on the scope of the 7D review. Evaluating the purpose and theme provides sufficient evidence of the success of the Guidelines. Specific resource analysis should be included in additional studies and as part of future renegotiations.

Section 6: Significant Considerations Based on Scope and Approach Comments

Refer to Section 6, pages 10-13 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

23. Please respond to the following statement:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 6 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

24. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 6?

Yes

No

25. Please provide comments on Section 6 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

The CRC would have preferred to see Section 6 in an appendix to the Report as it relates to the comments and responses to comments to the 7-D Review prior to this draft but understands the need to define the parameters of this 7-D Report. The CRC agrees that these comments will be relevant in moving forward in the development of the next set of guidelines.

Section 7: Implementation of the Guidelines

Refer to Section 7, pages 13-39 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

26. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.1 - Overview of Lake Powell and Lake Mead Conditions:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 7.1 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

27. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.1?

- Yes
- No

28. Please provide comments on Section 7.1 that support your responses above:
(4,000 character limit)

Section 7.1 does a good job at documenting the operations and storage of Lake Mead and Powell. Figure 1 shows the success of the Guidelines by maintaining system storage during an extended drought.

29. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.2 - Determination of Lake Powell and Lake Mead Operations:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 7.2 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

30. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.2?

- Yes
- No

31. Please provide comments on Section 7.2 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

This section does a good job documenting operational experience gained during the Guidelines pertaining to uncertainties and timing complexities.

32. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.3 - Coordinated Operation of Lake Powell and Lake Mead:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 7.3 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

33. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.3?

Yes

No

34. Please provide comments on Section 7.3 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

No additional comments

35. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.4 - Lake Mead Operations:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 7.4 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

36. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.4?

Yes

No

37. Please provide comments on Section 7.4 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

No additional comments

38. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.5 - Intentionally Created Surplus:

Strongly
Agree

Agree

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly
Disagree

Section 7.5 is clear and
understandable.



39. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.5?

Yes

No

40. Please provide comments on Section 7.5 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

Table 3 provides a good summary of ICS activity that has helped sustain Lake Mead elevations.

41. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section 7.6 - Process and Consultation:

Section 7.6 is clear and understandable.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

42. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 7.6?

- Yes
- No

43. Please provide comments on Section 7.6 that support your responses above:
(4,000 character limit)

The CRC appreciates the thoroughness of the historical account of the implementation of the Guidelines during the period from 2008 through the end of calendar year 2019. The CRC looks forward to continuing to collaborate with Reclamation and other basin states on future renegotiations.

Section 8: Effectiveness of the Guidelines

Refer to Section 8, pages 39-42 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

44. Please respond to the following statement:

Section 8 is clear and understandable.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

45. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 8?

Yes

No

46. Please provide comments on Section 8 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

The CRC found this Section to be overly brief, given the depth of the proceeding Sections, particularly as it reached the heart of the question of the effectiveness of the Guidelines. The CRC does, however, agree with the summary conclusions reached in this Section.

Section 9: Summary

Refer to Section 9, page 42 of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftReport_10-23-2020.pdf)).

47. Please respond to the following statement:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section 9 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

48. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section 9?

Yes

No

49. Please provide comments on Section 9 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

No additional comments

Appendix A - Operational Documentation

Refer to Appendix A of the Draft Report

(https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftAppendixA_10-23-2020.pdf (https://www.usbr.gov/ColoradoRiverBasin/documents/7.D.Review_DraftAppendixA_10-23-2020.pdf)).

50. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section A.2 - 24-Month Study Background:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section A.2 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

51. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section A.2?

- Yes
- No

52. Please provide comments on Section A.2 that support your responses above:
(4,000 character limit)

The background on modeling was well done and concise.

53. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section A.3 - Review of Operations:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Section A.3 is clear and understandable.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

54. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section A.3?

Yes

No

55. Please provide comments on Section A.3 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

No additional comments

56. Please respond to the following statement regarding Section A.4 - 24-Month Study Accuracy:

Strongly
Agree

Agree

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly
Disagree

Section A.4 is clear and understandable.



57. Are there any errors or is critical information missing in Section A.4?

Yes

No

58. Please provide comments on Section A.4 that support your responses above:

(4,000 character limit)

No additional comments