

Format 3

Bureau: BOR				Year PARTed: 2002, RePART 2003		
Program: Hydropower				Rating: Effective		
		<u>MITS Action Plan / Milestone</u>		<u>Recommendation</u>		
<u>Recommendation</u>	<u>RND Critical</u>	<u>Developed</u>	<u>OMB concurs with plan</u>	<u>Bureau considers implemented</u>	<u>OMB considers completed</u>	<u>Comment</u>
1. DOI should establish dam safety and maintenance of aging facilities as funding priorities, above non-core activities	N/A	N/A	Yes	N/A	Yes	Addressed through the Dam Safety PART. See comments in that section of this document.
2. Interior will develop long-term strategic plans including long-term performance measures	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Completed 6/20/2003. New long-term performance measures are reported in MITS.
3. Give funding priority to dam safety and maintenance of aging facilities, above non-core activities	N/A	N/A See #1 above; duplicate.	Yes	N/A See #1 above; duplicate.	Yes	Addressed through the Dam Safety PART. See comments in that section of this document.
4. Continue to develop methods of capturing the value of ancillary services that provide flexibility and stability to the power grid.	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partially completed on 12/31/2004. Goal has been implemented to track power availability and data has been collected to estimate performance and set future targets. As of meeting on 6/5/06 to discuss progress, OMB now concurs that this item has been completed.
5. Investigate whether it is cost-effective for an aggressive capital improvement plan to increase reliability of power facilities. (NEW FOR 2006)	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	Will report on the status of this item to Reclamation leadership. Action item is for production of the report.

Bureau: BOR				Year PARTed: 2002		
Program: Rural Water Supply Projects				Rating: Results not Demonstrated		
		<u>MITS Action Plan / Milestone</u>		<u>Recommendation</u>		
<u>Recommendation</u>	<u>RND Critical</u>	<u>Developed</u>	<u>OMB concurs with plan</u>	<u>Bureau considers implemented</u>	<u>OMB considers completed</u>	<u>Comment</u>
1. Submit legislation this spring establishing a Reclamation rural water program with adequate controls and guidelines, instead of an amalgamation of individually authorized and developed projects that are based on different standards and rules.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes; pending continued progress in shaping legislation through consultation with Congress.	Completed. Legislation was originally submitted as part of FY 2005 budget. However, Congress did not act on it prior to adjournment of the 108 th Congress. Passage of this legislation remains a Reclamation priority. In the 109 th Congress, the Administration is working with House and Senate Congressional authorizing Committee on S, 895 which was passed by the Senate in November 2005. S. 895, which is similar to the Administration's 108 th Congress submittal, is awaiting consideration in the House.
2. Scale back funding for Reclamation's rural water projects unless and until	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Completed; funding reduced for the rural water budget 2/3/03.

Format 3

systematic program weaknesses are addressed, such as non-existent guidelines for eligibility, local cost share, and program planning.						
3. Work with Congress to ensure that Administration priorities for this program are addressed in authorizing legislation that the Senate recently passed, and which has been introduced in the House. (NEW FOR 2006; ACTION TAKEN BUT NOT COMPLETED AS PER OMB 2/2006.)	???	Yes	Yes	No	No	Met with OMB on 6/5/06 to discuss the status of this action plan. Although draft legislation has been submitted for authorization of a formal rural water program, it has not approved by Congress OMB and the Department are exploring options to address performance metrics associated with the construction and O&M of existing rural water projects, given the continuing uncertainties of when authorizing legislation for a formal Reclamation rural water program will be enacted.
4. Focus budgetary resources on completing ongoing rural water projects. (NEW FOR 2006)	No	No	N/A	No	No	BOR met with OMB on 6/5/06 to discuss the recommendation and get advice from OMB about the value of developing milestones at this time. The OMB examiner indicated that until legislation was enacted and a program put in place, there would be no change in the RND status, as the program could not be rePARTed until that time.

Bureau: BOR			Year PARTed: 2002			
Program: Title XVI Water Reuse/Recycling			Rating: Moderately Effective			
		MITS Action Plan / Milestone		Recommendation		
Recommendation	RND Critical	Developed	OMB concurs with plan	Bureau considers implemented	OMB considers completed	Comment
1. The Administration will consider reclamation's water research functions in the context of any re-alignment of federal water research priorities, and based on that analysis either expand or transfer those functions to another agency	No	Yes	Yes	Action Taken, but not completed	Action Taken, but not completed	This recommendation is complete as of 10/1/2003. One of the four focus areas of the Secretary's Water 2025 Initiative is research into advance water treatment technologies that can reduce the cost of implementing on a more wide-scale basis the desalination of brackish groundwater and seawater to increase water supplies. Reclamation's ongoing research efforts in this area have been expanded to accommodate this Water 2025 objective. The WaterReuse Foundation, a nonprofit research and educational institution is actively pursuing wastewater reuse research with Federal funds provided by Reclamation as a result of direct write-ins by Congress. Reclamation concluded that the Title XVI funds requested for the Reclamation-wide program would be better spent on research into technologies associated with other impaired waters besides wastewater; i.e. brackish groundwater and seawater. Given that Reclamation's Science and Technology Program and the Desalination and Water Purification

Format 3

						Research and Development Program already have in place mechanisms for requesting and evaluating proposals and making awards, it was determined that Reclamation did not need to create a new and separate program for the Title XVI research funds. It has been determined that Reclamation is best suited to conduct desalination research and will continue in this capacity for the foreseeable future. Wastewater reuse research, however, will only play a very limited role.
2. Because this program serves a function that is a local responsibility, the 2004 Budget scales back funding.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	This recommendation is complete. The funding amounts requested for the FY 2004 – FY 2006 President's Budgets for Title XVI projects are reduced from prior years.
3. Establish clear objectives for how water reuse and recycling will contribute to the Water 2025 program goal of preventing water-related conflict in the west by diversifying water supplies. (NEW FOR 2006)	No			Action Taken, but not completed	Action Taken, but not completed	Reclamation is drafting legislation to amend Title XVI to incorporate the ideas and programmatic changes that were proposed by Reclamation in testimony presented at the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Subcommittee on Water and Power's February 28, 2006, Oversight Hearing on Title XVI.

Bureau: BOR				Year PARTed: 2003		
Program: Science & Technology				Rating: Effective		
		<u>MITS Action Plan / Milestone</u>		<u>Recommendation</u>		
<u>Recommendation</u>	<u>RND Critical</u>	<u>Developed</u>	<u>OMB concurs with plan</u>	<u>Bureau considers implemented</u>	<u>OMB considers completed</u>	<u>Comment</u>
1. Work with the Western Area Power Administration and the Bonneville Power Administration to develop and submit any necessary legislation and enter into a MOU with Reclamation to help fund, on a direct financing basis, hydropower research that directly benefits power customers.	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes/Ongoing	Recommendation was completed 1/30/04. This milestone is complete and satisfies the recommendation to submit proposed authorizing language. Reclamation's FY2005 proposed appropriation language contained provisions to authorize the direct financing of Reclamation's hydropower R&D by the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) and the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA). The proposed language was not enacted by Congress. OMB re-proposed appropriations language in the FY2006 request to reimburse Reclamation's Science & Technology program for research that directly benefits power customers. Once proposed language becomes law, a Memorandum of Understanding will be developed and implemented through a coordination committee and structured within a collaborative R&D framework.
2. Submit legislation establishing overarching authority to enter into cooperative agreements for Research	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Completed 1/30/04: Section 206 of Reclamation's FY2005 appropriations bill contained a provision that authorizes Reclamation to enter into grants or

Format 3

and Development. Such Authority would facilitate easier, stronger collaborative efforts with researchers at other institutions, and further increase competition for research funding.						cooperative agreements with universities and nonprofit research institutions to fund water efficiency research during FY 2005.
3. Establish baselines for those performance measures which do not already have them, and collect performance data for those that already have baselines. (NEW FOR 2006)	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Program is in the process of developing action.
4. Examine whether or to what extent the Federal government should carry out water and hydropower-related research. (NEW FOR 2006)	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Met on 6/5/06 for clarification of this milestone; i.e., is it to be an OMB led initiative or one for which Reclamation is responsible. OMB indicated it did not mean Reclamation should lead the effort. OMB will need to look at this action item internally to determine how to proceed.
Bureau: BOR				Year PARTed: 2004		
Program: Water Management/ Water Supply -- Project Planning & Construction (Supply)				Rating: Results Not Demonstrated		
		<u>MITS Action Plan / Milestone</u>		<u>Recommendation</u>		
<u>Recommendation</u>	<u>RND Critical</u>	<u>Developed</u>	<u>OMB concurs with plan</u>	<u>Bureau considers implemented</u>	<u>OMB considers completed</u>	<u>Comment</u>
1. Develop performance measures that will clearly link individual projects to specific outcomes, which in turn link to the agency's broader goals. These performance measures will also link project performance to funding levels.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	To some extent	Acre-feet of new storage and cfs-miles definitions included in FY 2006 budget justifications. Targets for out years and historic performance are under development and will be presented to DOI and OMB in October 2006.
2. Institute a data base to help it track the project planning process	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	To some extent	Completed 3/1/2005. Followup Required by OMB: The process for updating and submitting Reclamation's Twelve Month Calendar of Reports to OMB needs to be formalized.
3. Address findings of a recent National Research Council study indicating the program needs stronger oversight of project planning and development. (NEW FOR 2006) RePART for FY 2008 Budget Justifications RePART of Water Resources/Supply – Planning and Construction	No	Yes		No		Action plan will be discussed with DOI and OMB in October 2006.

Bureau: BOR	Year PARTed: 2004
--------------------	--------------------------

Format 3

Program: Recreation and Concessions				Rating: Adequate		
		<u>MITS Action Plan / Milestone</u>		<u>Recommendation</u>		
<u>Recommendation</u>	<u>RND Critical</u>	<u>Developed</u>	<u>OMB concurs with plan</u>	<u>Bureau considers implemented</u>	<u>OMB considers completed</u>	<u>Comment</u>
1. Aggressively develop a plan to use the newly-authorized recreation user fee authority	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ongoing; not yet complete	Recreation site inventory completed; analysis is underway.
2. Develop a proposal for legislation to give BOR broader authority to meet recreation needs when it cannot find project partners	N/A	No	Yes	No	No	Until a decision is made on whether Reclamation will participate in FLREA, we cannot determine what any such draft legislation will look like. If the Commissioner and Assistant Secretary decide that Reclamation should expand the scope of its mission by asking for more authority to do recreation, it could be introduced early into the next Congress.
3. Integrate management of Reclamation's recreation facilities with the Department of the Interior's broader efforts on recreation. (NEW FOR 2006)						Meeting being scheduled with OMB to obtain concurrence with plan.
4. Look for Federal, state, and local partners interested in managing Reclamation's recreation facilities. (NEW FOR 2006)						Meeting being scheduled with OMB to obtain concurrence with plan.
5. Develop baseline performance data for the program's measures. (NEW FOR 2006)						Meeting being scheduled with OMB to obtain concurrence with plan.

Bureau: BOR				Year PARTed: 2005		
Program: Safety of Dams				Rating: Effective		
		<u>MITS Action Plan / Milestone</u>		<u>Recommendation</u>		
<u>Recommendation</u>	<u>RND Critical</u>	<u>Developed</u>	<u>OMB concurs with plan</u>	<u>Bureau considers implemented</u>	<u>OMB considers completed</u>	<u>Comment</u>
1. Fully implement Reclamation's Safety of Dams Act, as amended, to further enhance Project Beneficiary involvement by: 1) providing project beneficiaries written notice; and 2) considering cost containment measures recommended by beneficiaries. (NEW FOR 2006)	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Meeting held with OMB on 6/5/06 to obtain concurrence with action plan.
2. Integrate more detailed program performance information into Reclamation's budget request for the dam safety program. (NEW FOR 2006)	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	Meeting held with OMB on 6/5/06 to obtain concurrence with action plan.
3. Track and accomplish performance targets. (NEW FOR 2006)	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	Meeting held with OMB on 6/5/06 to obtain concurrence with action plan.
4. Evaluate the recommendations of the National Research Council's recent	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	Meeting held with OMB on 6/5/06 to obtain concurrence with action plan.

Format 3

report as they relate to the Safety of Dams Program, especially as those recommendations relate to the Technical Service Center. (NEW FOR 2006)						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Bureau: BOR				Year PARTed: 2005		
Program: Site Security				Rating: Moderately Effective		
		<u>MITS Action Plan / Milestone</u>		<u>Recommendation</u>		
Recommendation	RND Critical	Developed	OMB concurs with plan	Bureau considers implemented	OMB considers completed	Comment
1. Improve the linkage between program performance and program budget requests. (NEW FOR 2006)	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Meeting held with OMB on 6/5/06 to obtain concurrence with action plan.
2. Examine the internal management of the program to improve Bureau and Departmental oversight and communication. (NEW FOR 2006)	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	Meeting held with OMB on 6/5/06 to obtain concurrence with action plan.
3. Collect performance information and refine timelines and cost estimates for reducing risk at critical and project essential facilities. (NEW FOR 2006)	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No	Meeting held with OMB on 6/5/06 to obtain concurrence with action plan.

Bureau: BOR				Year PARTed: 2005		
Program: Water Management – Operations and Maintenance				Rating: Adequate		
		<u>MITS Action Plan / Milestone</u>		<u>Recommendation</u>		
Recommendation	RND Critical	Developed	OMB concurs with plan	Bureau considers implemented	OMB considers completed	Comment
1. Develop a comprehensive, long-term strategy to operate, maintain, and rehabilitate Reclamation facilities. (NEW FOR 2006)	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Meeting held with OMB on 9/27/06 to obtain concurrence with action plan.
2. Evaluate the results of National Academy of Sciences Review of Reclamation's processes ("Organizing to Manage Construction and Infrastructure in the 21 st Century Bureau of Reclamation"), and address any recommendations, to the extent such recommendations are applicable and appropriate. (NEW FOR 2006)	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Meeting held with OMB on 9/27/06 to obtain concurrence with action plan.
3. Consider potential steps to clarify any	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Reclamation will add an additional milestone to

Format 3

ambiguities in the Reclamation Reform Act. (NEW FOR 2006)						ensure that recommendations are discussed with OMB after the internal review.
4. Develop a plan to build the capacity of Reclamation customers to take title to Reclamation facilities or accept transferred works (which are owned by Reclamation but operated by the project sponsor.) (NEW FOR 2006)	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Meeting held with OMB on 9/27/06 to obtain concurrence with action plan.
5. Work with appropriate principals to amend the Warren Act to facilitate water transfers, which will enable Reclamation to help non-Federal entities to transfer and store water. (NEW FOR 2006)	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Reclamation will meet with OMB to discuss issues and barriers to the proposed draft amendment of the Warren Act in October 2006.

*Concurrence with OMB obtained on 6/5/06.