

S&T Proposals: Relevancy Review Form

Proposal Number: _____

Submitted by: _____ Phone: _____ E-mail: _____

Reviewed by: _____ Phone: _____ E-mail: _____

(Reviewer's scores will be anonymously provided to Principal Investigators upon request. Reviewer may contact Principal Investigators to discuss proposal.)

Relevancy Reviewers S&T Program Proposal Crediting Plan Emphasizing Reclamation <u>Mission Relevancy</u> For Water Manager/Stakeholder/End-User Review	Score
<i>REVIEWER: Assign one overall score for each objective based on how well the proposal meets all the elements of each objective</i>	
OBJECTIVE 1 - POTENTIAL FOR HIGH IMPACT, HIGH USE, AND FIELD ACCEPTANCE OF RESEARCH Especially see proposal sections I.F, I.G, I.H, V, VI	1 to 20
A. Research outputs have strong potential to be applied and reduce operation costs, increase efficiencies, save money, save water, prevent water conflicts, or expand water supplies. Benefits would exceed the cost of the research, and the cost to implement and maintain the research output application.	
B. Is Reclamation in the best position to both conduct and implement the research?	
<i>Are the research outputs within Reclamation's authority and responsibility to implement. If not, does the proposal demonstrate an appropriate partnership with the responsible or lead implementing agencies when the research outputs can improve or affect Reclamation's ability to deliver water and power.</i>	

<p>C. Proposed R&D³ outputs can be broadly applied across Reclamation</p>	
<p><i>Consider how many of Reclamation's 5 regions could benefit from the research outputs</i></p>	
<p>D. Likelihood of widespread application/use of research results</p>	
<p><i>Consider both your judgment and the significance that the individuals listed in section VI of the proposal can have on steering research outputs toward useable, practical outputs that are supported by water managers, water users, other end-users. Factors to include in your judgment are: Will the research outputs likely provide practical, affordable solutions that will have positive impact on western water and power issues?</i></p>	
<p>Reviewer: Please state the primary reason for the score you assigned to objective 1:</p>	
<p align="center">OBJECTIVE 2 - FACILITATING THE USE OF NEW SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (INCREASING TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.....PUTTING NEW KNOWLEDGE TO WORK FASTER) (see especially sections I.H, II, and III in proposal)</p>	1 to 10
<p>A. Effectiveness of approach for facilitating the movement of new knowledge and capability toward deployment and application by Reclamation resource/facility managers and other relevant end-user communities.</p>	
<p><i>Consider whether there exists a clear plan for meaningful S&T bulletin development. S&T bulletins will be developed and posted on the S&T website by researchers as relevant findings occur and when the research project outputs are complete. Guidance and a template for S&T Bulletins are under development and will be posted on our website by May. Consider the effectiveness of additional methods described in the proposal and the amount of energy and resources dedicated to this aspect of R&D³. Methods may be outreach workshops or other technical transfer events with water users, water managers, or other end-users; video training modules, web postings, guidelines and manuals and methods, training courses, publish capability and findings in end-user focused journals, etc.</i></p>	
<p>B. Sharing new knowledge between technical peers</p>	
<p><i>Consider the planned technical report(s), paper(s) in technical journals, presentation(s) at technical conferences, other methods listed in the proposal to share research results with technical peers.</i></p>	
<p>Reviewer: Please state the primary reason for the score you assigned to objective 2:</p>	

<p align="center">OBJECTIVE 3 - INTEGRATES DISCIPLINES AND PEOPLE TO PRODUCE FEASIBLE AND IMPLEMENTABLE SOLUTIONS (Especially see proposal sections II, III, V, and VIII)</p>	<p align="center">1 to 5</p>
<p>Proposal involves and unifies multiple disciplines or offices/regions and pursues knowledge and solutions that address interfacing or connected problems and issues.</p>	
<p><i>Consider the level of involvement indicated across the TSC and involvement of region and area office expertise . Does proposal demonstrate an integration of knowledge appropriate to address the primary interfacing or connected problems to ensure research outputs can reach deployment status without delay.</i></p>	
<p>Reviewer: Please state the primary reason for the score you assigned to objective 3:</p>	
<p align="center">OBJECTIVE 4 - BUILDS CORPORATE CAPABILITY & EXPERTISE THRU PARTNERSHIP & COLLABORATION (especially see proposal sections V and VIII)</p>	<p align="center">1 to 5</p>
<p>Collaboration with outside expertise to help broaden and diversify Reclamation knowledge base and resources familiar with ReclamMarch 21, 2003ation challenges.</p>	
<p><i>Consider the individuals indicated in proposal sections V and/or VIII that can bring quality outside expertise involvement and consultation to Reclamation</i></p>	
<p>Reviewer: Please state the primary reason for the score you assigned to objective 4:</p>	
<p>TOTAL MISSION RELEVANCY SCORE FOR PROPOSAL (total possible score = 40 points)</p>	

Reviewer Comments
What do you like most about this proposal?
What concerns you most about this proposal ?
What would make this proposal better?
Recommendation on proposal disposition:

H:\Home\CASSO Share\D8011\workinprogress\research\internet\plan\rndoutput\RelevancyCreditingPlan.wpd