

1 JUST HAVE TO REPLACE THE COMPONENTS.

2 THE AUDIENCE: HOW MUCH IS ALL THIS GOING TO
3 COST, AND WHO'S PAYING FOR IT?

4 MR. HALL: IT'S -- WE'RE ESTIMATING AT THIS
5 POINT IN TIME IT'S ABOUT 31 MILLION DOLLARS, AND IT'S
6 BEING PAID FOR BY BOTH FEDERAL APPROPRIATED AND STATE
7 APPROPRIATED FUNDS.

8 THE AUDIENCE: IS PLACER COUNTY WATER
9 DEPARTMENT PAYING FOR ANY OF IT?

10 MR. HALL: THE PORTION OF THE STUDIES. BACK
11 THERE.

12 THE AUDIENCE: ON COST, COULD YOU GUYS PROVIDE
13 A ROUGH BREAKOUT OF THE COSTS ON THE PROPOSED
14 ALTERNATIVE, ON THE DIFFERENT PHASES, PERHAPS?

15 MR. HALL: I -- DO WE HAVE THAT SOMEWHERE
16 HANDY?

17 MR. DOLL: I CAN JUST TELL YOU.

18 THE AUDIENCE: I'D LIKE TO SEE IT LATER.

19 MR. DOLL: THE PUMP STATION -- I GUESS WE
20 DON'T HAVE A FIGURE -- COULD WE PUT THIS UP? THE PUMP
21 STATION ITSELF IS IN THE RANGE OF 14 MILLION DOLLARS,
22 AND THE DIVERSION AND THE WORK ON THE RIVER IS IN THE
23 RANGE OF 13 MILLION. AND THERE'S ROUGHLY THREE MILLION
24 IN PLANNING AND DESIGNS. THE ENTIRE PROJECT -- THAT
25 PROJECT DOESN'T HAVE QUITE THE 31 MILLION, BUT THAT'S

1 SORT OF HOW IT BREAKS OUT.
2 THE AUDIENCE: YOU'VE GOT SOME EXCAVATION?
3 MR. DOLL: THAT'S IN THE 13 MILLION.
4 MR. HALL: RIGHT HERE.
5 THE AUDIENCE: I WAS A LITTLE CONFUSED ABOUT
6 WHERE THE PARKING WOULD BE RELATIVE TO THE INTAKE. YOU
7 HAD ONE PARKING FACILITY?
8 MR. HALL: THE PARKING AREAS ARE BOTH
9 DOWNSTREAM OF THE INTAKE.
10 THE AUDIENCE: HOW FAR AWAY?
11 MR. HALL: THE UPPER PARKING LOT IS PROBABLY A
12 QUARTER TO A HALF A MILE.
13 MR. DOLL: THERE'S THE INTAKE. THERE'S THE
14 LOWER PUMP. AND THERE'S THE UPPER PARKING LOT.
15 MR. HALL: THE OTHER WAY AROUND.
16 MR. DOLL: THIS IS UP. IS THAT WHAT I SAID?
17 IT'S UP THE HILL.
18 MR. HALL: THE AREA BETWEEN THE EXIT OF THE
19 TUNNEL AND OREGON BAR HAS SOME FAIRLY GOOD RAPIDS, AND
20 WE FELT IT WAS APPROPRIATE TO HAVE A PLACE WHERE PEOPLE
21 WHO ARE LESS -- WANT LESS CHALLENGE TO BE ABLE TO GET
22 OUT AND NOT HAVE TO GO DOWN OREGON BAR, AND THAT WAS THE
23 REASON FOR THAT UPPER LOT.
24 YES? OVER HERE --
25 THE AUDIENCE: WELL, WHAT KIND OF

1 CONSIDERATION DO WE HAVE FOR BRIDGING THE TWO
2 RIVERBANKS, SUCH AS A BRIDGE GOING ACROSS SO WE DO NOT
3 HAVE TO CLOSE THE COOL TRAIL? WHAT KIND OF TALKS HAVE
4 BEEN IN THAT AREA?

5 MR. HALL: THAT IS NOT INCLUDED IN OUR
6 PROPOSAL RIGHT NOW. BASED ON SOME COMMENTS WE'VE
7 ALREADY GOTTEN, AND FURTHER COMMENTS I EXPECT TO HEAR
8 TONIGHT, WE'LL BE RECONSIDERING THE POSSIBILITIES OF
9 BEING ABLE TO KEEP THAT TRAIL OPEN, BUT I'M NOT SURE
10 JUST HOW WE'RE GOING TO COME OUT. THAT'S THE RECORD OF
11 DECISION, AND IT WILL BE ADDRESSED IN THE FINAL EIS/EIR.

12 THE AUDIENCE: I DIDN'T GET ANY SENSE OF THE
13 PERMANENCE OR IMPERMANENCE OF THE TUNNEL CLOSURE.

14 MR. HALL: THE TUNNEL CLOSURE IS BEING
15 DESIGNED SUCH THAT IT WILL BE FAIRLY EASILY REOPENED
16 SHOULD CONSTRUCTION OF AUBURN DAM BE REAUTHORIZED.

17 THE AUDIENCE: THANK YOU.

18 MR. HALL: IT'S NOT -- AS YOU CAN SEE, WE PUT
19 SHEET PILE IN THERE AND ROCK IN FRONT OF IT, AND THAT
20 CAN BE EASILY REMOVED IF NECESSARY AT A LATER DATE.

21 OVER HERE.

22 THE AUDIENCE: I MIGHT HAVE MISSED IT. DID
23 SOMEBODY TALK ABOUT THAT THE OPERATION OF OXBOW AND
24 RALSTON FOREBAY?

25 MR. HALL: THAT'S EVALUATED IN THE EIS.

1 THE AUDIENCE: I CAN'T TELL IF IT'S FOR THE
2 HUNDRED SECOND OR EXPANDABLE DIVERSION WHEN THEY TALKED
3 ABOUT THE EIGHT DAYS IN JULY OF REDUCED PERIOD OF PEAK
4 FLOWS FOR BOATING?

5 MR. HALL: I'M NOT SURE WHO TO ASK ON THAT.
6 EINAR?

7 MR. MAISCH: I THINK FOR THE CFS DIVERSION, I
8 THINK.

9 THE AUDIENCE: I BELIEVE THE ESTIMATE WAS
10 EIGHT HOURS PER MONTH, NOT EIGHT DAYS.

11 MR. HALL: BASICALLY WHAT THAT AMOUNTS TO IS
12 IN THE SUMMERTIME, PLACER COUNTY WATER AGENCY IS GOING
13 TO HAVE TO MAKE RELEASES AT OXBOW TO DIVERT THEM AT
14 AUBURN, AND THAT'S GOING TO MAKE A SLIGHT MODIFICATION
15 IN THE PATTERN IN WHICH THEY RELEASE WATER FROM WHAT IT
16 IS TODAY.

17 THE AUDIENCE: THERE WON'T BE ANY CHANGE TO
18 THE -- I GUESS THAT WOULD BE THE SOUTH FACE OF THE
19 DAM -- THE DAM PROJECT? IN OTHER WORDS, IT'S STILL
20 GOING TO BE THERE AND UGLY?

21 MR. HALL: OTHER THAN THE FACT THAT WE'RE
22 GOING TO BE FILLING IN THE DEEP HOLE IN THE KEYWAY, YES,
23 YOU'LL STILL BE ABLE TO SEE THE EXCAVATIONS THAT WERE
24 DONE AS PART OF THE KEYWAY.

25 OKAY. LET'S MOVE RIGHT ON INTO OUR COMMENT

1 PERIOD -- ONE MORE QUESTION?

2 THE AUDIENCE: I'M NOT EDUCATED ABOUT THIS
3 THING AT ALL, EXCEPT THAT I WANT TO SEE THE RIVER
4 BACK -- SOMEBODY BROUGHT UP A REALLY GOOD QUESTION --
5 WHAT IN THE WORLD, WOULD WE CLOSE THIS IN FACT IF
6 DOOLITTLE OR WHATEVER COMES OUT 5, 6, 10 YEARS LATER,
7 OPENS IT BACK UP AGAIN, AND PUTS A DAM THERE? I MEAN,
8 I'M VERY ECONOMICALLY MINDED, AND I CAN'T IMAGINE
9 SPENDING 31 MILLION DOLLARS AND THEN WIPING IT OUT.

10 MR. HALL: THE REASON WE'RE MOVING FORWARD AT
11 THIS TIME WITH THIS PROJECT IS THAT PLACER COUNTY WATER
12 AGENCY CANNOT GET ITS WATER -- SUFFICIENT WATER SUPPLY
13 FOR THE COUNTY, AND THEY BASICALLY ARE RUNNING OUT OF
14 WATER IN 2004. WE NEED TO HAVE A PROJECT HERE IF PLACER
15 IS GOING TO CONTINUE TO MEET ITS REQUIREMENTS UNDER ITS
16 CHARTER TO DELIVER WATER.

17 THE AUDIENCE: SO, WHAT'S GOING TO SAY --

18 MR. HALL: THE OTHER ISSUE IS THE BUREAU IS
19 VERY, VERY CONCERNED ABOUT SAFETY ISSUE OF THE TUNNEL
20 BEING OPENED. IT'S AN ACCIDENT WAITING TO HAPPEN. AND
21 FROM OUR STANDPOINT, WE'VE WAITED LONG ENOUGH, SO
22 IT'S --

23 THE AUDIENCE: CAN THAT BE OVERRIDDEN SOMEHOW
24 IF SOME BIG CONGRESSMAN OR SENATOR WERE SAYING --

25 MR. HALL: IT WOULD TAKE -- IT WOULD LITERALLY

1 TAKE AN ACT OF CONGRESS TO REAUTHORIZE AUBURN DAM.
2 WE -- WE DON'T SEE IT HAPPENING ANY TIME SOON, AND,
3 THEREFORE, WE'RE GOING FORWARD WITH THIS PROJECT AT THIS
4 TIME.

5 YES.

6 THE AUDIENCE: HAS PLACER COUNTY INSTITUTED
7 ANY CONSERVATION MEASURES TO MINIMIZE THEIR DEMAND?

8 MR. HALL: WANT TO ANSWER THAT, EINAR?

9 MR. MAISCH: MY NAME IS EINAR MAISCH. I WORK
10 HERE TOO.

11 PLACER COUNTY WATER AGENCY, I THINK, AS
12 EVERYBODY WHO LIVES AROUND HERE KNOWS, IS GROWING VERY
13 RAPIDLY. WE HAVE COMMITTED IN THIS DOCUMENT TO
14 IMPLEMENT ALL OF THE TERMS OF THE WATER FORM AGREEMENT,
15 WHICH INCLUDE ALL THE BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN TERMS
16 OF CONSERVATION.

17 WE ARE ALREADY 100 PERCENT METERED, WHICH IS,
18 BELIEVE IT OR NOT, RATHER RARE WITHIN THE SACRAMENTO
19 AREA. CITY OF SACRAMENTO IS NOT METERED AT ALL. A LOT
20 OF PLACES AREN'T METERED. WE'VE IMPLEMENTED A LOT OF
21 CONSERVATION MEASURES, AND WE'LL IMPLEMENT ALL THE REST
22 OF THE MEASURES FOR BEST PRACTICE. AND THAT BARELY
23 SLOWS DOWN THE DEMAND A LITTLE BIT BECAUSE IT'S GROWING
24 EXTREMELY RAPIDLY.

25 THE AUDIENCE: THAT'S NOT A TRUE STATEMENT.

1 THERE'S A LOT OF PEOPLE IN ROSEVILLE THAT AREN'T ON
2 METERS YET.

3 MR. MAISCH: PLACER COUNTY WATER AGENCY
4 DOESN'T SERVE ROSEVILLE, BUT YOU'RE RIGHT.

5 MR. HALL: WE'LL TAKE ONE MORE QUESTION, AND
6 THEN WE'VE GOT TO MOVE INTO THE COMMENT PERIOD.

7 YES, SIR.

8 THE AUDIENCE: IF ROSEVILLE ISN'T BEING SERVED
9 BY PCWA, WHAT IS THE SERVICE AREA?

10 MR. MAISCH: WE HAVE WHAT'S CALLED A ZONE 1
11 AND ALSO HAVE 2, 3, AND 4. ZONE 1 BASICALLY GOES DOWN
12 AUBURN, ALONG THE I-80 CORRIDOR TO ROCKLIN AND OUT TO
13 LINCOLN. SO, LOOMIS, PENRYN, NEWCASTLE. THE BIGGEST
14 DEMAND CENTERS ARE IN ROCKLIN AND LINCOLN. THERE'S --
15 THERE'S A MAP ON THE WALL THAT SHOWS YOU.

16 THE AUDIENCE: ISN'T PLACER COUNTY GOING TO
17 GET 3500 -- 35,000 ACRE FEET OUT OF THE SACRAMENTO
18 RIVER? IS THIS WATER IN ADDITION TO THAT USAGE?

19 MR. MAISCH: YES.

20 THE AUDIENCE: SO, WE NEED ALL THIS WATER?

21 MR. MAISCH: WE'VE JUST RECENTLY GONE TO A
22 BUILD-OUT DEMAND ANALYSIS. FIRST OF ALL, PLACER COUNTY
23 WATER AGENCY IS NOT IN THE LAND USE BUSINESS. THAT'S MY
24 DISCLAIMER. THE LAND USE AUTHORITIES ARE THE CITY OF
25 AUBURN, THE TOWN OF LOOMIS, THE CITY OF ROCKLIN, THE

1 CITY OF LINCOLN, AND PLACER COUNTY.

2 AND THEIR EXISTING GENERAL PLANS ALLOW A
3 CERTAIN LEVEL OF DEVELOPMENT WITHOUT ANY CHANGES TO
4 THOSE GENERAL PLANS. AND THE BUILD-OUT OF THOSE GENERAL
5 PLANS WE ANTICIPATE TO TAKE UNTIL ABOUT 2030. AND THAT
6 WILL REQUIRE AN ADDITIONAL 70,000 ACRE FEET OF WATER IN
7 ORDER TO SERVE.

8 WE'RE PLANNING ON TAKING 30,000 ACRE FEET, AND
9 ANOTHER 35,000 ACRE FEET WE'VE COMMITTED IN WATER FORM.
10 WE'VE ATTEMPTED TO GET THAT OUT OF THE SACRAMENTO RIVER
11 INSTEAD OF HERE, WHERE WE HAVE WATER RIGHTS, BECAUSE
12 THAT WOULD IMPACT DOWNSTREAM ON THE AMERICAN RIVER. IT
13 HAS SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.

14 WE'RE ENGAGED WITH MOVING FORWARD WITH THAT
15 NEXT PHASE OF OUR WATER SUPPLY PROJECT, AND WE HOPE
16 WITHIN 10 YEARS TO BE READY TO MOVE FORWARD WITH
17 CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW DIVERSION FACILITY ON THE
18 SACRAMENTO FACILITY.

19 MR. HALL: I'M SORRY. AT THIS POINT I THINK
20 I'M GOING TO NEED TO CUT THEM OFF BECAUSE WE HAVE A LOT
21 OF PEOPLE THAT WANT TO PROVIDE COMMENTS, AND WE WANT TO
22 MAKE SURE THAT EVERYBODY HAS TIME TO MAKE THEIR
23 COMMENTS. AND JUST ASSURE YOU THAT WE'LL BE HERE AFTER
24 THIS SESSION TO ANSWER ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS THAT YOU DO
25 HAVE.