Salton Sea Alternatives

Preappraisal Report

DRAFT

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation
Lower Colorado Region
Boulder City, Nevada

September 18, 1998

Salton Sea Alternatives

Preappraisal Report

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation
Lower Colorado Region
Boulder City, Nevada

September 18, 1998

MISSION STATEMENTS

The mission of the Department of the Interior is to protect and provide access to our Nation's natural and cultural heritage and honor our trust responsibilities to tribes.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

Contents

Page

Summary

Chapter 1 Purpose and Need 1
Purpose and Scope of Study 1
Description of the Area 1
History 2
Salinity Concentration Problems 3
Water Level Problems 4
Authority 5
Participants 6
Relationship to Other Projects 7

Chapter 2 Alternative Development Process 9
Public Involvement 10
Development Process 10
Target Salinity: 40 ppt 11
Target Water Surface Elevation: - 232 feet m.s.l. 11
Proven Technology 12
Salinity Model 13
Evaporation Rates 13
Precipitation Rate 14
Drainage to the Sea 14
Salinity and Sea Elevation Goals 15
Model Behavior 15
Cross-Reference Table to 1997 Report 16

Chapter 3 Costs of the Alternatives 19

Chapter 4 Diked Impoundment Alternatives 25
Description of the Concept 25
Background 26
Diked Impoundment Alternatives 27
Static Dike Design 31
Seismic Dike Design 34
Earthfill Material Sources 38
Dike Construction Schedule 38

Chapter 5 Pump-Out / Pump-In Alternatives 39
Salinity and Water Surface Level Interaction 39
Design Considerations 40
Pump-Out / Pump-In Water Conveyance Functions 41
Water Import Assumptions 41
Power Recovery Potential 42

Contents (cont.)

Page

Chapter 5 Pump-Out / Pump-In Alternatives (continued)
Design Considerations (continued)
Saline Water Concerns 43
Pipelines Only Design 43
Type of Pipe 44
Discarded Components 44
Evaporation Lakes and Ponds 44
Groundwater for Salton Sea Restoration 45
Pipeline Routes 48
Pump-In Sources 49
Pump-Out Locations 51
Evaporation Ponds at Palen Dry Lake 52
Complete Designs 52
Similar Designs 56

Chapter 6 Water Treatment Alternatives 57
Reverse Osmosis Desalting Plant With Pump-Out / Pump-In 57
Analyses 58
Pilot Plant 59
Salinity and Elevation of the Sea 60
Conclusion 61
Solar Salt Gradient Pond / MED Desalting Plant
With Pump-In / Pump-Out 61
Proposal Description 61
Analyses 63
Pilot Plant 64
Salinity and Elevation of the Sea 65
Conclusion 65

Chapter 7 New Combination Alternatives 67
Solar Pond / Shipping Channel / Canals / Desalting Facility 67
Gulf of California Pump-In / Pump-Out / Diking / Treating
Inflows 68
Phased Approach—Phase One: Salt Stabilized,
Phase Two: Pump-In Later 68

Chapter 8 Alternatives Considered for Elimination 71
Original 54 Alternatives 71
New Alternatives 87

Chapter 9 Analysis of Effectiveness 95
Pump-Out / Pump-In Alternatives—Salinity Model Results 95
Diked Impoundment Alternatives—Salinity Model Results 98

Bibliography 103

Tables

Page

1 List of alternatives and cross-reference location 17
2 Preappraisal costs for the Salton Sea restoration 20
3 Basins capable of supplying 30,000 acre-feet annually for 20 years 46
4 Pipeline length and maximum elevation achieved, with discharge and pipe size 53
5 Pump-out / pump-in alternatives simulation results 97
6 Diked impoundment alternatives simulation results 99

Figures

Page

1 Historical salinity and elevation through time 5
2 Pipeline field costs as a function of discharge flowing in one direction 21
3 Construction field costs are displayed on the horizontal axis and the annual costs of operation, maintenance, repair, and energy on the vertical axis. 22
4 The same field costs and operation, maintenance, replacement, and energy costs as in figure 3 are displayed on the horizontal and vertical axis, but only for the lower cost alternatives—a small portion of those in figure 3. 23
5 The construction field cost decreases as the target salinity increases 24
6 Location of 30-, 40-, and 50-square-mile impoundments 28
7 Location of 47- and 127-square-mile and phased impoundments 29
8 Cross sections show different foundation elevations in Sea bottom 33
9 Salton Sea area with recorded seismic events . . . . . follows 34
10 Map of earthquakes in southern California with a magnitude 4.5 and greater . . . . . follows 34
11 Cross section showing earthquake design 36
12 Sketch shows plan earthquake design 37
13 Pipeline routes to and from Salton Sea . . . . . follows 48
14 Sea salinity at various pump-out rates in various years in the future 55
15 Reverse osmosis desalting plant with pump-in / pump-out 57

Figures (cont.)

Page

16 Salinity model results of reverse osmosis desalting plant with 170,000-acre-foot pump-out and 60,000-acre-foot pump-in at 0.45 ppt with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt 60

17 Solar salt gradient pond / MED desalting plant with pump-in/pump-out 62

18 Salinity model results of solar salt gradient pond / MED desalting plant with 58,600-acre-foot desalted replacement water at 20 ppm with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt 65

Alternatives mentioned in figure 19 and later are the alternative numbers shown on table 2; all graphs follow page 101

19 Baseline conditions, no pump-out or pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

20 Alternative 1 water exchange from Camp Pendleton 700,00-acre-foot pump-out with 600,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

21 Alternative 4 water exchange from Point Loma at 1.75 ppt—250,000-acre-foot pump-out with 153,000-acre-foot replacement with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

22 Alternative 5 water exchange from Hyperion at 0.925 ppt—250,000-acre-foot pump-out with 153,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

23 Alternative 6 water exchange from Yuma at 4 ppt—250,000-acre-foot pump-out with 153,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

24 Alternative 11 water exchange at Camp Pendleton at 35 ppt—400,000-acre-foot pump-out with 303,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

25 Alternative 14 water exchange at Point Loma at 1.75 ppt—170,000-acre-foot pump-out with 73,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

26 Alternative 15 water exchange at Hyperion at 0.925 ppt—170,000-acre-foot pump-out with 73,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

Figures (cont.)

Page

27 Alternative 16 water exchange at Yuma at 4 ppt—170,000-acre-foot pump-out with 73,000-acre-foot pump-in with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

28 Alternative 21 water exchange with pump-out only—100,000-acre-foot pump-out with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

29 Conservation baseline 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 3.5 ppt

30 Alternative 23 water exchange with conservation—205,000-acre-foot pump-out with 405,000-acre-foot pump-in at 4 ppt with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 3.5 ppt

31 Variable impoundment at 7.83-percent surface area or 30 square miles with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

32 48-square-mile impoundment; pump-back activated to maintain Sea at 35 ppt with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

33 142-square-mile impoundment; pump-back activated to maintain Sea at 35 ppt with 1.346-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 2.8 ppt

34 Variable impoundment at 7.83-percent surface area or 30 square miles with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 3.5 ppt

35 48-square-mile impoundment with water conservation with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 3.5 ppt

36 142-square-mile impoundment; pump-back to maintain Sea at 35 ppt with 1.0-million-acre-foot drainage inflow at 3.5 ppt