

From: Richard Albrecht <richalb@u.washington.edu>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:45 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Albrecht
1614 39th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-3102

From: Susan Alter <susan.l.alter@boeing.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:46 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Alter
204 Nth 195th St.
Shoreline, WA 98133-3404

From: Greg Arnold <gregarnold@harbornet.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:45 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greg Arnold
8828 S D St
Tacoma, WA 98444-6459

From: Wendy Barner <wendybarner@yahoo.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 6:17 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy Barner
102 N Lilley St
Moscow, ID 83843-2016

From: Seana Blake <funkiemunkee@gmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 12:46 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Seana Blake
114 W 10th Ave
Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

From: David Bowman <davesofyore@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 6:17 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Bowman
90662 Lewis Rd
Warrenton, OR 97146-7227

From: Elisabeth Brackney <elisabethb@nezperce.org>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 2:46 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elisabeth Brackney
838 S Lynn St
Moscow, ID 83843-3519

From: James Bradford <jbbrad@cableone.net>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:45 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Bradford
388 Shiloh Dr
Lewiston, ID 83501-9623

From: Jack Brown <jackdbrownjr@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 6:47 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Brown
334 3rd Ave N
Payette, ID 83661-2308

From: "John A. Burgess, Jr." <chewieburgess@netscape.net>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:46 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. John A. Burgess, Jr.
4250 Scenic Dr
Eugene, OR 97404-1266

From: Robert and Elizabeth Burns <randeburns@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 2:16 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robert and Elizabeth Burns
2219 34th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-4926

From: Sarah Campbell <scampbell1@wsu.edu>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:46 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Campbell
810 S Mill St
Colfax, WA 99111-1742

From: Sara Cendejas-Zarelli <saraandphil@gmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 7:47 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sara Cendejas-Zarelli
2124 Young St Apt 2
Bellingham, WA 98225-3670

From: Demelza Costa <hotep@centurytel.net>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 12:16 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Demelza Costa
28626 Ridgeway Rd
Sweet Home, OR 97386-9523

From: Russell Daggatt <russ@daggatt.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:15 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Russell Daggatt
933 N Northlake Way
Seattle, WA 98103-8874

From: "Shelley Dahlgren, PhD" <shelley@dahlgren.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:46 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Shelley Dahlgren, PhD
4449 242nd Ave SE
Issaquah, WA 98029-7520

From: Tony DeFalco <tonydefalco@yahoo.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:15 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tony DeFalco
4347 NE Sumner St
Portland, OR 97218-1543

From: Red Diamond <mrreddiamond@yahoo.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 7:52 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Red Diamond
PO Box 11535
Olympia, WA 98508-1535

From: Richard Fernald <fernald45@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:15 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Fernald
PO Box 1320
Bend, OR 97709-1320

From: R Garfield <sunga@centurytel.net>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 12:16 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. R Garfield
48430 Santiam Hwy
Cascadia, OR 97329-9701

From: Ray Hutchinson <rayhutchinson@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 6:47 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Hutchinson
3428 25th Ave W Apt 301
Seattle, WA 98199-2228

From: "Robert B. Kaplan" <rkaplan@olympen.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 8:18 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert B. Kaplan
PO Box 577
Port Angeles, WA 98362-0105

From: Wayne Kelly <waynekins@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 7:17 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wayne Kelly
1257 Siskiyou Blvd # 1133
Ashland, OR 97520-2241

From: Ronald Marquart <mnimages@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 4:16 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Marquart
3300 E Red Stone Dr
Boise, ID 83712-8582

From: Stephen Matera <stephen@materaphoto.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:15 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Matera
943 NW 63rd St
Seattle, WA 98107-2215

From: Steven Park <park@bendres.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:45 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Park
1821 NW Iowa Ave
Bend, OR 97701-1009

From: Teresa Pedersen <beaunose@aol.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 7:23 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Teresa Pedersen
1725 E Lewis Ln
Nampa, ID 83686-8960

From: River Eyes No last name <rivereyes@cableone.net>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 4:46 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. River Eyes No last name
1918 N 7th St
Boise, ID 83702-2805

From: Rich Sheibley <sheibley@gmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:45 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Dr. Rich Sheibley
506 E Howell st
E109
Seattle, WA 98122

Mail Envelope Properties (47C5AF9E.792 : 4 : 30610)

Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal
Creation Date Wed, Feb 27, 2008 10:44 AM
From: Rich Sheibley <sheibley@gmail.com>

Created By: sheibley@gmail.com

Recipients

pn.usbr.gov
1yak1100.ibr1dm20
StorageStudy

Post Office
1yak1100.ibr1dm20

Route
pn.usbr.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1884	Wednesday, February 27, 2008 10:44 AM
Mime.822	3150	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Sender: sheibley@gmail.com
Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was classified as Junk Mail
Sender e-mail address is not in recipient's personal address book

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk List is enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is enabled
Block List is enabled

From: Rich Simms <rks57@yahoo.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 12:46 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rich Simms
2157 Clover Ct
Mukilteo, WA 98275-2420

From: Richard Smith <rasmithwa@igc.org>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 1:46 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Smith
4800 Fremont Ave N Apt 202
Seattle, WA 98103-6565

From: Seth Snapp <seth@anotherworldpossible.net>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 12:16 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Seth Snapp
2214 H St
Bellingham, WA 98225-3316

From: "Venus St.Paul-Endicott" <venuss@nezperce.org>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 1:46 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Venus St.Paul-Endicott
2329 12th Ave
Lewiston, ID 83501-3590

Comment XX-01

From: Charlotte Stahl <grandmacharlottes@yahoo.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 7:17 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlotte Stahl
1167 NW Wallula Ave
Gresham, OR 97030-3666

From: Walter Sykes <wally_sykes2000@yahoo.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:46 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Walter Sykes
PO Box 733
Joseph, OR 97846-0733

From: Ricky Taylor <taylorrl@mindspring.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 8:47 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ricky Taylor
4221 114th St SE
Everett, WA 98208-7761

From: Patricia Sunny Walter <sunny@sunnywalter.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 11:15 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency,

more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof. One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Sunny Walter
12525 206th Pl SE
Issaquah, WA 98027-8543

From: Richard Ward <milducks@earthlink.net>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 4:16 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Ward
2815 SE Madison St
Milwaukie, OR 97222-6629

From: Stephen White <stevewhite70@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2008 5:47 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen White
2011 Irving Ave
Astoria, OR 97103-3435

From: Rosemary Busterna <rgbusterna@msn.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Thu, Feb 28, 2008 12:19 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosemary Busterna
815 NE 97th St
Seattle, WA 98115-2109

From: Raymond Gill <don.gill41@gmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Thu, Feb 28, 2008 10:49 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raymond Gill
200 217th Pl SW
Bothell, WA 98021-8227

From: Stacy Jensen <stacy@nrsweb.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Thu, Feb 28, 2008 8:54 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Stacy Jensen
700 N Lincoln St
Moscow, ID 83843-9213

From: Sandra Lord <cheezzyballz420@yahoo.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Thu, Feb 28, 2008 8:49 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Miss Sandra Lord
3531 S M St
Tacoma, WA 98418-2606

From: Robert Masonis <rmasonis@msn.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Thu, Feb 28, 2008 12:19 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Masonis
8543 19th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-3519

From: Thomas Reese <celticrees@yahoo.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Thu, Feb 28, 2008 12:51 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Reese
2900 N Government Way
PMB 121
Coeur D Alene, ID 83815-3751

From: Robin Supplee <robinmik@u.washington.edu>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Thu, Feb 28, 2008 12:50 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Feb 28, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Supplee
10140 NE Roberts Rd
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3383

From: Brian Bouvia <bbouvia@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Sun, Mar 2, 2008 9:49 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 2, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency,

more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Bouvia
318 28th Ave SE
Puyallup, WA 98374-1237

From: Eldon Ball <eldonball@juno.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Mon, Mar 3, 2008 8:43 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 3, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

We need a national population policy! Then we wouldn't run out of resources!

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eldon Ball
3022 NE 140th St Apt 121
Seattle, WA 98125-3588

From: Jennifer Pickering <jennifer.pickering@cingular.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Mon, Mar 3, 2008 12:42 PM
Subject: No on Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 3, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Pickering
16921 NE 166th St
Woodinville, WA 98072-8900

From: Jayne Reed <kkjreed@mindspring.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Mon, Mar 3, 2008 10:14 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 4, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jayne Reed
450 S Fork Rd
Garden Valley, ID 83622-1028

From: Alexa Brown <elixer07@msn.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Mar 5, 2008 12:48 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 5, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alexa Brown
1652 25th Pl NE Unit 103
Issaquah, WA 98029-2607

From: Michael O'Brien <alpinepainting@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Sat, Mar 8, 2008 9:04 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 8, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael O'Brien
18214 W Spring Lake Dr SE
Renton, WA 98058-0604

From: Julie O'Donnell <julieo@efn.org>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Sun, Mar 9, 2008 9:08 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 9, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie O'Donnell
10046 13th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98177-5214

From: David Grant <d2avid@charter.net>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Sun, Mar 16, 2008 12:06 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 16, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Dr. David Grant
129 Oxford Pl
Medford, OR 97504-9333

From: Harrison Grathwohl <hgrathwohl6448@msn.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Thu, Mar 20, 2008 2:53 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 20, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

THIS IS BOILER PLATE, BUT IT EXPRESSES MY SENTIMENTS VERY WELL.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin
Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and
Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).
The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that
the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to
build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives
fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable
alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency,
more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these
non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the
study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new
dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state
alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less
will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management
policies in the Yakima River basin.
One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam
should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion
proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient
tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On
top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive
groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the
Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for
downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned
now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars
studying this risky and expensive proposal.
Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Dr. Harrison Grathwohl
5507 258th Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98053-2515

From: Josh Norris <mr_garbonzo@yahoo.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Mon, Mar 24, 2008 4:04 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 24, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Josh Norris
834 NW 11th St
Corvallis, OR 97330-6000

From: Barb Kruse <krusenketchum@netscape.net>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Mon, Mar 24, 2008 10:35 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 25, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study). The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin. One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal. Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barb Kruse
PO Box 2011
Ketchum, ID 83340-2011

From: Ray Gardner <rgardner@utc.wa.gov>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Mon, Mar 3, 2008 8:21 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 3, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Gardner
110 Stauffer Rd
Raymond, WA 98577-9310

From: Ryan Kennedy <mrryanpkennedy@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Mon, Mar 3, 2008 1:12 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 3, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ryan Kennedy
7624 SE Southgate St
Portland, OR 97222-1279

From: SIMON POLLACK <simonbusiness@earthlink.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Wed, Mar 5, 2008 11:48 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 5, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Mr. SIMON POLLACK
4685 Taylor Ave NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3185

From: Susan Kuhn <susak32799@aol.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Thu, Mar 27, 2008 9:27 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 27, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Kuhn
9807 NE Skidmore St
Portland, OR 97220-3565

From: Susan Rosenthal <susan@trillium-hollow.org>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Thu, Mar 20, 2008 8:50 AM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 20, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Rosenthal
9601 NW Leahy Rd Apt 204
Portland, OR 97229-6384

From: Theresa Kunch <tkunch@hotmail.com>
To: <storagestudy@pn.usbr.gov>
Date: Sun, Mar 9, 2008 5:09 PM
Subject: Please Abandon the Black Rock Dam Proposal

Mar 9, 2008

Gerald and Derek Kelso and Mr. Sandison

Dear Kelso and Mr. Sandison,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Yakima River Basin Water Storage Feasibility Study/Draft Planning Report and Environmental Impact Statement (draft study).

The joint federal-state portion of the study improperly assumes that the only way to meet future water needs for people and fish is to build a new surface storage dam. The joint federal-state alternatives fail to consider more environmentally and economically viable

alternatives to new dams, including water conservation and efficiency, more robust water markets, aquifer recharge, or a combination thereof.

The State of Washington, on the other hand, does take a look at these non-structural water management alternatives. The final draft of the study should provide a full analysis of these alternatives to new dams, and they should be considered as joint federal-state alternatives rather than as state alternatives only. Anything less will delay and confuse implementation of smarter water management policies in the Yakima River basin.

One thing is clear from the draft study: the proposed Black Rock dam should be removed from further consideration. The \$6.7 billion proposed dam would drain resources from more sensible and efficient tools to improve water management and fish and wildlife habitat. On top of that, the leaky reservoir would likely cause radioactive groundwater underneath the Hanford nuclear reservation to reach the Columbia River, contaminating the river and the water supply for downstream communities. The Black Rock proposal should be abandoned now. There is no need to spend any additional taxpayer dollars studying this risky and expensive proposal.

Again, thank you for this opportunity to comment on the draft study.

Sincerely,

Ms. Theresa Kunch
1873 NW Sunny Ln
Albany, OR 97321-1148