

# RECLAMATION

*Managing Water in the West*

## Windy Gap Firming Project

### Final Environmental Impact Statement

**Volume 1**  
**FES 11-29**



**U.S. Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Reclamation  
Great Plains Region  
Eastern Colorado Area Office  
Loveland, Colorado**

*Cooperating Agencies:*

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- U.S. Department of Energy,  
Western Area Power Administration DOE/EIS-0370
- Grand County

**November 2011**

# **Windy Gap Firming Project Final Environmental Impact Statement**

**Eastern Area Office  
Loveland, Colorado**

**Filing Number: FES 11-29**

**November 2011**



**U.S. Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Reclamation  
Great Plains Region**

## **Mission Statements**

The mission of the Department of the Interior is to protect and provide access to our Nation's natural and cultural heritage and honor our trust responsibilities to Indian Tribes and our commitments to island communities.

The mission of the Bureau of Reclamation is to manage, develop, and protect water and related resources in an environmentally and economically sound manner in the interest of the American public.

# **Windy Gap Firming Project**

## **Final Environmental Impact Statement**

Prepared by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Great Plains Region, Eastern Colorado Area Office, Loveland, Colorado

### **Cooperating Agencies:**

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- U.S. Department of Energy, Western Area Power Administration
- Grand County, Colorado

**Abstract:** This Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) describes and analyzes the potential effects of the proposed Windy Gap Firming Project (WGFP) and four alternatives to the proposed project including the No Action alternative. The WGFP includes construction of new water storage reservoirs that would allow more reliable water deliveries to Colorado's Front Range and West Slope communities and industry from the existing Windy Gap Project. Current Windy Gap facilities are unable to deliver the firm yield of water that was originally anticipated due to the limitations and constraints of the existing system. The desired condition is to add water storage and related facilities to existing Windy Gap operations capable of delivering a firm annual yield of about 30,000 acre-feet (AF) to project participants.

The proposed project is a collaborative effort among 14 water providers and users (participants) facilitated by the Municipal Subdistrict, Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District. The improved yield from the proposed project would provide project participants with additional water supplies to meet a portion of their existing and future water demands.

The FEIS evaluates five alternatives: 1) No Action; 2) Proposed Action – Chimney Hollow Reservoir (90,000 AF); 3) Chimney Hollow Reservoir (70,000 AF) and Jasper East Reservoir (20,000 AF); 4) Chimney Hollow Reservoir (70,000 AF) and Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir (20,000 AF); 5) Dry Creek Reservoir (60,000 AF) and Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir (30,000 AF). Alternative 2, construction of Chimney Hollow Reservoir with prepositioning, along with associated operational changes developed as part of mitigation, is the Bureau of Reclamation's preferred alternative.

A Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) was issued on August 29, 2008. This FEIS reflects public comments on the DEIS and has been prepared in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act.

### **For Further Information Contact:**

Ms. Kara Lamb  
Bureau of Reclamation, Eastern Colorado Area Office  
11056 W. County Road 18E, Loveland, CO 80537-9711  
Telephone: (970) 962-4326  
Facsimile: (970) 663-3212  
e-mail: [klamb@usbr.gov](mailto:klamb@usbr.gov)

Filing Number: FES 11-29  
Filing Date: November 2011

## Acronyms and Abbreviations

ac	acute
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
AF	acre-feet
AIRFA	American Indian Religious Freedom Act
APCD	Air Pollution Control Division
APE	Area of potential effect
APFR	Alternative Plan Formulation Report
ARNA	Arapaho National Recreation Area
BATHTUB	Water quality model
BESTSM	Boyle Engineering Stream Simulation Model
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMP	Best management practices
BTWF	Big Thompson Watershed Forum
°C	Degrees centigrade
CAA	Clean Air Act
C-BT	Colorado-Big Thompson Project
CDOT	Colorado Department of Transportation
CDPW	Colorado Division of Parks and Wildlife
CDPHE	Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
CDSS	Colorado Decision Support System Model
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic feet per second
ch	chronic
Chla	chlorophyll <i>a</i>
cm	centimeter
CNHP	Colorado Natural Heritage Program
CBP	Colorado butterfly plant
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
CR	County Road
CRWCD	Colorado River Water Conservation District
CWCB	Colorado Water Conservation Board
CWCWD	Central Weld County Water District
dB	decibels
dis	dissolved
DL	Detection limit
DM	Daily maximum
DO	Dissolved oxygen
DOE	Determination of eligibility
D&RG	Denver and Rio Grande
EC	Existing conditions
ECAO	Eastern Colorado Area Office (Reclamation)
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
elsp	early life stage present
EO	Executive Order
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ft	feet
FWMP	Fish and Wildlife Mitigation Plan
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
GCM	Global Circulation Model
GCWIN	Grand County Water Information Network
GW	gigawatts

GWH	gigawatt-hours
gpcd	gallons per capita per day
gpd	gallons per day
HAER	Historic American Engineering Record
HOD	hypolimnetic oxygen demand
HSS	Hot Sulphur Springs
kg	kilograms
L	liter
LAP	Loveland Area Projects
LTWD	Little Thompson Water District
m	meter
M&E	Monitoring and Evaluation
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
Moffat Project	Moffat Collection System Project
mg	milligram
mg/L	milligrams per liter
Mn	manganese
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MOD	metalimnetic oxygen demand
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MPWCD	Middle Park Water Conservancy District
mw	Megawatts
MWAT	Maximum weekly average temperature
N	Nitrogen
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAGPRA	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NCWCD	Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
Non-Participants	Windy Gap unitholders not participating in the Firming Project
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NWCCOG	Northwest Colorado Council of Governments
NWI	National Wetland Inventory
OAHP	Office of Archeology and Historic Preservation
O&M	Operation and maintenance
P	Phosphorus
PA	Programmatic Agreement
PACSM	Platte and Colorado Simulations Model
Participants	Windy Gap Firming Project Participants
PBO	Programmatic Biological Opinion
PHABSIM	Physical Habitat Simulation
Platte River	Platte River Power Authority
POR	Period of record
Reclamation	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
RFO	Return flow obligation
RIPRAP	Recovery Implementation Program Recovery Action Plan
RMP	Resource Management Plan
ROW	Right-of-way
SD	Secchi-disk depth
SEO	State Engineers Office
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SMP	Grand County Stream Management Plan
sp	spawning
SU	standard unit
Subdistrict	Municipal Subdistrict, Northern Colorado Water Conservation District

SWA	State Wildlife Area
TCP	Traditional Cultural Properties
T&E	Threatened and Endangered
TCP	Traditional Cultural Property
TDS	Total dissolved solids
Three Lakes	Grand Lake, Shadow Mountain Reservoir, and Granby Reservoir
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TN	Total Nitrogen
TOC	Total organic carbon
TP	Total phosphorus
TRec	Total recoverable
TSI	Trophic State Index
TSS	Total suspended solids
µg/L	micrograms per liter
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WCFC	Willow Creek Feeder Canal
Western	Western Area Power Administration
WGFP	Windy Gap Firming Project
WQCC	Water Quality Control Commission
WQCD	Water Quality Control Division
WWTP	Wastewater treatment plant
WY	Water Year (October 1 – September 30)
µg/L	micrograms per liter
µS	microSiemens
yr	year

## CONTENTS

<b>Chapter 1. Purpose and Need.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.2 Windy Gap Firming Project Participants .....	1-2
1.3 Purpose and Need.....	1-4
1.3.1 Municipal Subdistrict.....	1-4
1.3.2 Western Area Power Administration .....	1-4
1.4 Background .....	1-4
1.4.1 Colorado-Big Thompson Project .....	1-4
1.4.2 Existing Windy Gap Project .....	1-5
1.5 Need for the Project .....	1-9
1.5.1 Current Windy Gap Project Operations .....	1-9
1.5.2 Windy Gap Project Delivery Shortage.....	1-9
1.6 Overview of Water Supplies and Demand Projections for Project Participants .....	1-10
1.6.1 Sources of Water Supply.....	1-11
1.6.2 Water Demand .....	1-12
1.6.3 Future Water Requirements .....	1-19
1.7 Participant Water Supply and Demands .....	1-21
1.7.1 City and County of Broomfield .....	1-21
1.7.2 Central Weld County Water District.....	1-23
1.7.3 Town of Erie .....	1-24
1.7.4 City of Evans.....	1-25
1.7.5 City of Fort Lupton .....	1-27
1.7.6 City of Greeley.....	1-28
1.7.7 City of Lafayette.....	1-30
1.7.8 Little Thompson Water District .....	1-31
1.7.9 City of Longmont.....	1-33
1.7.10 City of Louisville .....	1-34
1.7.11 City of Loveland .....	1-36
1.7.12 Middle Park Water Conservancy District .....	1-37
1.7.13 Platte River Power Authority.....	1-38
1.7.14 Town of Superior .....	1-40
1.8 Windy Gap Firming Project Participant Water Needs.....	1-41
1.8.1 Projected Shortages in Firm Yield .....	1-41
1.8.2 Project Participant Firm Yield Goals .....	1-43
1.8.3 Summary .....	1-44
1.9 Public Involvement .....	1-44
1.9.1 Scoping .....	1-44
1.9.2 Key Issues Identified for Analysis in the EIS .....	1-45
1.9.3 Draft EIS Public Hearing and Comment Period .....	1-46
1.10 The Decision Process .....	1-47
1.10.1 Reclamation Decisions.....	1-47
1.10.2 Senate Document 80 and Section 14 Analyses.....	1-47
1.10.3 Final EIS Preparation .....	1-48
1.10.4 Other Permits and Approvals.....	1-48

<b>Chapter 2. Proposed Action and Alternatives .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Alternative Selection Process.....	2-1
2.1.1 Development of Alternatives .....	2-2
2.1.2 Alternative Screening.....	2-3
2.2 Alternative 1—No Action Alternative .....	2-12
2.2.1 Current Windy Gap Project Operations .....	2-15
2.2.2 Participant Operations under the No Action Alternative .....	2-15
2.3 Activities Common to All Action Alternatives.....	2-18
2.4 Alternative 2—Chimney Hollow Reservoir (Proposed Action) .....	2-19
2.4.1 Infrastructure.....	2-19
2.4.2 Operations .....	2-24
2.4.3 Construction Program .....	2-25
2.4.4 Cost .....	2-26
2.4.5 Public Access and Recreation .....	2-26
2.5 Alternative 3—Chimney Hollow Reservoir and Jasper East Reservoir.....	2-26
2.5.1 Infrastructure.....	2-27
2.5.2 Operations .....	2-31
2.5.3 Construction Program .....	2-31
2.5.4 Cost .....	2-32
2.5.5 Public Access and Recreation .....	2-32
2.6 Alternative 4—Chimney Hollow Reservoir and Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir.....	2-33
2.6.1 Infrastructure.....	2-33
2.6.2 Operations .....	2-36
2.6.3 Construction Program .....	2-36
2.6.4 Cost .....	2-37
2.6.5 Public Access and Recreation .....	2-37
2.7 Alternative 5—Dry Creek Reservoir and Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir.....	2-37
2.7.1 Infrastructure.....	2-37
2.7.2 Operations .....	2-41
2.7.3 Construction.....	2-42
2.7.4 Cost .....	2-42
2.7.5 Public Access and Recreation .....	2-42
2.8 Determination of Reasonably Foreseeable Actions .....	2-43
2.8.1 Identifying Reasonably Foreseeable Actions.....	2-43
2.8.2 Reasonably Foreseeable Actions .....	2-43
2.8.3 Actions Not Considered Reasonably Foreseeable .....	2-54
2.9 Identification of Reclamation's Preferred Alternative .....	2-54
2.10 Summary .....	2-54
2.10.1 Comparison of Alternative Features .....	2-54
2.10.2 Comparison of Alternative Impacts .....	2-54
<b>Chapter 3. Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Description of the Affected Environment .....	3-1
3.3 Determination of Environmental Effects .....	3-1
3.4 Area of Potential Effect.....	3-2
3.5 Surface Water Hydrology .....	3-3
3.5.1 Affected Environment.....	3-3
3.5.2 Environmental Effects.....	3-16
3.5.3 Cumulative Effects.....	3-61

3.5.4	Surface Water Hydrology Mitigation .....	3-80
3.5.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-84
3.6	Ground Water.....	3-84
3.6.1	Affected Environment.....	3-84
3.6.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-86
3.6.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-89
3.6.4	Ground Water Mitigation.....	3-90
3.6.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-90
3.7	Stream Morphology and Floodplains.....	3-90
3.7.1	Affected Environment.....	3-90
3.7.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-93
3.7.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-103
3.7.4	Stream Morphology and Floodplain Mitigation .....	3-105
3.7.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-105
3.8	Surface Water Quality.....	3-106
3.8.1	Affected Environment.....	3-106
3.8.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-127
3.8.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-182
3.8.4	Surface Water Quality Mitigation.....	3-200
3.8.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-205
3.9	Aquatic Resources.....	3-205
3.9.1	Affected Environment.....	3-205
3.9.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-214
3.9.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-230
3.9.4	Aquatic Resource Mitigation .....	3-237
3.9.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-238
3.10	Vegetation .....	3-238
3.10.1	Affected Environment.....	3-238
3.10.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-242
3.10.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-250
3.10.4	Vegetation Mitigation .....	3-253
3.10.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-254
3.11	Wetlands and Other Waters .....	3-254
3.11.1	Affected Environment.....	3-254
3.11.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-258
3.11.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-262
3.11.4	Wetland and Other Waters Mitigation .....	3-262
3.11.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-263
3.12	Wildlife .....	3-263
3.12.1	Affected Environment.....	3-263
3.12.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-274
3.12.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-281
3.12.4	Wildlife Mitigation .....	3-285
3.12.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-286
3.13	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	3-286
3.13.1	Affected Environment.....	3-286
3.13.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-291
3.13.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-294
3.13.4	Threatened and Endangered Species Mitigation .....	3-295
3.13.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-295

3.14	Geology and Paleontology .....	3-296
3.14.1	Affected Environment.....	3-296
3.14.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-298
3.14.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-299
3.14.4	Geology and Paleontology Mitigation .....	3-300
3.14.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-300
3.15	Soils.....	3-300
3.15.1	Affected Environment.....	3-300
3.15.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-303
3.15.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-308
3.15.4	Soils Mitigation.....	3-308
3.15.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-309
3.16	Air Quality .....	3-309
3.16.1	Affected Environment.....	3-309
3.16.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-310
3.16.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-312
3.16.4	Air Quality Mitigation .....	3-312
3.16.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-312
3.17	Noise .....	3-312
3.17.1	Affected Environment.....	3-312
3.17.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-313
3.17.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-316
3.17.4	Noise Mitigation .....	3-316
3.17.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-316
3.18	Land Use .....	3-316
3.18.1	Affected Environment.....	3-316
3.18.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-324
3.18.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-330
3.18.4	Land Use Mitigation .....	3-331
3.18.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-331
3.19	Recreation .....	3-331
3.19.1	Affected Environment.....	3-331
3.19.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-337
3.19.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-346
3.19.4	Recreation Mitigation .....	3-352
3.19.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-353
3.20	Cultural Resources .....	3-354
3.20.1	Affected Environment.....	3-354
3.20.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-362
3.20.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-366
3.20.4	Cultural Resource Mitigation .....	3-366
3.20.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-368
3.21	Visual Quality .....	3-368
3.21.1	Affected Environment.....	3-368
3.21.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-369
3.21.3	Cumulative Effects.....	3-373
3.21.4	Visual Quality Mitigation .....	3-376
3.21.5	Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-377
3.22	Socioeconomics .....	3-377
3.22.1	Affected Environment.....	3-377
3.22.2	Environmental Effects.....	3-381

3.22.3 Cumulative Effects.....	3-392
3.22.4 Socioeconomic Mitigation .....	3-397
3.22.5 Unavoidable Adverse Effects.....	3-397
3.23 Relationship between Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity .....	3-397
3.24 Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitment of Resources .....	3-398
3.25 Mitigation and Environmental Commitments Summary .....	3-398
<b>Chapter 4. Consultation and Coordination.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 Public Scoping .....	4-1
4.1.1 Public Scoping Outreach Activities .....	4-1
4.1.2 Agency Scoping Meeting.....	4-2
4.1.3 Agency Consultation.....	4-2
4.1.4 Draft EIS Public Hearing .....	4-2
4.2 Consultation .....	4-3
4.3 Final EIS Distribution .....	4-6
4.3.1 Federal Agencies.....	4-6
4.3.2 Native American Organizations.....	4-6
4.3.3 State Agencies.....	4-6
4.3.4 Local Agencies.....	4-7
4.3.5 Elected Officials.....	4-7
4.3.6 Libraries .....	4-8
4.3.7 Organizations and Private Individuals .....	4-8
4.4 Preparers .....	4-8
<b>Chapter 5. References .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>

## TABLES

Table 1-1. Summary of Participant 2005 annual firm water supply (potable and nonpotable). ....	1-11
Table 1-2. Total water deliveries and raw water requirements for WGFP Participants, 1998 to 2003.....	1-15
Table 1-3. WGFP Participant water conservation practices.....	1-16
Table 1-4. Potable water use in gpcd for WGFP Participants, 1998 to 2003. ....	1-17
Table 1-5. WGFP Participant total projected future raw water requirements. ....	1-20
Table 1-6. Projected cumulative surplus or shortage (-) in firm annual yield for Windy Gap Participants. ....	1-42
Table 1-7. Project Participant Windy Gap units, storage request, and firm yield goals. ....	1-43
Table 1-8. Environmental compliance requirements.....	1-49
Table 2-1. Reservoir alternatives remaining following Level 1 screening. ....	2-5
Table 2-2. Level 2 alternative screening. ....	2-7
Table 2-3. Western's standard construction mitigation measures.....	2-23
Table 2-4. Actions not considered reasonably foreseeable. ....	2-56
Table 2-5. Comparison of action alternative features.....	2-61
Table 2-6. Comparison of direct and indirect effects by alternative. ....	2-63
Table 2-7. Comparison of cumulative effects by alternative.....	2-74
Table 3-1. Summary of average monthly depletions and flows in the Colorado River at Windy Gap for existing conditions for the model study period from 1950 through 1996 (AF). ....	3-10
Table 3-2. Historical monthly Windy Gap diversions (AF) at Windy Gap Reservoir. ....	3-11
Table 3-3. Summary of Windy Gap demands for existing conditions and the No Action Alternative. ....	3-18
Table 3-4. Use of hydrologic data for the evaluation of resource impacts. ....	3-21

---

Table 3-5. Modeled average annual C-BT and Windy Gap spills for existing conditions and the alternatives..	3-30
Table 3-6. Comparison of average annual flow and diversion amounts (AF) at key locations.....	3-31
Table 3-7. Comparison of average annual dry year flow and diversion amounts (AF) at key locations. ....	3-32
Table 3-8. Comparison of average annual wet year flow and diversion amount (AF) at key locations.....	3-33
Table 3-9. Colorado River water balance in an average year for existing conditions and the Proposed Action.	3-36
Table 3-10. Modeled C-BT yield from the Willow Creek Feeder Canal (WCFC). .....	3-39
Table 3-11. Comparison of net annual C-BT power generation between alternatives.....	3-41
Table 3-12. Colorado River above Windy Gap – daily flow changes compared to existing conditions.....	3-42
Table 3-13. Number of days flows below the Windy Gap diversion would be less than 100 cfs over the entire 47-year study period as a result of Windy Gap pumping.....	3-44
Table 3-14. Colorado River below Windy Gap (Hot Sulphur Springs to Kremmling) – daily flow changes compared to existing conditions from May to August.....	3-46
Table 3-15. North St. Vrain Creek and St. Vrain Creek average monthly streamflow under the No Action Alternative.....	3-50
Table 3-16. East Slope streamflow increases from Windy Gap return flows under the No Action Alternative.	3-51
Table 3-17. East Slope streamflow increases from Windy Gap return flows under Alternatives 2, 3, 4, and 5.	3-52
Table 3-18. Windy Gap Participant annual demand, average, and firm yield.....	3-59
Table 3-19. Windy Gap Firming Project Participant annual firm yield for the Proposed Action. ....	3-60
Table 3-20. Summary of average monthly depletions and flows in the Colorado River at Windy Gap for cumulative effects for the WGFP model study period from 1950 through 1996 (AF). ....	3-64
Table 3-21. Cumulative effects – comparison of average annual year flow and diversion amounts (AF) at key locations. ....	3-65
Table 3-22. Cumulative effects – comparison of average annual dry year flow and diversion amounts (AF) at key locations. ....	3-66
Table 3-23. Cumulative effects – comparison of average annual wet year flows and diversion amounts (AF) at key locations. ....	3-67
Table 3-24. Comparison of net C-BT hydropower generation between alternatives—cumulative effects.....	3-73
Table 3-25. Colorado River below Windy Gap (Hot Sulphur Springs) – daily flow changes compared to existing conditions from May to August.....	3-75
Table 3-26. Colorado River below Windy Gap (Kremmling) – daily flow changes compared to existing conditions from May to August. ....	3-76
Table 3-27. Windy Gap Participant demand, average yield, and firm yield—cumulative effects. ....	3-79
Table 3-28. Windy Gap Firming Project Participant firm yield for the Proposed Action—cumulative effects.	3-79
Table 3-29. Comparison of the change from existing condition in content, maximum surface area, and water level decrease in Granby Reservoir for the Proposed Action under original prepositioning and modified prepositioning. ....	3-81
Table 3-30. Average monthly changes in Granby Reservoir elevation and surface area for the Proposed Action, with and without modified prepositioning. ....	3-82
Table 3-31. Average monthly changes in Horsetooth Reservoir elevation and surface area for the Proposed Action, with and without modified prepositioning. ....	3-83
Table 3-32. Changes in Colorado River channel maintenance flows at Hot Sulphur Springs (1950-1996 hydrology)....	3-97
Table 3-33. Colorado River at Kremmling channel maintenance flows (1950-1996). ....	3-100
Table 3-34. Flushing flows in Colorado River below Windy Gap Reservoir as measured at Hot Sulphur Springs gage.....	3-102
Table 3-35. Colorado River at Hot Sulphur Springs channel maintenance flows, cumulative effects (1950- 1996). ....	3-104
Table 3-36. Colorado River at Kremmling channel maintenance flows, cumulative effects (1950-1996) ....	3-104
Table 3-37. Colorado River historical water quality values at three locations. ....	3-107
Table 3-38. Willow Creek historical water quality values. ....	3-109
Table 3-39. Physical characteristics of Granby Reservoir.....	3-111

Table 3-40. Comparison of key water quality standards for Granby Reservoir under existing conditions.....	3-112
Table 3-41. Physical characteristics of Shadow Mountain Reservoir. ....	3-114
Table 3-42. Comparison of key water quality standards for Shadow Mountain Reservoir under existing conditions.....	3-115
Table 3-43. Physical characteristics of Grand Lake. ....	3-116
Table 3-44. Comparison of key water quality standards for Grand Lake under existing conditions. ....	3-117
Table 3-45. Big Thompson River historical water quality. ....	3-119
Table 3-46. North St. Vrain and St. Vrain Creek historical water quality.....	3-119
Table 3-47. Big Dry Creek historical water quality. ....	3-120
Table 3-48. Coal Creek historical water quality.....	3-121
Table 3-49. Cache la Poudre River historical water quality.....	3-122
Table 3-50. Physical characteristics of Ralph Price Reservoir.....	3-122
Table 3-51. Physical characteristics of Carter Lake. ....	3-123
Table 3-52. Comparison of key water quality standards for Carter Lake under existing conditions. ....	3-124
Table 3-53. Physical characteristics of Horsetooth Reservoir.....	3-125
Table 3-54. Comparison of key water quality standards for Horsetooth Reservoir (Soldier Canyon Dam) under existing conditions. ....	3-126
Table 3-55. Reservoir status on meeting water quality standards and status on the 2010 303(d) List of Impaired Waters and Monitoring and Evaluation List.....	3-128
Table 3-56. Numeric standards for the Upper Colorado River and its tributaries, from below Granby Reservoir to the Roaring Fork River. ....	3-130
Table 3-57. Numeric standards for the East Slope streams (except North St. Vrain Creek and the Big Thompson River above Home Supply Canal). ....	3-131
Table 3-58. Numeric standards for North St. Vrain Creek and the Big Thompson River above Big Barnes Ditch.....	3-132
Table 3-59. Common chlorophyll a, Secchi-disk, and total phosphorus values by trophic state. ....	3-138
Table 3-60. Windy Gap pumping volumes using 1975 hydrology. ....	3-140
Table 3-61. Exceedance of the chronic and acute temperature standards in 1975. ....	3-144
Table 3-62. Simulated Weekly Average Temperature (WAT) and Daily Maximum (DM) increases compared to existing conditions. ....	3-146
Table 3-63. Simulated Weekly Average Temperature (WAT) and Daily Maximum Temperature (DM) increases compared to the No Action Alternative. ....	3-147
Table 3-64. Temperature model results for the Colorado River downstream of Windy Gap Reservoir (WGD) .....	3-148
Table 3-65. Temperature model results for the Colorado River at Hot Sulphur Springs (HSU). ....	3-148
Table 3-66. Temperature model results for the Colorado River upstream from the Williams Fork (WFU). ....	3-149
Table 3-67. Willow Creek average monthly ammonia, iron, and copper concentrations. ....	3-156
Table 3-68. Estimated average annual nutrient load into the Three Lakes System for existing conditions (based on 1975 to 1989 hydrology). ....	3-158
Table 3-69. Estimated additional total phosphorus load into the Three Lakes System for alternatives over existing conditions (based on 1975 to 1989 hydrology). ....	3-159
Table 3-70. Estimated additional total nitrogen load into the Three Lakes System for alternatives over existing conditions (based on 1975 to 1989 hydrology). ....	3-159
Table 3-71. Average predicted water quality for Granby Reservoir. ....	3-163
Table 3-72. Granby Reservoir predicted water quality changes by alternative compared to existing conditions. ....	3-163
Table 3-73. Average predicted water quality for Shadow Mountain Reservoir. ....	3-167
Table 3-74. Shadow Mountain Reservoir predicted water quality changes by alternative compared to existing conditions. ....	3-167
Table 3-75. Average predicted water quality for Grand Lake. ....	3-171
Table 3-76. Grand Lake predicted water quality changes by alternative compared to existing conditions. ....	3-171

Table 3-77. Average predicted water quality for Jasper Reservoir .....	3-174
Table 3-78. Average predicted water quality for Rockwell Reservoir.....	3-175
Table 3-79. Big Thompson River average ammonia and copper concentrations in October below the Loveland wastewater treatment plant (WWTP).....	3-175
Table 3-80. St. Vrain Creek average changes in ammonia concentrations in October below the Longmont wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) under all of the WGFP alternatives.....	3-176
Table 3-81. St. Vrain Creek average changes in ammonia concentrations in October below the St. Vrain wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) under the No Action Alternative.....	3-177
Table 3-82. Big Dry Creek average changes in ammonia, iron, and manganese concentrations in October below the Broomfield wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) under all of the WGFP alternatives.....	3-177
Table 3-83. Cache la Poudre River average changes in ammonia and copper concentrations below Greeley's wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) under all of the WGFP alternatives.....	3-178
Table 3-84. Average predicted water quality for Ralph Price Reservoir.....	3-179
Table 3-85. Average nutrient load through the Adams Tunnel.....	3-179
Table 3-86. Average predicted water quality for Carter Lake.....	3-180
Table 3-87. Carter Lake predicted water quality changes by alternative compared to existing conditions .....	3-180
Table 3-88. Average predicted water quality for Horsetooth Reservoir.....	3-181
Table 3-89. Horsetooth Reservoir predicted water quality changes by alternative compared to existing conditions.....	3-181
Table 3-90. Average predicted water quality for Chimney Hollow Reservoir.....	3-182
Table 3-91. Average predicted water quality for Dry Creek Reservoir.....	3-182
Table 3-92. Fraser River nutrient concentration outflow for July 25—cumulative effects.....	3-183
Table 3-93. Average annual total phosphorus load delivered to Granby Reservoir from Willow Creek Reservoir, Windy Gap Reservoir, and Rockwell Creek Reservoir—cumulative effects.....	3-183
Table 3-94. Total nitrogen load delivered to Granby Reservoir from Willow Creek Reservoir, Windy Gap Reservoir, and Rockwell Creek Reservoir—cumulative effects.....	3-184
Table 3-95. Granby Reservoir 5,412 AF release schedule under the 10825 Project .....	3-185
Table 3-96. Temperature model results for the Colorado River downstream of Windy Gap Reservoir (WGD), cumulative effects .....	3-186
Table 3-97. Temperature model results for the Colorado River downstream at Hot Sulphur Springs (HSU), cumulative effects .....	3-186
Table 3-98. Temperature model results for the Colorado River upstream of Williams Fork (WFU), cumulative effects .....	3-187
Table 3-99. Willow Creek average monthly ammonia, iron, and copper concentrations—cumulative effects .....	3-191
Table 3-100. Average predicted water quality for Granby Reservoir—cumulative effects .....	3-192
Table 3-101. Granby Reservoir predicted water quality changes by alternative compared to existing conditions—cumulative effects .....	3-192
Table 3-102. Average predicted water quality for Shadow Mountain—cumulative effects .....	3-193
Table 3-103. Shadow Mountain predicted water quality changes by alternative compared to existing conditions—cumulative effects .....	3-194
Table 3-104. Average predicted water quality for Grand Lake—cumulative effects .....	3-194
Table 3-105. Grand Lake predicted water quality changes by alternative compared to existing conditions—cumulative effects .....	3-195
Table 3-106. Average predicted water quality for Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir—cumulative effects ..	3-195
Table 3-107. Average predicted water quality for Ralph Price Reservoir—cumulative effects .....	3-198
Table 3-108. Average nutrient load through the Adams Tunnel—cumulative effects .....	3-198
Table 3-109. Average predicted water quality for Carter Lake—cumulative effects .....	3-198
Table 3-110. Carter Lake predicted water quality changes by alternative compared to existing conditions—cumulative effects .....	3-199
Table 3-111. Average predicted water quality for Horsetooth Reservoir—cumulative effects .....	3-199

Table 3-112. Horsetooth Reservoir predicted water quality changes by alternative compared to existing conditions—cumulative effects.....	3-199
Table 3-113. Average predicted water quality for Chimney Hollow Reservoir—cumulative effects. ....	3-200
Table 3-114. Average predicted water quality for Dry Creek Reservoir—cumulative effects. ....	3-200
Table 3-115. Summary of nutrient reductions to Three Lakes with mitigation measures.....	3-201
Table 3-116. Percent change in rainbow trout habitat from existing conditions under the Proposed Action for locations on the Colorado River and Willow Creek for average water years. ....	3-222
Table 3-117. Percent change in rainbow trout habitat from existing conditions under the Proposed Action for locations on the Colorado River and Willow Creek for wet water years.....	3-223
Table 3-118. Percent change in brown trout habitat from existing conditions under the Proposed Action for locations on the Colorado River and Willow Creek for average water years. ....	3-223
Table 3-119. Percent change in brown trout habitat from existing conditions under the Proposed Action for locations on the Colorado River and Willow Creek for wet water years.....	3-224
Table 3-120. Summary of stream water quality changes relevant to potential fish impacts. ....	3-227
Table 3-121. Percent change in rainbow trout habitat from existing conditions under the Proposed Action for locations on the Colorado River and Willow Creek for average water years, cumulative effects.....	3-231
Table 3-122. Percent change in brown trout habitat from existing conditions under the Proposed Action for locations on the Colorado River and Willow Creek for average water years, cumulative effects.....	3-232
Table 3-123. Percent change in brown trout habitat from existing conditions under the Proposed Action for locations on the Colorado River and Willow Creek for dry water years, cumulative effects.....	3-232
Table 3-124. Percent change in brown trout habitat from existing conditions under the Proposed Action for locations on the Colorado River and Willow Creek for wet water years, cumulative effects. ....	3-233
Table 3-125. Alternative 1—Direct effects to vegetation cover types at Ralph Price Reservoir. ....	3-243
Table 3-126. Alternative 2—Direct effects to vegetation cover types at Chimney Hollow Reservoir. ....	3-244
Table 3-127. Alternative 3—Direct effects to vegetation cover types at Chimney Hollow Reservoir (70,000 AF) and Jasper East Reservoir. ....	3-245
Table 3-128. Alternative 4—Direct effects to vegetation cover types at Chimney Hollow Reservoir (70,000 AF) and Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir. ....	3-245
Table 3-129. Alternative 5—Direct effects to vegetation cover types at Dry Creek Reservoir and Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir (30,000 AF).....	3-246
Table 3-130. Summary of direct effects to vegetation by alternative.....	3-247
Table 3-131. Summary of wetland effects by alternative.....	3-259
Table 3-132. Summary of effects to other waters by alternative.....	3-259
Table 3-133. State endangered, threatened, and species of concern potentially occurring in the study areas. ..	3-265
Table 3-134. CNHP-tracked species potentially occurring in the West and East Slope study areas. ....	3-269
Table 3-135. Federally listed threatened and endangered species in Boulder, Larimer, and Grand counties potentially occurring in the study areas or downstream.....	3-288
Table 3-136. Summary of effects determination for federally listed threatened and endangered species by alternative.....	3-291
Table 3-137. Maximum noise levels by sound source for Boulder and Larimer counties. ....	3-312
Table 3-138. Distance attenuation for construction noise. ....	3-314
Table 3-139. Average daily traffic and vehicle capacity near Chimney Hollow and Dry Creek reservoirs. ....	3-319
Table 3-140. Current land ownership at potential reservoir sites. ....	3-326
Table 3-141. Three Lakes boating facilities. ....	3-332
Table 3-142. Total annual commercial boating and fishing visitor days (1999-2005) along the Colorado River.....	3-335
Table 3-143. Average monthly changes in Granby Reservoir surface area. ....	3-339
Table 3-144. Comparison of preferred kayaking flow days (flows above 400 cfs) in Byers Canyon (June 1 through July 26) between existing conditions and the alternatives.....	3-341
Table 3-145. Average monthly changes to Colorado River flows in Gore Canyon to State Bridge. ....	3-342

---

Table 3-146. Comparison of preferred boating flow days (850 to 1,250 cfs) in Big Gore Canyon between existing conditions and the alternatives in August.....	3-343
Table 3-147. Comparison of preferred boating flow days (1,100 to 2,200 cfs) in Big Gore Canyon and Pumphouse to State Bridge between existing conditions and the alternatives from June to August.....	3-343
Table 3-148. Comparison of preferred kayaking flow days (flows above 400 cfs) in Byers Canyon (June 1 through July 26) between existing conditions and the alternatives—cumulative effects.....	3-348
Table 3-149. Average monthly changes to Colorado River flow for Big Gore Canyon—cumulative effects..	3-349
Table 3-150. Comparison of preferred boating flow days (850 to 1,250 cfs) in Big Gore Canyon between existing conditions and the alternatives in August—cumulative effects.....	3-350
Table 3-151. Comparison of preferred boating flow days (1,100 to 2,200 cfs) from Pumphouse to State Bridge between existing conditions and the alternatives from June to August—cumulative effects.....	3-351
Table 3-152. Eligible or potentially eligible cultural sites within the Ralph Price Reservoir APE.....	3-357
Table 3-153. Eligible or potentially eligible cultural sites within the Chimney Hollow Reservoir APE.....	3-358
Table 3-154. Eligible or potentially eligible cultural sites within the Dry Creek Reservoir APE.....	3-360
Table 3-155. Eligible or potentially eligible cultural sites within the Jasper East Reservoir APE.....	3-360
Table 3-156. Eligible or potentially eligible cultural sites within the Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir Area of Potential Effect.....	3-362
Table 3-157. Population trends by county.....	3-378
Table 3-158. Net increase in energy generation and production value over existing conditions.....	3-384
Table 3-159. Participant funding and financial contribution to the WGFP.....	3-385
Table 3-160. Annualized cost or benefit to recreational boating on the Colorado River by alternative.....	3-387
Table 3-161. Project, direct labor, and operation and maintenance cost by alternative.....	3-389
Table 3-162. Net increase in energy generation and production value over existing conditions—cumulative effects.....	3-393
Table 3-163. Annualized cost or benefit to recreational boating on the Colorado River by alternative — cumulative effects relative to existing conditions.....	3-395
Table 3-164. Mitigation and environmental commitments for the Proposed Action.....	3-400
Table 4-1. List of agencies and organizations contacted for the Final EIS.....	4-3

## FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Participant boundaries on the East Slope Project.....	1-3
Figure 1-2. West Slope service area for the MPWCD.....	1-4
Figure 1-3. Colorado-Big-Thompson and existing Windy Gap Project features.....	1-6
Figure 1-4. Windy Gap Reservoir facilities.....	1-9
Figure 1-5. Population growth for Windy Gap Participants, 1990 to 2003.....	1-13
Figure 1-6. Population projections for Windy Gap Participants, 2004 to 2050.....	1-13
Figure 1-7. Estimated 2003 and projected 2030 population for Windy Gap Participants.....	1-14
Figure 1-8. Total water use rates for WGFP Participants, 1998 to 2003.....	1-18
Figure 1-9. Projected total water requirements for WGFP Participants, 2004 to 2050.....	1-20
Figure 1-10. Combined future total water raw water requirements and current annual firm yield for WGFP Participants.....	1-44
Figure 1-11. Summary of projected 2050 Participant water supply sources.....	1-44
Figure 2-1. Reservoir sites evaluated in Level 3 Screening.....	2-9
Figure 2-2. Jasper East and Rockwell/Mueller Creek reservoir sites.....	2-13
Figure 2-3. Chimney Hollow and Dry Creek reservoir sites.....	2-14
Figure 2-4. Alternative 1-No Action Alternative—Ralph Price Reservoir enlargement.....	2-16
Figure 2-5. Alternative 2—Proposed Action—Chimney Hollow Reservoir (90,000 AF).....	2-20
Figure 2-6. Chimney Hollow Reservoir connection schematic.....	2-21

Figure 2-7. Alternative 3–Chimney Hollow Reservoir (70,000 AF).....	2-28
Figure 2-8. Alternative 3–Jasper East Reservoir (20,000 AF). ....	2-29
Figure 2-9. Chimney Hollow Reservoir and Jasper East Reservoir connection schematic.....	2-30
Figure 2-10. Alternative 4–Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir (20,000 AF).....	2-34
Figure 2-11. Chimney Hollow Reservoir and Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir connection schematic .....	2-35
Figure 2-12. Alternative 5–Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir (30,000 AF).....	2-38
Figure 2-13. Alternative 5–Dry Creek Reservoir (60,000 AF). ....	2-40
Figure 2-14. Dry Creek Reservoir and Rockwell/Mueller Creek Reservoir connection schematic .....	2-41
Figure 2-15. Reasonably foreseeable future land development near potential West Slope WGFP reservoir sites.....	2-53
Figure 2-16. Reasonably foreseeable future land development near potential East Slope WGFP reservoir sites.....	2-55
Figure 3-1. West Slope water resource study area. ....	3-4
Figure 3-2. East Slope water resource study area.....	3-5
Figure 3-3. Colorado River annual flow at Hot Sulphur Springs, 1904 to 1994 and at Windy Gap from 1982 to 2008. ....	3-8
Figure 3-4. Colorado River average daily flow at Hot Sulphur Springs and Windy Gap, 1904 to 2008. ....	3-8
Figure 3-5. Willow Creek average daily flow, 1953 to 2004. ....	3-12
Figure 3-6. Granby Reservoir historical elevations, 1953 to 2006. ....	3-13
Figure 3-7. North St. Vrain Creek and St. Vrain Creek study area. ....	3-14
Figure 3-8. Average annual Adams Tunnel deliveries by alternative. ....	3-34
Figure 3-9. Average annual Windy Gap diversions by alternative. ....	3-35
Figure 3-10. Diversions, deliveries, and flow changes for the Proposed Action. ....	3-38
Figure 3-11. Average annual wet year Granby Reservoir spills by alternative. ....	3-39
Figure 3-12. Average annual CB-T diversions from the Big Thompson River by alternative. ....	3-40
Figure 3-13. Colorado River above Windy Gap – average daily flows by alternative. ....	3-42
Figure 3-14. Colorado River below Windy Gap – average daily flows by alternative. ....	3-44
Figure 3-15. Colorado River near Kremmling – average daily flows by alternative. ....	3-46
Figure 3-16. Willow Creek at Colorado River – average daily flows by alternative. ....	3-47
Figure 3-17. Granby Reservoir estimated average monthly surface elevation by alternative. ....	3-48
Figure 3-18. Carter Lake estimated average monthly surface elevation for all alternatives. ....	3-53
Figure 3-19. Horsetooth Reservoir estimated average monthly surface elevation for all alternatives. ....	3-54
Figure 3-20. Ralph Price Reservoir daily content for 13,000 AF of new storage. ....	3-55
Figure 3-21. Chimney Hollow Reservoir daily content under the Proposed Action. ....	3-56
Figure 3-22. Chimney Hollow Reservoir daily content under Alternatives 3 and 4. ....	3-57
Figure 3-23. Jasper East Reservoir daily content under Alternative 3. ....	3-57
Figure 3-24. Dry Creek Reservoir daily content under Alternative 5. ....	3-58
Figure 3-25. Rockwell Reservoir (30,000 AF) daily content under Alternative 5. ....	3-59
Figure 3-26. Colorado River above Windy Gap – average daily flows with reasonably foreseeable actions.....	3-74
Figure 3-27. Colorado River below Windy Gap – average daily flows with reasonably foreseeable actions. ....	3-75
Figure 3-28. Colorado River near Kremmling – average daily flows with reasonably foreseeable actions. ....	3-77
Figure 3-29. Comparison of monthly Granby Reservoir elevation for existing conditions and modified prepositioning under the Preferred Alternative. ....	3-81
Figure 3-30. Chimney Hollow Reservoir operation for the Proposed Action with modified prepositioning....	3-83
Figure 3-31. Comparison of sediment supply vs. transport capacity at CR-1, Colorado River above Parshall..	3-92
Figure 3-32. Flow duration curve□Colorado River at Hot Sulphur Springs.....	3-95
Figure 3-33. Flow duration curve—Colorado River at Kremmling below Blue River. ....	3-96
Figure 3-34. Duration of channel maintenance flows in years when such flows occur at Hot Sulphur Springs..	3-98
Figure 3-35. Percent of years when channel maintenance flows occur at Hot Sulphur Springs. ....	3-98
Figure 3-36. Duration of channel maintenance flows in years when such flows occur near Kremmling. ....	3-100
Figure 3-37. Percent of years when channel maintenance flows occur near Kremmling.....	3-101

Figure 3-38. Colorado River temperatures at Lone Buck in 2007 .....	3-108
Figure 3-39. Three Lakes System watersheds.....	3-110
Figure 3-40. Carter Lake.....	3-123
Figure 3-41. Horsetooth Reservoir.....	3-125
Figure 3-42. Colorado River dynamic temperature modeling sites.....	3-133
Figure 3-43. Mean monthly air temperature at Grand Lake Weather Station (6SSW). ....	3-134
Figure 3-44. QUAL2K model segments, Colorado River from Granby Reservoir to Gore Canyon. ....	3-136
Figure 3-45. Three Lakes water quality model schematic.....	3-138
Figure 3-46. Colorado River flow below Windy Gap Reservoir (WGD) using 1975 hydrology. ....	3-141
Figure 3-47. Colorado River hourly stream temperatures upstream of the Williams Fork (WFU).....	3-141
Figure 3-48. WAT at Colorado River downstream of Windy Gap Reservoir (WGD), June to September 1975. ....	3-142
Figure 3-49. WAT at Colorado River at Hot Sulphur Springs (HSU), June to September 1975. ....	3-143
Figure 3-50. WAT at Colorado River upstream of the Williams Fork (WFU), June to September 1975.....	3-143
Figure 3-51. Daily Maximum Temperature at Colorado River downstream of Windy Gap Reservoir (WGD), June to September 1975. ....	3-145
Figure 3-52. Daily Maximum Temperature at Colorado River at Hot Sulphur Springs (HSU), June to September 1975. ....	3-145
Figure 3-53. Daily Maximum Temperature at Colorado River upstream of the Williams Fork (WFU), June to September 1975. ....	3-146
Figure 3-54. Colorado River average July 25 streamflow.....	3-150
Figure 3-55. Colorado River July 25 streamflow assuming diversion to the minimum instream flow below Windy Gap Reservoir. ....	3-151
Figure 3-56. Colorado River specific conductivity for July 25.....	3-151
Figure 3-57. Colorado River specific conductivity for July 25 assuming diversion to the minimum streamflow below Windy Gap Reservoir. ....	3-152
Figure 3-58. Colorado River dissolved oxygen concentrations for July 25.....	3-152
Figure 3-59. Colorado River dissolved oxygen concentrations for July 25 assuming diversion to the minimum streamflow below Windy Gap Reservoir. ....	3-153
Figure 3-60. Colorado River ammonia concentrations for July 25.....	3-153
Figure 3-61. Colorado River ammonia concentrations for July 25 assuming diversion to the minimum streamflow below Windy Gap Reservoir. ....	3-154
Figure 3-62. Colorado River inorganic phosphorus concentrations for July 25.....	3-154
Figure 3-63. Colorado River inorganic phosphorus concentrations for July 25 assuming diversion to the minimum streamflow below Windy Gap Reservoir. ....	3-155
Figure 3-64. Estimated pumping from Windy Gap Reservoir, proposed Jasper East Reservoir (Alternative 3), and proposed Rockwell Creek Reservoir (Alternatives 4 and 5) into Granby Reservoir by water year.....	3-157
Figure 3-65. Estimated pumping from Granby Reservoir to Shadow Mountain Reservoir via the Farr Pumping Plant. ....	3-158
Figure 3-66. Fate of total phosphorus (TP) for the Three Lakes system (average annual kg/yr, WY75-WY89). ....	3-160
Figure 3-67. Fate of total nitrogen (TN) for the Three Lakes system (average annual kg/yr, WY75–WY89). ...	3-161
Figure 3-68. Simulated daily total phosphorus concentrations in Granby Reservoir (existing conditions and all alternatives). ....	3-164
Figure 3-69. Simulated daily total nitrogen concentrations in Granby Reservoir (existing conditions and all alternatives). ....	3-164
Figure 3-70. Simulated daily chlorophyll a concentrations in Granby Reservoir (existing conditions and all alternatives). ....	3-165
Figure 3-71. Simulated daily Secchi depth in Granby Reservoir (existing conditions and all alternatives). ...	3-165
Figure 3-72. Simulated daily hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen in Granby Reservoir (existing conditions and all alternatives). ....	3-166

Figure 3-73. Simulated daily total phosphorus concentrations in Shadow Mountain Reservoir (existing conditions and all alternatives) .....	3-168
Figure 3-74. Simulated daily total nitrogen concentrations in Shadow Mountain Reservoir (existing conditions and all alternatives) .....	3-168
Figure 3-75. Simulated daily chlorophyll a concentrations in Shadow Mountain Reservoir (existing conditions and all alternatives) .....	3-169
Figure 3-76. Simulated daily Secchi depth in Shadow Mountain Reservoir (existing conditions and all alternatives) .....	3-169
Figure 3-77. Simulated daily dissolved oxygen in Shadow Mountain Reservoir (existing conditions and all alternatives) .....	3-170
Figure 3-78. Simulated daily total phosphorus concentrations in Grand Lake (existing conditions and all alternatives) .....	3-172
Figure 3-79. Simulated daily total nitrogen concentrations in Grand Lake (existing conditions and all alternatives) .....	3-172
Figure 3-80. Simulated daily chlorophyll a concentrations in Grand Lake (existing conditions and all alternatives) .....	3-173
Figure 3-81. Simulated daily Secchi depth in Grand Lake (existing conditions and all alternatives) .....	3-173
Figure 3-82. Simulated daily hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen in Grand Lake (existing conditions and all alternatives) .....	3-174
Figure 3-83. Colorado River average July 25 streamflow—cumulative effects .....	3-188
Figure 3-84. Colorado River July 25 streamflow assuming diversion to the minimum instream flow below Windy Gap Reservoir .....	3-188
Figure 3-85. Colorado River ammonia concentrations for July 25—cumulative effects .....	3-189
Figure 3-86. Colorado River ammonia concentrations for July 25 assuming diversion to the minimum streamflow below Windy Gap Reservoir—cumulative effects .....	3-189
Figure 3-87. Colorado River inorganic phosphorus concentrations for July 25—cumulative effects .....	3-190
Figure 3-88. Colorado River inorganic phosphorus concentrations for July 25 assuming diversion to the minimum streamflow below Windy Gap Reservoir—cumulative effects .....	3-191
Figure 3-89. West Slope aquatic resource study area .....	3-209
Figure 3-90. Hourly water temperatures, air temperature (at Granby), and mean daily discharge for the Colorado River downstream of Windy Gap Reservoir – July 2009 .....	3-210
Figure 3-91. Hourly water temperatures, air temperature (at Granby), and mean daily discharge for the Colorado River downstream of Windy Gap Reservoir – August 2009 .....	3-210
Figure 3-92. Lone Buck aquatic study area .....	3-214
Figure 3-93. Breeze aquatic study area .....	3-214
Figure 3-94. Rainbow trout habitat area versus discharge – Lone Buck site, Colorado River .....	3-216
Figure 3-95. Brown trout habitat area versus discharge – Lone Buck site, Colorado River .....	3-217
Figure 3-96. Average daily discharge for the Colorado River below Windy Gap Reservoir .....	3-217
Figure 3-97. Average daily discharge for the Colorado River above the Blue River .....	3-218
Figure 3-98. Rainbow trout (adult) average daily habitat area on the Colorado River below Windy Gap Reservoir .....	3-218
Figure 3-99. Rainbow trout (adult) average daily habitat area on the Colorado River above the Blue River .....	3-219
Figure 3-100. Percent change in adult rainbow trout habitat from existing conditions on the Colorado River below Windy Gap for an average water year .....	3-220
Figure 3-101. Percent change in adult brown trout habitat from existing conditions on the Colorado River below Windy Gap for an average water year .....	3-220
Figure 3-102. Percent change in adult rainbow trout habitat from existing conditions on the Colorado River above the Blue River for an average water year .....	3-221
Figure 3-103. Percent change in adult brown trout habitat from existing conditions for the Colorado River above the Blue River for an average water year .....	3-221

Figure 3-104. Percent change in rainbow trout (adult) habitat from existing conditions on the Colorado River below Windy Gap for average water year, cumulative effects.....	3-233
Figure 3-105. Percent change in brown trout (adult) habitat from existing conditions on the Colorado River below Windy Gap for average water year, cumulative effects.....	3-234
Figure 3-106. Percent change in rainbow trout (adult) habitat from existing conditions on the Colorado River above the Blue River for average water year, cumulative effects.....	3-234
Figure 3-107. Percent change in brown trout (adult) habitat from existing conditions on the Colorado River above the Blue River for average water year, cumulative effects.....	3-235
Figure 3-108. Colorado River stream stage at Hot Sulphur Springs.....	3-249
Figure 3-109. Colorado River stream stage near Kremmling.....	3-249
Figure 3-110. Ralph Price Reservoir land ownership.....	3-318
Figure 3-111. Chimney Hollow Reservoir land ownership.....	3-320
Figure 3-112. Dry Creek Reservoir land ownership.....	3-322
Figure 3-113. Jasper East Reservoir land ownership.....	3-323
Figure 3-114. Rockwell Reservoir land ownership.....	3-325
Figure 3-115. Colorado River recreation.....	3-333
Figure 3-116. Average monthly water levels at Granby Reservoir boat ramps.....	3-340
Figure 3-117. Average monthly streamflow on the Colorado River in the Byers Canyon kayak reach below Hot Sulphur Springs.....	3-341
Figure 3-118. Average monthly streamflow on the Colorado River through Big Gore Canyon for rafting and kayaking.....	3-342
Figure 3-119. Average monthly streamflow on the Colorado River from Pumphouse to State Bridge for rafting and kayaking.....	3-344
Figure 3-120. Colorado River average year flows for boating in Gore Canyon and Pumphouse – cumulative effects.....	3-349

## APPENDICES

Appendix A Hydrologic Model Output
Appendix B Hydrologic Model Output with Prepositioning
Appendix C 404(b)(1) Analysis
Appendix D Biological Opinion
Appendix E WGFP Fish and Wildlife Mitigation Plan
Appendix F Response to Comments on DEIS (Volume 2)