



CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

Introduction
Scoping Meetings
Consultation and Coordination Process
Other Consultation/Coordination Functions
Public Information

INTRODUCTION

This chapter documents the consultation and coordination activities undertaken by the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) during preparation of this planning report and draft environmental impact statement (PR/DEIS) for the Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project (proposed project).

SCOPING MEETINGS

A Notice of Intent to prepare a PR/DEIS on construction and operation of the proposed project appeared in the *Federal Register* on March 27, 2000. The notice announced that public scoping meetings were to be held throughout the proposed project area. A news release announcing the scoping meetings was distributed to an extensive mailing list as well as to the local media. Meeting locations and dates were as follows:

Crownpoint Chapter House, Crownpoint, New Mexico	April 25, 2000
Saint Michaels Chapter House, Saint Michaels, Arizona	April 26, 2000
University of New Mexico Campus, Gallup, New Mexico	April 27, 2000
Diné College, Shiprock, New Mexico	May 2, 2000
San Juan College, Farmington, New Mexico	May 3, 2000

The issues identified were as follows:

- Support for the proposed project to supply municipal water to the Navajo Nation and the city of Gallup to provide a comfortable and healthy place to live, promote a sense of community, and provide for economic development.
- A Navajo Water Rights Settlement is needed along with the proposed project.
- Good quality of water for drinking is as important as quantity of water.
- Support was expressed for the San Juan River diversion alternatives with pipelines paralleling Route 491 and U.S. Highway 550. These are corridors where growth is planned to occur.
- Impacts to the Jicarilla Apache Water Rights Settlement should be considered.
- Impacts to Colorado River Basins States' ability to use compact apportionments should be considered.
- There is a concern that the city of Gallup will get water and that areas of the Navajo Nation will not.
- There is a concern that the water will promote uranium development and processing, resulting in contamination, as has happened in the past.
- American Indian (Indian) and non-Indian communities need to work together to make this project happen.
- There is a concern that tying into the Navajo Indian Irrigation Project (NIIP) may impact the NIIP and may not provide a reliable municipal water supply.
- The Navajo chapters surrounding the city of Gallup need a domestic water supply.
- Water for agriculture is also needed, at least for gardens and livestock.
- There is a demand for water further west of Window Rock, Arizona.
- There was support for concurrent groundwater development to get water to people before surface water would be available.
- There is a need to have water piped to locations where people are, and will be, living.

- There is a concern about sharing pipeline rights-of-way with the highway; the highway could have priority in the future.

CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION PROCESS

Interagency/intergovernmental coordination and consultation constitute an essential part of the environmental impact statement (EIS) process. They provide a forum in which close working relationships are developed with agencies and organizations that are affected by or concerned about a proposed project. Similar to public scoping, a key objective of a consultation and coordination program is to provide an opportunity for agencies and organizations to participate in the investigation of project alternatives and to provide input about specific project-related issues.

Coordination Activities

As the lead agency responsible for preparation of the PR/DEIS, Reclamation used an interdisciplinary team of consulting resource specialists to prepare the document, including the Navajo and Jicarilla Apache Nations and their staff and consultants. (A list of preparers is contained in the “List of Preparers” section). In addition, several other Federal, State, and local agencies participated with the interdisciplinary team during preparation of the PR/DEIS. Table VII-1 provides a list of those agencies with jurisdictional authority, interest, or expertise in the activities or issues associated with the proposed project.

Consultation Activities

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act.—Reclamation and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) have consulted, both formally and informally, regarding potential impacts to special status species that may be affected as a result of the development and operation of the proposed project.

A biological assessment was developed by Reclamation, and the Service issued a draft biological opinion under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). In the draft biological opinion, the Service concluded that the proposed project, as described in the biological assessment and in this PR/DEIS, may affect, and is likely to adversely affect, the Colorado pikeminnow, razorback sucker, and Mesa Verde cactus. The draft biological opinion indicates that the final opinion would contain an incidental take permit for Colorado pikeminnow and razorback sucker larvae that may become entrained as a result

Table VII-1.—Agencies and organizations that participated in the project consultation and coordination process

Federal agencies
Bureau of Indian Affairs ¹
Bureau of Land Management
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of Energy, Western Area Power Administration
Environmental Protection Agency
Indian Health Service
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
State of Colorado Water Conservation Board
New Mexico Department of Game and Fish
New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission ¹
Local governments
Northwest New Mexico Council of Governments ¹
City of Gallup ¹
Tribal governments
Jicarilla Apache Nation ¹
Navajo Nation ¹
Pueblo of Zuni
Hopi Tribe
Laguna Pueblo
Isleta Pueblo

¹ PR/EIS cooperating agencies.

of the diversion from the San Juan River. Mesa Verde cactus may be directly taken during the construction of project features. The Service concurred that the proposed project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect, the Southwestern willow flycatcher and bald eagle.

The draft biological opinion incorporates a Navajo Nation depletion guarantee, which limits new depletion associated with the project to 5,271 acre-feet at full development (see chapter VI and volume II, appendix C). The opinion concludes that the 5,271 acre-feet of new depletions associated with the proposed project would not adversely impact the Colorado pikeminnow or razorback sucker. However, because larval fish may be lost due to the project diversions, the fish would be adversely affected. The opinion identifies the San Juan River Basin Recovery Implementation Program (SJRBRIP) as the

reasonable and prudent measure to reduce incidental take of Colorado pikeminnow and razorback sucker and identifies conservation recommendations to reduce the direct take of Mesa Verde cactus. The opinion also states that if re-initiation is required, the Service will follow the procedures regarding re-initiation of consultation pursuant to the “Principles for Conducting Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultations on Water Development and Water Management Activities Affecting Endangered Fish Species in the San Juan River Basin.” Results of any additional consultation will be included in the final biological opinion and will be incorporated into the planning report and final environmental impact statement.

A Planning Aid Memorandum and draft Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (FWCA) report have also been completed by the Service (volume II, appendix C). The draft FWCA report contains numerous recommendations; these recommendations are described in the “Fish and Wildlife” section of chapter VI.

FWCA.—A Planning Aid Memorandum and draft FWCA report have also been completed by the Service (volume II, appendix C). The draft FWCA report contains numerous recommendations. The Service’s official recommendations will be contained in the final FWCA report, which will be included in the planning report and final environmental impact statement.

To minimize project impacts to fish and wildlife resources, the draft FWCA recommends that Reclamation incorporate the mitigation measures described below. Reclamation’s preliminary responses to the draft FWCA recommendations follow each recommendation. Mitigation measures are discussed in greater detail in chapters V and VI.

FWCA Recommendation 1: Replace any woody vegetation (e.g., willows) unavoidably lost by establishing 2 acres of native vegetation for every acre impacted. If trees are removed, the Service recommends a minimum ratio of 10 saplings be planted for each mature tree lost. Planting of willows and cottonwood poles should be dense and in a location where adequate water is available to ensure that mitigation is successful. Mitigation should cover the direct removal of vegetation during construction as well as induced mortality that may occur in future years.

Reclamation’s Response 1: Reclamation would minimize impacts to wetland and riparian vegetation where possible. Reclamation would replace removed riparian and wetland habitat with creation of acre-per-acre replacement or the enhancement of 3 acres for each acre lost. On-site and adjacent mitigation sites would be considered before enhancing off-project sites. Reclamation would re-vegetate disturbed areas using native species and monitor plantings to ensure establishment of native cover equivalent to pre-construction levels.

FWCA Recommendation 2: Tree stands or vegetated areas slated for grubbing or clearing should be surveyed for the presence of nesting birds during the general migratory bird nesting season of March through August. Avoid disturbing nesting areas until nesting is complete.

Reclamation's Response 2: Reclamation would survey previously undisturbed areas or suitable habitats for the presence/absence of nesting birds prior to implementing construction activities during the nesting season. If nesting birds are identified, construction activities would be modified to avoid disturbing nesting birds during the nesting season from March 15 to July 15.

FWCA Recommendation 3: Employ silt curtains, cofferdams, straw bales, or other suitable erosion control measures during construction.

Reclamation's Response 3: Best Management Practices are included to protect water quality and soils.

FWCA Recommendation 4: Monitor intake pump, sump, and settling ponds to estimate larval entrainment during periods of drift. Contact the Service to determine if further project review under the FWCA is appropriate if entrainment exceeds the estimates.

Reclamation's Response 4: Reclamation proposes that monitoring of larval entrainment be conducted as an activity of the SJRBRIP. Reclamation would re-consult with the Service under section 7 of the ESA if incidental take of threatened and endangered species exceeded the limits identified in the Incidental Take Statement likely included in the biological opinion.

FWCA Recommendation 5: Dewater in-channel construction areas prior to construction. Maintain fish passage around dewatered construction areas during construction. Construct the proposed project during periods of low flow and low precipitation.

Reclamation's Response 5: All in-channel construction areas would be dewatered prior to construction. Fish passage around the dewatered construction areas would be maintained while the PNM fish passage was in operation (April through October). In-channel construction activities would be limited to the period November through March.

FWCA Recommendation 6: Monitor water quality before, during, and after construction to ensure compliance with State water quality standards.

Reclamation’s Response 6: Reclamation and its contractors would comply with all permit requirements issued under section 401 (State and Tribal Water Quality Certification), 402 (Dewatering) and 404 (Dredge and Fill) of the Clean Water Act.

FWCA Recommendation 7: Contain poured concrete in forms and/or behind cofferdams to prevent discharge into the river. Contain and treat or remove for off-site disposal any waste water from concrete-batching, vehicle wash-down, and aggregate processing.

Reclamation’s Response 7: These recommendations are standard language included in Reclamation’s construction contracts. Contractors are required to prepare and submit storm water and waste management plans for all construction-related activities.

FWCA Recommendation 8: Store and dispense fuels, lubricants, hydraulic fluids, and other petrochemicals outside the 100-year flood plain. Inspect construction equipment daily for petrochemical leaks. Contain and remove any petrochemical spills and dispose of these materials at an approved upland site. Park construction equipment outside the 100-year flood plain during periods of inactivity.

Reclamation’s Response 8: These recommendations are also standard language included in Reclamation’s construction contracts. Contractors are required to prepare and submit a Spill Prevention and Containment Plan for all construction-related activities.

FWCA Recommendation 9: Carry an oil kit or spill blanket at all times. Ensure equipment operators are knowledgeable in the use of spill containment equipment. Develop a spill contingency plan prior to initiation of construction. Immediately notify the proper Federal and State authorities in the event of a spill.

Reclamation’s Response 9: See Reclamation’s FWCA Response 8 above.

FWCA Recommendation 10: Use only clean cobble and quarry stone from an upland source. Use uncontaminated earth or alluvium suitable for re-vegetation with indigenous plant species for backfill. Re-vegetate or re-seed backfill and other disturbed areas with native plants or seeds to accelerate re-vegetation with native species.

Reclamation’s Response 10: Reclamation would use only suitable uncontaminated material during construction. All disturbed areas would be re-vegetated with native plant species. Where feasible during pipeline and other project features construction, top soils would be stockpiled and used in re-vegetation efforts.

FWCA Recommendation 11: Where possible, minimize trapping of wildlife during pipeline installation by trenching and burying pipeline concurrently. Leave the least amount of trench open overnight, and provide escape ramps for trapped wildlife.

Reclamation's Response 11: Reclamation would minimize trapping of wildlife during pipeline installation by trenching and burying pipeline concurrently and leaving the least amount of trench open overnight as possible. Escape ramps would be provided for trapped wildlife.

FWCA Recommendation 12: Re-vegetate all upland areas disturbed during construction, using native plants and seeds. For those upland areas where soils have become compacted as a result of heavy equipment operation, soils should be scarified or additional topsoil placed prior to re-vegetation.

Reclamation's Response 12: Reclamation would return all disturbed areas as nearly as possible to previous conditions. Topsoil removed during construction would be stockpiled for re-vegetation efforts and native plants and seeds would be used. If soils were compacted, the areas would be scarified prior to planting.

FWCA Recommendation 13: Minimize electrocution risk to raptors by installing perch guards or raptor-safe configurations on all transmission structures. Minimize collision risks to raptors and other bird species by marking transmission lines that pose a high collision risk with spiral vibration dampers or bird flight diverters.

Reclamation's Response 13: Raptor perch guards or raptor-safe configurations would be incorporated on all new transmission structures.

Environmental Protection Agency/U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Reclamation would also coordinate with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regarding potential project effects on wetlands and water quality and with EPA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Cultural Resources

Consultation/coordination occurred in two phases: (1) the Overview phase in 1992–93 and (2) the Alternatives Evaluation phase from 2000 through the present. During each phase, various parties were contacted to gather information and/or solicit their concerns about impacts and procedures to address those impacts.

Overview Phase:

The All-Indian Pueblo Council
Pueblo of Zuni
Pueblo of Acoma
Jicarilla Apache Nation

Ute Mountain Ute Tribe
Southern Ute Indian Tribe
Hopi Tribe
New Mexico Office of Cultural Affairs, Historic Preservation Division
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
Bureau of Land Management, Farmington, New Mexico
New Mexico State Land Office
Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department
New Mexico Laboratory of Anthropology

Alternatives Evaluation Phase:

Pueblo of Zuni
Pueblo of Acoma
Jemez Pueblo
Pueblo of Laguna
Nambe Pueblo
Pojoaque Pueblo
San Felipe Pueblo
Zia Pueblo
San Idelfonso Pueblo
San Juan Pueblo
Sandia Pueblo
Santa Ana Pueblo
Southern Ute Indian Tribe
Santa Clara Pueblo
Santo Domingo Pueblo
Taos Pueblo
Tesuque Pueblo
Ute Mountain Ute Tribe
Jicarilla Apache Nation
Hopi Tribe
New Mexico Office of Cultural Affairs, Historic Preservation Division
Bureau of Land Management, Farmington, New Mexico
City of Gallup, New Mexico
Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department
Sheep Springs Chapter
Coyote Canyon Chapter
San Juan Chapter
Sanostee Chapter
Shiprock Chapter
Two Grey Hills Chapter
Naschitti Community Chapter
Tohatchi Chapter

Rock Springs Chapter
Tsayatoh Chapter
Pueblo Pintado Chapter
Huerfano Chapter
Burnham Chapter
Nenahnezad Chapter
Newcomb Chapter
Nageezi Chapter
Twin Lakes Chapter
Standing Rock Chapter
Ojo Encino Chapter
Torreon Chapter
Whiterock Chapter
Nahodishgish Chapter
Counselor Chapter
Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), Navajo Region (by copy)
Navajo Nation Water Resources Department

Most of the contacts were made via correspondence. Meetings were convened and phone calls were made, as well. As a result of these contacts, the studies that have been undertaken, and from consultations with the Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department in particular, the general impacts have been determined, and an approach to addressing those impacts has been developed. This is described in chapter VI.

Navajo-Gallup Steering Committee

A project Steering Committee has been established and functioning since the early 1990s. The committee's purpose is to oversee and guide the planning and implementation of the proposed project. The committee is composed of representatives from the Navajo and Jicarilla Apache Nations, State of New Mexico, Northwest New Mexico Council of Governments, city of Gallup, Navajo Tribal Utility Authority, BIA, Indian Health Service, and Reclamation. Since 2000, the committee has met approximately four times a year to discuss planning status, address issues, and make assignments. The assignments are carried out by the various entities represented by the committee and interagency teams. For purposes of EIS preparation, the Steering Committee members also serve as the cooperating agencies for implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act. Cooperating agencies are Federal agencies and local, State, and Tribal governments with appropriate expertise or jurisdiction.

The Upper Colorado River Commission

The Upper Colorado River Commission (Commission) has been engaged in project water supply issues. The Commission passed a resolution in June 2003 supporting the proposed project and consenting to a diversion of water from the Upper Basin for use in the Lower Basin within New Mexico (attachment B). The Commission also passed a resolution in June 2006 supporting a proposed determination by the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) that sufficient water is reasonably likely to be available to fulfill the proposed project needs in New Mexico from the Navajo Reservoir water supply (attachment B). This water is in addition to existing Navajo Reservoir water supply contract water for other uses under the allocations made to New Mexico in Articles III and XIV of the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact.

The proposed hydrologic determination prepared by Reclamation is currently being considered by the Secretary.

The Arizona Department of Water Resources

The Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) has been involved with the proposed project's Arizona water supply. Discussions among Reclamation, the Navajo Nation, and the ADWR have been ongoing since 2003.

San Juan River Basin Recovery Implementation Program

Project planning has been discussed with the SJRBRIP Biology, Hydrology, and Coordinating Committees with presentations and discussions since 2002.

OTHER CONSULTATION/COORDINATION FUNCTIONS

In April 1998, George Galanis, the Mayor of the city of Gallup, and Thomas Atcity, President of the Navajo Nation, signed an agreement to cooperate on the planning for the proposed project. That document commits the city and the Navajo Nation to:

- A cooperative effort to proceed with planning and development
- A project that works conjunctively with the NIIP
- A project that will result in a fair and equitable distribution of project water between the city of Gallup and the Navajo communities

- Cooperatively investigate all viable alternative project configurations
- Support the commitment of the BIA to engage in consultation with the Service as quickly as possible
- Working together to resolve issues affecting the implementation of the proposed project

The Memorandum of Agreement continues to serve as the basis for the collaborative efforts of the Navajo Nation and the city of Gallup to develop the proposed project (attachment A).

PUBLIC INFORMATION

The list of agencies, organizations, and interested individuals who received information about the proposed project described in the PR/DEIS are discussed in this section. A total of 150 individuals (who did not identify an affiliation with an agency, business, or interest group) received information about the proposed project, and a summary of primary areas represented include:

Primary cities and towns in the immediate project area

City of Farmington (36 individuals)
City of Bloomfield and City of Aztec (6 individuals)
Town of Shiprock (11 individuals)
Town of Window Rock (3 individuals)
City of Gallup (3 individuals)

Other primary areas in the State of New Mexico

City of Grants (2 individuals)
City of Santa Fe (1 individual)
City of Albuquerque (5 individuals)

Major cities in the State of Colorado

Denver (6 individuals)
Other Denver metropolitan areas from Ft. Collins to Colorado Springs (8 individuals)

Several States outside the region

New York, Washington, Portland (12 individuals)

A total of 479 individuals identified an affiliation with an agency, business, or interest group, and those agencies are listed by category in the distribution list.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Congressional delegations	
U.S. and State Representatives	City, State
Wayne Allard U.S. Senator of Colorado	Washington, D.C.
Jeff Bingaman U.S. Senator of New Mexico	Washington D.C.
Ray Begaye New Mexico State Representative	Shiprock, New Mexico
Pete V. Domenici U.S. Senator of New Mexico	Washington D.C.
J.D. Hayworth U.S. Representative of Arizona	Washington D.C.
Jon Kyl U.S. Senator of Arizona	Washington D.C.
Linda Lovejoy New Mexico State Senator	Crownpoint, New Mexico
W. Ken Martinez New Mexico State Representative	Washington, D.C.
John McCain U.S. Senator of Arizona	Washington D.C.
Steve Pearce U.S. Representative of New Mexico	Washington D.C.
John Salazar U.S. Representative of Colorado	Washington, D.C.
Ken Salazar U. S. Senator of Colorado	Washington, D.C.
Bob Stump U.S. Representative of Arizona	Washington D.C.
Thomas C. Taylor New Mexico State Representative	Farmington, New Mexico
Sandra L. Townsend New Mexico State Representative	Aztec, New Mexico
Tom Udall U.S. Representative of New Mexico	Washington D.C.
Pete Valencia, Office of Rep. Tom Udall	Farmington, New Mexico
Heather Wilson U.S. Representative of New Mexico	Washington, D.C.

Congressional delegations (continued)

Businesses or agencies	City, State
House Appropriations Committee	Washington, D.C.
House Energy and Water Development	Washington, D.C.
House Resources Committee	Washington, D.C.
House Water and Power Subcommittee	Washington, D.C.
Senate Appropriations Committee	Washington, D.C.
Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee	Washington, D.C.
Senate Indian Affairs Committee	Washington, D.C.
Senate Water and Power Subcommittee	Washington, D.C.

Federal Government agencies

Businesses or agencies	City, State
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	Lakewood, Colorado Washington, D.C.
BLM/FS Public Land Center	Durango, Colorado
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Albuquerque, New Mexico Chinle, Arizona Dulce, New Mexico Española, New Mexico Farmington, New Mexico Gallup, New Mexico Ignacio, Colorado Kykotsmovi, Arizona Shiprock, New Mexico Towaoc, Colorado Zia Pueblo, New Mexico
Bureau of Land Management	Albuquerque, New Mexico Bluff, Utah Durango, Colorado Farmington, New Mexico Gallup, New Mexico Lakewood, Colorado Moab, Utah Montecillo, Utah
Bureau of Reclamation	Albuquerque, New Mexico Denver, Colorado Durango, Colorado Farmington, New Mexico Grand Junction, Colorado Salt Lake City, Utah Washington, D.C.

Federal Government agencies (continued)

Businesses or agencies	City, State
Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division Natural Resources Division/Policy Section	Washington, D.C.
Environmental Protection Agency	Dallas, Texas Denver, Colorado San Francisco, California Washington, D.C.
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	San Francisco, California Washington, D.C.
Indian Health Service	Window Rock, Arizona
International Boundary and Water Commission	El Paso, TX
National Park Service	Denver, Colorado Mesa Verde, Colorado Santa Fe, New Mexico Washington, D.C.
Natural Resource Conservation Service	Durango, Colorado
Office of Emergency Management	Golden, Colorado
Office of the Field Solicitor	Salt Lake City, Utah
Office of the Regional Solicitor – Southwest Region	Albuquerque, New Mexico
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Albuquerque, New Mexico Durango, Colorado Sacramento, California Washington, D.C.
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Albuquerque, New Mexico Denver, Colorado Grand Junction, Colorado Lakewood, Colorado Salt Lake City, Utah
U.S. Geological Survey	Albuquerque, New Mexico Yankton, South Dakota
Western Area Power Administration	Salt Lake City, Utah Washington, D.C.
Tribal governments	
Hopi Tribe	Kykotsmovi, Arizona
Jicarilla Apache Nation	Dulce, New Mexico Española, New Mexico

Tribal governments (continued)

Businesses or agencies	City, State
Navajo Nation	
Beclabito Chapter	Shiprock, New Mexico
Crownpoint Chapter	Crownpoint, New Mexico
DSFC OEHE NAIHS	Window Rock, Arizona
Navajo Agricultural Products Industry	Farmington, New Mexico
Navajo Nation Council	Gallup, New Mexico Mentmore, New Mexico Window Rock, Arizona
Navajo Nation Department of Fish and Wildlife	Window Rock, Arizona
Navajo Nation Department of Justice	Window Rock, Arizona
Navajo Nation Department of Water Resources	Fort Defiance, Arizona
Navajo Nation Environmental Protection Agency	Window Rock, Arizona
Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department	Window Rock, Arizona
Nenahnezad Chapter	Fruitland, New Mexico
NNEPA/PWSSP	Window Rock, Arizona
NTUA	Fort Defiance, Arizona
Office of the President of the Navajo Nation	Window Rock, Arizona
San Juan Chapter	Fruitland, New Mexico
San Juan Diné Water Users Association	Shiprock, New Mexico
Shiprock Chapter	Shiprock, New Mexico
Shiprock Planning Commission	Shiprock, New Mexico
Upper Fruitland Chapter	Fruitland, New Mexico
Pueblo Indian Tribes	
Pueblo of Acoma	Acoma, New Mexico
Pueblo of Cochiti	Cochiti Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Isleta	Isleta Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Jemez	Jemez Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Laguna	Laguna Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Nambe	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Pueblo of Picuris	Penasco, New Mexico

Tribal governments (continued)

Businesses or agencies	City, State
Pueblo of Pojoaque	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Pueblo of San Felipe	San Felipe Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of San Ildefonso	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Pueblo of San Juan	San Juan Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Sandia	Bernalillo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Santa Ana	Bernalillo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Santa Clara	Española, New Mexico
Pueblo of Santo Domingo	Santo Domingo Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Taos	Taos, New Mexico
Pueblo of Zia	Zia Pueblo, New Mexico
Pueblo of Zuni	Zuni, New Mexico
San Juan Pueblo	San Juan Pueblo, New Mexico
San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe	Tuba City, Arizona
Southern Ute Indian Tribe	Durango, Colorado Ignacio, Colorado
<i>Ute Mountain Ute Tribe</i>	
Ute Mountain Ute Tribe	Boulder, Colorado Towaoc, Colorado
Weeminche Construction Authority	Towaoc, Colorado
State Government agencies	
Colorado Attorney General	Denver, Colorado
Colorado Department of Agriculture	Lakewood, Colorado
Colorado Department of Employment	Cortez, Colorado
Colorado Department of Health	Denver, Colorado
Colorado Department of Natural Resources	Denver, Colorado
Colorado Division of Water Resources	Durango, Colorado
Colorado Division of Wildlife	Denver, Colorado Durango, Colorado
Colorado Local Affairs Department	Denver, Colorado

State Government agencies (continued)

Businesses or agencies	City, State
Colorado State Parks	Arboles, Colorado Clifton, Colorado Denver, Colorado
Colorado Water Conservation Board	Denver, Colorado
Colorado Water Resources and Power	Denver, Colorado
Navajo Lake State Park	Aztec, New Mexico
New Mexico Department of Game and Fish	Navajo Dam, New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico DOH/McKinley County Health Office	Gallup, New Mexico
New Mexico Energy Minerals & Natural Res. Dept.	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico Environment Department	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico Historic Preservation Division	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico State Parks	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
New Mexico State Senate	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Northwest New Mexico Council of Governments	Gallup, New Mexico
Office of the State Engineer	Aztec, New Mexico Denver, Colorado
State Land Office	Santa Fe, New Mexico
State of Colorado	Denver, Colorado
State of New Mexico	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Upper Colorado River Commission	Salt Lake City, Utah
Utah Department of Natural Resources	Salt Lake City, Utah
Utah Division of Wildlife	Salt Lake City, Utah
City government agencies	
City of Aztec	Aztec, New Mexico
City of Bloomfield	Bloomfield, New Mexico
City of Cortez	Cortez, Colorado
City of Durango	Durango, Colorado
City of Farmington	Farmington, New Mexico
City of Gallup	Gallup, New Mexico

City government agencies (continued)

Businesses or agencies	City, State
City of Gallup/GJU	Gallup, New Mexico
Durango Area Chamber Resort Association	Durango, Colorado
Town of Bayfield	Bayfield, Colorado
Town of Ignacio	Ignacio, Colorado
Town of Kirtland	Kirtland, New Mexico

County government agencies

La Plata County Commissioners	Durango, Colorado
McKinley County	Gallup, New Mexico
Montezuma County Commissioners	Cortez, Colorado
San Juan County	Aztec, New Mexico

Local government agencies

Colorado Water Congress	Denver, Colorado
-------------------------	------------------

Water users

Animas-La Plata Water Conservancy District	Durango, Colorado
Blanco Water Users Association	Blanco, New Mexico
Bloomfield Irrigation District	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Bloomfield Irrigation Ditch Company	Blanco, New Mexico
Colorado River Water Conservation District	Glenwood Springs, Colorado
Dolores Water Conservancy District	Cortez, Colorado
Florida Farmers and Florida Cooperative Ditches	Durango, Colorado
Florida Water Conservancy District	Durango, Colorado
Hammond Conservancy District	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Hammond Water Conservancy District	Bloomfield, New Mexico
La Plata Conservancy District	La Plata, New Mexico
La Plata Water Conservancy District	Hesperus, Colorado
Lower Valley Water Users	Kirtland, New Mexico
Mancos Water Conservancy District	Mancos, Colorado
Navajo Dam Water Users Association	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Pine River Irrigation District	Bayfield, Colorado

Water users (continued)	
Businesses or agencies	City, State
San Juan County Rural Domestic	Flora Vista, New Mexico
San Juan River Dineh Water Users, Inc.	Shiprock, New Mexico
San Juan Water Commission	Farmington, New Mexico
Southwestern Water Conservation District	Durango, Colorado
Turley Ditch Company	Blanco, New Mexico
Turley-Manzanares Ditch	Blanco, New Mexico
Upper La Plata Water Users Association	La Plata, New Mexico
Water Conservancy District	Mancos, Colorado
West Hammond Water Users Association	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Utility companies	
Arizona Public Service	Fruitland, New Mexico
Colorado River Energy Distributors Association	Tempe, Arizona
Farmington Electric Utility System	Farmington, New Mexico
Public Service Company of New Mexico	Waterflow, New Mexico
Mineral companies	
BHP Minerals	Farmington, New Mexico
Bloomfield Refining Company	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Giant Industries, Inc.	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Recreation/Tourism	
Abes Motel & Fly Shop, Inc.	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
American Fisheries Society	Bethesda, Maryland
American Rivers	Washington, D.C.
Animas River Outfitters Assn.	Durango, Colorado
Artemis Wilderness Tours	El Prado, New Mexico
B-Square Ranch	Farmington, New Mexico
Born-n-Raised on the San Juan River, Inc.	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Duranglers	Durango, Colorado Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Four Corners River Sports	Durango, Colorado
Guide Service	Navajo Dam, New Mexico

Recreation/tourism (continued)

Businesses or agencies	City, State
Handy Bait Tackle Shop	Aztec, New Mexico
Mountain Waters Rafting	Durango, Colorado
Navajo Dam Enterprises – Marina	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
New Mexico Great Outdoors	Albuquerque, New Mexico
New Mexico Trout	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Outlaw River & Jeep Tours	Durango, Colorado
Recapture Lodge	Bluff, Utah
Rizutos Fly Shop	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
San Juan Flyfishing Federation	Farmington, New Mexico
San Juan Shrine Club	Farmington, New Mexico
San Juan Troutfitters	Farmington, New Mexico
Sportsman Inn	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Trout Unlimited	Arlington, Virginia
Wild Rivers Expeditions	Bluff, Utah

Environmental groups

Cedar Hill Clean Water Coalition	Aztec, New Mexico
Citizens Progressive Alliance	Littleton, Colorado
Clean Water Action	Denver, Colorado
Colorado River Alliance	Durango, Colorado
Earth Justice Legal Defense Fund	Denver, Colorado Washington, D.C.
Electors Concerned about Animas Water	Farmington, New Mexico
Environmental Defense Fund	New York, New York
Four Corners Action Coalition	Aztec, New Mexico
Glen Canyon Action Network	Moab, Utah
HCCA/Sierra Club	Crested Butte, Colorado
National Water Resources Association	Arlington, Virginia
National Wildlife Federation	Washington, D.C.
San Juan Audubon Society	Durango, Colorado

Environmental groups (continued)

Businesses or agencies	City, State
Sierra Club	Boulder, Colorado San Francisco, California
Southern Ute Grassroots Organization	Ignacio, Colorado
Taxpayers for the Animas River	Durango, Colorado Mancos, Colorado
The Nature Conservancy	Arlington, Virginia
The Wildlife Society	Bethesda, Maryland
Media	
Albuquerque Journal	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Albuquerque Tribune	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Associated Press	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Diné River Times	Bayfield, Colorado
Four Corners Broadcasting	Durango, Colorado
Four Corners Flyer	Farmington, New Mexico
Gallup Independent	Gallup, New Mexico
Grand Junction Sentinel	Grand Junction, Colorado
KDAG – Big Dog	Farmington, New Mexico
KDGO – KISZ Radio	Durango, Colorado
KENN/KRWN Radio	Farmington, New Mexico
KKFG Radio Station	Farmington, New Mexico
KOAT ABC Action 7 News	Albuquerque, New Mexico
KOAT TV	Farmington, New Mexico
KOBF – TV	Farmington, New Mexico
KREZ – TV	Durango, Colorado
KSJE Radio	Farmington, New Mexico
KSUT Radio	Ignacio, Colorado
KTNN Radio	Window Rock, Arizona
KTRA FM	Farmington, New Mexico
KWYK-KNDN Radio Station	Farmington, New Mexico
Navajo Times	Window Rock, Arizona
Pagosa Springs Sun	Pagosa Springs, Colorado

Media (continued)

Businesses or agencies	City, State
The Cortez Journal	Cortez, Colorado
The Daily Times	Farmington, New Mexico
The Durango Herald	Durango, Colorado
The Durango Telegraph	Durango, Colorado
The New Mexican	Santa Fe, New Mexico
The Southern Ute Drum	Ignacio, Colorado
Times Independent	Moab, Utah

Libraries

Albuquerque Public Library	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Alturian Public Library	Aztec, New Mexico
Bloomfield City Library	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Colorado School of Mines Library	Golden, Colorado
Cortez Public Library	Cortez, Colorado
Denver Public Library	Denver, Colorado
Durango High School Library	Durango, Colorado
Durango Public Library	Durango, Colorado
Farmington Public Library	Farmington, New Mexico
Fort Lewis College Anthropology Department	Durango, Colorado
Fort Lewis College Library	Durango, Colorado
New Mexico State Library	Santa Fe, New Mexico
New Mexico State University Library	Las Cruces, New Mexico
San Juan College Library	Farmington, New Mexico
University of Colorado Libraries	Boulder, Colorado
University of Denver Library	Denver, Colorado
University of Northern Colorado	Greeley, Colorado
Zimmerman Library	Albuquerque, New Mexico

Schools and colleges

Crownpoint Institute of Technology	Gallup, New Mexico
Diné College	Shiprock, New Mexico
Fort Lewis College	Durango, Colorado
New Mexico State University	Las Cruces, New Mexico

Schools and colleges (continued)	
Businesses or agencies	City, State
Northern Arizona University	Flagstaff, Arizona
San Juan College	Farmington, New Mexico
Southwest Open High School	Cortez, Colorado
University of New Mexico, Department of Biology	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Museums	
Denver Museum of Nature and Science	Denver, Colorado
Legal	
Greene, Meyer & McElroy PC	Boulder, Colorado
Dan Israel	Boulder, Colorado
Maynes, Bradford, Shipp & Sheftel	Durango, Colorado
Nordhaus Law Firm	Albuquerque, New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico
Consultants	
Archaeological Support Services	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Architectural Research Consultants	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Ayes Associates	Fort Collins, Colorado
Bio/West, Inc.	Logan, Utah
Canyonlands Field Institute	Moab, Utah
Dornbusch & Company, Inc.	Berkeley, California
Ecosystems Research Institute	Logan, Utah
El Paso Field Services	Farmington, New Mexico
EMI, Inc.	Durango, Colorado
Franson Noble & Associates	American Fork, Utah
HabiTech, Inc.	Laramie, Wyoming
Harris Water Engineering, Inc.	Durango, Colorado
Hill & Robbins PC	Denver, Colorado
Hydrosphere Resource Consultants	Boulder, Colorado
Keller-Bliesner Engineering	Logan, Utah
Kogovsck & Associates	Denver, Colorado
Landmark Geographic Services	Durango, Colorado
Mactec – ERS	Grand Junction, Colorado

Consultants (continued)

Businesses or agencies	City, State
Miller Ecological Consultants, Inc.	Fort Collins, Colorado
Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Parson Engineering Science	South Jordan, Utah
Water Consult	Loveland, Colorado
William J. Miller Engineers, Inc.	Santa Fe, New Mexico

Individuals

Name	City, State
Debbie Abbott	Farmington, New Mexico
Robert Ahkeah	Shiprock, New Mexico
Elisa Arviso	Window Rock, Arizona
Lloyd D. Ayliffe	Broomfield, New Mexico
Robert Baker	Hesperus, Colorado
Esmerlindo J. Barela	New Mexico
David Barr	Farmington, New Mexico
Cliff Barrett	Perry, Utah
Kaibah Begay	Shiprock, New Mexico
Steven Begay	Window Rock, Arizona
Ernest Beleen	Fruitland, New Mexico
Mark Belles	Rowlett, Texas
Herb Beyale Jr.	Shiprock, New Mexico
Samuel Billison	Window Rock, Arizona
David Biser	Kirtland, New Mexico
Clayton Bond	Kirtland, New Mexico
Larry Bonney	Shiprock, New Mexico
Nate Bronson	Durango, Colorado
Bob Browning	Farmington, New Mexico
Caroline Burke	Durango, Colorado
Don Carlson	Farmington, New Mexico
Tim Chavez	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Mark Clampett	Aztec, New Mexico

Individuals (continued)

Name	City, State
Ralph E. Clark III	Gunnison, Colorado
Selva Clarke	Farmington, New Mexico
Mark Condiotti	Durango, Colorado
Cathrine Condon	Boulder, Colorado
Margie Connolly	Dolores, Colorado
Kevin R. Cook	Mancos, Colorado
Cy Cooper	Farmington, New Mexico
Gordon Dahl	Englewood, Colorado
Julia Dengel	New York, New York
Dale Diamond	Fallbrook, California
Leslie Dimmick	Farmington, New Mexico
Doug Echols	Farmington, New Mexico
Steve Ellison	Farmington, New Mexico
Annie Englert	Farmington, New Mexico
Ken Fischman	Durango, Colorado
Carroll V. Fisk	Farmington, New Mexico
Pat Flavian	Farmington, New Mexico
Kent Ford	Durango, Colorado
Jenel Franks	Farmington, New Mexico
Nell Franks	Farmington, New Mexico
Maxwell C. Freudenberg	Durango, Colorado
Raymond Fulton	Waterflow, New Mexico
Perry Garnenez	Shiprock, New Mexico
Prestene Garnenez	Fort Defiance, Arizona
Dorinda Gaston	Bloomfield, New Mexico
John Geddie	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Nancy Grief	Durango, Colorado
David Grossman	Denver, Colorado
Jana Gunnell	Gallup, New Mexico
Joseph Guttman	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Individuals (continued)

Name	City, State
George J. Hanosh	Grants, New Mexico
Chris Harbin	Louisville, Kentucky
Daniel B. Harper	Durango, Colorado
S. Harris	Farmington, New Mexico
Dan Heagerty	Portland, Oregon
Joe Hessbrook	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Daniel Hinds	Durango, Colorado
Gary Horner	Farmington, New Mexico
Patrick Huber	Florissant, Colorado
Sandra Hunt	Waterflow, New Mexico
Lula Jackson	Shiprock, New Mexico
Harry James	Kirtland, New Mexico
Frank Jesmer	Ignacio, Colorado
Judy Johnson	Farmington, New Mexico
Martin L. Johnson	Farmington, New Mexico
Rick Johnson	Flagstaff, Arizona
Howard Jones	Farmington, New Mexico
Jaclyn Joslin	Durango, Colorado
Lolly Jully	Shiprock, New Mexico
Elizabeth Kaime	Farmington, New Mexico
Neal Kelemen	Denver, Colorado
Tom Kilmartin	Lombard, Illinois
Steve Komadina	Corrales, New Mexico
Steve Krest	Marvel, Colorado
Tony Lee	Fruitland, New Mexico
Dale E. Lehman	Durango, Colorado
Tim Longway	Durango, New Mexico
James Maes	Navajo Dam, New Mexico
Anita Mayes	Shiprock, New Mexico
Don Miller	Farmington, New Mexico

Individuals (continued)

Name	City, State
Dan Neifert	Farmington, New Mexico
Ron Nott	Farmington, New Mexico
Michael H. Paine	Farmington, New Mexico
Kathleene Parker	White Rock, New Mexico
Lee Norberto	Naqeeeri, New Mexico
Evert Oldham	Flora Vista, New Mexico
Adelaide Paiz	Dulce, New Mexico
Janet Parkes	Farmington, New Mexico
Ronald Pettigrew	Durango, Colorado
Charles C. Phelan	Farmington, New Mexico
Melia Pope	Brighton, Colorado
Lori Potter	Denver, Colorado
Tracy Raymond	Shiprock, New Mexico
Janet Reed	Bloomfield, New Mexico
Ellen Roberts	Durango, Colorado
Norris Rose	Bayfield, Colorado
Tom Ross	Ignacio, Colorado
Katherine Roxlau	Albuquerque, New Mexico
Ken Rustad	Farmington, New Mexico
Dennis Rychlick	Farmington, New Mexico
John Salazar	Grand Junction, Colorado
Jim Sammie	Crownpoint, New Mexico
Bill & Janice Schnorr	Farmington, New Mexico
Christopher Scott	Denver, Colorado
Chris Seldin	Denver, Colorado
Tania Soussan	Albuquerque, New Mexico
James Spence	Farmington, New Mexico
Paul Stavely	Farmington, New Mexico
Travis Stills	Durango, Colorado
Denis Stratford	Durango, Colorado

Individuals (continued)

Name	City, State
Michael D. Sullivan	Farmington, New Mexico
Jerry A. Swingle	Durango, Colorado
Charlie Tapia	Santa Fe, New Mexico
Darcy Temple	Fort Collins, Colorado
Jack Thiel	Colorado Springs, Colorado
Mark Thoren	Wellington, Colorado
Dani Traweek	Cortez, Colorado
Bill Utton	Aztec, New Mexico
Orion J. Utton	Aztec, New Mexico
Greg Vlaming	Durango, Colorado
Louise Voelker	Durango, Colorado
Connie Weinpahl	Pagosa Springs, Colorado
Renee Wilhelm	Ignacio, Colorado
Sandy Williams	Flora Vista, New Mexico
Verna F. Wilson	Farmington, New Mexico
Don Wimsatt	Farmington, New Mexico
Jamie Wright	Thornton, Colorado