Acronyms and Abbreviations Used in this Document

ac	acre
AF	acre-feet
AFY	acre-feet per year
asl	above sea level
BA	Biological Assessment
BBER	Bureau of Business and Economic Research
bgs	below ground surface
BMP	Best Management Practice
CAFB	Cannon Air Force Base
Census	U.S. Census Bureau
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
cfs	cubic feet per second
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Compact	Canadian River Compact
CRMWA	Canadian River Municipal Water Authority
DOC	dissolved organic carbon
EA	Environmental Assessment
ENMRWA	Eastern New Mexico Rural Water Authority
ENMRWS	Eastern New Mexico Rural Water System; also see "Project"
ENMU	Eastern New Mexico University
ERO	ERO Resources Corporation
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ft	foot or feet
gpcd	gallons per capita per day
gpm	gallons per minute
ITA	Indian Trust Assets
M&I	municipal and industrial
mg/L	milligrams per liter
mgd	million gallons per day
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHNMP	Natural Heritage New Mexico Program
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NMAW	New Mexico American Water
NMDGF	New Mexico Department of Game and Fish
NMED	New Mexico Environment Department
NMISC	New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission
NMOSE	New Mexico Office of the State Engineer
Participating Communities	City of Clovis, Town of Elida, Village of Grady, Village of Melrose, City of Portales, City of Texico, Cannon Air Force Base, Curry and Roosevelt counties,
NRCS	Natural Resource Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
Ogallala	High Plains/Ogallala aquifer
PA	Programmatic Agreement
Project	Eastern New Mexico Rural Water System Project
Project Area	Quay, Curry, and Roosevelt counties
Reclamation	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
Service	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

DRAFT

shiner	Arkansas River shiner
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
State	State of New Mexico
state line	New Mexico/Texas state line
TDS	total dissolved solids
µS/cm	microsiemens per centimeter
ULSP	Ute Lake State Park
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UWC	Ute Water Commission
WTP	water treatment plant

DRAFT

Contents

Chapter 1. Purpose and Need 1
1.1 Introduction 1
1.2 Background 2
1.2.1 Ute Reservoir Construction and Expansion 2
1.2.2 Ute Reservoir Operation and the Compact 4
1.2.3 Ute Reservoir Water Contract 4
1.2.4 1962 Memorandum of Agreement 5
1.2.5 Arkansas River Shiner Management Plan 5
1.3 Purpose and Need 5
1.3.1 High Plains/Ogallala Aquifer Uses 6
1.3.2 Existing Water Supply 6
1.3.3 Existing Water Demand 10
1.3.4 Projected Water Demand 10
1.4 Issues Summary 12
1.4.1 Surface Water Elevation in Ute Reservoir 12
1.4.2 Surface Water Flow in the Canadian River 13
1.4.3 Ground Water Hydrology 13
1.4.4 Water Demands and Water Conservation 13
1.4.5 Water Quality 13
1.4.6 Wildlife and Threatened and Endangered Species 13
1.4.7 Socioeconomic Conditions 14
1.4.8 Recreation 14
1.4.9 Cultural Resources 14
1.5 Federal Permits, Licenses, and Approvals 14
1.6 Document Organization 17

Chapter 2. Alternatives 17
2.1 No Action Alternative 17
2.1.1 Current M&I Water Supply 18
2.1.2 No Action Alternative 18
2.2 Proposed Action 20
2.2.1 Raw Water Intake, Conveyance, and Storage 25
2.2.2 Water Treatment 26
2.2.3 Finished Water Conveyance and Storage 27
2.2.4 Pumping and Operation 27
2.3 Alternatives Considered, but Eliminated from Detailed Analysis 28

Chapter 3. Affected Environment 31
3.1 Hydrology 31
3.1.1 Surface Water 32
3.1.2 Ground Water 38
3.1.3 Water Quality 40
3.1.4 Fluvial Geomorphology 46
3.2 Recreation and Socioeconomics 47
3.2.1 Recreation 48
3.2.2 Socioeconomics 49

DRAFT

3.3 Threatened and Endangered Species 53
3.3.1 Interior Least Tern 54
3.3.2 Lesser Prairie-Chicken 55
3.3.3 Arkansas River Shiner 55
3.4 Wetlands and Vegetation 56
3.4.1 Wetlands 56
3.4.2 Vegetation 56
3.5 Wildlife Resources 59
3.6 Geology, Climate, Soils, and Air Quality 61
3.6.1 Geology 61
3.6.2 Climate 63
3.6.3 Soils and Farmland 63
3.6.4 Air Quality 63
3.7 Cultural Resources 64
3.8 Indian Trust Assets 64
3.9 Environmental Justice 65

Chapter 4. Effects Analysis 65
4.1 Hydrology 65
4.1.1 Surface Water 66
4.1.2 Ground Water 73
4.1.3 Water Quality 75
4.1.4 Fluvial Geomorphology 78
4.2 Recreation 79
4.2.1 Curry and Roosevelt Counties 79
4.2.2 Quay County 80
4.3 Socioeconomics 81
4.3.1 Curry and Roosevelt Counties 82
4.3.2 Quay County 83
4.4 Threatened and Endangered Species 85
4.4.1 Interior Least Tern 85
4.4.2 Lesser Prairie-Chicken 86
4.4.3 Arkansas River Shiner 86
4.5 Vegetation and Wetlands 87
4.6 Wildlife Resources 88
4.7 Geology, Climate, Soils, and Air Quality 89
4.8 Cultural Resources 90
4.9 Indian Trust Assets 90
4.10 Environmental Justice 91
4.11 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources 91

Chapter 5. Cumulative Impacts 91
5.1 Reasonably Foreseeable Actions 92
5.1.1 Ute Lake Ranch and Quay County Entity Water Use 92
5.1.2 Climate Change 97

Chapter 6. Consultation and Coordination 98
6.1 Scoping/Public Involvement 98

DRAFT

6.2 Agencies, Organizations, and Individuals Consulted 98

Chapter 7. List of Preparers 99

Chapter 8. References 100

Figures

Figure 1. Project Vicinity. 3
Figure 2. Northeast New Mexico Historic Ground Water Diversions. 6
Figure 3. Declining Water Levels in the Ogallala/High Plains Aquifer within the Project Area. 8
Figure 4. 2060 Water Demand Forecasts for Participating Communities. 9
Figure 5. Proposed Action Alternative Conceptual Diagram. 21
Figure 6. Proposed Action Location. 22
Figure 7. Location of Proposed Ute Reservoir Intake Pump Station. 23
Figure 8. Location of Caprock Pump Station and Water Treatment Plant. 24
Figure 9. Typical Permanent and Temporary Construction Easements. 25
Figure 10. Maximum Monthly Diversions under the Proposed Action. 27
Figure 11. Ute Reservoir Area-Capacity Curve (2002). 33
Figure 12. Historical Ute Reservoir Storage. 34
Figure 13. Ute Reservoir Historic Footprints for 1987 to 2007 and Minimum Fisheries Pool. 35
Figure 14. Canadian River at Logan Gage – Historical Daily Streamflow. 36
Figure 15. Canadian River at Logan Gage – Historical Streamflow Exceedance Curves. 37
Figure 16. Ute Reservoir – Dissolved Oxygen and Depth Plot. 42
Figure 17. Trophic State Continuum. 42
Figure 18. Canadian River at Logan Gage – Specific Conductance and Streamflow (1992–2008). 44
Figure 19. Simulated Ute Reservoir Elevation (Stage) Time Series. 68
Figure 20. Simulated Ute Reservoir Footprints. 69
Figure 21. Average Annual Releases for Average, Wet, and Dry Years. 71
Figure 22. Declines in Saturated Thickness for the No Action Alternative. 72
Figure 24. Cumulative Effects to Ute Reservoir Storage. 94
Figure 25. Simulated Ute Reservoir Releases/Spills. 95

Tables

Table 1. Average Water Use, 2004–2006. 10
Table 2. Participating Communities Water Use, Future Demand, and Water Reservation. 12
Table 3. Summary of Federal Permits or Approvals. 15
Table 4. Water Treatment Plan Process, Benefits, and Goals. 26
Table 5. Participating Communities Water Use, Future Demand, and Water Reservation. 28
Table 6. Summary of Impacts of the Alternatives. 29

DRAFT

Table 7. Estimated Annual Economic Effects of Ute Reservoir Visitors on Quay County. 49
Table 8. State, County, and Municipality Populations. 50
Table 9. Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages – Fourth Quarter 2007. 51
Table 10. Federally Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Terrestrial Species in Quay, Curry, and Roosevelt Counties Potentially Occurring in the Project Area. 54
Table 11. Vegetative Communities Observed in the Project Area. 57
Table 12. Plant Species of Concern with Potential to Occur in the Project Area. 58
Table 13. State Endangered and Threatened Species, and Species of Special Concern Potentially Occurring in the Project Area. 60
Table 14. Simulated Effects on Ute Reservoir for 1966–2007 Historical Inflows. 67
Table 15. Simulated Ute Reservoir Releases/Spills. 70
Table 16. Canadian River Streamflow Effects Associated with Releases/Spills over 41-Year Simulation Period. 73
Table 17. Simulated Results for Declines in Ogallala Aquifer Saturated Thickness. 75
Table 18. Canadian River at Logan Gage – Estimated Salt Loading. 78
Table 19. Projected Annual ULSP Visitation (+/- 50%). 81
Table 20. Habitat Components and Potential Effects to the Arkansas River Shiner. 86
Table 21. Permanent and Temporary Direct Impacts to Vegetation Communities. 88
Table 22. Simulated Results and Cumulative Effects for Ute Reservoir Conditions. 93
Table 23. Projected Annual Ute Reservoir Visitation under the Cumulative Effects Scenarios Compared to the No Action Alternative (+/- 50%). 95
Table 24. Pueblo and Tribal Governments Consulted. 98
Table 25. Organizations, Agencies, and Groups Consulted. 99
Table 26. Preparers of this Environmental Assessment. 99

Appendices

Appendix A. Canadian River Compact and Amended Decree; Project Authorization
Appendix B. List of Technical Reports

DRAFT